HRG GROUP, INC.

Form 10-K

November 23, 2016

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

(Mark One)

x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2016

OR

..TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number: 1-4219

HRG Group, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 74-1339132

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

450 Park Avenue, 29th Floor, New York, NY

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(212) 906-8555

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered

Common Stock, \$0.01 par value New York Stock Exchange Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None.

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes x or No ".

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes " or No x.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x or No ...

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x or No ".

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filerx

Accelerated Filer "

Non-accelerated Filer "(Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company" Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes " or No x

The aggregate market value of the common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant, computed by reference to the closing price as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter, March 31, 2016, was approximately \$1,498.0 million. For the sole purpose of making this calculation, the term "non-affiliate" has been interpreted to exclude directors and executive officers and other affiliates of the registrant. Exclusion of shares held by any person should not be construed as a conclusion by the registrant, or an admission by any such person, that such person is an "affiliate" of the Company, as defined by applicable securities laws.

There were 200,908,522 shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding as of November 17, 2016. Documents Incorporated By Reference: The information required by Part III of this Form 10-K, to the extent not set forth herein or by amendment, is incorporated by reference from the registrant's definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A on or prior to January 27, 2017.

HRG GROUP, INC. TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
_	PART I	_
Item 1.	Business	<u>7</u>
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	<u>31</u>
	3. Unresolved Staff Comments	<u>72</u>
	Properties	<u>72</u>
	Legal Proceedings	<u>75</u>
	Mine Safety Disclosures	75
	PART II	
Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	<u>76</u>
Item 6.		<u>78</u>
Item 7.		<u>80</u>
	<u>Introduction</u>	<u>80</u>
	Results of Operations	<u>83</u>
	Consumer Products Segment	<u>86</u>
	Insurance Segment Comment and Other Segment	<u>90</u>
	Corporate and Other Segment Non CAAP Measures	91 02
	Non-GAAP Measures Liquidity and Capital Resources	92 95
	Contractual Obligations	99
	Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements	<u>100</u>
	Seasonality	100
	Recent Accounting Pronouncements Not Yet Adopted	100
	Critical Account Policies and Estimates	<u>103</u>
Item	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>110</u>
7A.		
Item 8.		<u>118</u>
	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	118
	A Controls and Procedures Other Information	118
Helli 9D	S Other Information	<u>119</u>
	PART III	
	. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	<u>121</u>
	. Executive Compensation	121
	. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> . <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	121 121
	. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> . <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	<u>121</u> <u>121</u>
110111 14	. Frincipal Accounting Fees and Services	121
T4 1.5	PART IV	100
nem 13	. Exhibits, Financial Statements and Schedules Exhibit Index	122 122
	Signatures	122 124
	Index to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-1
	Index to Financial Statements of Certain Subsidiaries Included Pursuant to Rule 3-16 of Regulation S-X	

PART I

Unless otherwise indicated in Part I of this annual report on Form 10-K (this "Form 10-K") or the context requires otherwise, in this Form 10-K, references to the "Company," "HRG," "we," "us" or "our" refer to HRG Group, Inc. (formerly, Harbinger Group Inc.) and, where applicable, its consolidated subsidiaries; "FGH" refers to Fidelity & Guaranty Life Holdings, Inc.) and, where applicable, its consolidated subsidiaries; "FGL" refers to Fidelity & Guaranty Life (formerly, Harbinger F&G, LLC) and, where applicable, its consolidated subsidiaries; "Fiscal 2013" refers to the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013; "Fiscal 2014" refers to the fiscal year ended September 30, 2015; "Fiscal 2016" refers to the fiscal year ended September 30, 2015; "Fiscal 2016" refers to the fiscal year ended September 30, 2017; "Front Street" refers to Front Street Re (Delaware) Ltd. and, where applicable, its consolidated subsidiaries; "Front Street Cayman" refers to Front Street Re Cayman Ltd. and, where applicable, its consolidated subsidiaries; "HGI Funding" refers to HGI Funding, LLC and, where applicable, its consolidated subsidiaries; "Salus" refers to Salus Capital Partners, LLC and, where applicable, its consolidated subsidiaries; "Salus" refers to Spectrum Brands, Inc. and, where applicable, its consolidated subsidiaries; and "Spectrum Brands" refers to Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. and, where applicable, its consolidated subsidiaries.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT FOR PURPOSES OF THE "SAFE HARBOR" PROVISIONS OF THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995.

This document contains, and certain oral statements made by our representatives from time to time may contain, forward-looking statements that are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results, events and developments to differ materially from those set forth in or implied by such statements. These statements are based on the beliefs and assumptions of HRG's management and the management of HRG's subsidiaries and affiliates (including target businesses). Forward-looking statements include information concerning possible or assumed future actions, events, results, strategies and expectations, including plans and expectations regarding future acquisitions, dispositions, distributions, and similar activities, and are generally identifiable by use of the words "believes," "expects," "intends," "anticipates," "plans," "seeks," "estimates," "projects," "may," "will," "could," "might," or "continues" or similar expression of the continues of Such forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results, events and developments to differ materially from those set forth in or implied by such statements. These statements are based on the beliefs and assumptions of HRG's management and the management of HRG's subsidiaries, Factors that could cause actual results, events and developments to differ include, without limitation: that the review of strategic alternatives at HRG will result in a transaction, or if a transaction is undertaken, as to its terms or timing; the ability of HRG's subsidiaries to close previously announced transactions, including statements regarding the closing of FGL's merger with Anbang Insurance Group; the ability of HRG's subsidiaries to generate sufficient net income and cash flows to make upstream cash distributions; the decision of the boards of HRG's subsidiaries to make upstream cash distributions, which is subject to numerous factors such as restrictions contained in applicable financing agreements, state and regulatory restrictions and other relevant considerations as determined by the applicable board; HRG's liquidity, which may be impacted by a variety of factors, including the capital needs of HRG's subsidiaries; capital market conditions; commodity market conditions; foreign exchange rates; HRG's and its subsidiaries' ability to identify, pursue or complete any suitable future acquisition, disposition or other strategic opportunities, including realizing such transaction's expected benefits and the timetable for, completing applicable financial reporting requirements; litigation; potential and contingent liabilities; management's plans; changes in regulations; taxes; and the risks that may affect the performance of the operating subsidiaries of HRG and those factors listed under the caption "Risk Factors" in this report.

We claim the protection of the safe harbor for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 for all forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements described herein are qualified by these cautionary statements and there can be no assurance that the actual results, events or developments referenced herein will occur or be realized. HRG does not undertake any obligation to update or revise forward-looking statements to reflect changed assumptions, the occurrence of unanticipated events or changes to

future operation results, except as required by law.

In addition, you should understand that the following important factors, in addition to those discussed in Part I, Item IA. "Risk Factors" of this report, could affect our future results and could cause those results or other outcomes to differ materially from those expressed or implied in the forward-looking statements. You should also understand that many factors described under one heading below may apply to more than one section in which we have grouped them for the purpose of this presentation. As a result, you should consider all of the following factors, together with all of the other information presented herein, in evaluating the business of the Company and our subsidiaries.

HRG

HRG's actual results or other outcomes may differ materially from those expressed or implied by forward-looking statements contained herein due to a variety of important factors, including, without limitation, the following:

Table of Contents

our dependence on distributions from our subsidiaries and our ability to access the capital markets to fund our operations and payments on our debt and other obligations;

the decision of our subsidiaries' boards to make upstream cash distributions, which is subject to numerous factors such as restrictions contained in applicable financing agreements, state and regulatory restrictions and other relevant considerations as determined by the applicable board;

our and our subsidiaries' liquidity, which may be impacted by a variety of factors, including the capital needs of us and our current and future subsidiaries and our current and future subsidiaries' ability to access the capital markets; the need to provide sufficient capital to our operating businesses;

limitations on our ability to successfully identify suitable acquisition, disposition and other strategic opportunities and to compete for these opportunities with others who have greater resources;

our and our subsidiaries' dependence on certain key personnel;

our and our subsidiaries' ability to attract and retain key employees;

the impact of covenants in the indenture governing our 7.875% Senior Secured Notes due 2019, the covenants in the indenture governing our 7.750% Senior Notes due 2022, the continuing covenants contained in the certificate of designation governing our Series A Participating Convertible Preferred Stock and future financing or refinancing agreements, on our ability to operate our business and finance our pursuit of our business strategy;

our ability to incur new debt and refinance our existing indebtedness;

the impact on our business and financial condition of our substantial indebtedness and the significant additional indebtedness and other financing obligations we and our subsidiaries may incur;

the impact on us and/or our subsidiaries from interruption or other operational failures in telecommunication, information technology and other operational systems, or a failure to maintain the security, integrity, confidentiality or privacy of sensitive data residing on such systems;

the impact on the aggregate value of our assets and our stock price from changes in the market prices of publicly traded equity interests we hold, particularly during times of volatility in security prices;

• the impact of decisions by our significant stockholders, whose interests may differ from those of our other stockholders, or any of them ceasing to remain significant stockholders;

the effect any interests of our officers, directors, stockholders and their respective affiliates may have in certain transactions in which we are involved;

the impact of additional material charges associated with our oversight of acquired or target businesses and the integration of our financial reporting;

• the impact of restrictive covenants and applicable laws, including securities laws, on our ability to dispose of equity interests we hold;

the impact of potential losses and other risks from changes in the value of our assets;

our ability to effectively increase the size of our organization, if needed, and manage our growth;

the impact of a determination that we are an investment company or personal holding company;

the impact of claims or litigation arising from operations, agreements and transactions, including litigation arising from or involving former subsidiaries;

the impact of expending significant resources in considering acquisition or disposition targets or strategic opportunities that are not consummated;

our and our subsidiaries' ability to successfully integrate current and future acquired businesses into our existing operations and achieve the expected economic benefits;

•ax consequences associated with our acquisition, holding and disposition of target companies and assets;

the impact of delays or difficulty in satisfying the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 or negative reports concerning our internal controls;

the impact of the relatively low market liquidity for our shares of Common Stock ("Common Stock");

the impact on the holders of our Common Stock if we issue additional shares of our Common Stock or preferred stock; and

the effect of price fluctuations in our Common Stock caused by general market and economic conditions and a variety of other factors, including factors that affect the volatility of the common stock of any of our publicly-held

subsidiaries.

Spectrum Brands

Spectrum Brands' actual results or other outcomes may differ materially from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements contained herein due to a variety of important factors, including, without limitation, the following:

the impact of Spectrum Brands' substantial indebtedness on its business, financial condition and results of operations; the impact of restrictions in Spectrum Brands' debt instruments on its ability to operate its business, finance its capital needs or pursue or expand its business strategies;

any failure to comply with financial covenants and other provisions and restrictions of Spectrum Brands' debt instruments;

the impact of expenses resulting from the implementation of new business strategies, divestitures or current and proposed restructuring activities;

Spectrum Brands' inability to successfully integrate and operate new acquisitions at the level of financial performance anticipated;

the unanticipated loss of key members of Spectrum Brands' senior management;

the impact of fluctuations in commodity prices, costs or availability of raw materials or terms and conditions available from suppliers, including suppliers' willingness to advance credit;

interest rate and exchange rate fluctuations;

ability to utilize our net operating loss carry-forwards to offset tax liabilities from future taxable income;

the loss of, significant reduction in, or dependence upon, sales to any significant retail customer(s);

competitive promotional activity or spending by competitors or price reductions by competitors;

the introduction of new product features or technological developments by competitors and/or the development of new competitive brands;

the effects of general economic conditions, including inflation, recession or fears of a recession, depression or fears of a depression, labor costs and stock market volatility or changes in trade, monetary or fiscal policies in the countries where Spectrum Brands does business;

changes in consumer spending preferences and demand for Spectrum Brands' products;

Spectrum Brands' ability to develop and successfully introduce new products, protect its intellectual property and avoid infringing the intellectual property of third parties;

Spectrum Brands' ability to successfully implement, achieve and sustain manufacturing and distribution cost efficiencies and improvements, and fully realize anticipated cost savings;

the cost and effect of unanticipated legal, tax or regulatory proceedings or new laws or regulations (including environmental, public health and consumer protection regulations);

public perception regarding the safety of products that Spectrum Brands manufactures and sells, including the potential for environmental liabilities, product liability claims, litigation and other claims related to products manufactured by Spectrum Brands and third parties;

the impact of pending or threatened litigation;

the impact of cybersecurity breaches or our actual or perceived failure to protect company and personal data:

changes in accounting policies applicable to Spectrum Brands' business;

government regulations;

the seasonal nature of sales of certain of Spectrum Brands' products;

the effects of climate change and unusual weather activity; and

the effects of political or economic conditions, terrorist attacks, acts of war or other unrest in international markets.

FGL and Front Street

FGL's and Front Street's actual results or other outcomes may differ materially from those expressed or implied by forward-looking statements contained herein due to a variety of important factors, including, without limitation, the following:

the ability to satisfy the closing conditions, including regulatory approvals, contained in the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated November 8, 2015 (as amended on November 3, 2016, the "FGL Merger Agreement" and such merger, the "FGL Merger"), by and among FGL, Anbang Insurance Group Co., Ltd., a joint-stock insurance company established in the People's Republic of China ("Anbang"), AB Infinity Holding, Inc., a Delaware corporation and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Anbang ("AB Infinity"), and AB Merger Sub, Inc., a Delaware corporation and a newly formed, wholly-owned subsidiary of AB Infinity ("Merger Sub"); the impact on the stock price, business, financial condition and results of operations if the FGL Merger is not

consummated or not consummated timely;

the impact of the operating restrictions in the FGL Merger Agreement and their impact on FGL;

litigation arising from the FGL Merger;

the inability of FGL's and Front Street's subsidiaries and affiliates to generate sufficient cash to service all of their obligations;

the ability of FGL's and Front Street's subsidiaries to pay dividends;

the impact of restrictions in FGL's debt instruments on its ability to operate its business, finance its capital needs or pursue or expand its business strategies;

the accuracy of FGL's and Front Street's assumptions and estimates;

the accuracy of FGL's and Front Street's assumptions regarding the fair value and future performance of their investments;

FGL and its insurance subsidiaries' abilities to maintain or improve their financial strength ratings;

FGL's and Front Street's and their insurance subsidiaries' potential need for additional capital to maintain their financial strength and credit ratings and meet other requirements and obligations;

FGL's and Front Street's ability to defend themselves against or respond to, potential litigation (including class action litigation), enforcement investigations or increased regulatory scrutiny;

FGL's and Front Street's ability to manage their businesses in a highly-regulated industry, which is subject to numerous legal restrictions and regulations;

regulatory changes or actions, including those relating to regulation of financial services, affecting (among other things) underwriting of insurance products and regulation of the sale, underwriting and pricing of products and minimum capitalization and statutory reserve requirements for insurance companies, or the ability of FGL's and Front Street's insurance subsidiaries to make cash distributions to FGL or Front Street, as applicable (including dividends or payments on surplus notes FGL's subsidiaries issue to FGL);

the impact of the newly finalized Department of Labor "fiduciary" rule on FGL, its products, distribution and business model;

the impact of the anticipated implementation of principle based reserving on FGL's ability to write certain products, manage risk and deploy capital efficiently;

the impact of FGL's reinsurers failing to meet or timely meet their assumed obligations, increasing their reinsurance rates, or becoming subject to adverse developments that could materially adversely impact their ability to provide reinsurance to FGL at consistent and economical terms;

restrictions on FGL's ability to use captive reinsurers;

the impact of interest rate fluctuations on FGL and Front Street and withdrawal demands in excess of FGL's and Front Street's assumptions;

the impact of market and credit risks;

equity market volatility;

eredit market volatility or disruption;

changes in the federal income tax laws and regulations which may affect the relative income tax advantages of FGL's products;

increases in FGL's and Front Street's valuation allowance against FGL's and Front Street's deferred tax assets, and restrictions on FGL's and Front Street's ability to fully utilize such assets;

the performance of third-parties, including independent distributors, underwriters, actuarial consultants and other service providers;

interruption or other operational failures in telecommunication, information technology and other operational systems, or a failure to maintain the security, integrity, confidentiality or privacy of sensitive data residing on such systems; the continued availability of capital required for FGL's and Front Street's insurance subsidiaries to grow;

the impact on FGL's or Front Street's business of new accounting rules or changes to existing accounting rules; the risk that FGL's or Front Street's exposure to unidentified or unanticipated risk is not adequately addressed by their risk management policies and procedures;

•

general economic conditions and other factors, including prevailing interest and unemployment rate levels and stock and credit market performance;

FGL's ability to protect its intellectual property;

difficulties arising from FGL's and Front Street's outsourcing relationships;

Table of Contents

the impact on FGL's and Front Street's business of natural and of man-made catastrophes, pandemics, computer viruses, network security breaches, and malicious and terrorist acts;

- FGL's and Front Street's ability to compete in a highly competitive industry;
- FGL's and Front Street's ability to maintain competitive policy expense

adverse consequences if the independent contractor status of FGL's independent insurance marketing organizations ("IMOs") is successfully challenged;

FGL's ability to attract and retain national marketing organizations and independent agents;

the potential adverse tax consequences to FGL if FGL generates passive income in excess of operating expenses; the significant operating and financial restrictions contained in FGL's debt agreements, which may prevent FGL from capitalizing on business opportunities;

the impact on FGL and Front Street of non-performance of loans originated by Salus; and the ability to maintain or obtain approval of the Iowa Insurance Division ("IID") and other regulatory authorities as required for FGL's operations and those of its insurance subsidiaries.

Salus

Salus' actual results or other outcomes may differ materially from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements contained herein due to a variety of important factors, including, without limitation, the following:

Salus' ability to recover amounts that are contractually owed to it and its ability to adequately address the various risks it faces in executing its business strategy;

Salus' ability to orderly and efficiently wind-down its business and to address the variety of risks associated with such a process, including litigation risk, regulatory compliance and retention of employees, management, agents and advisors required for such wind-down; and

Salus' ability to address a variety of other risks associated with its business, including the risk of fraud or theft, operational errors and systems malfunctions.

Item 1. Business OVERVIEW HRG

Overview

We are a holding company that conducts its operations through its operating subsidiaries. As of September 30, 2016, our principal operating subsidiaries include the following: (i) Spectrum Brands, our subsidiary that provides global branded consumer products; (ii) FGL, our subsidiary that provides life insurance and annuity products; and (iii) Front Street, our subsidiary engaged in the business of providing long-term reinsurance, including reinsurance to the specialty insurance sector of fixed, deferred and payout annuities.

We were incorporated in Delaware in 1954 under the name Zapata Corporation and reincorporated in Nevada in April 1999 under the same name. On December 23, 2009, we reincorporated in Delaware under the name Harbinger Group Inc. Effective March 9, 2015, we changed our name from Harbinger Group Inc. to HRG Group, Inc. Our Common Stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") under the symbol "HRG." Our principal executive offices are located at 450 Park Avenue, 29th Floor, New York, New York 10022.

We currently operate our business in two reporting segments: Consumer Products and Insurance. For the results of operations by segment and other segment data, see Part IV, Item 15. "Note 25, Segment and Geographic Data" to HRG's Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report.

For detailed information about revenues, operating income and total assets of HRG and its operating subsidiaries, see the financial statements beginning on page F-1 and S-1, respectively, of this report.

Strategy

During Fiscal 2016, we took certain actions to streamline our business and simplify our holding company structure. For instance, we sold our interest in Compass Production Partners ("Compass"), our former subsidiary that was engaged

in the business of owning and operating oil and natural gas assets, and commenced the wind-down of Salus, our subsidiary that was engaged in the financing and asset management business. We also sold our interest in CorAmerica Capital, LLC ("CorAmerica"), our former subsidiary engaged in the business of asset management, and wound-down Energy & Infrastructure Capital LLC, our former subsidiary engaged in the business of asset management. In addition, FGL, our insurance subsidiary, entered into the FGL Merger Agreement pursuant to which at the effective time of the FGL Merger, the issued and outstanding shares of FGL common stock

Table of Contents

will be cancelled and converted automatically into the right to receive \$26.80 in cash, without interest. See Part I, Item 1. "Business-FGL-FGL Merger" of this report for further information.

For Fiscal 2017, we decided to initiate a process to explore and evaluate strategic alternatives available to the Company with a view towards maximizing shareholder value. We believe that HRG is an excellent company that owns great businesses that have generated strong performance over a long period of time. We believe that we are well-positioned to take advantage of the opportunities that may be available to us through the review of strategic alternatives. Strategic alternatives may include, but are not limited to, a merger, sale or other business combination involving the Company or its assets. We are under no pressure to transact and have not set a definitive schedule to complete our review of strategic alternatives. There can be no assurance that this process will result in a transaction, or if a transaction is undertaken, as to its terms or timing.

Competition

We and our subsidiaries face intense competition from a variety of sources in carrying our respective businesses and achieving our objectives. Many of our competitors may be better established, possess greater human and other resources than us, and our financial resources may be relatively limited when compared with many of these competitors. Any of these factors may place us at a competitive disadvantage in contrast to our competitors. See elsewhere in this report for discussion of competition faced by our subsidiaries. See Part I, Item 1A. "Risk Factors-Risks Related to HRG-Our subsidiaries operate in highly-competitive industries, limiting their ability to gain or maintain their positions in their respective industries."

Employees

At September 30, 2016, HRG employed 21 persons and HRG's subsidiaries employed approximately 16,000 persons. In the normal course of business, HRG and its subsidiaries use contract personnel to supplement their employee base to meet business needs. As of September 30, 2016, none of HRG's employees were represented by labor unions or covered by collective bargaining agreements. See the remainder of this report for additional information regarding the employees of HRG's subsidiaries. HRG believes that its overall relationship with its employees is good. Available Information

Our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to reports filed pursuant to Sections 13(a) and 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act") are made available free of charge on or through our website at www.hrggroup.com as soon as reasonably practicable after such reports are filed with, or furnished to, the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC" or the "Commission"). The information on our website is not, and shall not be deemed to be, part of this report or incorporated into any other filings we make with the Commission.

You may read and copy any materials we file with the Commission at the Commission's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the Commission at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC also maintains a website that contains our reports, proxy statements and other information at www.sec.gov. In addition, copies of our Corporate Governance Guidelines, Audit Committee Charter, Compensation Committee Charter, Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Charter, Code of Ethics, Code of Ethics for our Chief Executive and Senior Financial Officers and Executive Sessions policy are available at our website at www.hrggroup.com under "Investor Relations-Corporate Governance." Copies will also be provided to any HRG stockholder upon written request to Investor Relations, HRG Group, Inc. at 450 Park Avenue, 29th Floor, New York, NY 10022 or via electronic mail at investorrelations@hrggroup.com. For additional information regarding Spectrum Brands and FGL, including information in addition to that included in HRG's SEC reports and public announcements, we direct you to Spectrum Brands' and FGL's public announcements and filings made with the SEC. You should follow and read the SEC filings, press releases and other public statements made by Spectrum Brands, FGL and their respective representatives, as we expect that they will make additional information available through these channels. See Part I, Item 1. "Business-Our Operating Subsidiaries-Spectrum Brands-Available Information" for additional information regarding Spectrum Brands and Part I, Item 1. "Business-Our Operating Subsidiaries-FGL-Available Information" for additional information regarding FGL.

OUR OPERATING SUBSIDIARIES

Spectrum Brands

Spectrum Brands, a Delaware corporation and a subsidiary of HRG, is a diversified global branded consumer products company. Spectrum Brands' common stock trades on the NYSE under the symbol "SPB." As of September 30, 2016, HRG owned approximately 57.8% of Spectrum Brands' common stock.

Spectrum Brands manufactures, markets and/or distributes its products in approximately 160 countries in the North America ("NA"); Europe, Middle East & Africa ("EMEA"); Latin America ("LATAM") and Asia-Pacific ("APAC") regions through a variety of trade channels, including retailers, wholesalers and distributors, original equipment manufacturers ("OEMs"),

construction companies, and hearing aid professionals. Spectrum Brands enjoys strong name recognition in its regions under Spectrum Brands' various brands and patented technologies across multiple product categories. Spectrum Brands manages its business in five vertically integrated, product lines: (i) Global Batteries & Appliances ("GBA"); (ii) Hardware & Home Improvement ("HHI"); (iii) Global Pet Supplies ("PET"); (iv) Home and Garden ("H&G"); and (v) Global Auto Care ("GAC"). Geographic strategic initiatives and financial objectives are determined at the corporate level. Each segment is responsible for implementing defined strategic initiatives and achieving certain financial objectives and has a general manager responsible for sales and marketing initiatives and the financial results for all product lines within that segment.

Spectrum Brands' operating performance is influenced by a number of factors including: general economic conditions; foreign exchange fluctuations; trends in consumer markets; consumer confidence and preferences; Spectrum Brands' overall product line mix, including pricing and gross margin, which vary by product line and geographic market; pricing of certain raw materials and commodities; energy and fuel prices; and Spectrum Brands' general competitive position, especially as impacted by Spectrum Brands' competitors' advertising and promotional activities and pricing strategies.

See Part II, Item 7. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" for for further discussion of the operating results of Spectrum Brands.

Spectrum Brands' Product Lines

Global Batteries and Appliances (GBA)

Spectrum Brands' consumer batteries product category consists of alkaline batteries, zinc carbon batteries, nickel metal hydride (NiMH) rechargeable batteries and battery chargers primarily under the Rayovac and VARTA brands. Additionally, Spectrum Brands manufactures alkaline batteries for third parties who sell under their own private labels. Spectrum Brands also offers a broad line of battery-powered portable lighting products including flashlights and lanterns under the Rayovac and VARTA brands, and other proprietary brand names pursuant to licensing arrangements with third parties. Spectrum Brands manufactures and sells hearing aid batteries under several brand names and private labels for many major hearing aid device manufacturers. Other specialty battery products include camera batteries, lithium batteries, silver oxide batteries, keyless entry batteries, portable chargers and coin cells for use in watches, cameras, calculators, communications equipment, and medical instruments.

Spectrum Brands' small appliances product category consists of small kitchen appliances under the Black & Decker, Russell Hobbs, George Foreman, Juiceman and Breadman brands, including toaster ovens, toasters, sandwich makers, coffeemakers, coffee grinders, can openers, electric knives, grills, deep fryers, food choppers, food processors, slow cookers, hand mixers, blenders, juicers, bread makers, kettles, rice cookers and steamers. Spectrum Brands also sells small home product appliances, including hand-held irons, vacuum cleaners, air purifiers, clothes shavers and heaters, primarily under the Black & Decker and Russell Hobbs brands.

Spectrum Brands' personal care product category includes a broad line of electric shaving and grooming products under the Remington brand name, including men's rotary and foil shavers, beard and mustache trimmers, body, nose and ear trimmers, women's shavers, haircut kits and intense pulsed light hair removal systems. Other personal care products include hand-held dryers, curling irons, straightening irons, brush irons, hair setters, facial brushes, skin appliances, electric toothbrushes and hair accessories.

Spectrum Brands manages its GBA sales teams by geographic region and product category. Spectrum Brands sells primarily to large retailers, online retailers, wholesalers, distributors, warehouse clubs, food and drug chains and specialty trade or retail outlets such as consumer electronics stores, department stores, discounters and other specialty stores. Spectrum Brands maintains separate sales teams to service (i) its retail sales and distribution channels; (ii) its hearing aid professionals channel; and (iii) its industrial distributors and OEM sales and distribution channel. International distribution varies by region and is often executed on a country-by-country basis. Spectrum Brands utilizes a network of independent brokers to service participants in selected distribution channels.

Hardware and Home Improvement (HHI)

Spectrum Brands' lockset product category includes a broad range of residential locksets and door hardware including knobs, levers, deadbolts, handle sets and electronics under three main brands: (i) Kwikset, residential door hardware sold primarily in the U.S.; (ii) Weiser, residential door hardware sold primarily in Canada; and (iii) Baldwin, luxury

residential door hardware. Spectrum Brands' residential lockset products also incorporate a patented SmartKey technology that enables consumers to easily rekey their locks without hiring a locksmith. The product category also includes electronic and connected locks such as Kevo Bluetooth enabled deadbolt which turns a smart phone into a key and allows authorized users to open their deadbolt by simply touching the lock or remotely with connected devices.

Spectrum Brands' plumbing product category includes kitchen, bath and shower faucets, as well as other plumbing products and fixtures through Spectrum Brands' Pfister brand, which brings showroom styles to the mass market at affordable prices and offers a lifetime warranty on all of its products. Pfister seeks to differentiate itself from the competition through its breadth of styles and finishes, along with innovations designed to meet a variety of consumer, plumber and builder needs.

Spectrum Brands' hardware product category includes hinges, security hardware, screen and storm door products, garage door hardware, window hardware and floor protection under the National Hardware and Stanley brand names throughout the U.S.

and Canada.

On October 1, 2014, Spectrum Brands acquired privately owned Tell Manufacturing, Inc. ("Tell"), a U.S. manufacturer and distributor of commercial doors, locks and hardware. Tell provides the HHI product line with an established commercial security sales position and a platform to expand Spectrum Brands' patented SmartKey and Kevo residential lock technologies into commercial channels. The Tell acquisition also added doors and hollow metal door manufacturing capabilities, a strategically important adjacent category.

The sales force of the HHI business is aligned by customer and geographic region, and sells primarily to large retailers, home improvement centers, hardware stores, non-retail distributors, home builders, commercial contractors, and other retailers.

Global Pet Supplies (PET)

Spectrum Brands' aquatics product category includes a broad line of consumer and commercial aquatics products, including integrated aquarium kits, stand-alone tanks and stands, aquatics equipment such as filtration systems, heaters, and pumps, and aquatics consumables such as fish food, water treatments and conditioners. Spectrum Brands' largest aquatics brands are Tetra, Marineland, Whisper, Jungle and Instant Ocean.

Spectrum Brands' companion animal product category includes a variety of specialty pet products including rawhide chews, dog and cat treats, small animal food and treats, clean-up and training aid products, health and grooming aids, bedding products, and consumable accessories including privacy tents, litter carpets, crystal litter cartridges, charcoal filters, corn-based litter and replaceable waste receptacles. Spectrum Brands' largest specialty pet brands include FURminator, 8-in-1, Dingo, Nature's Miracle, Wild Harvest and Littermaid.

Spectrum Brands' pet food product category includes wet and dry pet food for dogs and cats under the IAMS, Eukanuba and 8-in-1 brand names in European markets. On December 31, 2014, Spectrum Brands completed the acquisition of Procter & Gamble's European pet food business, consisting of the IAMS and Eukanuba brands for dogs and cats.

Additionally, on January 16, 2015, Spectrum Brands acquired Salix Animal Health, a vertically integrated producer and distributor of natural rawhide dog chews, treats and snacks, offering a comprehensive line of chews made from beef hides, pork, chicken, beef and other various proteins.

Spectrum Brands' PET sales force is aligned by customer type, geographic region and product category, and sells primarily to mass merchandisers, grocery stores and drug chains, pet superstores, independent pet stores, warehouse clubs and other specialty retailers. International distribution varies by region and is often executed on a country-by-country basis.

Home and Garden Improvement (H&G)

Spectrum Brands' controls product category includes a variety of outdoor insect and weed control solutions, and animal repellents under the brand names Spectracide, Black Flag, Garden Safe, EcoLogic and Liquid Fence. Spectrum Brands' line of outdoor control solutions are designed to assist consumers in controlling insects, weeds and animals when tackling lawn and landscaping projects themselves.

Spectrum Brands' household product category includes a broad array of household pest control solutions, such as spider and scorpion killers; roach and ant killers; flying insect killers; insect foggers; wasp and hornet killers; bedbug, flea and tick control products; and roach and ant baits. Spectrum Brands also offers powerful rodent traps and rodenticides with discreet designs that are easy to refill and reuse.

Spectrum Brands' repellents product category includes personal use pesticides for protection from various outdoor nuisance pests, especially mosquitoes. These products include both personal repellents in a variety of formulas such as aerosols, lotions, pump sprays and wipes to match consumers' needs; as well as area repellents such as yard sprays, citronella candles and patio lanterns to allow consumers to enjoy the outdoors without bothersome pests. The brands in the insect repellents category are Cutter and Repel.

The H&G business sales force is geographically aligned with Spectrum Brands' key customers, and sells primarily to home improvement centers, mass merchandisers, dollar stores, hardware stores, home and garden distributors, and food and drug retailers, primarily in the U.S.

Global Auto Care (GAC)

Spectrum Brands entered the GAC product line through its acquisition of Armored Auto Group ("AAG") on May 21, 2015, which consists of products within the automotive aftermarket appearance, performance chemicals, and do-it-yourself automotive air conditioner recharge product categories.

Spectrum Brands' appearance product category includes protectants, wipes, tire and wheel care products, glass cleaners, leather care products, air fresheners and washes designed to clean, shine, refresh and protect interior and exterior automobile surfaces under the brand name Armor All.

Spectrum Brands' performance product category includes STP branded fuel and oil additives, functional fluids and automotive appearance products that benefit from a rich heritage in the car enthusiast and racing scenes.

Spectrum Brands' A/C recharge product category includes do-it-yourself automotive air conditioner recharge products under

the A/C PRO brand name, along with other refrigerant and oil recharge kits, sealants and accessories.

The GAC business sales force is geographically aligned with key customers and supply chains, and sells primarily to big-box auto, auto specialty retail, mass retailers, food and drug retailers, and small regional and convenience store retailers. Spectrum Brands' small regional and convenience store customers are serviced by brokers and distributors. International distribution varies by region and is often executed on a country-by-country basis. Sales, Distribution and Competition

Spectrum Brands sells its products through a variety of trade channels, including retailers, wholesalers and distributors, hearing aid professionals, construction companies and OEMs. Spectrum Brands' sales generally are made through the use of individual purchase orders, consistent with industry practice. Retail sales of the consumer products that Spectrum Brands markets have been increasingly consolidated on a worldwide basis into a small number of regional and national mass merchandisers and e-commerce companies that generally have strong negotiating power with their suppliers. A significant percentage of Spectrum brands' sales are attributable to a limited group of retailer customers, including (in alphabetical order), Amazon, Argos, Autozone, Dollar General, Lowe's, PetCo, PetSmart, Target, The Home Depot, and Wal-Mart. Spectrum Brands' sales to its largest customer, Wal-Mart, represented approximately 15% of its consolidated net sales for Fiscal 2016. No other customer accounted for more than 10% of Spectrum Brands' consolidated net sales in Fiscal 2016.

Factors influencing product sales include brand name recognition, perceived quality, price, performance, product packaging, design innovation, and consumer confidence and preferences as well as creative marketing, promotion and distribution strategies. Spectrum Brands competes for limited shelf space and consumer acceptance based on location and product segment. Spectrum Brands also competes with its retail customers, who use their own private label brands, and with distributors and foreign manufacturers of unbranded products, typically at lower prices. Spectrum Brands attempts to address these competitive challenges through a portfolio of well-recognized consumer product brands, business relationships with global retailers, distributors and wholesalers, an expansive distribution network, innovative new products, packaging and technologies and an experienced management team. See Part I, Item 1A. "Risk Factors-Risk Related to Spectrum Brands' Business-Spectrum Brands participates in very competitive markets and it may not be able to compete successfully, causing Spectrum Brands to lose market share and sales."

Within Spectrum Brands' GBA product line, primary competitors for consumer batteries include Energizer Holdings, Inc. (Energizer); Berkshire Hathaway (Duracell); Matsushita (Panasonic) and private label brands of major retailers. Primary competitors for small appliances include Newell Brands (Oster, Sunbeam, Mr. Coffee, Crockpot, Rival, Breville), General Electric (GE), De'Longhi America (DeLonghi, Kenwood, Braun), SharkNinja f/k/a Euro-Pro (Shark, Ninja), NACCO Industries (Hamilton Beach, Proctor Silex), SEB S.A.(T-fal, Krups, Rowenta), Whirlpool Corporation (Kitchen Aid, Waring), Conair Corporate (Cuisinart), Koninklijke Philips N.V. (Philips), Glen Dimplex (Morphy Richards) and private label brands for major retailers. Primary competitors in personal care include are Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V. (Norelco), The Procter & Gamble Company (Braun), Conair Corporation, Wahl Clipper Corporation and Helen of Troy Limited.

Within Spectrum Brands' HHI product line, primary competitors in residential locksets include Allegion (Schlage) and private label import brands such as Defiant. Primary competitors for hardware include The Hillman Group, Hampton Hardware, Crown Bolt and private label competitors. Primary competitors for plumbing include Kohler, Masco, Fortune Brands (Moen), American Standard, Glacier Bay, AquaSource, and the private label brands of major retailers. Primary competitors in Spectrum Brands' PET product line are Mars Corporation, The Hartz Mountain Corporation and Central Garden & Pet Company which all sell a comprehensive line of pet supplies that compete across Spectrum Brands' product categories. The pet supplies product category is highly fragmented with no competitor holding a substantial market share and consists of small companies with limited product lines.

Primary competitors in Spectrum Brands' H&G product line are The Scotts Miracle-Gro Company (Scotts, Ortho, Roundup, Miracle-Gro, Tomcat); Central Garden & Pet (AMDRO, Sevin) and Bayer A.G. (Bayer Advanced), S.C. Johnson & Son, Inc. (Raid, OFF!); and Henkel AG & Co. KGaA (Combat).

Primary competitors in Spectrum Brands' GAC product line include Valvoline, Prestone, Turtle Wax, Black Magic, Energizer, Newell Brands and private label brands. Spectrum Brands also encounter competition from similar and alternative products, many of which are produced and marketed by major multinational or national companies,

including Mothers, Meguiars, Lucas, and Sea Foam. Seasonality

On a consolidated basis Spectrum Brands' financial results are approximately equally weighted across the fiscal quarters, however, sales of certain product categories tend to be seasonal. Sales from Spectrum Brands' GBA segment, primarily from consumer battery and electric personal care product categories tend to increase during the December holiday season (the Spectrum Brands' first fiscal quarter), while small appliances sales increase from July through December primarily due to the increased demand by customers in the late summer for "back-to-school" sales (the Spectrum Brands' fourth fiscal quarter) and in December for the holiday season. Sales from Spectrum Brands' HHI segment primarily increase during the spring and summer construction period (the Spectrum Brands' third and fourth fiscal quarters). Sales from Spectrum Brands' PET segment remain fairly consistent

throughout the year with little variation. Sales from Spectrum Brands' H&G segment and GAC segment typically peak during the first six months of the calendar year (the Spectrum Brands' second and third fiscal quarters) due to customer seasonal purchasing patterns and timing of promotional activities.

Spectrum Brands' sales by quarter as a percentage of annual net sales during Fiscal 2016, 2015, and 2014 were as follows:

Percentage of Annual Net Consumer Products Sales	Fiscal		
Fiscal Quarter Ended	2016 2015 2014		
First Quarter	24% 23% 25%		
Second Quarter	24% 23% 23%		
Third Quarter	27% 26% 25%		
Fourth Quarter	25% 28% 27%		

Manufacturing, Raw Materials and Suppliers

The principal raw materials used in manufacturing include zinc, electrolytic manganese dioxide used in Spectrum Brands' consumer batteries products; brass and steel used in the manufacturing of Spectrum Brands' HHI products, and refrigerant R-134a used in Spectrum Brands' GAC A/C recharge products, that are sourced either on a global or regional basis. The prices of these raw materials are susceptible to fluctuations due to supply and demand trends, energy costs, transportation costs, government regulations and tariffs, changes in currency exchange rates, price controls, general economic conditions and other unforeseen circumstances. Spectrum Brands has regularly engaged in forward purchase and hedging derivative transactions in an attempt to effectively manage the raw material costs Spectrum Brands expects to incur over the next 12 to 24 months.

Substantially all of Spectrum Brands' rechargeable batteries and chargers, portable lighting products, personal care products and small appliances are manufactured by third party suppliers that are primarily located in the Asia-Pacific region. Spectrum Brands maintains ownership of most of the tooling and molds used by its suppliers.

Spectrum Brands continually evaluates its manufacturing facilities' capacity and related utilization. As a result of such analyses, Spectrum Brands has closed a number of manufacturing facilities during the past five years. In general, Spectrum Brands believes its existing facilities are adequate for its present and foreseeable needs.

Patents and Trademarks

Spectrum Brands uses and maintains a number of patents, trademarks, brand names and trade names that are, in the aggregate, important to its businesses. Spectrum Brands seeks trademark protection in the U.S. and in foreign countries. Spectrum Brands' most significant registered trademarks are:

Product	Trademarks				
Line	Tracemarks				
GBA	Rayovac, VARTA, Remington, Black & Decker, George Foreman, Russell Hobbs, Farberware,				
ODA	Toastmaster, Breadman, Juiceman				
HHI	Kwikset, Weiser, Baldwin, National Hardware, Stanley, Fanal, Pfister, Tell				
PET	Tetra, 8-in-1, Dingo, Nature's Miracle, Wild Harvest, Marineland, Furminator, Littermaid, Birdola,				
PEI	Healthy Hide, Digest-eeze, Iams, Eukanuba				
H&G	Spectracide, Cutter, Hot Shot, Real Kill, Ultra Kill, Black Flag, Liquid Fence, Rid-a-bug, TAT, Garden				
пас	Safe, Repel				
GAC	Armor All, STP, A/C PRO				

Spectrum Brands owns or licenses from third parties a significant number of patents and patent applications throughout the world relating to products that Spectrum Brands sells and manufacturing equipment that Spectrum Brands uses. Spectrum Brands holds a license that expires in March 2022 for certain alkaline battery designs, technology and manufacturing equipment from Matsushita Electrical Industrial Co., Ltd. ("Matsushita"), to whom Spectrum Brands pays a royalty. Through its 56% ownership interest in Shaser, Inc., Spectrum Brands has patented technology that is used in its i-Light and i-Light Reveal product line. Through ownership of its HHI segment, Spectrum Brands owns the patented SmartKey technology, which enables customers to easily rekey their locks without hiring a locksmith.

Spectrum Brands acquired the rights to the VARTA trademark in the consumer battery category and Johnson Controls Inc. acquired rights to the trademark in the automotive battery category from VARTA AG. VARTA AG continues to have rights to use the trademark with travel guides and industrial batteries and VARTA Microbattery GmbH has the right to use the trademark with micro batteries. Spectrum Brands is a party to a Trademark and Domain Names Protection and Delimitation Agreement that governs ownership and usage rights and obligations of the parties relative to the VARTA trademark.

Spectrum Brands licenses the Black & Decker brand in North America, Latin America (excluding Brazil) and the Caribbean for four core categories of household appliances: beverage products, food preparation products, garment care products and cooking products through a trademark license agreement with The Black and Decker Corporation ("BDC") through December 2018. Under the agreement, Spectrum Brands agreed to pay BDC royalties based on a percentage of sales, with minimum annual royalty payments of \$15.0 million through calendar year 2018. The agreement also requires Spectrum Brands to comply with maximum annual return rates for products. If BDC does not agree to renew the license agreement, Spectrum Brands will have

18 months to transition out of the brand name with no minimum royalty payments during such transition period and BDC has agreed to not compete in the four categories for five years after the end of the transition period. Upon request, BDC may elect to extend the license to use the Black & Decker brand to certain additional product categories. BDC has approved several extensions of the license to additional categories and geographies.

Spectrum Brands owns the rights to use the Remington trademark for electric shavers, shaver accessories, grooming products and personal care products; and Remington Arms Company, Inc. ("Remington Arms") owns the rights to use the trademark for firearms, sporting goods and products for industrial use, including industrial hand tools. The terms of a 1986 agreement between Remington Products, LLC and Remington Arms provides for the shared rights to use the trademark on products which are not considered "principal products of interest" for either company. Spectrum Brands retains the trademark for nearly all products which Spectrum Brands believes can benefit from the use of the brand name in its distribution channels.

Spectrum Brands licenses the Stanley and Black & Decker marks and logos in the HHI segment for such products as residential locksets, builder's hardware, padlocks, and door hardware through a transitional trademark license agreement with Stanley Black & Decker Corporation. Under the agreement and as part of the acquisition of the HHI Business in December 2012, Spectrum Brands has a royalty-free, fully paid license to use certain trademarks, brand names and logos in marketing its products and services for five years after the completion of the HHI Business acquisition. Upon termination of the agreement, HHI will be obligated to discontinue any and all use of the trademarks as designated by the arrangement within 180 days following the termination.

Research and Development

Spectrum Brands' research and development strategy is focused on new product development and performance enhancements of its existing products. Spectrum Brands plans to continue to use its strong brand names, established customer relationships and significant research and development efforts to introduce innovative products that offer enhanced value to consumers through new designs and improved functionality. During Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014, Spectrum Brands invested \$58.7 million, \$51.3 million and \$47.9 million, respectively, in product research and development.

Governmental Regulations and Environmental Matters

Due to the nature of Spectrum Brands' operations, its facilities are subject to a broad range of federal, state, local and foreign legal and regulatory provisions relating to the environment, including those regulating the discharge of materials into the environment, the handling and disposal of solid and hazardous substances and wastes and the remediation of contamination associated with the releases of hazardous substances at Spectrum Brands' facilities. Spectrum Brands believes that compliance with the federal, state, local and foreign laws and regulations to which it is subject will not have a material effect upon Spectrum Brands' capital expenditures, financial condition, earnings or competitive position.

From time to time, Spectrum Brands has been required to address the effect of historic activities on the environmental condition of its properties. Spectrum Brands has not conducted invasive testing at all facilities to identify all potential environmental liability risks. Given the age of its facilities and the nature of its operations, it is possible that material liabilities may arise in the future in connection with Spectrum Brands' current or former facilities. If previously unknown contamination of property underlying or in the vicinity of its manufacturing facilities is discovered, Spectrum Brands could incur material unforeseen expenses, which could have a material adverse effect on its financial condition, capital expenditures, earnings and competitive position. Although Spectrum Brands is currently engaged in investigative or remedial projects at some of its facilities, Spectrum Brands does not expect that such projects, taking into account established accruals, will cause Spectrum Brands to incur expenditures that are material to its business, financial condition or results of operations; however, it is possible that Spectrum Brands' future liability could be material.

Spectrum Brands has been, and in the future may be, subject to proceedings related to its disposal of industrial and hazardous material at off-site disposal locations or similar disposals made by other parties for which Spectrum Brands is held responsible as a result of its relationships with such other parties. In the U.S., these proceedings are under the Federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 ("CERCLA") or similar state laws that hold persons who "arranged for" the disposal or treatment of such substances strictly liable for costs

incurred in responding to the release or threatened release of hazardous substances from such sites, regardless of fault or the lawfulness of the original disposal. Liability under CERCLA is typically joint and several, meaning that a liable party may be responsible for all costs incurred in investigating and remediating contamination at a site. As a practical matter, liability at CERCLA sites is shared by all of the viable responsible parties. Spectrum Brands occasionally is identified by federal or state governmental agencies as being a potentially responsible party for response actions contemplated at an off-site facility. At the existing sites where Spectrum Brands has been notified of its status as a potentially responsible party, it is either premature to determine whether its potential liability, if any, will be material or Spectrum Brands does not believe that its liability, if any, will be material. Spectrum Brands may be named as a potentially responsible party under CERCLA or similar state laws for other sites not currently known to it, and the costs and liabilities associated with these sites may be material.

It is difficult to quantify with certainty the potential financial impact of actions regarding expenditures for environmental matters, particularly remediation, and future capital expenditures for environmental control equipment. Nevertheless, based upon the information currently available, Spectrum Brands believes that its ultimate liability arising from such environmental matters,

considering established accruals of \$4.4 million for estimated liabilities at September 30, 2016 should not be material to its business or financial condition.

Electronic and electrical products that Spectrum Brands sells in Europe, particularly products sold under the Remington brand name, VARTA battery chargers, certain portable lighting and all of Spectrum Brands' batteries, are subject to regulation in European Union ("EU") markets under three key EU directives. The first directive is the Restriction of the Use of Hazardous Substances in Electrical and Electronic Equipment ("RoHS") which took effect in EU member states beginning July 1, 2006. RoHS prohibits companies from selling products which contain certain specified hazardous materials in EU member states. Spectrum Brands believes that compliance with RoHS does not have a material effect on its capital expenditures, financial condition, earnings or competitive position. The second directive is entitled the Waste of Electrical and Electronic Equipment ("WEEE"). WEEE makes producers or importers of particular classes of electrical goods financially responsible for specified collection, recycling, treatment and disposal of past and future covered products. WEEE assigns levels of responsibility to companies doing business in EU markets based on their relative market share. WEEE calls on each EU member state to enact enabling legislation to implement the directive. To comply with WEEE requirements, Spectrum Brands has partnered with other companies to create a comprehensive collection, treatment, disposal and recycling program. As EU member states pass enabling legislation Spectrum Brands currently expects its compliance system to be sufficient to meet such requirements. Spectrum Brands' current estimated costs associated with compliance with WEEE are not significant based on its current market share. However, Spectrum Brands continues to evaluate the impact of the WEEE legislation as EU member states implement guidance and as its market share changes and, as a result, actual costs to Spectrum Brands could differ from its current estimates and may be material to its business, financial condition or results of operations. The third directive is the Directive on Batteries and Accumulators and Waste Batteries, which was adopted in September 2006 and went into effect in September 2008 (the "Battery Directive"). The Battery Directive bans heavy metals in batteries by establishing maximum quantities of those heavy metals in batteries and mandates waste management of batteries, including collection, recycling and disposal systems. The Battery Directive places the costs of such waste management systems on producers and importers of batteries. The Battery Directive calls on each EU member state to enact enabling legislation to implement the directive. Spectrum Brands currently believes that compliance with the Battery Directive does not have a material effect on its capital expenditures, financial condition, earnings or competitive position. EU member states have adopted enabling legislation required by the directive and issued additional guidance. Spectrum Brands will continue to evaluate the impact of the Battery Directive and its enabling legislation.

Certain of Spectrum Brands' products and facilities in each of its business segments are regulated by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (the "EPA") and the United States Food and Drug Administration (the "FDA") or other federal consumer protection and product safety agencies and are subject to the regulations such agencies enforce, as well as by similar state, foreign and multinational agencies and regulations. For example, in the U.S., all products containing pesticides must be registered with the EPA and, in many cases, similar state and foreign agencies before they can be manufactured or sold. Spectrum Brands' inability to obtain or the cancellation of any registration could have an adverse effect on its business, financial condition and results of operations. The severity of the effect would depend on which products were involved, whether another product could be substituted and whether its competitors were similarly affected. Spectrum Brands attempts to anticipate regulatory developments and maintain registrations of, and access to, substitute chemicals and other ingredients. Spectrum Brands may not always be able to avoid or minimize these risks.

The Food Quality Protection Act ("FQPA") established a standard for food-use pesticides, which is that a reasonable certainty of no harm will result from the cumulative effect of pesticide exposures. Under the FQPA, the EPA is evaluating the cumulative effects from dietary and non-dietary exposures to pesticides. The pesticides in certain of Spectrum Brands' products continue to be evaluated by the EPA as part of this program. It is possible that the EPA or a third party active ingredient registrant may decide that a pesticide Spectrum Brands uses in its products will be limited or made unavailable to Spectrum Brands. Spectrum Brands cannot predict the outcome or the severity of the effect of the EPA's continuing evaluations of active ingredients used in its products.

Certain of Spectrum Brands' products and packaging materials are subject to regulations administered by the FDA. Among other things, the FDA enforces statutory prohibitions against misbranded and adulterated products, establishes ingredients and manufacturing procedures for certain products, establishes standards of identity for certain products, determines the safety of products and establishes labeling standards and requirements. In addition, various states regulate these products by enforcing federal and state standards of identity for selected products, grading products, inspecting production facilities and imposing their own labeling requirements.

Certain A/C products containing R-134a are subject to regulation in the U.S. markets under the EPA's Significant New Alternative Policy ("SNAP Program"), which implements international agreements restricting the use of certain refrigerants. The EPA has identified use of R-134a in new automotive air conditioning systems as an approved use up to the 2020 automotive model year. The EPA has not yet approved a replacement refrigerant under the SNAP program for sale in small cans for automotive use for automobiles produced beginning with the 2021 model year, and future rulemakings from the agency are anticipated. Spectrum Brands currently believes that compliance with current and future SNAP regulations will not have a material effect on its capital expenditures, financial condition, earnings or competitive position. However, until such time as future regulations are issued and future alternate refrigerants are approved for sale in small cans, a full evaluation of these costs cannot be completed by

Table of Contents

Spectrum Brands. Spectrum Brands will continue to evaluate the impact of the SNAP Program as the EPA issues additional guidance.

Employees

Spectrum Brands had approximately 15,700 full-time employees worldwide as of September 30, 2016. Approximately 14% of its total labor force is covered by collective bargaining agreements. There are 5 collective bargaining agreements that will expire during Spectrum Brands' Fiscal 2017, which cover approximately 38% of the labor force under collective bargaining agreements, or approximately 5% of its total labor force. Spectrum Brands believes that its overall relationship with its employees is good.

Available Information

For information regarding Spectrum Brands, see the remaining section of this report. For additional information regarding Spectrum Brands, including information in addition to that included in HRG's SEC reports and public announcements, we direct you to Spectrum Brands' announcements and filings made with the SEC, including Spectrum Brands' Annual Report on Form 10-K for Fiscal 2016. You should follow and read the SEC filings, press releases and other public statements made by Spectrum Brands and its representatives, as we expect that they will make additional information available through these channels.

Spectrum Brands' Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to reports filed pursuant to Sections 13(a) and 15(d) of the Exchange Act, are made available free of charge on or through Spectrum Brands' website at www.spectrumbrands.com as soon as reasonably practicable after such reports are filed with, or furnished to, the Commission.

The information on Spectrum Brands' website is not, and shall not be deemed to be, part of this report or incorporated into any other filings HRG or Spectrum Brands makes with the SEC and Spectrum Brands' reports are not and shall not be deemed to be part of this report. You may read and copy any materials Spectrum Brands files with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC also maintains a website that contains Spectrum Brands' reports, proxy statements and other information at www.sec.gov.

FGL

The FGL Business

FGL, a Delaware corporation and subsidiary of HRG, is a provider of various types of fixed annuities and life insurance products in the U.S. Based in Des Moines, Iowa, and Baltimore, Maryland, FGL operates its annuity and life insurance operations in the U.S. through its subsidiaries FGH, Fidelity & Guaranty Life Insurance Company ("FGL Insurance") and Fidelity & Guaranty Life Insurance Company of New York ("FGL NY Insurance"). For over 50 years, FGL has been helping middle-income Americans prepare for retirement and unexpected loss of life. FGL's focus on the middle-income market gives it access to significant, underserved market niches and drives FGL's product development. As of September 30, 2016, FGL had approximately 700,000 policyholders counting on the safety and protection features of FGL's fixed annuity and life insurance products.

FGL offers various types of fixed annuities and life insurance products. Fixed annuities represent a retirement and savings tool which FGL's customers rely on for principal protection and predictable income streams. In addition, FGL's life insurance products provide its customers with a complementary product that allows them to build on their savings and assign payment of a death benefit to a designated beneficiary upon the policyholder's death. Currently, FGL's most popular products are fixed indexed annuities ("FIAs") that tie contractual returns to specific market indices, such as the Standard & Poor's Ratings Services ("S&P") 500 Index. The benefit of FIAs to FGL's customers is to provide a portion of the gains of an underlying market index while providing principal protection. FGL believes this mix of "some upside but limited downside" fills the need for middle-income Americans who must save for retirement but who want to limit the risk of decline in their savings. In addition to FIAs, FGL also sells indexed universal life policies ("IULs") and other fixed annuities.

In Fiscal 2016, FIAs generated approximately 71% of FGL's total sales and the remaining 29% of sales was primarily generated from fixed annuity sales during the year. FGL invests the annuity premiums in fixed income securities and options and hedge FGL's risk, predominantly using call options on the S&P 500 Index, for the pass through of the

market index returns to its policyholders. The majority of FGL's products contain provisions that permit FGL to annually adjust the formula by which index credits are provided in response to changing market conditions. In addition, FGL's annuity contracts generally either cannot be surrendered or include surrender charges that discourage early redemptions.

The FGL Merger

On November 8, 2015, FGL, Anbang, AB Infinity and AB Merger Sub entered into the FGL Merger Agreement, which was amended on November 3, 2016. Pursuant to the FGL Merger Agreement and subject to the terms and conditions set forth therein, at closing Merger Sub will merge with and into FGL, with FGL continuing as the surviving entity, which will become a direct, wholly-owned subsidiary of AB Infinity and an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of Anbang.

Pursuant to the terms of the FGL Merger Agreement, the parties have set February 8, 2017 as the outside termination date for the completion of the FGL Merger. Accordingly, unless the outside termination date is extended, either party may terminate the FGL Merger Agreement if the closing of the FGL Merger does not occur prior to February 8, 2017. In addition, the FGL Merger Agreement contains certain provisions giving each of AB Infinity and FGL rights to terminate the FGL Merger Agreement under certain circumstances. Upon termination of the FGL Merger Agreement, under specified circumstances, FGL may be required to pay a termination fee to AB Infinity of \$51.45 million. FGL and Anbang are committed to securing the remaining regulatory approvals and seek to close the FGL Merger as expeditiously as possible, however, the closing of the FGL Merger and the timing thereof is subject to the regulatory review and approval process, none of which can be assured.

At the closing of the FGL Merger, each issued and outstanding share of FGL common stock will be cancelled and converted automatically into the right to receive \$26.80 in cash, without interest (the "FGL Merger Consideration"), other than any shares of common stock owned by FGL as treasury stock or otherwise or owned by Anbang, AB Infinity or Merger Sub (which will be cancelled and no payment will be made with respect thereto), shares of common stock granted pursuant to FGL's Equity Plan (as defined in the FGL Merger Agreement) and those shares of common stock with respect to which appraisal rights under Delaware law are properly exercised and not withdrawn. The FGL Merger Agreement permits FGL to pay out a regular quarterly cash dividend on its Common Stock prior to the closing of the transaction in an amount not in excess of \$0.065 per share, per quarter (the per share amount of FGL's most recently declared quarterly dividend).

At the effective time of the FGL Merger, each (i) option to purchase shares of common stock (a "FGL Stock Option"), and (ii) restricted share of common stock, in each case whether vested or unvested, will become fully vested and automatically converted into the right to receive a cash payment equal to the product of (1) the number of shares subject to the award multiplied by (2) the FGL Merger Consideration (less the exercise price per share in the case of FGL Stock Options). In addition, at the effective time of the FGL Merger, each stock option ("FGH Stock Option") and restricted stock unit relating to shares of FGH whether vested or unvested, will become fully vested and automatically converted into the right to receive a cash payment equal to the product of (A) the number of shares of FGH stock subject to the award multiplied by (B) \$152.44 (less the exercise price in the case of such FGH Stock Options), and each dividend equivalent held in respect of a share of FGH stock ("FGL DER"), whether vested or unvested, will become fully vested and automatically converted into the right to receive a cash payment equal to the amount accrued with respect to such FGL DER.

Pursuant to the FGL Merger Agreement, the consummation of the FGL Merger is subject to satisfaction or waiver of certain closing conditions, including, among others: (i) receipt of approval (by vote or written consent) of at least a majority of FGL's shareholders; (ii) an information statement with respect to the FGL Merger having been filed and cleared by the SEC and having been sent to stockholders of FGL (in accordance with Regulation 14C under the Exchange Act) at least twenty (20) days prior to the closing; (iii) obtaining the requisite approvals from the IID, New York State Department of Financial Services ("NYDFS"), Vermont Department of Financial Regulation, China Insurance Regulatory Commission and the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States and (iv) the absence of any law or order enacted, issued or enforced that is in effect and that makes the consummation of the FGL Merger illegal, prevents, prohibits, restrains or enjoins the consummation of the FGL Merger. The FGL Merger Agreement does not contain any financing condition or contingency.

On November 8, 2015, FS Holdco II Ltd. ("FS Holdco"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company holding a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of FGL's common stock, delivered to FGL a written consent, adopting, authorizing, accepting and approving in all respects the FGL Merger Agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby, including the FGL Merger. On March 29, 2016, FGL filed a definitive information statement with the SEC. On November 25, 2015, FGL obtained the requisite approval for the FGL Merger from the Vermont Department of Financial Regulation. On March 14, 2016, FGL received notification from CFIUS that it had concluded all action under Section 721 of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and determined that there are no unresolved national security concerns with respect to the FGL Merger. The parties are not required to file a notification of the FGL Merger under the Hart-Scott Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended, due to an available exemption.

The FGL Merger Agreement includes customary representations, warranties and covenants of FGL, Anbang, AB Infinity and Merger Sub. Among other things, FGL and its subsidiaries are required to conduct their respective businesses and operations in the ordinary course of business until the FGL Merger is consummated. Pursuant to the FGL Merger Agreement, Anbang has agreed to cause the full and complete performance by AB Infinity of all of its obligations pursuant to the terms of the FGL Merger Agreement and in the event AB Infinity does not fulfill all of its obligations pursuant to the terms of the FGL Merger Agreement, Anbang will unconditionally and irrevocably perform such unperformed obligations of AB Infinity pursuant to the terms of the FGL Merger Agreement. The foregoing description of the FGL Merger Agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby does not purport to be complete. Interested parties should review the FGL Merger Agreement any other information or statement filed or furnished by FGL with the SEC. See Part I, Item 1. "Business-FGL-FGL Available Information."

Strategy

FGL seeks to grow its business by pursuing a set of strategies aimed at delivering sustainable and profitable growth. These strategies include: (i) taking action to protect sales in FGL's existing markets, strengthen its business and providing a platform for sustainable growth, (ii) building off FGL's foundational initiatives and creating a more engaging, customer-focused experience, (iii) leveraging FGL's product capabilities for additional distribution and (iv) focusing on initiatives that FGL expects will deliver target profits.

Competition

FGL's ability to compete is dependent upon many factors which include, among other things, its ability to develop competitive and profitable products, its ability to maintain stable relationships with its contracted IMOs, its ability to maintain low unit costs and its maintenance of adequate financial strength ratings from rating agencies. Principal competitive factors for FIAs are initial crediting rates, reputation for renewal crediting action, product features, brand recognition, customer service, cost, distribution capabilities and financial strength ratings of the provider. Competition may affect, among other matters, both business growth and the pricing of FGL's products and services. Principal competitive factors for IULs are based on service and distribution channel relationships, price, brand recognition, financial strength ratings of its insurance subsidiaries and financial stability. See Part I, Item 1A. "Risk Factors-Risks Related to FGL's and Front Street's Businesses- FGL and Front Street operate in highly competitive industries, which could limit their abilities to gain or maintain their respective positions in the industries and could materially adversely affect their business, financial condition and results of operations."

Products

FGL's experience designing and developing annuities and life insurance products is expected to allow FGL to continue to introduce innovative products and solutions designed to meet customers' changing needs. FGL works hand-in-hand with its distributors to devise the most suitable product solutions for the ever-changing market. FGL believes that, on a practical basis, FGL has a unique understanding of the safety, accumulation, protection, and income needs of middle-income Americans.

Annuity Products

Through FGL's insurance subsidiaries, FGL issues a broad portfolio of deferred annuities (fixed indexed and fixed rate annuities) and immediate annuities. A deferred annuity is a type of contract that accumulates value on a tax deferred basis and typically begins making specified periodic or lump sum payments a certain number of years after the contract has been issued. An immediate annuity is a type of contract that begins making specified payments within one annuity period (e.g., one month or one year) and typically pays principal and earnings in equal payments over some period of time.

Deferred Annuities

FIAs

FGL's FIAs allow contract owners the possibility of earning interest based on the performance of a specified market index, predominantly the S&P 500 Index, without risk to principal. The contracts include a provision for a minimum guaranteed surrender value calculated in accordance with applicable law. A market index tracks the performance of a specific group of stocks representing a particular segment of the market, or in some cases an entire market. For example, the S&P 500 Composite Stock Price Index is an index of 500 stocks intended to be representative of a broad segment of the market. All FIA products allow policyholders to allocate funds once a year among several different crediting strategies, including one or more index-based strategies and a traditional fixed rate strategy. High surrender charges apply for early withdrawal, typically seven to fourteen years after purchase.

The value to the contractholder of an FIA contract is equal to the sum of deposits paid, premium bonuses (described below), index credits, up to a cap and a participation rate based on the annual appreciation (based in certain situations on annual point-to-point, monthly point-to-point or monthly average calculations) in a recognized market index less any fees for riders. Caps generally range from 3.0% to 6.0% when measured annually and 1.0% to 3.0% when measured monthly and participation rates generally range from 30.0% to 100.0% of the performance of the applicable market index. The cap can be reset annually. Certain riders allow for a contractholder to increase their cap for a set fee. As this fee is fixed, the contractholder may lose principal if the index credits received do not exceed the amount of such fee.

Approximately 88.5% of the FIA sales for Fiscal 2016 involved "premium bonuses" or vesting bonuses. For premium bonuses, FGL increased the initial annuity deposit by a specified premium bonus of 2.0% to 3.0% and a vesting bonus of 1.0% to 9.0%. The vesting bonuses are earned over time, which increases the account value when the bonus is settled. FGL made compensating adjustments in the commission paid to the agent or the surrender charges on the policy to offset the premium bonus.

As of September 30, 2016, 82.0% of FGL's FIA contracts were issued with a guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefit ("GMWB") rider. With this rider, a contract owner can elect to receive guaranteed payments for life from the FIA contract without requiring the owner to annuitize the FIA contract value. The amount of the living income benefit available is determined by the growth in the policy's benefit base value as defined in the FIA contract rider. Typically this accumulates for 10 years based on a guaranteed rate of 3.3% to 7.3%. Guaranteed withdrawal payments may be stopped and restarted at the election of the contract owner. Some

of the FIA contract riders that FGL offers include an additional death benefit or an increase in benefit amounts under chronic health conditions. Rider fees range from 0.4% to 0.5%.

As of September 30, 2016, the distribution of the FIA account values by cap rate and by strategy was as follows (dollars in millions):

Cap rate	0% to 3%	3% to 5%	> 5%	Total
1 year gain trigger	\$294.0	\$262.2	\$32.8	\$589.0
1-2 year monthly average	412.9	807.9	193.9	1,414.7
1-3 year monthly point-to-point	3,911.1	159.5	_	4,070.6
1-3 year annual point-to-point	1,089.9	1,818.4	352.5	3,260.8
3 year step forward	_	24.6	126.6	151.2
Total	\$5,707.9	\$3,072.6	\$705.8	\$9,486.3

Fixed Rate Annuities

Fixed rate annuities include annual reset and multi-year rate guaranteed policies. Fixed rate annual reset annuities issued by FGL have an annual interest rate (the "crediting rate") that is guaranteed for the first policy year. After the first policy year, FGL has the discretionary ability to change the crediting rate once annually to any rate at or above a guaranteed minimum rate. Fixed rate multi-year guaranteed annuities ("MYGAs") are similar to fixed rate annual reset annuities except that the initial crediting rate is guaranteed for a specified number of years before it may be changed at its discretion. For Fiscal 2016, FGL sold \$93.9 million in fixed rate annual reset annuities and \$536.0 million of fixed rate MYGAs. As of September 30, 2016, crediting rates on outstanding (i) single-year guaranteed annuities generally ranged from 1.5% to 6.0% and (ii) MYGAs ranged from 1.0% to 5.7%. The average crediting rate on all outstanding fixed rate annuities at September 30, 2016, was 3.3%.

As of September 30, 2016, the distribution of the fixed rate annuity account values by crediting rate was as follows (dollars in millions):

As of September 30, 2016, the MYGAs expiring guaranty account values, net of reinsurance by year were as follows:

Multi-Year Rate Guaranteed Annuities Account Duration by Year: Value \$ 644.2 2017 269.6 2018 2019 769.2 2020 201.7 2021 569.7

Thereafter

Total

Withdrawal Options for Deferred Annuities

81.2

\$ 2,535.6

After the first year following the issuance of a deferred annuity policy, holders of deferred annuities are typically permitted penalty-free withdrawals up to 10% of the prior year's value, subject to certain limitations. Withdrawals in excess of allowable penalty-free amounts are assessed a surrender charge if such withdrawals are made during the penalty period of the deferred annuity policy. The penalty period typically ranges from seven to fourteen years for FIAs and three to ten years for fixed rate annuities. This surrender charge initially ranges from 0.0% to 14.8% of the contract value for FIAs and 0.0% to 13.0% of the contract value for fixed rate annuities and generally decreases by approximately one to two percentage points per year during the penalty period. The average surrender charge is 8.2%

for FGL's FIAs and 5.6% for FGL's fixed rate annuities as of September 30, 2016.

The following table summarizes FGL's deferred annuity account values and surrender charge protection as of September 30, 2016 (dollars in millions):

Fixed and Fixed-Index RRENDER CHARGE EXPIRATION BY YEAR: Annuities Account Value				Weighted Average Surrender Charge	
Out of surrender charge	\$ 2,393.8	15.7	%		%
2016	202.6	1.3	%	3.3	%
2017 - 2018	2,441.0	16.0	%	5.0	%
2019 - 2020	1,769.4	11.6	%	7.2	%
2021 - 2022	1,963.8	12.9	%	8.5	%
Thereafter	6,479.1	42.5	%	10.6	%
	\$ 15,249.7	100.0	%		

The policyholder may elect to take the proceeds of the surrender either in a single payment or in a series of payments over the life of the policyholder or for a fixed number of years (or a combination of these payment options). In addition to the foregoing withdrawal rights, policyholders may also elect to have additional withdrawal rights by purchasing a GMWB. These riders provide a GMWB, regardless of index performance, for the life of the contract. However, the benefit may vary based on performance.

Immediate Annuities

FGL also sells single premium immediate annuities (or "SPIAs"), which provide a series of periodic payments for a fixed period of time or for the life of the policyholder, according to the policyholder's choice at the time of issue. The amounts, frequency and length of time of the payments are fixed at the outset of the annuity contract. SPIAs are often purchased by persons at or near retirement age who desire a steady stream of payments over a future period of years. The following table presents the deposits (also known as "sales") on annuity policies issued by FGL for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2016, 2015, and 2014 as well as reserves required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP Reserves") as of September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014 (dollars in millions):

	September 30, 2016 September 30, 2015			September 30, 2014			
	Deposits U.S.		Deposits U.S.		Deposits U.S.		
Products	on ·	GAAP	on	GAAP	on	GAAP	
	Annuity	Reserves	Annuity	Reserves	Annuity	Reserves	
	Policies	110501 105	Policies	110501 105	Policies	Treser ves	
Fixed Indexed Annuities	\$1,860.5	\$13,148.3	\$2,184.7	\$12,093.5	\$1,451.4	\$10,766.6	
Fixed Rate Annuities	539.1	3,565.6	210.5	3,248.7	707.9	3,192.3	
Single Premium Immediate Annuities	28.4	2,917.4	15.5	2,956.4	9.7	3,201.6	
Total	\$2,428.0	\$19,631.3	\$2,410.7	\$18,298.6	\$2,169.0	\$17,160.5	

Life Insurance

FGL currently offers IUL insurance policies and has previously sold term and whole life insurance products. Holders of universal life insurance policies earn returns on their policies which are credited to the policyholder's cash value account. The insurer periodically deducts its expenses and the cost of life insurance protection from the cash value account. The balance of the cash value account is credited interest at a fixed rate or returns based on the performance of a market index, or both, at the option of the policyholder, using a method similar to that described above for FIAs. Almost all of the life insurance policies in force, except for the return of premium benefits on term life insurance products, are subject to an arrangement with Wilton Reassurance Company ("Wilton Re").

As of September 30, 2016, the distribution of the retained IUL account values by cap rate and by strategy was as follows (dollars in millions):

Convota	2.5%	5% -	7.5%	10% -	12.5%	Total
Cap rate	- 5%	7.5%	- 10%	12.5%	+	Total
1 year annual point-to-point, Gold Index	\$—	\$ <i>—</i>	\$	\$ —	\$ 23.3	\$23.3

1 year monthly point-to-point, S&P Index	32.2			_	_	32.2
1 year annual point-to-point with 100% par rate, S&P Index	12.9	6.4	25.5	104.0	71.5	220.3
1 year annual point-to-point with 140% par rate, S&P Index	2.5	3.2	15.0	_	_	20.7
Total	\$47.6	\$ 9.6	\$40.5	\$104.0	\$ 94.8	\$296.5
19						

Distribution

The sale of FGL's products typically occurs as part of a four-party, three stage sales process between FGL Insurance, an IMO, the agent and the customer. FGL Insurance designs, manufactures, issues, and services the product. The IMOs will usually sign contracts with multiple insurance carriers to provide their agents with a broad and competitive product portfolio. The IMO will discuss product options over the phone with agents about to meet with clients. The IMO staff will also provide assistance to the agent during the selling and application process. The agent may get customer leads from the IMOs. The agent will conduct a fact find and present suitable product choices to the customers. FGL monitors each distribution partner for pricing metrics, mortality, and persistency, as well as market conduct and suitability.

Within this business model, FGL offers its products through a network of approximately 200 IMOs, representing approximately 35,000 agents, and identifies its most important IMOs, those who FGL believes either have shown or have the potential to show the ability to generate significant production for FGL, as "Power Partners." FGL currently has 32 Power Partners, comprised of 21 annuity IMOs and 11 life insurance IMOs. During Fiscal 2016, these Power Partners accounted for approximately 95.0% of its annual sales volume. FGL believes that its relationships with these IMOs are strong. The average tenure of the top ten Power Partners is approximately 14 years.

FGL's Power Partners play an important role in the development of its products. Over the last ten years, the majority of FGL's best-selling products have been developed with its Power Partners. FGL intends to continue to have the Power Partners play an important role in the development of its products in the future, which FGL believes it provides it with integral feedback throughout the development process and assists FGL with competing for "shelf space" of new design launches.

The top five states for the distribution of FGL Insurance products in 2016 were California, Texas, Florida, New Jersey and Michigan, which together accounted for nearly 44.8% of FGL Insurance's premiums.

Investments

FGL embraces a long-term conservative investment philosophy, investing nearly all the insurance premiums FGL receives in a wide range of fixed income interest-bearing securities.

FGL's internal asset management team manages the bulk of the investment portfolio, and with respect to certain asset classes, FGL utilizes experienced third party companies, including FGL's affiliates. As of September 30, 2016, 71.2% of FGL's \$19.3 billion fixed maturity investment portfolio was managed by its employees, with the 28.8% balance managed by third parties. FGL's investment strategy is designed to (i) achieve strong absolute returns; (ii) provide consistent yield and investment income; and (iii) preserve capital.

In addition to active management of assets, FGL's Investments department is also responsible for defining portfolio strategy, managing its asset/liability profile and hedging its product guarantees.

The types of assets in which FGL may invest are influenced by various state laws, which prescribe qualified investment assets applicable to insurance companies. Additionally, FGL defines risk tolerance across a wide range of factors, including credit risk, liquidity risk, concentration (issuer and sector) risk, and caps on specific asset classes, which in turn establish conservative risk thresholds.

FGL's investment portfolio consists of high quality fixed maturities, including publicly issued and privately issued corporate bonds, municipal and other government bonds, asset-backed securities ("ABS"), residential mortgage-backed securities ("RMBS") and commercial mortgage-backed securities ("CMBS") and commercial mortgage loans ("CMLs"). FGL also maintains holdings in floating rate, and less rate-sensitive investments, including senior tranches of collateralized loan obligations ("CLOs"), non-agency RMBS, and various types of ABS. It is FGL's expectation that its investment portfolio will broaden in scope and diversity to include other asset classes held by life and annuity insurance writers. FGL also has a small amount of equity holdings through its funding arrangement with the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta.

Portfolio Activity

Over the last year, FGL continued to work with its internal asset management team and third party asset managers to broaden the portfolio's exposure to include United States dollar ("USD") denominated emerging market bonds, highly rated preferred stocks and hybrids and structured securities including ABS.

Derivatives

FGL's FIA contracts permit the holder to elect to receive a return based on an interest rate or the performance of a market index, most typically based on the S&P 500 Index. FGL purchases derivatives consisting predominantly of call options and, to a lesser degree, futures contracts on the equity indices underlying the applicable policy. These derivatives are used to fund the index credits due to policyholders under the FIA contracts based upon policyholders' contract elections. The majority of all such call options are one-year options purchased to match the funding requirements underlying the FIA contracts. On the respective anniversary dates of the applicable FIA contracts, the market index used to compute the annual index credit under the applicable FIA contract is reset. At such time, FGL purchases new one-, two-, three-, or five-year call options to fund the next index credit. FGL attempts to manage the cost of these purchases through the terms of its FIA contracts, which permit FGL to change caps or participation rates, subject to certain guaranteed minimums that must be maintained. The change in the fair value of the call

Table of Contents

options and futures contracts is generally designed to offset the equity market related change in the fair value of the FIA contract's related reserve liability. The call options and futures contracts are marked to fair value with the change in fair value included as a component of Net investment gains (losses). The change in fair value of the call options and futures contracts includes the gains and losses recognized at the expiration of the instruments' terms or upon early termination and the changes in fair value of open positions.

Outsourcing

FGL outsources the following functions to third-party service providers:

new business administration;

service of existing policies;

underwriting administration of life insurance applications;

call centers;

information technology development and maintenance;

investment accounting and custody; and

hosting of financial systems.

FGL closely manages its outsourcing partners and integrates their services into its operations. FGL believes that outsourcing such functions allows it to focus capital and FGL employees on its core business operations and perform differentiating functions, such as investment, actuarial, product development and risk management functions. In addition, FGL believes an outsourcing model provides predictable pricing, service levels and volume capabilities and allows it to benefit from technological developments that enhance its customer self-service and sales processes. FGL outsources its new business and existing policy administration for annuity and life products to Transaction Applications Group, Inc. Under this arrangement, Transaction Applications Group, Inc. manages all of FGL's call center and processing requirements. FGL's current agreement expires in March 31, 2017.

FGL has partnered with CRL-Plus ("CRL-Plus") to implement FGL's life insurance underwriting policies. Under the terms of the arrangement, CRL-Plus has assigned FGL a team of underwriters with Fellow Life Management Institute designations. Underwriting guidelines for each product are established by FGL's Chief Underwriter in collaboration with FGL's actuarial department. FGL's Chief Underwriter and actuarial department work closely with the applicable reinsurance company to establish or change guidelines. Adherence to underwriting guidelines is managed at a case level through daily underwriting audits conducted by FGL's Chief Underwriter as well as the CRL-Plus lead underwriter. Every three years, underwriting audits are conducted by FGL's reinsurers. FGL's current agreement with CRL-Plus expires in December 2016.

FGL believes that it has a good relationship with its principal outsource service providers.

FGL's access to funding and its related cost of borrowing, the attractiveness of certain of its products to customers and requirements for derivatives collateral posting are affected by FGL's credit ratings and insurance financial strength ratings, which are periodically reviewed by the rating agencies. Financial strength ratings and credit ratings are important factors affecting public confidence in an insurer and its competitive position in marketing products. As of September 30, 2016, A.M. Best Company ("A.M. Best"), Fitch Ratings ("Fitch"), Moody's Investors Service ("Moody's") and S&P Global Ratings ("S&P") issued financial strength credit and/or ratings and outlook statements regarding FGH and its wholly owned insurance subsidiaries, FGL Insurance and FGL NY Insurance. Credit ratings represent the opinions of rating agencies regarding an entity's ability to repay its indebtedness, Financial strength ratings represent the opinions of rating agencies regarding the ability of an insurance company to meet its financial obligations under an insurance policy and generally involve quantitative and qualitative evaluations by rating agencies of a company's financial condition and operating performance. Generally, rating agencies base their financial strength ratings upon information furnished to them by the insurer and upon their own investigations, studies and assumptions. Financial strength ratings are based upon factors of concern to policyholders, agents and intermediaries and are not directed toward the protection of investors. Credit and financial strength ratings are not recommendations to buy, sell or hold securities and they may be revised or revoked at any time at the sole discretion of the rating organization. Following the announcement of the proposed FGL Merger, the rating organizations have undertaken a review of FGL's debt ratings and FGL's insurance company subsidiaries' financial strength ratings. The rating organizations may take

various actions, positive or negative. Such actions are beyond FGL's control and FGL cannot predict what those actions may be and the timing thereof.

In addition to the financial strength ratings, rating agencies use an "outlook statement" to indicate a medium or long term trend which, if continued, may lead to a rating change. A positive outlook indicates a rating may be raised and a negative outlook indicates a rating may be lowered. A stable outlook is assigned when ratings are not likely to be changed. A developing outlook is assigned when a rating may be raised, lowered, or affirmed. Outlooks should not be confused with expected stability of the

issuer's financial or economic performance. A rating may have a "stable" outlook to indicate that the rating is not expected to change, but a "stable" outlook does not preclude a rating agency from changing a rating at any time without notice.

A.M. Best, Fitch, Moody's and S&P review their ratings of insurance companies from time to time. There can be no assurance that any particular rating will continue for any given period of time or that it will not be changed or withdrawn entirely if, in their judgment, circumstances so warrant. While the degree to which ratings adjustments will affect sales and persistency is unknown, FGL believes if its ratings were to be negatively adjusted for any reason, FGL could experience a material decline in the sales of its products and the persistency of its existing business. See Part I, Item 1A. "Risk Factors-Risks Related to FGL's and Front Street's Businesses."

Potential Impact of a Ratings Downgrade

Under some of its International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc. ("ISDA") agreements, FGL has agreed to maintain certain financial strength ratings. A downgrade below these levels provides the counterparty under the agreement the right to terminate the open derivative contracts between the parties, at which time any amounts payable by FGL or the counterparty would be dependent on the market value of the underlying derivative contracts. FGL's current rating allows multiple counterparties the right to terminate the ISDA agreement, at which time the counterparty would unwind existing positions for fair market value. No ISDA agreements have been terminated, although the counterparties have reserved the right to terminate ISDA agreements at any time. As of September 30, 2016, the amount at risk for the ISDA agreement which could be terminated based upon its current ratings was \$275.2 million, which equals the fair value to FGL of the open over-the-counter call option positions. The fair value of the call options can never decrease below zero. See Part II, Item 7A. "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk-Credit Risk and Counterparty Risk-FGL."

In certain transactions, FGL and its counterparty have entered into a collateral support agreement requiring either party to post collateral when the net exposures exceed predetermined thresholds. These thresholds vary by counterparty and credit rating. As of September 30, 2016 and 2015, \$127.8 million and \$7.0 million, respectively, of collateral was posted by FGL's counterparties. Accordingly, the maximum amount of loss due to credit risk that FGL would incur if parties to the call options failed completely to perform according to the terms of the contracts was \$147.4 million and \$73.7 million at September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

If FGL's insurance subsidiaries held net short positions against a counterparty, and such subsidiaries' financial strength ratings were below the levels required in ISDA agreement with the counterparty, the counterparty would demand immediate further collateralization which could negatively impact overall liquidity. Based on the market value of FGL's derivatives as of September 30, 2016 and 2015, FGL holds no net short positions against a counterparty; therefore, there is currently no potential exposure for FGL to post collateral.

A downgrade of the financial strength rating of one of FGL's principal insurance subsidiaries could affect its competitive position in the insurance industry and make it more difficult for FGL to market its products, as potential customers may select companies with higher financial strength ratings.

Risk Management

Risk management is a critical part of FGL's business. FGL seeks to assess risk to its business through a formalized process involving (i) identifying short-term and long-term strategic and operational objectives, (ii) development of risk appetite statements that establish what the company is willing to accept in terms of risks to achieving its goals and objectives, (iii) identifying the levers that control the risk appetite of the company, (iv) establishing the overall limits of risk acceptable for a given risk driver, (v) establishing operational risk limits that are aligned with the tolerances, (vi) assigning risk limit quantification and mitigation responsibilities to individual team members within functional groups, (vii) analyzing the potential qualitative and quantitative impact of individual risks, including but not limited to stress and scenario testing covering over 8 economic and insurance related risks, (viii) mitigating risks by appropriate actions and (ix) identifying, documenting and communicating key business risks in a timely fashion.

The responsibility for monitoring, evaluating and responding to risk is assigned first to FGL's management and employees, second to those occupying specialist functions, such as legal compliance and risk teams, and third to those occupying supervisory functions, such as internal audit and the board of directors.

Reinsurance

FGL both cedes reinsurance and assumes reinsurance from other insurance companies. FGL uses reinsurance both to diversify risks and manage loss exposures. For instance, FGL has sought reinsurance coverage in order to limit its exposure to mortality losses and enhance FGL's capital position. The portion of risks exceeding FGL's retention limit is reinsured with other insurers. The use of reinsurance permits FGL to write policies in excess of amounts FGL would typically seek to retain, and also to write a larger volume of new business.

In instances where FGL is the ceding company, FGL pays a premium to a reinsurer in exchange for the reinsurer assuming a portion of FGL's liabilities under the policies it issued. Use of reinsurance does not discharge FGL's liability as the ceding company because FGL remains directly liable to its policyholders and is required to pay the full amount of FGL's policy obligations

in the event that FGL's reinsurers fail to satisfy their obligations. FGL collects reimbursement from its reinsurers when FGL pays claims on policies that are reinsured. In instances where FGL assumes reinsurance from another insurance company, FGL accepts, in exchange for a reinsurance premium, a portion of the liabilities of the other insurance company under the policies that the ceding company has issued to its policyholders.

FGL monitors the credit risk related to the ability of its reinsurers to honor their obligations under various agreements. To minimize the risk of credit loss on such contracts, FGL generally diversifies its exposures among many reinsurers and limits the amount of exposure to each based on financial strength ratings.

See Part I, Item 1A. "Risk Factors- Risks Related to FGL's and Front Street's Businesses" for further discussion of reinsurance credit risk.

Wilton Re Transaction

On January 26, 2011, FGL entered into an agreement (the "Commitment Agreement") with Wilton Re U.S. Holdings, Inc. ("Wilton"), pursuant to which Wilton agreed to cause Wilton Re, its wholly owned subsidiary, to enter into certain coinsurance arrangements with FGL Insurance following the closing of the FGH Acquisition. Pursuant to the Commitment Agreement, Wilton Re has reinsured a 100% quota share of certain of FGL Insurance's policies that are subject to redundant reserves under Regulation XXX and Guideline AXXX, as well as another block of FGL Insurance's in-force traditional, and IUL insurance policies.

Wilton Re's reinsurance of such FGL Insurance policies has not extinguished FGL Insurance's liability with respect to such business because FGL Insurance remains directly liable to policyholders and is required to pay the full amount of its policy obligations in the event that Wilton Re fails to satisfy its obligations with respect to the reinsured business. The Front Street Reinsurance Transactions

On December 31, 2012, following regulatory approval, FGL Insurance entered into a coinsurance agreement (the "Cayman Reinsurance Agreement") with Front Street Cayman. Pursuant to the Cayman Reinsurance Agreement, Front Street Cayman reinsured a 10% quota share percentage of certain FGL Insurance annuity liabilities of approximately \$1.5 billion Under the terms of the Cayman Reinsurance Agreement, Front Street Cayman paid an initial ceding allowance of \$15.0 million which was determined to be fair and reasonable according to an independent third-party actuarial firm. The Cayman Reinsurance Agreement is on a funds withheld basis, meaning that funds are withheld by FGL Insurance from the coinsurance premium owed to Front Street Cayman as collateral for Front Street Cayman's payment obligations. Accordingly, the collateral assets remain under the ultimate ownership of FGL Insurance. As of September 30, 2016, ceded reserves were \$1.2 billion.

Effective September 17, 2014, FGL Insurance entered into a second reinsurance treaty with Front Street Cayman whereby FGL Insurance ceded 30% of any new business of its MYGA block of business on a funds withheld basis. This treaty was subsequently terminated as to new business effective April 30, 2015 but will remain in effect for policies ceded to Front Street Cayman with an effective date between September 17, 2014 and April 30, 2015. Accordingly, policies issued with an effective date of May 1, 2015 and later will not be ceded to Front Street Cayman. Reserve Facilities

Life insurance companies operating in the United States must calculate required reserves for life and annuity policies based on statutory principles. These methodologies are governed by "Regulation XXX" (applicable to term life insurance policies), "Guideline AXXX" (applicable to universal life insurance policies with secondary guarantees) and the Commissioners Annuity Reserve Valuation Method, known as "CARVM" (applicable to annuities). Under Regulation XXX, Guideline AXXX and CARVM, insurers are required to establish statutory reserves for such policies that exceed economic reserves. The industry has reduced or eliminated redundancies thereby increasing capital using a variety of techniques including reserve facilities.

The CARVM Facility

On October 5, 2012, FGL Insurance entered into a yearly renewable term indemnity reinsurance agreement with Raven Reinsurance Company ("Raven Re"), a wholly owned subsidiary of FGL Insurance (the "Raven Reinsurance Agreement"), pursuant to which FGL Insurance ceded a 100% quota share of its CARVM liability for annuity benefits where surrender charges are waived. To collateralize its obligations under the Raven Reinsurance Agreement, Raven Re entered into a reimbursement agreement with Nomura Bank International plc ("NBI"), an affiliate of Nomura Securities International, Inc., and FGL (the "Reimbursement Agreement") whereby a subsidiary of NBI issued trust notes and NBI issued a \$295.0 million letter of credit that, in each case, were deposited into a reinsurance trust as collateral for Raven Re's obligations under the Raven Reinsurance Agreement (the "NBI Facility"). Pursuant to the NBI Facility, FGL Insurance takes full credit on its statutory financial statements for the CARVM reserve ceded to Raven Re. The letter of credit facility automatically reduces each calendar quarter by \$6.3 million. As of September 30, 2016, there was \$201.0 million available under the letter of credit facility. The NBI Facility will terminate on September 30, 2017, although the facility may terminate earlier, in accordance with the terms of the Reimbursement Agreement. Under the terms of the Reimbursement Agreement, in the event the letter of credit is drawn upon, Raven Re is required to repay the amounts utilized, and FGH is obligated to repay the amounts utilized if Rayen Re fails to make the required reimbursement. FGH also is required to make capital contributions to Raven Re in the event that Raven Re's statutory capital and surplus falls below certain defined levels. As of December 31, 2015, Raven Re's statutory capital and surplus was \$28.3 million in excess of the minimum level required under the Reimbursement Agreement. See Part II, Item 7A. "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk-Credit Risk and Counterparty Risk-FGL."

Regulation

Overview

FGL Insurance, FGL NY Insurance and Raven Re are subject to comprehensive regulation and supervision in their domiciles, Iowa, New York and Vermont, respectively, and in each state in which they do business. FGL Insurance does business throughout the United States, except for New York, FGL NY Insurance only does business in New York, Raven Re is a special purpose captive reinsurance company that only provides reinsurance to FGL Insurance under the Raven Reinsurance Agreement ("CARVM Treaty"). Following its re-domestication to Iowa, FGL Insurance's principal insurance regulatory authority is the IID. State insurance departments throughout the United States also monitor FGL Insurance's insurance operations as a licensed insurer. The NYDFS regulates the operations of FGL NY Insurance, which is domiciled and licensed in New York. The purpose of these regulations is primarily to protect policyholders and beneficiaries and not general creditors and shareholders of those insurers. Many of the laws and regulations to which FGL Insurance and FGL NY Insurance are subject are regularly re-examined and existing or future laws and regulations may become more restrictive or otherwise adversely affect their operations. Generally, insurance products underwritten by and rates used by FGL Insurance and FGL NY Insurance must be approved by the insurance regulators in each state in which they are sold. Those products are also substantially affected by federal and state tax laws. For example, changes in tax law could reduce or eliminate the tax-deferred accumulation of earnings on the deposits paid by the holders of annuities and life insurance products, which could make such products less attractive to potential purchasers. A shift away from life insurance and annuity products could reduce FGL Insurance's and FGL NY Insurance's income from the sale of such products, as well as the assets upon which FGL Insurance and FGL NY Insurance earn investment income. In addition, insurance products may also be subject to the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA").

State insurance authorities have broad administrative powers over FGL Insurance and FGL NY Insurance with respect to all aspects of the insurance business including:

dicensing to transact business;

dicensing agents:

prescribing which assets and liabilities are to be considered in determining statutory surplus;

regulating premium rates for certain insurance products;

approving policy forms and certain related materials;

•

determining whether a reasonable basis exists as to the suitability of the annuity purchase recommendations producers make;

regulating unfair trade and claims practices;

establishing reserve requirements and solvency standards;

regulating the amount of dividends that may be paid in any year;

regulating the availability of reinsurance or other substitute financing solutions, the terms thereof and the ability of an insurer to take credit on its financial statements for insurance ceded to reinsurers or other substitute financing solutions;

•fixing maximum interest rates on life insurance policy loans and minimum accumulation or surrender values; and regulating the type, amounts, and valuations of investments permitted, transactions with affiliates, and other matters.

Financial Regulation

State insurance laws and regulations require FGL Insurance, FGL NY Insurance and Raven Re to file reports, including financial statements, with state insurance departments in each state in which they do business, and their operations and accounts are subject to examination by those departments at any time. FGL Insurance, FGL NY Insurance and Raven Re prepare statutory financial statements in accordance with accounting practices and procedures prescribed or permitted by these departments.

The National Association of Insurance Commissioners ("NAIC") has approved a series of statutory accounting principles and various model regulations that have been adopted, in some cases with certain modifications, by all state insurance departments. These statutory principles are subject to ongoing change and modification. For instance, the NAIC adopted, effective with the annual reporting period ending December 31, 2010, revisions to the Annual Financial Reporting Model Regulation (or the Model Audit Rule) related to auditor independence, corporate governance and internal control over financial reporting. These revisions require that insurance companies, such as FGL Insurance and FGL NY Insurance, file reports with state insurance departments regarding their assessments of internal control over financial reporting. Moreover, compliance with any particular regulator's interpretation of a legal or accounting issue may not result in compliance with another regulator's interpretation of the same issue, particularly when compliance is judged in hindsight. Any particular regulator's interpretation of a legal or accounting issue may change over time to FGL Insurance's or FGL NY Insurance's detriment, or changes to the overall legal or market environment, even absent any change of interpretation by a particular regulator, may cause FGL Insurance and FGL NY Insurance to change their views regarding the actions they need to take from a legal risk management perspective, which could necessitate changes to FGL Insurance's or FGL NY Insurance's practices that may, in some cases, limit their ability to grow and improve profitability.

State insurance departments conduct periodic examinations of the books and records, financial reporting, policy and rate filings, market conduct and business practices of insurance companies domiciled in their states, generally once every three to five years. Examinations are generally carried out in cooperation with the insurance departments of other states under guidelines promulgated by the NAIC. State insurance departments also have the authority to conduct examinations of non-domiciliary insurers that are licensed in their states. The Maryland Insurance Administration ("MIA") completed a routine financial examination of FGL Insurance for the three-year period ended December 31, 2012, and found no material deficiencies and proposed no adjustments to the financial statements as filed. The NYDFS completed a routine financial examination of FGL NY for the three-year period ended December 31, 2009, and found no material deficiencies and proposed no adjustments to the financial statements as filed. The NYDFS is in the process of completing a routine financial examination of FGL NY Insurance for the three-year periods ended December 31, 2012.

Additionally, the Vermont Department of Financial Regulation has completed a routine financial examination of Raven Re for the period from April 7, 2011 (commencement of business) through December 31, 2012. It found no material deficiencies and proposed no adjustments to the financial statements as filed.

Going forward, FGL Insurance will be subject to financial and market conduct examinations by the IID, the primary regulatory authority for Iowa domestic life insurance companies.

Dividend and Other Distribution Payment Limitations

The Iowa insurance law and the New York insurance law regulate the amount of dividends that may be paid in any year by FGL Insurance and FGL NY Insurance, respectively. Each year, FGL Insurance and FGL NY Insurance may pay a certain limited amount of ordinary dividends or other distributions without being required to obtain the prior consent of the Iowa Insurance Commissioner ("Iowa Commissioner") or the NYDFS, respectively. However, to pay any dividends or distributions (including the payment of any dividends or distributions for which prior consent is not required), FGL Insurance and FGL NY Insurance must provide advance written notice to the Iowa Commissioner or the NYDFS, respectively.

Pursuant to Iowa insurance law, ordinary dividends are payments, together with all other such payments within the preceding twelve months, that do not exceed the greater of (i) 10% of FGL Insurance's statutory surplus as regards policyholders as of December 31 of the preceding year; or (ii) the net gain from operations of FGL Insurance (excluding realized capital gains) for the 12-month period ending December 31 of the preceding year.

Dividends in excess of FGL Insurance's ordinary dividend capacity are referred to as extraordinary and require prior approval of the Iowa Commissioner. In deciding whether to approve a request to pay an extraordinary dividend, Iowa insurance law requires the Iowa Commissioner to consider the effect of the dividend payment on FGL Insurance's surplus and financial condition generally and whether the payment of the dividend will cause FGL Insurance to fail to meet its required risk-based capital ("RBC") ratio. Dividends may only be paid out of statutory earned surplus. Any payment of dividends by FGL Insurance is subject to the regulatory restrictions described above and the approval of such payment by the board of directors of FGL Insurance, which must consider various factors, including general economic and business conditions, tax considerations, FGL Insurance's strategic plans, financial results and condition, FGL Insurance's expansion plans, any contractual, legal or regulatory restrictions on the payment of dividends and its effect on RBC and such other factors the board of directors of FGL Insurance considers relevant. For example, payments of dividends could reduce FGL Insurance's RBC and financial condition and lead to a reduction in FGL Insurance's financial strength rating. See Part I, Item 1A. "Risk Factors-Risks Relating to FGL's and Front Street's Businesses-A financial strength ratings downgrade, potential

downgrade, or any other negative action by a rating agency, could make FGL's product offerings less attractive and increase its cost of capital, and thereby could adversely affect FGL's financial condition and results of operations." FGL NY Insurance has historically not paid dividends. Except that, in 2012, FGL NY Insurance paid a \$4.4 million dividend to FGL Insurance after a determination that, as a result of capital contributions by FGL Insurance, FGL NY Insurance was overcapitalized.

Surplus and Capital

FGL Insurance and FGL NY Insurance are subject to the supervision of the regulators in states where they are licensed to transact business. Regulators have discretionary authority in connection with the continuing licensing of these entities to limit or prohibit sales to policyholders if, in their judgment, the regulators determine that such entities have not maintained the minimum surplus or capital or that the further transaction of business will be hazardous to policyholders.

Risk-Based Capital

In order to enhance the regulation of insurers' solvency, the NAIC adopted a model law to implement RBC requirements for life, health and property and casualty insurance companies. All states have adopted the NAIC's model law or a substantially similar law. RBC is used to evaluate the adequacy of capital and surplus maintained by an insurance company in relation to risks associated with: (i) asset risk, (ii) insurance risk, (iii) interest rate risk, and (iv) business risk. In general, RBC is calculated by applying factors to various asset, premium and reserve items, taking into account the risk characteristics of the insurer. Within a given risk category, these factors are higher for those items with greater underlying risk and lower for items with lower underlying risk. The RBC formula is used as an early warning regulatory tool to identify possible inadequately capitalized insurers for purposes of initiating regulatory action, and not as a means to rank insurers generally. Insurers that have less statutory capital than the RBC calculation requires are considered to have inadequate capital and are subject to varying degrees of regulatory action depending upon the level of capital inadequacy. As of the most recent annual statutory financial statements filed with insurance regulators, the RBC ratios for FGL Insurance and FGL NY Insurance each exceeded the minimum RBC requirements.

Nevertheless, it may be desirable to maintain an RBC ratio in excess of the minimum requirements in order to maintain or improve FGL's financial strength ratings. FGL's historical RBC ratios are presented in the table below. See Part I, Item 1A. "Risk Factors-Risks Relating to FGL's and Front Street's Businesses-A financial strength ratings downgrade, potential downgrade, or any other negative action by a rating agency, could make FGL's product offerings less attractive and increase its cost of capital, and thereby could adversely affect FGL's financial condition and results of operations."

RBC

Ratio

As of:

December 31, 2015 401%

December 31, 2014 388%

December 31, 2013 423 %

December 31, 2012 406%

Insurance Regulatory Information System Tests

The NAIC has developed a set of financial relationships or tests known as the Insurance Regulatory Information System ("IRIS") to assist state regulators in monitoring the financial condition of U.S. insurance companies and identifying companies that require special attention or action by insurance regulatory authorities. A ratio falling outside the prescribed "usual range" is not considered a failing result. Rather, unusual values are viewed as part of the regulatory early monitoring system. In many cases, it is not unusual for financially sound companies to have one or more ratios that fall outside the usual range. Insurance companies generally submit data annually to the NAIC, which in turn analyzes the data using prescribed financial data ratios, each with defined "usual ranges". Generally, regulators will begin to investigate or monitor an insurance company if its ratios fall outside the usual ranges for four or more of the ratios. IRIS consists of a statistical phase and an analytical phase whereby financial examiners review insurers' annual statements and financial ratios. The statistical phase consists of 12 key financial ratios based on year-end data

that are generated from the NAIC database annually; each ratio has a "usual range" of results. As of December 31, 2015, FGL Insurance, FGL NY Insurance and Raven Re each had two ratios outside the usual range. FGL Insurance's and Raven Re's IRIS ratio for change in premiums was outside the usual range. FGL Insurance and FGL NY Insurance's IRIS ratio for change in reserving was outside the usual range. In addition, FGL NY Insurance and Raven Re's adequacy of investment income also fell outside of the usual range.

In all instances in prior years, regulators have been satisfied upon follow-up that no regulatory action was required. FGL Insurance, FGL NY Insurance and Raven Re are not currently subject to regulatory restrictions based on these ratios.

Insurance Reserves

State insurance laws require insurers to analyze the adequacy of reserves. The respective appointed actuaries for FGL Insurance, FGL NY Insurance and Raven Re must each submit an opinion on an annual basis that their respective reserves, when considered

in light of the respective assets FGL Insurance, FGL NY Insurance and Raven Re hold with respect to those reserves, make adequate provision for the contractual obligations and related expenses of FGL Insurance, FGL NY Insurance and Raven Re. FGL Insurance, FGL NY Insurance and Raven Re have filed all of the required opinions with the insurance departments in the states in which they do business.

Credit for Reinsurance Regulation

States regulate the extent to which insurers are permitted to take credit on their financial statements for the financial obligations that the insurers cede to reinsurers. Where an insurer cedes obligations to a reinsurer which is neither licensed nor accredited by the state insurance department, the ceding insurer is not permitted to take such financial statement credit unless the unlicensed or unaccredited reinsurer secures the liabilities it will owe under the reinsurance contract. Under the laws regulating credit for reinsurance issued by such unlicensed or unaccredited reinsurers, the permissible means of securing such liabilities are (i) the establishment of a trust account by the reinsurer to hold certain qualifying assets in a qualified U.S. financial institution, such as a member of the Federal Reserve, with the ceding insurer as the exclusive beneficiary of such trust account with the unconditional right to demand, without notice to the reinsurer, that the trustee pay over to it the assets in the trust account equal to the liabilities owed by the reinsurer; (ii) the posting of an unconditional and irrevocable letter of credit by a qualified U.S. financial institution in favor of the ceding company allowing the ceding company to draw upon the letter of credit up to the amount of the unpaid liabilities of the reinsurer and (iii) a "funds withheld" arrangement by which the ceding company withholds transfer to the reinsurer of the reserves which support the liabilities to be owed by the reinsurer, with the ceding insurer retaining title to and exclusive control over such reserves. In addition, on January 1, 2014, the NAIC Model Credit for Reinsurance Act became effective in Iowa, which adds the concept of "certified reinsurer", whereby a ceding insurer may take financial statement credit for reinsurance provided by an unaccredited and unlicensed reinsurer which has been certified by the Iowa Commissioner. The Iowa Commissioner certifies reinsurers based on several factors, including their financial strength ratings, and imposes collateral requirements based on such factors, FGL Insurance and FGL NY Insurance are subject to such credit for reinsurance rules in Iowa and New York, respectively, insofar as they enter into any reinsurance contracts with reinsurers which are neither licensed nor accredited in Iowa and New York, respectively.

Insurance Holding Company Regulation

As the parent company of FGL Insurance and the indirect parent company of FGL NY Insurance, FGL and entities affiliated for purposes of insurance regulation are subject to the insurance holding company laws in Iowa and New York. These laws generally require each insurance company directly or indirectly owned by the holding company to register with the insurance department in the insurance company's state of domicile and to furnish annually financial and other information about the operations of companies within the holding company system. Generally, all transactions between insurers and affiliates within the holding company system are subject to regulation and must be fair and reasonable, and may require prior notice and approval or non-disapproval by its domiciliary insurance regulator.

Most states, including Iowa and New York, have insurance laws that require regulatory approval of a direct or indirect change of control of an insurer or an insurer's holding company. Such laws prevent any person from acquiring control, directly or indirectly, of HRG, FGL, FGH, FGL Insurance or FGL NY Insurance unless that person has filed a statement with specified information with the insurance regulators and has obtained their prior approval. In addition, investors deemed to have a direct or indirect controlling interest are required to make regulatory filings and respond to regulatory inquiries. Under most states' statutes, including those of Iowa and New York, acquiring 10% or more of the voting stock of an insurance company or its parent company is presumptively considered a change of control, although such presumption may be rebutted. Accordingly, any person who acquires 10% or more of HRG's voting securities or that of HRG, FGL, FGH, FGL Insurance or FGL NY Insurance without the prior approval of the insurance regulators of Iowa and New York will be in violation of those states' laws and may be subject to injunctive action requiring the disposition or seizure of those securities by the relevant insurance regulator or prohibiting the voting of those securities and to other actions determined by the relevant insurance regulator.

Insurance Guaranty Association Assessments

Each state has insurance guaranty association laws under which insurers doing business in the state may be assessed by state insurance guaranty associations for certain obligations of insolvent insurance companies to policyholders and claimants. Typically, states assess each member insurer in an amount related to the member insurer's proportionate share of the business written by all member insurers in the state. Although no prediction can be made as to the amount and timing of any future assessments under these laws, FGL Insurance and FGL NY Insurance have established reserves that they believe are adequate for assessments relating to insurance companies that are currently subject to insolvency proceedings.

Market Conduct Regulation

State insurance laws and regulations include numerous provisions governing the marketplace activities of insurers, including provisions governing the form and content of disclosure to consumers, illustrations, advertising, sales and complaint process practices. State regulatory authorities generally enforce these provisions through periodic market conduct examinations. In addition, FGL Insurance and FGL NY Insurance must file, and in many jurisdictions and for some lines of business obtain regulatory approval for, rates and forms relating to the insurance written in the jurisdictions in which they operate. FGL Insurance

Table of Contents

is currently the subject of seven ongoing market conduct examinations in various states. Market conduct examinations can result in monetary fines or remediation and generally require FGL Insurance to devote significant resources to the management of such examinations. FGL Insurance does not believe that any of the current market conduct examinations it is subject to will result in any fines or remediation orders that will be material to its business. Regulation of Investments

FGL Insurance and FGL NY Insurance are subject to state laws and regulations that require diversification of their investment portfolios and limit the amount of investments in certain asset categories, such as below investment grade fixed income securities, equity, real estate, other equity investments and derivatives. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations would cause investments exceeding regulatory limitations to be treated as either non-admitted assets for purposes of measuring surplus or as not qualified as an asset held for reserve purposes and, in some instances, would require divestiture or replacement of such non-qualifying investments. FGL believes that the investment portfolios of FGL Insurance and FGL NY Insurance as of September 30, 2016 complied in all material respects with such regulations.

Privacy Regulation

FGL's operations are subject to certain federal and state laws and regulations that require financial institutions and other businesses to protect the security and confidentiality of personal information, including health-related and customer information, and to notify customers and other individuals about their policies and practices relating to their collection and disclosure of health-related and customer information and their practices relating to protecting the security and confidentiality of such information. These laws and regulations require notice to affected individuals, law enforcement agencies, regulators and others if there is a breach of the security of certain personal information, including social security numbers, and require holders of certain personal information to protect the security of the data. FGL's operations are also subject to certain federal regulations that require financial institutions and creditors to implement effective programs to detect, prevent, and mitigate identity theft. In addition, FGL's ability to make telemarketing calls and to send unsolicited e-mail or fax messages to consumers and customers and its uses of certain personal information, including consumer report information, are regulated. Federal and state governments and regulatory bodies may be expected to consider additional or more detailed regulation regarding these subjects and the privacy and security of personal information.

FIAs

In recent years, the SEC and state securities regulators have questioned whether FIAs, such as those sold by FGL, should be treated as securities under the federal and state securities laws rather than as insurance products exempted from such laws. Treatment of these products as securities would require additional registration and licensing of these products and the agents selling them, as well as cause FGL to seek additional marketing relationships for these products, any of which may impose significant restrictions on its ability to conduct operations as currently operated. Under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act"), annuities that meet specific requirements, including requirements relating to certain state suitability rules, are specifically exempted from being treated as securities by the SEC. FGL expects that the types of FIAs FGL Insurance and FGL NY Insurance sell will meet these requirements and therefore are exempt from being treated as securities by the SEC and state securities regulators. However, there can be no assurance that federal or state securities laws or state insurance laws and regulations will not be amended or interpreted to impose further requirements on FIAs.

The Dodd-Frank Act

The Dodd-Frank Act makes sweeping changes to the regulation of financial services entities, products and markets. Certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act are or may become applicable to FGL, its competitors or those entities with which FGL does business, including, but not limited to:

•he establishment of federal regulatory authority over derivatives;

the establishment of consolidated federal regulation and resolution authority over systemically important financial services firms:

the establishment of the Federal Insurance Office;

changes to the regulation of broker dealers and investment advisors;

changes to the regulation of reinsurance;

changes to regulations affecting the rights of shareholders;

the imposition of additional regulation over credit rating agencies;

the imposition of concentration limits on financial institutions that restrict the amount of credit that may be extended to a single person or entity; and

the clearing of derivative contracts.

Numerous provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act require the adoption of implementing rules or regulations. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act mandates multiple studies, which could result in additional legislation or regulation applicable to the insurance industry, FGL, its competitors or those entities with which FGL does business. Legislative or regulatory requirements imposed by or promulgated in connection with the Dodd-Frank Act may impact FGL in many ways, including, but not limited to:

Table of Contents

placing FGL at a competitive disadvantage relative to FGL's competition or other financial services entities;

changing the competitive landscape of the financial services sector or the insurance industry;

making it more expensive for FGL to conduct its business;

requiring the reallocation of significant company resources to government affairs;

increasing FGL's legal and compliance related activities and the costs associated therewith; or

otherwise having a material adverse effect on the overall business climate as well as FGL's financial condition and results of operations.

Until various studies are completed and final regulations are promulgated pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, the full impact of the Dodd-Frank Act on investments, investment activities and insurance and annuity products of FGL Insurance and FGL NY Insurance remains unclear.

ERISA

FGL may offer certain insurance and annuity products to employee benefit plans governed by ERISA and/or the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), including group annuity contracts designated to fund tax-qualified retirement plans. ERISA and the Code provide (among other requirements) standards of conduct for employee benefit plan fiduciaries, including investment managers and investment advisers with respect to the assets of such plans, and holds fiduciaries liable if they fail to satisfy fiduciary standards of conduct.

In April 2016, the Department of Labor ("DOL") released its final "fiduciary" rule which could have a material impact on FGL, its products, distribution, and business model. The final rule treats persons who provide investment advice for a fee or other compensation with respect to assets of an employer plan or individual retirement account ("IRA") as fiduciaries of that plan or IRA. Significantly, the rule expands the definition of fiduciary to apply to persons, including insurance agents, who advise and sell products to IRA owners. As a practical matter, this means commissioned insurance agents selling FGL's IRA products must qualify for a prohibited transaction exemption which requires the agent and financial institution to meet various conditions including that an annuity sale be in the "best interest" of the client without regard for the agent's, financial institution's or other party's financial or other interests, and that any compensation paid to the agent and financial institution be reasonable. The final rule is effective June 2016 and generally applicable in April 2017. The rule has generated considerable controversy and is the subject of industry efforts to block implementation both in Congress and through court actions. The success or failure of these efforts cannot be predicted. Assuming the rule is not blocked, the precise impact of the rule on the financial services industry more generally, and the impact on FGL and its business in particular, is difficult to assess because the rule is new and still being studied. While FGL continues to analyze the regulation, FGL believes it could have an adverse effect on sales of annuity products to IRA owners particularly in the independent agent distribution channel. A significant portion of FGL's annuity sales are to IRAs. Compliance with the prohibited transaction exemptions would likely require additional supervision of agents, cause changes to compensation practices and product offerings, and increase litigation risk, all of which could adversely impact FGL's business, results of operations and/or financial condition.

As of September 30, 2016, FGL had approximately 267 employees. FGL believes that it has a good relationship with its employees. In addition, FGL's voluntary attrition has been below 8% for the past four years, which is also an indicator of an engaged and motivated workforce.

FGL Available Information

For information regarding FGL see the remaining section of this report. For additional information regarding FGL, including information in addition to that included in HRG's SEC reports and public announcements, we direct you to FGL' announcements and filings made with the SEC, including FGL' Annual Report on Form 10-K for Fiscal 2016. You should follow and read the SEC filings, press releases and other public statements made by FGL and its representatives, as we expect that they will make additional information available through these channels. FGL's Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to reports filed pursuant to Sections 13(a) and 15(d) of the Exchange Act are made available free of charge on or through FGL's website at home.fglife.com, as soon as reasonably practicable after such reports are filed with, or furnished to, the SEC.

The information on FGL's website is not, and shall not be deemed to be, part of this report or incorporated into any other filings HRG or FGL makes with the SEC and FGL's reports are not and shall not be deemed to be part of this report. You may read and copy any materials FGL files with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC also maintains a website that contains FGL's reports and other information at www.sec.gov.

Front Street

Front Street, a Delaware corporation and a subsidiary of HRG, holds all of the equity of Front Street Re Ltd., a Bermuda company ("Front Street Bermuda") and Front Street Cayman, an exempted company incorporated under the laws of the Cayman Islands

and subsidiary of HRG. Front Street Bermuda was formed in March 2010 to act as a long-term reinsurer. Front Street Cayman was formed in the Cayman Islands and on October 24, 2012, received from the Cayman Islands Monetary Authority a license to carry on business as an Unrestricted Class "B" Insurer that permits Front Street Cayman to conduct offshore direct and reinsurance business. Front Street Bermuda and Front Street Cayman are parties to reinsurance transactions.

On December 31, 2012, following regulatory approval, Front Street Cayman entered into a coinsurance agreement (the "Cayman Reinsurance Agreement") with FGL. Pursuant to the Cayman Reinsurance Agreement, Front Street Cayman reinsured a 10% quota share percentage of certain FGL annuity liabilities.

Effective September 17, 2014, FGL entered into a second reinsurance treaty (the "MYGA Treaty", and together with the Cayman Reinsurance Agreement, the "Reinsurance Agreements with FGL") with Front Street Cayman whereby FGL ceded 30% of any new business of its multi-year guaranteed deferred annuity ("MYGA") block of business on a funds withheld basis. This treaty was subsequently terminated as to new business effective April 30, 2015, but remains in effect for policies ceded to Front Street Cayman with an effective date between September 17, 2014 and April 30, 2015. At September 30, 2016 and 2015, Front Street had \$978.8 million and \$1,058.0 million, respectively, of funds withheld receivables and \$1,119.5 million and \$1,226.8 million, respectively, of insurance reserves related to the Reinsurance Agreements with FGL.

On December 16, 2013, Front Street Cayman closed a reinsurance treaty with Bankers Life Insurance Company. Under the terms of the treaty, Bankers Life Insurance Company ceded annuity business to Front Street Cayman, on a funds withheld basis. At September 30, 2016 and 2015, Front Street had \$125.8 million and \$148.3 million, respectively, of funds withheld receivables and \$119.0 million and \$145.7 million, respectively, of insurance reserves related to this transaction.

On October 31, 2014, Front Street Cayman purchased Ability Re from Ability Re Holdings. The Ability Re acquisition consisted of long-term care reinsurance business. The acquired reinsurance agreements complement Front Street Cayman's existing in force long-duration insurance liabilities. At September 30, 2016 and 2015, Front Street had \$295.6 million and \$357.5 million, respectively, of funds withheld receivables and \$271.5 million and \$348.4 million, respectively, of insurance reserves related to this transaction.

During Fiscal 2015, Front Street Cayman also closed three additional reinsurance transactions with unaffiliated parties. At September 30, 2016 and 2015, Front Street had \$250.2 million and \$146.3 million, respectively, of funds withheld receivables and \$241.3 million and \$135.1 million, respectively, of insurance reserves related to these transactions.

Strategy

Front Street was formed with the intention of building a flexible and diversified portfolio of life and annuity reinsurance treaties. Front Street may also conduct hedging and other investment activities. Front Street may, from time to time, selectively pursue other opportunities, including acquisition, and/or disposition opportunities. For Fiscal 2017, Front Street's business strategy and activities may be impacted by the strategic review process underway at HRG.

Competition

The reinsurance industry is highly competitive. Front Street competes with major reinsurers, most of which are well established and have significant operating histories, strong financial strength ratings and long-standing client relationships. Front Street's competitors include Athene Life Re Ltd., Global Atlantic Financial Group Limited, Guggenheim Life and Annuity Company, Reinsurance Group of America, Incorporated, Legal & General Reinsurance Company Ltd., and Resolution Life Holdings, Inc., as well as smaller companies and other niche reinsurers. See Part I, Item 1A. "Risk Factors-Risks Related to FGL's and Front Street's Businesses- FGL and Front Street operate in highly competitive industries, which could limit their abilities to gain or maintain their respective positions in the industries and could materially adversely affect their business, financial condition and results of operations."

As of September 30, 2016, Front Street had four employees. As of September 30, 2016, none of Front Street's employees were represented by labor unions or covered by collective bargaining agreements. Front Street believes that its overall relationship with its employees is good.

Salus

Salus, a Delaware limited liability company, was established to be a direct originator of secured asset-based loans to the middle market across a variety of industries. In Fiscal 2013 and February 2015, Salus completed a collateralized loan obligation ("CLO") securitization. As of September 30, 2016, Salus and its co-lender Front Street had asset-based loans with a balance of \$35.0 million, net of \$11.0 million of allowance for credit losses, excluding the effect of loan participations by FGL.

Strategy

During Fiscal 2016, Salus determined to focus its efforts primarily on collecting on its existing loans and winding down its operations. For Fiscal 2017, Salus expects to continue to execute on its strategy of collecting on its existing loans and winding down its operations; however, it may also pursue other opportunities that it may consider strategically advantageous or

Table of Contents

complementary to such efforts. Salus' ability to orderly and efficiently wind-down its business is subject to a number of risks and uncertainties, some which are beyond the control of Salus. See Part I, Item 1A. "Risk Factors-Risks Related to Salus' Business" for additional information regarding these risks.

Employees

As of September 30, 2016, Salus had 7 employees. As of September 30, 2016, none of Salus' employees were represented by labor unions or covered by collective bargaining agreements. Salus believes that its overall relationship with its employees is good.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The following risk factors and the forward-looking statements elsewhere herein should be read carefully in connection with evaluating the business of the Company and its subsidiaries. These risks and uncertainties could cause actual results and events to differ materially from those anticipated. Many of the risk factors described under one heading below may apply to more than one section in which we have grouped them for the purpose of this presentation. As a result, you should consider all of the following factors, together which all of the other information presented herein, in evaluating the business of the Company and its subsidiaries. These risk factors may be amended, supplemented or superseded from time to time in filings and reports that we file with the SEC in the future.

Risks Related to HRG

We are a holding company and our only material assets are our equity interests in our operating subsidiaries and our other investments; as a result, our principal source of revenue and cash flow is distributions from our subsidiaries; our subsidiaries may be limited by law and by contract in making distributions to us.

As a holding company, our only material assets are our cash on hand, the equity interests in our subsidiaries and other investments. As of September 30, 2016, excluding cash, cash equivalents and investments held by our subsidiaries, we had approximately \$172.0 million in cash, cash equivalents and investments. Our principal source of revenue and cash flow is distributions from our subsidiaries. Thus, our ability to service our debt, finance our business and pursue our business objectives is dependent on the ability of our subsidiaries to generate sufficient net income and cash flows to make upstream cash distributions to us. For example, while we expect annual interest payments on our debt to be approximately \$137.1 million in Fiscal 2017, we currently expect to receive approximately \$55.1 million of dividends from our subsidiaries' distributable earnings in Fiscal 2017. We expect such dividends along with our cash on hand, cash equivalents and investments to exceed our expected cash requirements and to satisfy our interest obligations, and general administrative expenses for at least the next twelve months. Depending on a variety of factors, including the general state of the capital markets, operating needs or business strategies, HRG and its subsidiaries may or may be required to raise additional capital through the issuance of equity, debt, or both. There is no assurance, however, that such capital will be available at that time, in the amounts necessary or on terms satisfactory to HRG.

Our subsidiaries are and will continue to be separate legal entities, and although they may be wholly-owned or controlled by us, they have no obligation to make any funds available to us, whether in the form of loans, dividends, distributions or otherwise. The boards of directors of our subsidiaries may consider a range of factors and consider their stockholders' constituencies (including public stockholders) as a whole when making decisions about dividends or other payments. The ability of our subsidiaries to distribute cash to us will also be subject to, among other things, restrictions that are contained in our subsidiaries' financing agreements, availability of sufficient funds in such subsidiaries and applicable state laws and regulatory restrictions. Claims of creditors of our subsidiaries generally will have priority as to the assets of such subsidiaries over our claims and claims of our creditors and stockholders. To the extent the ability of our subsidiaries to distribute dividends or other payments to us could be limited in any way, our liquidity and ability to pursue our business objectives or to take other action that could be beneficial to our businesses, or otherwise fund and conduct our business, could be materially limited.

As an example, our subsidiary Spectrum Brands is a holding company with limited business operations of its own and its main assets are the capital stock of its subsidiaries, principally SBI. The terms of Spectrum Brands' indebtedness may limit its ability to pay dividends to Spectrum Brands and to us. See Part I, Item IA. "Risk Factors-Risks Related to Spectrum Brands' Business-SBI's substantial indebtedness may limit its financial and operating flexibility, and

Spectrum Brands may incur additional debt, which could increase the risks associated with its substantial indebtedness" and Part I, Item IA. "Risk Factors-Risks Related to Spectrum Brands' Business- Restrictive covenants in the SBI Senior Secured Facilities and the SBI Indentures may restrict SBI's ability to pursue its business strategies."

Our subsidiary, FGL, is also a holding company with limited business operations of its own. Its main assets are the capital stock of its subsidiaries, which are principally regulated insurance companies, whose ability to pay dividends is limited by applicable insurance laws. Accordingly, FGL's payment of dividends is dependent, to a significant extent, on the generation of cash flow by its subsidiaries and their ability to make such cash available to FGL, whether by dividend or otherwise. FGL's subsidiaries may not be able to, or may not be permitted to, make distributions to enable FGL to meet its obligations and pay dividends.

Each subsidiary is a distinct legal entity and legal and contractual restrictions may also limit FGL's ability to obtain cash from its subsidiaries. See Part I, Item 1. "Business-Our Operating Subsidiaries-FGL-Regulation-Financial Regulation-Dividend and Other Distribution Payment Limitations" in this report. See Part I, Item 1A. "Risk Factors-Risks Related to FGL's and Front Street's Businesses-The agreements and instruments governing FGL's debt contain significant operating and financial restrictions, which may prevent FGL from capitalizing on business opportunities." As discussed elsewhere herein, while the agreements governing the FGL Merger permit FGL to pay a regular quarterly cash dividend on its Common Stock in an amount not in excess of \$0.065 per share, per quarter, FGL may not pay any other dividends without the consent of Anbang. In addition, if the FGL Merger is consummated, while we will receive the proceeds from the sale of our shares of FGL common stock, we will no longer receive dividends from FGL.

In addition, our liquidity and ability to pursue business opportunities may be impacted by the capital needs of our subsidiaries. Such entities may require additional capital to maintain or grow their businesses, make payments on their indebtedness or other commitments, and/or make upstream cash distributions. For example, during Fiscal 2016, we provided various forms of credit support and capital contributions to Compass, which we sold in Fiscal 2016, and Salus.

Furthermore, these restrictions on our subsidiaries ability to pay dividends or distributions may limit our ability to incur additional indebtedness or refinance our existing indebtedness in the future as well. Our ability to refinance our indebtedness will depend on our ability to generate future cash flow, and we are dependent on our subsidiaries' ability to pay dividends or pay distributions to us in order for us to generate cash flow.

We are exploring strategic alternatives, but there can be no assurance that we will be successful in identifying or completing any strategic alternative or that any such strategic alternative will yield additional value for stockholders. We have commenced a review of strategic alternatives which could result in, among other things, a merger, a sale or other business combination involving the Company or its assets. There can be no assurance that the exploration of strategic alternatives will result in the identification or consummation of any transaction. In addition, we may incur substantial expenses associated with identifying and evaluating potential strategic alternatives. The process of exploring strategic alternatives may be time consuming and disruptive to the business operations and the management teams of HRG and/or its subsidiaries. If we are unable to effectively manage the process, the business, financial condition and results of operations of HRG and/or its subsidiaries could be adversely affected. We also cannot assure that any potential transaction or other strategic alternative, if identified, evaluated and consummated, will provide greater value to our stockholders than that reflected in the current stock price. Any potential transaction would be dependent upon a number of factors that may be beyond our control.

We and our subsidiaries may determine not to or may not be successful in identifying and consummating a strategic alternative and/or suitable acquisition, sale, merger or other business opportunity, as applicable.

We and/or one or more of our subsidiaries may not be successful in identifying and consummating a strategic alternative and/or suitable acquisition, sale, merger or other business opportunity, as applicable, at favorable valuations and other terms. Furthermore, any attractive strategic alternatives, acquisition, sale, merger or other business opportunities may be limited or prohibited by applicable regulatory regimes. Any future strategic alternative acquisition, sale, merger or business opportunity may also require a substantial amount of our or our subsidiaries' management's time and may be difficult to successfully execute. Any such failure could have a material adverse effect on our or our subsidiaries' results of operations and financial condition and our or our subsidiaries' ability to service our respective debt.

Even if we or our subsidiaries do execute a strategic alternative, acquisition, sale, merger or other business opportunity, as applicable, there is no assurance that we or our subsidiaries will be successful in enhancing our or our subsidiaries' business or financial condition or that such transaction will be successful.

We and our subsidiaries are dependent on certain key personnel.

We and our subsidiaries are dependent upon certain key personnel who have substantial experience and expertise in our industry and the industries of our subsidiaries and have made significant contributions to our growth and success. We are particularly dependent on the skills, experience and efforts of Omar M. Asali, our President and Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Asali has informed us that he plans to leave the Company in Fiscal 2017. See "Item 9B. Other

Information" included elsewhere in this report for additional information regarding Mr. Asali's future departure from the Company. If we are unable to find a suitable replacement for Mr. Asali or if we experience the loss of the services of any of our or our subsidiaries' key executive officers or other members of senior management, or one or more of our or our subsidiaries' other key personnel, or the concurrent loss of several of these individuals or any negative public perception with respect to these individuals, could also have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We and our subsidiaries may not be able to attract and retain skilled people.

Our success and our subsidiaries' success depend, in large part, on our and their ability to attract new personnel, retain and motivate our and their existing employees, and continue to compensate such personnel competitively.

Competition for the best personnel in most activities in which we and our subsidiaries engage can be intense, and we may not be able to hire these people or retain them. We recently commenced a process to review strategic alternatives for HRG and/or its assets. Such process may negatively impact our and/or our subsidiaries' ability to retain or hire key personnel. Our and/or our subsidiaries' business,

Table of Contents

financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected if we or they lose any of these persons and are unable to attract and retain qualified replacements.

Our subsidiaries operate in highly-competitive industries, limiting their ability to gain or maintain their positions in their respective industries.

Many of our subsidiaries' competitors possess greater technical, human, financial and other resources, or more local industry knowledge, or greater access to capital, than our subsidiaries do. These factors may place our subsidiaries at a competitive disadvantage in successfully completing future acquisitions and investments.

Our subsidiaries also face competition from both traditional and new market entrants. See risk factors related to Spectrum and FGL herein.

We and our subsidiaries could consume resources in pursuing strategic alternatives, acquisitions, business opportunities, dispositions, financings or capital market transactions, as applicable, that are not consummated, which could materially adversely affect our business.

We and our subsidiaries anticipate that the investigation of strategic alternatives, acquisition, disposition, financing or capital market transactions, and the negotiation, drafting, and execution of relevant agreements, disclosure documents, and other instruments, with respect to such transactions, will require substantial management time and attention and substantial costs for financial advisors, accountants, attorneys and other advisors. If a decision is made not to consummate a specific transaction, the costs incurred up to that point for the proposed transaction likely would not be recoverable. Furthermore, even if an agreement is reached relating to a specific transaction, we may fail to consummate the transaction for any number of reasons, including those beyond our control. Any such event could consume significant management time and result in a loss to us of the related costs incurred, which could adversely affect our financial position and our business.

Covenants in certain of our material instruments limit, and other future instruments may limit our ability to operate our business.

The indenture governing our 7.875% Notes (the "7.875% Notes Indenture"), and the indenture governing our 7.750% Notes (the "7.750% Notes Indenture" and, collectively with the 7.875% Notes Indenture, the "Indentures") contain, and any of our other future financing agreements may contain, covenants imposing operating and financial restrictions on our business. The Indentures require us to satisfy certain financial tests, including minimum liquidity and collateral coverage ratios. If we fail to meet or satisfy any of these covenants (after applicable cure periods), we would be in default and noteholders (through the trustee or collateral agent, as applicable) could elect to declare all amounts outstanding to be immediately due and payable, enforce their interests in the collateral pledged and restrict our ability to make additional borrowings. These agreements may also contain cross-default provisions, so that if a default occurs under any one agreement, the lenders under the other agreements could also declare a default. The covenants and restrictions in the Indentures, subject to specified exceptions, restrict our, and in certain cases, our subsidiaries' ability to, among other things:

incur additional indebtedness;

create liens or engage in sale and leaseback transactions;

pay dividends or make distributions in respect of capital stock;

make certain restricted payments;

sell assets;

engage in transactions with affiliates, except on an arms-length basis; or

consolidate or merge with, or sell substantially all of our assets to, another person.

The Certificate of Designation provides CF Turul LLC ("CF Turul"), an affiliate of funds managed by Fortress Investment Group LLC ("Fortress"), with consent and voting rights with respect to certain of the matters referred to above and certain corporate governance rights.

These restrictions may interfere with our ability to obtain financings or to engage in other business activities, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations.

Moreover, a default under one of our subsidiaries' financing agreements may cause a default on our debt and our other financing arrangements.

Finally, Spectrum Brands' and FGL's stock are, directly or indirectly, pledged as collateral under our 7.875% Notes, and foreclosure on a sufficient number of Spectrum Brands stock or FGL stock pledged as collateral would constitute a change of control under certain of SBI's debt documents or FGL's debt documents, as applicable. Upon a change of control under those debt documents, SBI or FGL, as applicable, is required to offer to repurchase their notes at a price equal to 101% of the principal amount of their notes, plus accrued interest. In the event holders of the SBI Notes (as defined below) or FGH Notes exercise remedies in connection with a default, their claims to SBI's or FGH's assets, respectively, would have priority over the holders of our 7.875% Notes.

Financing covenants could adversely affect our financial health and prevent us from fulfilling our obligations. We have a significant amount of indebtedness. As of September 30, 2016, our total outstanding indebtedness was \$1.8 billion. As of September 30, 2016, the total liabilities of Spectrum Brands were approximately \$5.2 billion, including trade payables. As of September 30, 2016, the total liabilities of FGL were approximately \$25.1 billion, including approximately \$19.3 billion in annuity contractholder funds, approximately \$3.5 billion in future policy benefits, approximately \$300.0 million of indebtedness under the FGL Notes and \$100.0 million on an unsecured revolving credit facility. As of September 30, 2016, the total liabilities of Salus were approximately \$124.1 million. As of September 30, 2016, the total liabilities of HGI Energy were \$92.0 million. Our and our subsidiaries' significant indebtedness and other financing arrangements could have material consequences. For example, they could: make it difficult for us to satisfy our obligations with respect to our outstanding and other future debt obligations; increase our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions or a downturn in our business; impair our ability to obtain additional financing in the future for working capital, investments, acquisitions and other general corporate purposes;

require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flows to the payment to our financing sources, thereby reducing the availability of our cash flows to fund working capital, investments, acquisitions and other general corporate purposes; and

place us at a disadvantage compared to our competitors.

Any of these risks could impact our ability to fund our operations or limit our ability to expand our business, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations. Our ability to make payments on our financial obligations may depend upon the future performance of our operating subsidiaries and their ability to generate cash flow in the future, which are subject to general economic, industry, financial, competitive, legislative, regulatory, and other factors that are beyond our control. We cannot assure you that we will generate sufficient cash flow from our operating subsidiaries, or that future borrowings will be available to us, in an amount sufficient to enable us to pay our financial obligations or to fund our other liquidity needs. If the cash flow from our operating subsidiaries is insufficient, we may take actions, such as delaying or reducing investments or acquisitions, attempting to restructure or refinance our financial obligations prior to maturity, selling assets or operations or seeking additional equity capital to supplement cash flow. However, we may be unable to take any of these actions on commercially reasonable terms, or at all.

Future financing activities may adversely affect our leverage and financial condition.

Subject to the limitations set forth in the Indentures, we and our subsidiaries may incur additional indebtedness and issue dividend-bearing redeemable equity interests. We may incur substantial additional financial obligations to enable us to execute on our business objectives. These obligations could result in:

default and foreclosure on our assets if our operating revenues after an investment or acquisition are insufficient to repay our financial obligations;

acceleration of our obligations to repay the financial obligations even if we make all required payments when due if we breach certain covenants that require the maintenance of certain financial ratios or reserves without a waiver or renegotiation of that covenant;

our immediate payment of all amounts owed, if any, if such financial obligations are payable on demand; our inability to obtain necessary additional financing if such financial obligations contain covenants restricting our ability to obtain such financing while the financial obligations remain outstanding;

our inability to pay dividends on our capital stock;

using a substantial portion of our cash flow to pay principal and interest or dividends on our financial obligations, which will reduce the funds available for dividends on our Common Stock if declared, expenses, capital expenditures, acquisitions and other general corporate purposes;

limitations on our flexibility in planning for and reacting to changes in our business and in the industries in which we operate;

an event of default that triggers a cross default with respect to other financial obligations, including our notes; increased vulnerability to adverse changes in general economic, industry, financial, competitive legislative, regulatory and other conditions and adverse changes in government regulation; and

limitations on our ability to borrow additional amounts for expenses, capital expenditures, acquisitions, debt service requirements, execution of our strategy and other purposes and other disadvantages compared to our competitors.

We and our subsidiaries rely extensively on our information technology ("IT") systems, networks and services, including Internet sites, data hosting and processing facilities and tools and other hardware, software and technical applications and platforms, some of which are managed, hosted, provided and/or used by third-parties or vendors, to assist in conducting our and our subsidiaries' businesses.

Our and our subsidiaries IT systems have been, and will likely continue to be, subject to computer viruses or other malicious codes, unauthorized access attempts, phishing and other cyber-attacks. We and our subsidiaries continue to assess potential threats and make investments seeking to address these threats, including monitoring of networks and systems and upgrading skills, employee training and security policies for us and our subsidiaries, and our respective third-party providers. However, because the techniques used in these attacks change frequently and may be difficult to detect for periods of time, we or our subsidiaries may face difficulties in anticipating and implementing adequate preventative measures. To date, we and our subsidiaries have not experienced a material impact on our businesses or operations from these attacks; however, there can be no guarantee that our security efforts will prevent breaches or breakdowns to ours, our subsidiaries' or our third-party providers' databases or systems. If the IT systems, networks or service providers we and our subsidiaries rely upon fails to function properly, or if we, our subsidiaries or one of our third-party providers suffer a loss, significant unavailability of or disclosure of our business or stakeholder information, and our and our subsidiaries' businesses continuity plans do not effectively address these failures on a timely basis, we and/or our subsidiaries may be exposed to reputational, competitive and business harm as well as litigation and regulatory action. The costs and operational consequences of responding to breaches and implementing remediation measures could be significant.

We have made significant investments in publicly traded companies. Changes in the market prices of the securities we own, particularly during times of volatility in security prices, can have a material impact on the value of our business. We have made significant investments in publicly traded companies. Changes in the market prices of the publicly traded securities of these entities could have a material impact on an investor's perception of the aggregate value of our Common Stock and on the value of the assets we can pledge to creditors for debt financing, which in turn could adversely affect our ability to incur additional debt or finance future acquisitions.

Certain of our stockholders hold a significant portion of our outstanding voting stock; decisions by such stockholders, including the decision to sell their HRG securities, could adversely affect our financial results and liquidity. Leucadia National Corporation ("Leucadia") and CF Turul beneficially own a significant portion of our outstanding Common Stock and have appointed representatives to our Board. Because of this, such persons may exercise significant influence over our business and affairs, including over matters submitted to a vote of our stockholders, such as the election of directors, the removal of directors, and approval of significant corporate transactions. This influence and actual control may have the effect of discouraging offers to acquire HRG because any such transaction would likely require the consent of Leucadia and CF Turul. See also Part I, Item 1A. "Risk Factors- Provisions in our organizational documents and applicable regulations may discourage the takeover of our company, may make removal of our management more difficult and may depress our stock price."

Matters not directly related to us can nevertheless affect Leucadia's and CF Turul's respective decisions to maintain, decrease or increase their investments in us. Leucadia and CF Turul may at any time decide to dispose of all or a portion of their investment in us. The sale or other disposition of a certain portion of our voting stock could cause the Company and its subsidiaries to experience a change of control, which may accelerate certain of the Company's and its subsidiaries' equity awards and other obligations and/or allow certain counterparties to terminate their agreements. Among other things, such a change of control could result in a "change of control" under the Indentures, requiring us to offer to repurchase our 7.875% Notes or our 7.75% Notes or to redeem our preferred stock from the holders thereof. No assurance can be provided that upon the occurrence of such an event, the Company will be able to obtain the required waivers, repay its indebtedness or secure alternative arrangements. See also Part I, Item IA. Risk Factors-Future sales of substantial amounts of our Common Stock may adversely affect our market price."
Our officers, directors, stockholders and their respective affiliates may have a pecuniary interest in certain transactions in which we are involved, and may also compete with us.

We have not adopted a policy that expressly prohibits our directors, officers, stockholders or affiliates from having a direct or indirect pecuniary interest in any transaction to which we are a party or have an interest, nor do we have a

policy that expressly prohibits any such persons from engaging for their own account in business activities of the types conducted by us or our subsidiaries. We have engaged in transactions in which such persons have an interest and, subject to the terms of the Indentures and other applicable covenants in other financing arrangements or other agreements, may in the future enter into additional transactions in which such persons have an interest. In addition, such parties may have an interest in certain transactions such as strategic partnerships or joint ventures in which we are involved, and may also compete with us.

In the course of their other business activities, certain conflicts of interest may arise with respect to HRG, its significant stockholders, affiliates, subsidiaries, and their respective directors, officers and affiliates.

Certain of our and our significant stockholders, affiliates or subsidiaries' officers and directors may become aware of business opportunities which may be appropriate for presentation to us as well as the other entities with which they are or may be affiliated. Due to their affiliations with other entities, such persons may have obligations to present potential business opportunities to those entities, which could cause additional conflicts of interest. Accordingly, such persons may not present otherwise attractive business combination opportunities to us, our subsidiaries or investees. In addition, HRG currently has a number of, and may in the future acquire, additional significant stockholders, affiliates or subsidiaries ("Affiliated Persons"), some of which engage in business dealings with each other and HRG from time to time. As a result, conflicts of interest could arise with respect to transactions involving business dealings between HRG and the Affiliated Persons or between and among the Affiliated Persons, including potential business transactions and business services. It may not be possible to equally favor HRG and its subsidiaries in these business dealings, and the resolution of these conflicts may not always be equally in the best interest of HRG and its subsidiaries, which could have a material effect on HRG's and one or more of HRG's subsidiaries' financial condition and results of operations.

Future dispositions or acquisitions may not require a stockholder vote and may be material to us.

Any future dispositions or acquisitions could be material in size and scope, and our stockholders and potential investors may have limited information about the relevant disposition or acquisition upon which to base a decision whether to invest in our Common Stock. In any event, depending upon the size and structure of any dispositions or acquisitions, stockholders are generally expected to not have the opportunity to vote on the transaction, and may not have access to any information about any new business until the transaction is completed and we file a report with the Commission disclosing the nature of such transaction and/or business. Even if a stockholder vote is required for any future transactions, our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and our restated bylaws allow for our stockholders to approve such transactions by written consent, which may result in only our large stockholders having an opportunity to vote on such transactions.

Future acquisitions or dispositions or other business opportunities could involve unknown risks that could harm our business and adversely affect our financial condition.

We have in the past, and may in the future, make acquisitions or dispositions or pursue other business activities, directly or indirectly through our subsidiaries, that involve a number of risks. In the case of acquisitions, those risks may relate to the particular industry in which the business or acquisition targets operate, including risks in industries with which we are not familiar or experienced with, risks that are unknown to us and the financial, legal and operational risks related to such acquisition. In the case of disposition, those risks may relate to employment matters, counterparties, regulators and other stakeholders in the disposed business, risks unknown to us and risks related to the management of our business and the financial, legal and operational risks related to such disposition. Any such risks may result in one or more costly disputes or litigation. Although we intend to conduct extensive business, financial, operational and legal due diligence in connection with the evaluation any such opportunity, there can be no assurance our due diligence investigations will identify every matter that could have a material adverse effect on us. The realization of any such risks could expose us to unanticipated costs and liabilities and prevent or limit us from realizing the projected benefits of such acquisition, disposition or other business activity, which could adversely affect our financial condition and liquidity and our ability to service our debt.

Provisions in our organizational documents and applicable regulations may discourage the takeover of our company, may make removal of our management more difficult and may depress our stock price.

Our organizational documents contain provisions that may have an anti-takeover effect and inhibit a change in our management. They could also have the effect of discouraging others from making tender offers for our Common Stock. As a result, these provisions could prevent our stockholders from receiving a premium for their shares of Common Stock above the prevailing market prices. These provisions include:

the authority of the Company's Board of Directors (the "Board") to issue, without stockholder approval, up to 10,000,000 shares of our preferred stock with such terms as our Board may determine;

special meetings of our stockholders may be called only by the Chairman of our Board or by our Corporate Secretary upon delivery of a written request executed by three directors (or, if there are fewer than three directors in office at that time, by all incumbent directors);

n staggered Board, as a result of which only one of the three classes of directors is elected each year; advance notice requirements for nominations for election to our Board, or for proposing matters that can be acted on by stockholders at stockholder meetings;

restrictions in our certificate of incorporation that impose limitations on the transfer of our securities, which are intended to protect our net operating losses and other tax attributes;

the absence of cumulative voting rights;

subject to any special rights of the holders of our preferred stock may have to elect directors, removal of incumbent directors only for cause.

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation contains provisions that restrict mergers and other business combinations with an "Interested Stockholder" (as defined therein) or that may otherwise have the effect of preventing or delaying a change of control of our company. Our Board has waived the application of this provision to Leucadia and CF Turul. Also see Part I, Item IA. "Risk Related to HRG-HRG and certain of its subsidiaries, including Spectrum Brands and FGL, may not be able to fully utilize their net operating loss and other tax carryforward; restrictions in HRG's certificate of incorporation intended to protect net operating losses and other tax attributes may limit transfer of HRG's securities" for the restrictions on certain transfers of our Common Stock.

Under most states' statutes, including those of Iowa and New York, acquiring 10% or more of the voting stock of an insurance company or its parent company is presumptively considered a change of control, although such presumption may be rebutted. Accordingly, any person who acquires 10% or more of the voting securities of HRG, FGL, FGH, FGL Insurance or FGL NY Insurance without the prior approval of the insurance regulators of Iowa and New York will be in violation of those states' laws and may be subject to injunctive action requiring the disposition or seizure of those securities by the relevant insurance regulator, prohibiting the voting of those securities and/or other actions determined by the relevant insurance regulator. Any such investors will need to obtain approval to divest of their controlling interest, except for Leucadia, CF Turul and HCP, each of whom has obtained the necessary regulatory approval.

Our restated bylaws provide that the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware will be the exclusive forum for substantially all disputes between us and our stockholders, which could limit our stockholders' ability to obtain a favorable judicial forum for disputes with us or our directors, officers or employees.

Our restated bylaws provides that the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware is the exclusive forum for any derivative action or proceeding brought on our behalf, any action asserting a breach of fiduciary duty, any action asserting a claim against us arising pursuant to the Delaware General Corporation Law, our amended and restated certificate of incorporation or our restated bylaws, any action to interpret, apply, enforce, or determine the validity of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation or restated bylaws, or any action asserting a claim against us that is governed by the internal affairs doctrine. The choice of forum provision may limit a stockholder's ability to bring a claim in a judicial forum that it finds favorable for disputes with us or our directors, officers or other employees, which may discourage such lawsuits against us and our directors, officers and other employees. Alternatively, if a court were to find the choice of forum provision contained in our restated bylaws to be inapplicable or unenforceable in an action, we may incur additional costs associated with resolving such action in other jurisdictions, which could adversely affect our business and financial condition.

The nature of certain of our assets is volatile and their value may fluctuate or change over short periods of time. We are a holding company and as such, hold, directly or indirectly, various securities and debt instruments. Investments in such securities and debt instruments involves significant risk, including the risk of partial or total loss of the value of such investments, particularly in light of uncertain domestic and global political, credit and financial market conditions. Any such loss may have a material adverse effect on our and our subsidiaries' liquidity and results of operations, and can adversely affect our and our subsidiaries' ability to service our debt and carry out our business strategy.

In addition, some of our subsidiaries are privately-held companies and some of our assets are illiquid securities, the fair values of which are not readily determinable. We value these securities for various purposes based on a number of factors, including, without limitation, third-party independent valuations. Because valuations, and particularly valuations of private and illiquid securities, are inherently uncertain, such valuations may fluctuate significantly over time and may differ materially from the values that would have been obtained if an active market existed for these securities.

Disruption or failures of our or our subsidiaries' information technology systems could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Our and our subsidiaries' information technology systems are susceptible to security breaches, operational data loss, general disruptions in functionality, and may not be compatible with new technology. We and our subsidiaries depend

on information technology systems for the effectiveness of operations and to interface with those with whom we and our subsidiaries conduct business, as well as to maintain financial and other records. Disruption or failures of such information technology systems could impair our or our subsidiaries' ability to effectively and timely conduct our operations and maintain financial records, which could damage our reputation and have a material adverse effect on our business.

Our ability to dispose of securities and debt interests may be limited by restrictive stockholder agreements, by the federal securities laws and by other regulations or market conditions.

When we acquire securities or debt instruments directly or indirectly through subsidiaries, we acquire securities or debt instruments that are illiquid and, when we acquire less than 100% of the equity interests of a company, we may be subject to restrictive terms of agreements with other equityholders. In addition, we may hold, and may in the future hold, securities and debt instruments that are not registered under the Securities Act and/or (as is the case with respect to our shares of Spectrum

Brands and FGL) restricted securities under the Securities Act. Our ability to sell such securities and debt instruments could be limited by market conditions and the illiquid nature of such securities and debt instruments and could be limited to sales pursuant to: (i) an effective registration statement under the Securities Act covering the resale of those securities; (ii) Rule 144 under the Securities Act, which, among other things, requires a specified holding period and limits the manner and volume of sales; (iii) another applicable exemption under the Securities Act; or (iv) approval of certain regulators. We hold, and may in the future hold, large amounts of the securities or debt instruments of a particular issuer, which may limit our ability to sell such securities or debt instruments on economically attractive terms or at all. The inability to sell such securities or debt instruments when desired or necessary may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and liquidity, which could adversely affect our ability to service our debt and our ability to carry out our business strategy.

We may suffer adverse consequences if we are deemed an investment company under the Investment Company Act and we may be required to incur significant costs to avoid investment company status and our activities may be restricted.

We believe that we are not an investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "Investment Company Act") and we intend to continue to make acquisitions and other investments in a manner so as not to be an investment company. The Investment Company Act contains substantive legal requirements that regulate the manner in which investment companies are permitted to conduct their business activities. If the Commission or a court were to disagree with us, we could be required to register as an investment company. This would negatively affect our ability to consummate acquisitions; subject us to disclosure and accounting guidance geared toward investment, rather than operating companies; limit our ability to borrow money, issue options, issue multiple classes of stock and debt, and engage in transactions with affiliates; and require us to undertake significant costs and expenses to meet the disclosure and regulatory requirements to which we would be subject as a registered investment company. In order not to be regulated as an investment company under the Investment Company Act, unless we can qualify for an exemption, we must ensure that we are engaged primarily in a business other than investing, reinvesting, owning, holding or trading in securities (as defined in the Investment Company Act) and that we do not own or acquire "investment securities" having a value exceeding 40% of the value of our total assets (exclusive of U.S. government securities and cash items) on an unconsolidated basis. To ensure that majority-owned investments, such as Spectrum Brands, do not become categorized as "investment securities," we may need to make additional investments in these subsidiaries to offset any dilution of our interest that would otherwise cause such a subsidiary to cease to be majority-owned. We may also need to forego acquisitions that we would otherwise make or retain, or dispose of investments that we might otherwise hold.

There may be tax consequences associated with our acquisition, investment, holding and disposition of operating businesses and other assets.

We may incur significant taxes in connection with effecting acquisitions or investments, holding, receiving payments from, and operating businesses and other assets and disposing of operating businesses and other assets. Our decisions to make a particular acquisition, sell a particular asset or increase or decrease a particular investment may be based on considerations other than the timing and amount of taxes owed as a result.

HRG and certain of its subsidiaries, including Spectrum Brands and FGL, may not be able to fully utilize their net operating loss and other tax carryforward; restrictions in HRG's certificate of incorporation intended to protect net operating losses and other tax attributes may limit transfer of HRG's securities

As of September 30, 2016, HRG and Spectrum Brands had U.S. Federal net operating loss ("NOL") carryforwards of approximately \$1,170.5 million and \$758.9 million, respectively that, if unused, will expire through year 2036. HRG and Spectrum Brands had tax benefits related to U.S. state NOL carryforwards of approximately \$93.9 million and \$60.6 million, respectively, at September 30, 2016, that, if unused, will expire through year 2036. As of September 30, 2016, HRG and Spectrum Brands had U.S. Federal capital loss carryforwards of approximately \$322.4 million and \$19.8 million, respectively that, if unused, will expire through year 2021; and Spectrum Brands had foreign loss carryforwards of approximately \$136.3 million, which will expire beginning in Fiscal 2017. As of September 30, 2016, FGL had a proforma non-life U.S. Federal NOL carryforwards of approximately \$133.6 million that, if unused, will expire in years 2026 through 2036 and had capital loss carryforwards of approximately \$6.0 million that, if

unused, will expire through year 2021. In addition, any future subsidiary that HRG or its subsidiaries may acquire may also have significant Federal, state, local and foreign NOL carryforwards. HRG and Spectrum Brands have established valuation allowances for these deferred tax assets, based on their assessments of the amounts of deferred tax assets that are not more-likely-than-not to be realizable. In addition, FGL has established a full valuation allowance for net deferred tax assets related to its non-life subsidiaries.

The ability of HRG and its subsidiaries (including any future subsidiary) to utilize their NOL and other tax carryforwards to reduce taxable income in future years may be limited for various reasons, including if projected future taxable income is insufficient to recognize the full benefit of such NOL carryforwards prior to their expiration. Additionally, the ability of HRG and its subsidiaries (including any future subsidiary) to fully use these tax assets could also be adversely affected if the respective companies were deemed to have an "ownership change" within the meaning of Sections 382 and 383 of the Code. An ownership change is generally defined as a greater than 50% increase in equity ownership by "5% shareholders" (as that term is defined for purposes of Sections 382 and 383 of the Code) in any three-year period. HRG and its subsidiaries (including Spectrum Brands and FGL) have experienced ownership changes that have limited the utilization of a portion of their NOL carryforwards and

other carryforward tax attributes. Future ownership changes, including transfers or dispositions of our stock by HCP or other stockholders and conversions or redemptions of our preferred stock, could, depending on their magnitude, result in ownership changes that would trigger the imposition of additional limitations on the utilization of these tax assets under Sections 382 and 383. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that, in the future, HRG and/or its subsidiaries (including any future subsidiary) will not experience additional limitations on utilizing the tax benefits of their NOL and other tax carryforwards. Such limitations could have a material adverse effect on HRG and/or its subsidiaries' results of operations, cash flows or financial condition.

In order to reduce the likelihood that future transactions in our Common Stock will result in an ownership change under Section 382 of the Code ("Section 382"), on July 13, 2015, following receipt of stockholder approval, we filed an amendment to our amended and restated certificate of incorporation (the "Charter"). The Charter amendment is designed to reduce the likelihood of an "ownership change" under U.S. federal tax laws by restricting certain direct and indirect acquisitions and dispositions of our Common Stock. The restrictions imposed under the amendment apply to any direct and indirect holders of, or persons who would become holders of, 4.9% or more of our Common Stock (and certain other interests in the Company that are treated as stock for U.S. federal tax purposes). As of July 13, 2015, which is the date of the adoption of the Charter amendment, any direct or indirect transfer of our shares of Common Stock (or such other Company securities) in violation of the restrictions will be void as of the date of the purported transfer as to the purported transferee, and the purported transferee will not be recognized as the owner of such securities for any purpose, including for purposes of voting and receiving dividends or other distributions. These restrictions may adversely affect the ability of certain holders of our Common Stock to dispose of or acquire shares of our Common Stock and may have an adverse impact on the liquidity of our Common Stock generally. Our Board will have the power to determine and interpret, in its sole discretion, all matters necessary for assessing compliance with the provisions of the Charter transfer restrictions. These matters include (i) the identification of a 4.9% stockholder, (ii) whether a transfer is a prohibited transfer, (iii) the percentage stock ownership interest in the Company of any person for the purposes of Section 382, (iv) whether an instrument constitutes a security of the Company, (v) the amount or fair market value due to a purported transferee pursuant to the alternate procedure described in the Charter, (vi) the interpretation of the provisions of the Charter amendment and (vii) any other matters which our Board determines to be relevant. To the extent permitted by law, the good faith determination of the Board on such matters will be conclusive and binding on all persons and entities for purposes of the Charter transfer restrictions.

In connection with its consideration of the Charter transfer restrictions, the Board has provided to CF Turul, the beneficial owner of 16.4% of our issued and outstanding Common Stock as of November 8, 2016, its approval, as required under the Charter transfer restrictions, to make, subject to specified limitations and other terms and conditions, one or more distributions of our shares of Common Stock on a substantially pro rata basis to the members of CF Turul and by such members and their affiliates to the ultimate owners who are not entities sponsored or organized by Fortress Investment Group LLC (such person each, a "Specified Holder"). In addition, the Board has also provided the funds affiliated with HCP, which were at the time a Specified Holder and the beneficial owner of approximately 10.3% of our issued and outstanding Common Stock, its approval, as required under the Charter transfer restrictions, to sell, subject to specified limitations and other terms and conditions, the shares of Common Stock that HCP held. It is our understanding that as of the date of this report HCP has disposed of a substantial amount of their stock and is no longer a Specified Holder.

While the Charter amendment is intended protect the benefits of our NOLs and other tax assets, there can be no assurance that we will not experience future transactions in our Common Stock that results in some or all of our NOLs attributes being lost or limited. For example, (i) our Board can permit a transfer to an acquirer that results in or contributes to an ownership change if it determines that such transfer is in our or our stockholders' best interests; (ii) a court could find that part or all of the charter transfer restrictions are not enforceable, either in general or as applied to a particular stockholder or fact situation; (iii) certain changes in relationships among our stockholders or other events not proscribed under the Charter amendment could contribute to or cause an ownership change under Section 382; and (iv) an ownership change could be caused or contributed to as a result of our own actions, such as issuing, repurchasing or redeeming shares of our Common Stock, which we remain free to do if our Board determines that it is

in our or our stockholders' best interests to do so.

Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 requires us to document and test our internal controls over financial reporting and to report on our assessment as to the effectiveness of these controls. Any delays or difficulty in satisfying these requirements or negative reports concerning our internal controls could adversely affect our future results of operations and financial condition.

We may in the future discover areas of our internal controls that need improvement, particularly with respect to our or our subsidiaries or businesses that we or our subsidiaries may acquire in the future, and newly formed businesses or entities. For instance, Compass has only recently transitioned to a stand-alone independent company with, among other things, its own reporting process and internal controls over financial reporting, and certain of our less significant subsidiaries may become more material to us and may have a greater impact on the effectiveness of our controls if the FGL Merger is consummated. We cannot be certain that we or our subsidiaries will develop, implement, and maintain adequate internal controls over financial reporting in the future.

In addition, we or our subsidiaries may acquire an entity that was not previously subject to U.S. public company requirements or did not previously prepare financial statements in accordance with the United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

("U.S. GAAP") or is not in compliance with the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 or other public company reporting obligations applicable to such entity directly or through us. We or our subsidiaries may incur significant additional costs in order to ensure, that after such acquisition, HRG or our subsidiaries continue to comply with the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and its other public company requirements, which, in turn, would reduce our earnings and negatively affect our liquidity or cause us to fail to meet our or our subsidiaries reporting obligations. In addition, development of an adequate financial reporting system and the internal controls of any such entity to achieve compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 may increase the time and costs necessary to complete any such acquisition or cause us or our subsidiaries to fail to meet our reporting obligations. To the extent any of these newly-acquired entities or any existing entities have deficiencies in their internal controls, it may impact our internal controls.

Any failure to implement required new or improved controls, or difficulties encountered in their implementation, could harm our or our subsidiaries' operating results or cause us or our subsidiaries to fail to meet our respective reporting obligations. If we or our subsidiaries are unable to conclude that we or our subsidiaries have effective internal controls over financial reporting, or if our or our subsidiaries' independent registered public accounting firm is unable to provide us or our subsidiaries with an unqualified report regarding the effectiveness of our or our subsidiaries' internal controls over financial reporting to the extent required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, investors could lose confidence in the reliability of our or our subsidiaries' financial statements. Failure to comply with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 could potentially subject us or our subsidiaries to sanctions or investigations by the Commission, or other regulatory authorities. In addition, failure to comply with our reporting obligations with the Commission may cause an event of default to occur under the Indentures, or similar instruments governing any debt we or our subsidiaries incur in the future.

Limitations on liability and indemnification matters.

As permitted by Delaware law, we have included in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation a provision to eliminate the personal liability of our directors for monetary damages for breach or alleged breach of their fiduciary duties as directors, subject to certain exceptions. Our restated bylaws also provide that we are required to indemnify our directors under certain circumstances, including those circumstances in which indemnification would otherwise be discretionary, and we will be required to advance expenses to our directors as incurred in connection with proceedings against them for which they may be indemnified. In addition, we may, by action of our Board, provide indemnification and advance expenses to our officers, employees and agents (other than directors), to directors, officers, employees or agents of a subsidiary of the Company, and to each person serving as a director, officer, partner, member, employee or agent of another corporation, partnership, limited liability company, joint venture, trust or other enterprise, at our request, with the same scope and effect as the indemnification of our directors provided in our restated bylaws.

We and our subsidiaries may be adversely affected by further deterioration in economic conditions.

From December 2007 through June 2009, the U.S. economy was in recession. Business activity across a wide range of industries and regions in the U.S. was greatly reduced. Although economic conditions have begun to improve, certain sectors remain weak and unemployment remains high. Local governments and many businesses are in serious difficulty due to lower consumer spending and the lack of liquidity in the credit markets.

In 2011 and 2012, concern over sovereign debt in Greece, Spain, Italy and certain other European Union countries caused significant fluctuations of the Euro, relative to other currencies, such as the U.S. Dollar. Criticism of excessive national debt among certain European Union countries has led to credit downgrades of the sovereign debt of several countries in the region, and uncertainty about the future status of the Euro. Destabilization of the European economy could lead to a decrease in consumer confidence, which could cause reductions in discretionary spending and demand for our subsidiary Spectrum Brands' products. Furthermore, sovereign debt issues could also lead to further significant, and potentially longer-term, economic issues, such as reduced economic growth and devaluation of the Euro against the U.S. Dollar, any of which could adversely affect our and each of our subsidiaries' business, financial condition and operating results. See the risk factor entitled "Spectrum Brands faces risks relating to the United Kingdom's 2016 referendum, which called for its exit from the European Union" in this Form 10-K.

Additionally, a slowing of improvement or a return to deteriorating business and economic conditions could have one or more of the following adverse effects, in particular, on Salus' business: a decrease in net interest income derived from Salus' lending and investment activities, as applicable; a decrease in the value of Salus' assets, including the value of assets pledged as collateral by Salus' borrowers, as applicable; an impairment of certain intangible assets, such as goodwill; and an increase in the number of borrowers and counterparties who become delinquent, file for protection under bankruptcy laws or default on its loans or other obligations to Salus, as applicable. An increase in the number of delinquencies, bankruptcies or defaults could result in a higher level of nonperforming assets, net charge-offs, provision for loan losses and valuation adjustments on Salus' loans, as applicable, which may negatively impact Salus' ability to wind down its operations in an orderly manner.

We may issue additional shares of common stock or preferred stock which would dilute the interests of our stockholders and could present other risks.

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation authorizes the issuance of up to 500,000,000 shares of common stock and 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock. As of November 17, 2016, we had 200,908,522 shares of our common stock outstanding.

In addition, as of November 17, 2016, we have 9,065,477 shares of common stock remaining for issuance pursuant to the HRG Group, Inc. 2011 Omnibus Equity Award Plan (formerly, Harbinger Group Inc. 2011 Omnibus Equity Award Plan, as amended (the "2011 Plan")) and 1,200,000 shares of common stock remaining for issuance pursuant to the Harbinger Group Inc. 2014 Warrant Plan.

Price fluctuations in our Common Stock could result from general market and economic conditions and a variety of other factors, including factors that affect the volatility of the common stock of any of our publicly-held subsidiaries. The trading price of our Common Stock may be highly-volatile and could be subject to fluctuations in response to a number of factors beyond our control, including:

actual or anticipated fluctuations in our results of operations and the performance of our subsidiaries and their competitors;

reaction of the market to our announcement of any future acquisitions, dispositions, or other business opportunities by us or our subsidiaries, including the Company's review of strategic alternatives and the timing and status of the FGL Merger;

the public's reaction to our and/or our subsidiaries' press releases, our other public announcements and our filings with the Commission;

changes in general economic conditions;

actions of our historical equity investors, including sales of common stock by our significant stockholders, our directors and our executive officers; and

actions by institutional investors or our significant stockholders trading in our stock.

In addition, the trading price of our Common Stock could be subject to fluctuations in response to a number of factors that affect the volatility of the common stock of any of our subsidiaries, such as Spectrum Brands and FGL, which are publicly traded.

Future sales of substantial amounts of our Common Stock may adversely affect our market price.

We have granted registration rights to Leucadia and CF Turul and certain of their transferees under a registration rights agreement, to facilitate the resale of their shares of our Common Stock. Under this registration rights agreement, Leucadia and CF Turul, and certain of their transferees have the right, subject to certain conditions, to require us to register the sale of their shares or their permitted transferees' shares under the federal securities laws. By exercising their registration rights, and selling all or a portion of their shares, Leucadia and CF Turul and their permitted transferees could cause the prevailing market price of our Common Stock to decline. We have filed several registration statements on Form S-3 that have registered the sale of a substantial amount of our Common Stock, from time to time, in secondary offerings by the stockholders listed therein. Furthermore, the shares of our Common Stock owned by Leucadia and CF Turul may also be sold in the public market under Rule 144 of the Securities Act. We have, in the past, issued a substantial amount of shares of preferred stock, the majority of which were subsequently converted into shares of our Common Stock. We may issue a substantial amount of preferred stock in the future. If these rights are exercised in full, it might adversely affect the market price of our Common Stock.

Future sales of substantial amounts of our Common Stock into the public market, or perceptions in the market that such sales could occur, may adversely affect the prevailing market price of our Common Stock and impair our ability to raise capital through the sale of additional equity securities.

The market liquidity for our Common Stock is relatively low and may make it difficult to purchase or sell our stock. The daily trading volume in our Common Stock is volatile and relatively low, which may make it difficult to purchase or sell shares of our Common Stock. Although a more active trading market may develop in the future, there can be no assurance as to the liquidity of any markets that may develop for our Common Stock or the prices at which holders may be able to sell our Common Stock and the limited market liquidity for our stock could affect a stockholder's ability to sell at a price satisfactory to that stockholder.

From time to time, we and our subsidiaries may be subject to litigation for which we and our subsidiaries may be unable to accurately assess our level of exposure and which, if adversely determined, may have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

We and our subsidiaries are or may become parties to legal proceedings related to our or their current or prior businesses for which, depending on the circumstances, a reserve may not have been established or otherwise provided

for or insured against. There can be no assurance that we will prevail in any litigation in which we or our subsidiaries may become involved, or that our or their insurance coverage will be adequate to cover any or all potential losses. In addition, from time to time, we may decide to settle litigation involving us or our subsidiaries for a variety of reasons and regardless of our perceived merits of the claims related to such litigation. Such settlements may include non-monetary, as well as monetary terms. To the extent that we or our subsidiaries sustain losses from such proceeding which are not reserved or otherwise provided for or insured against, our business, results of operations, cash flows and/or financial condition could be materially adversely affected. See Part I, Item 3. "Legal Proceedings."

Agreements, transactions and litigation involving or resulting from the activities of our predecessor and its former subsidiaries may subject us to future claims or litigation that could materially adversely impact our capital resources. HRG is the successor to Zapata Corporation, which was a holding company engaged, through its subsidiaries, in a number of business activities. The activities of our predecessor company and its subsidiaries may subject us to future claims or litigation regardless of the merit of such claims or litigation and the defenses available to us and our subsidiaries. The time and expense that we may be required to dedicate to such matters may be material to us and our subsidiaries and may adversely impact our capital resources. In addition, throughout our history, our predecessor company entered into numerous transactions relating to the sale, disposal or spinoff of its partially and wholly-owned subsidiaries. We may have continuing obligations pursuant to certain of these transactions, including obligations to indemnify other parties to agreements, and may be subject to risks resulting from these transactions. See Part I, Item 3. "Legal Proceedings."

Risks Related to Spectrum Brands' Business

Spectrum Brands is a parent company with limited business operations of its own. Its main asset is the capital stock of its subsidiaries, including SBI. Spectrum Brands conducts most of its business operations through its subsidiaries and its primary source of cash is and will be distributions from its subsidiaries.

Spectrum Brands' primary sources of cash are dividends and distributions with respect to its ownership interests in its subsidiaries that are derived from their earnings and cash flow. Spectrum Brands' and SBI's subsidiaries might not generate sufficient earnings and cash flow to pay dividends or distributions in the future. Spectrum Brands' and SBI's subsidiaries' payments to their respective parent will be contingent upon their earnings, upon other business considerations and compliance with the terms of SBI's indebtedness.

SBI substantial indebtedness may limit its financial and operating flexibility, and Spectrum Brands may incur additional debt, which could increase the risks associated with its substantial indebtedness.

SBI has, and expects to continue to have, a significant amount of indebtedness. As of September 30, 2016, SBI had total indebtedness under senior secured facilities (the "SBI Senior Secured Facilities"), notes (the "SBI Notes") and other debt instruments of approximately \$3.7 billion. SBI's substantial indebtedness has had, and could continue to have, material adverse consequences for its business, and may:

require Spectrum Brands to dedicate a large portion of its cash flow to pay principal and interest on its indebtedness, which will reduce the availability of its cash flow to fund working capital, capital expenditures, research and development expenditures and other business activities;

increase its vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions;

limit its flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in its business and the industry in which Spectrum Brands operates;

restrict its ability to make strategic acquisitions, dispositions or to exploit business opportunities;

place Spectrum Brands at a competitive disadvantage compared to its competitors that have less debt; and limit its ability to borrow additional funds (even when necessary to maintain adequate liquidity) or dispose of assets. Under the SBI Senior Secured Facilities and indentures governing the SBI Notes (together, the "SBI Indentures"), SBI may incur additional indebtedness. If new debt is added to its existing debt levels, the related risks that Spectrum Brands now faces would increase.

Furthermore, a portion of SBI's debt bears interest at variable rates. If market interest rates increase, the interest rate on SBI's variable rate debt will increase and will create higher debt service requirements, which would adversely affect SBI's cash flow and could adversely impact SBI's results of operations. While SBI may enter into agreements limiting SBI's exposure to higher debt service requirements, any such agreements may not offer complete protection from this risk.

Restrictive covenants in the SBI Senior Secured Facilities and the SBI Indentures may restrict SBI's ability to pursue its business strategies.

The SBI Senior Secured Facilities and the SBI Indentures each restrict, among other things, asset dispositions, mergers and acquisitions, dividends, stock repurchases and redemptions, other restricted payments, indebtedness and preferred stock, loans and investments, liens and affiliate transactions. The SBI Senior Secured Facilities and the SBI

Indentures also contain customary events of default. These covenants could, among other things, limit SBI's ability to fund future working capital and capital expenditures, engage in future acquisitions or development activities, or otherwise realize the value of its assets and opportunities fully. In addition, the SBI Senior Secured Facilities and the SBI Indentures require SBI to dedicate a portion of cash flow from operations to payments on debt and also contain borrowing restrictions based on, among other things, Spectrum Brands' fixed charge coverage ratio. Furthermore, the credit agreement governing the SBI Senior Secured Facilities contains a financial covenant relating to maximum leverage. Such requirements and covenants could limit the flexibility of SBI's restricted entities in planning for, or reacting to, changes in the industries in which they operate. SBI's ability to comply with these covenants is

subject to certain events outside of its control. If SBI is unable to comply with these covenants, the lenders under the SBI Senior Secured Facilities could terminate their commitments and the lenders under the SBI Senior Secured Facilities or the holders of the SBI Notes could accelerate repayment of SBI' outstanding borrowings and, in either case, SBI may be unable to obtain adequate refinancing of outstanding borrowings on favorable terms or at all. If SBI is unable to repay outstanding borrowings when due, the lenders under the SBI Senior Secured Facilities will also have the right to proceed against the collateral granted to them to secure the indebtedness owed to them. If SBI's obligations under the SBI Senior Secured Facilities are accelerated, SBI cannot assure you that its assets would be sufficient to repay in full such indebtedness.

The sale or other disposition by HRG, the holder of a majority of the outstanding shares of Spectrum Brands' common stock, to non-affiliates of a sufficient amount of the common stock of Spectrum Brands would constitute a change of control under the agreements governing Spectrum Brands' debt.

HRG owns a majority of the outstanding shares of the common stock of Spectrum Brands. The sale or other disposition by HRG to non-affiliates of a sufficient amount of the common stock of Spectrum Brands could constitute a change of control under certain of the facilities governing Spectrum Brands' debt, including any foreclosure on or sale of Spectrum Brands' common stock pledged as collateral by HRG pursuant to the indenture governing HRG's 7.875% Senior Secured Notes due 2019. Under the SBI's Senior Secured Facilities, a change of control is an event of default and, if a change of control were to occur, Spectrum Brands would be required to amend these agreements to avoid a default. If Spectrum Brands was unable to amend these facilities, the lenders could accelerate the maturity of any outstanding debt under these facilities. In addition, under the SBI Indentures, upon a change of control of Spectrum Brands, SBI is required to offer to repurchase the SBI Notes from the holders at a price equal to 101% of the principal amount of the SBI Notes plus accrued interest or obtain a waiver of default from the holders of such notes. If SBI is unable to make the change of control offer, or to obtain a waiver of default, it would be an event of default under the SBI Indentures that could allow holders of such notes to accelerate the maturity of the SBI Notes. Spectrum Brands faces risks related to the current economic environment.

The economic environment and related turmoil in the global financial system in recent years had an impact on Spectrum Brands' business and financial condition, and Spectrum Brands may face additional challenges if economic and financial market conditions deteriorate in the future.

Global economic conditions have significantly impacted economic markets within certain sectors, with financial services and retail businesses being particularly impacted. Spectrum Brands' ability to generate revenue depends significantly on discretionary consumer spending. It is difficult to predict new general economic conditions that could impact consumer and customer demand for Spectrum Brands' products or Spectrum Brands' ability to manage normal commercial relationships with its customers, suppliers and creditors. A number of negative economic factors, including constraints on the supply of credit to households, uncertainty and weakness in the labor market and general consumer fears of a new economic downturn could have a negative impact on discretionary consumer spending. If the economy deteriorates or fails to further improve, Spectrum Brands' business could be negatively impacted, including as a result of reduced demand for its products or supplier or customer disruptions. Any weakness in discretionary consumer spending could have a material adverse effect on Spectrum Brands' revenues, results of operations and financial condition. In addition, Spectrum Brands' ability to access the capital markets may be restricted at a time when it could be necessary or beneficial to do so, which could have an impact on Spectrum Brands' flexibility to react to changing economic and business conditions.

In the last few years, concern over continuing high unemployment, stagnant economic performance and government debt levels in many European Union countries caused significant fluctuations of the Euro relative to other currencies, such as the U.S. Dollar. Continued weakness of the European economy could lead to a decrease in consumer confidence, which could cause reductions in discretionary spending and demand for our products. Furthermore, sovereign debt issues could also lead to further significant, and potentially longer-term, economic issues such as reduced economic growth and devaluation of the Euro against the U.S. Dollar, any of which could adversely affect Spectrum Brands' business, financial conditions and operating results. Moreover, risks related to the United Kingdom's 2016 referendum to exit the European Union could exacerbate the foregoing risks and create additional uncertainty for Spectrum Brands' business. See the risk factor entitled "Spectrum Brands faces risks relating the United Kingdom's

2016 referendum, which called for its exit from the European Union" in this form 10-K.

Spectrum Brands participates in very competitive markets and it may not be able to compete successfully, causing Spectrum Brands to lose market share and sales.

Spectrum Brands competes for consumer acceptance and limited shelf space based upon brand name recognition, perceived product quality, price, performance, product features and enhancements, product packaging and design innovation, as well as creative marketing, promotion and distribution strategies, and new product introductions. Spectrum Brands' ability to compete in these consumer product markets may be adversely affected by a number of factors, including, but not limited to, the following:

Spectrum Brands competes against many well-established companies that may have substantially greater financial and other resources, including personnel and research and development, and greater overall market share than Spectrum Brands.

In some key product lines, Spectrum Brands' competitors may have lower production costs and higher profit margins than Spectrum Brands, which may enable them to compete more aggressively in offering retail discounts, rebates and other promotional incentives.

Technological advancements, product improvements or effective advertising campaigns by competitors may weaken consumer demand for Spectrum Brands' products.

Consumer purchasing behavior may shift to distribution channels, including to online retailers, where Spectrum Brands and its customers do not have a strong presence.

Consumer preferences may change to lower margin products or products other than those that Spectrum Brands markets.

Spectrum Brands may not be successful in the introduction, marketing and manufacture of any new products or product innovations or be able to develop and introduce, in a timely manner, innovations to its existing products that satisfy customer needs or achieve market acceptance.

In the consumer battery product category, Spectrum Brands' primary competitors are Duracell (a brand of Berkshire Hathaway), Energizer and Panasonic (a brand of Matsushita). In the personal care product category, Spectrum Brands' primary competitors are Braun (a licensed brand of Procter & Gamble), Norelco (a brand of Philips), and Conair, Wahl, and Helen of Troy. In PET business, Spectrum Brands' primary competitors are Central Garden & Pet, Mars and Hartz. In the H&G business, Spectrum Brands' principal national competitors are Scotts, Central Garden & Pet and S.C. Johnson. Spectrum Brands' principal national competitors within Spectrum Brands' small appliances product category include Newell Brands, DeLonghi America, SharkNinja (f/k/a Euro-Pro Operating LLC), NACCO Industries, Inc. and SEB S.A. In the HHI business, Spectrum Brands' principal competitors are Fortune Brands, Allegion, Masco, Kohler and American Standard. In the GAC business, Spectrum Brands' primary competitors are Valvoline, Prestone, Turtle Wax, Black Magic, Energizer and store brands.

In addition, in a number of Spectrum Brands' product lines, Spectrum Brands competes with its retail customers, who use their own private label brands, and with distributors and foreign manufacturers of unbranded products. Significant new competitors or increased competition from existing competitors may adversely affect Spectrum Brands' business, financial condition and results of Spectrum Brands' operations.

Some competitors may be willing to reduce prices and accept lower profit margins to compete with Spectrum Brands. As a result of this competition, Spectrum Brands could lose market share and sales, or be forced to reduce its prices to meet competition. If Spectrum Brands' product offerings are unable to compete successfully, Spectrum Brands' sales, results of operations and financial condition could be materially and adversely affected. In addition, Spectrum Brands may be unable to implement changes to its products or otherwise adapt to changing consumer trends. If Spectrum Brands is unable to respond to changing consumer trends, its operating results and financial condition could be adversely affected.

Spectrum Brands faces risks relating to the United Kingdom's 2016 referendum, which called for its exit from the European Union.

The announcement of the referendum regarding the United Kingdom's ("UK") membership in the European Union ("EU") on June 23, 2016 (referred to as "Brexit"), advising for the exit of the UK from the EU, has adversely impacted global markets and foreign currencies. In particular, the value of the Pound Sterling has sharply declined as compared to the US Dollar and other currencies. This volatility in foreign currencies is expected to continue as the UK negotiates and executes its exit from the EU, but there is uncertainty over what time period this will occur. A significantly weaker Pound Sterling compared to the US Dollar could have a significant negative effect on Spectrum Brands' business, financial condition and results of operations. The decrease in value to the Pound Sterling and impacts across global markets and foreign currencies may influence trends in consumer confidence and discretionary spending habits, but given the lack of precedent and uncertainty, it is unclear how the implications will affect Spectrum Brands.

The UK is expected to remain a member of the EU for some period of time and there is generally not expected to be any immediate change in either EU or UK law as a consequence of the "leave" vote. However, Spectrum Brands can provide no assurances that such consequences will not occur. Negotiations will commence to determine the future terms of the UK relationship with the EU, including, among other things, the terms of trade between the UK and the EU. The effects of Brexit will depend on many factors, including any agreements that the UK makes to retain access

to EU markets either during a transitional period or more permanently. Brexit could lead to legal uncertainty and potentially divergent national laws and regulations as the UK determines which EU laws to replace or replicate. Any of these effects of Brexit and others we cannot anticipate, could materially and adversely affect Spectrum Brands' business, business opportunities, results of operations, financial condition, liquidity and cash flows. Sales of certain of Spectrum Brands' products are seasonal and may cause its operating results and working capital requirements to fluctuate.

On a consolidated basis Spectrum Brands' financial results are approximately equally weighted across its fiscal quarters, however, sales of certain product categories tend to be seasonal. Sales from Spectrum Brands' GBA product line, primarily from consumer battery and electric personal care product categories tend to increase during the December holiday season (Spectrum Brands' first fiscal quarter), while small appliances sales increase from July through December primarily due to the increased demand

by customers in the late summer for "back-to-school" sales (Spectrum Brands' fourth fiscal quarter) and in December for the holiday season. Sales from Spectrum Brands' HHI product line primarily increase during the spring and summer construction period (Spectrum Brands' third and fourth fiscal quarters). Sales from Spectrum Brands' PET product line remain fairly consistent throughout the year with little variation. Sales from Spectrum Brands' H&G product line and GAC product line typically peak during the first six months of the calendar year (Spectrum Brands' second and third fiscal quarters) due to customer seasonal purchasing patterns and timing of promotional activities. As a result of this seasonality, Spectrum Brands' inventory and working capital needs fluctuate significantly throughout the year. In addition, orders from retailers are often made late in the period preceding the applicable peak season, making forecasting of production schedules and inventory purchases difficult. If Spectrum Brands is unable to accurately forecast and prepare for customer orders or its working capital needs, or there is a general downturn in business or economic conditions during these periods, Spectrum Brands' business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

Adverse weather conditions during Spectrum Brands' peak selling seasons for its home and garden control and auto care products could have a material adverse effect on its home and garden business and auto care business. Weather conditions have a significant impact on the timing and volume of sales of certain of Spectrum Brands' lawn and garden and household insecticide and repellent products. For example, periods of dry, hot weather can decrease insecticide sales, while periods of cold and wet weather can slow sales of herbicides. Adverse weather conditions during the first six months of the calendar year (Spectrum Brands' second and third fiscal quarters), when demand for home and garden control products typically peaks, could have a material adverse effect on Spectrum Brands' home and garden business and its financial results during such period. Weather can also influence customer behavior for Spectrum Brands' auto care products, especially with appearance and A/C recharge products, which sell best during warm, dry weather. There could be a material adverse effect on the auto care segment if the weather is cold or wet, during the spring and summer seasons when demand for Spectrum Brands' auto care products typically peaks. Spectrum Brands is subject to significant international business risks that could hurt its business and cause its results of operations to fluctuate.

Approximately 36% of Spectrum Brands' net sales for Fiscal 2016 were to customers outside of the U.S. Spectrum Brands' pursuit of international growth opportunities may require significant investments for an extended period before returns on these investments, if any, are realized. Spectrum Brands' international operations are subject to risks including, among others:

currency fluctuations, including, without limitation, fluctuations in the foreign exchange rate of the Euro, British Pound, Brazilian Real, Canadian Dollar, Australian Dollar, Japanese Yen and the Mexican Peso;

changes in the economic conditions or consumer preferences or demand for its products in these markets;

the risk that because its brand names may not be locally recognized, Spectrum Brands must spend significant amounts of time and money to build brand recognition without certainty that Spectrum Brands will be successful;

labor unrest;

political and economic instability, as a result of war, terrorist attacks, pandemics, natural disasters or otherwise; lack of developed infrastructure;

longer payment cycles and greater difficulty in collecting accounts;

restrictions on transfers of funds;

import and export duties and quotas, as well as general transportation costs;

changes in domestic and international customs and tariffs;

changes in foreign labor laws and regulations affecting Spectrum Brands' ability to hire and retain employees;

inadequate protection of intellectual property in foreign countries;

unexpected changes in regulatory environments;

difficulty in complying with foreign law; and

adverse tax consequences.

The foregoing factors may have a material adverse effect on Spectrum Brands' ability to increase or maintain its supply of products, financial condition or results of operations.

Spectrum Brands' products utilize certain key raw materials; any significant increase in the price of, or change in supply and demand for, these raw materials could have a material and adverse effect on its business, financial condition and profits.

The principal raw materials used to produce Spectrum Brands' products-including zinc powder, brass, electrolytic manganese dioxide powder, petroleum-based plastic materials, steel, aluminum, copper and corrugated materials (for packaging)-are sourced either on a global or regional basis by Spectrum Brands or its suppliers, and the prices of those raw materials are susceptible to price fluctuations due to supply and demand trends, energy costs, transportation costs, government regulations, duties and tariffs,

changes in currency exchange rates, price controls, general economic conditions and other unforeseen circumstances. In particular, during the years 2012 and 2013, Spectrum Brands experienced extraordinary price increases for raw materials, particularly as a result of strong demand from China. Although Spectrum Brands may increase the prices of certain of its goods to its customers, Spectrum Brands may not be able to pass all of these cost increases on to its customers. As a result, its margins may be adversely impacted by such cost increases. Spectrum Brands cannot provide any assurance that its sources of supply will not be interrupted due to changes in worldwide supply of or demand for raw materials or other events that interrupt material flow, which may have an adverse effect on its profitability and results of operations.

Spectrum Brands regularly engages in forward purchase and hedging derivative transactions in an attempt to effectively manage and stabilize some of the raw material costs it expects to incur over the next 12 to 24 months. However, Spectrum Brands' hedging positions may not be effective, or may not anticipate beneficial trends, in a particular raw material market or may, as a result of changes in its business, no longer be useful for Spectrum Brands. In addition, for certain of the principal raw materials Spectrum Brands uses to produce its products, such as electrolytic manganese dioxide powder, there are no available effective hedging markets. If these efforts are not effective or expose Spectrum Brands to above average costs for an extended period of time, and Spectrum Brands is unable to pass its raw materials costs on to its customers, Spectrum Brands' future profitability may be materially and adversely affected. Furthermore, with respect to transportation costs, certain modes of delivery are subject to fuel surcharges which are determined based upon the current cost of diesel fuel in relation to pre-established agreed upon costs. Spectrum Brands may be unable to pass these fuel surcharges on to its customers, which may have an adverse effect on its profitability and results of operations.

In addition, Spectrum Brands has exclusivity arrangements and minimum purchase requirements with certain of its suppliers for the home and garden business, which increase its dependence upon and exposure to those suppliers. Some of those agreements include caps on the price Spectrum Brands pays for its supplies and in certain instances, these caps have allowed Spectrum Brands to purchase materials at below market prices. When Spectrum Brands attempts to renew those contracts, the other parties to the contracts may not be willing to include or may limit the effect of those caps and could even attempt to impose above market prices in an effort to make up for any below market prices paid by Spectrum Brands prior to the renewal of the agreement. Any failure to timely obtain suitable supplies at competitive prices could materially adversely affect Spectrum Brands' business, financial condition and results of operations.

Consolidation of retailers and Spectrum Brands' dependence on a small number of key customers for a significant percentage of its sales may negatively affect its business, financial condition and results of operations. As a result of consolidation of retailers and consumer trends toward national mass merchandisers, a significant percentage of Spectrum Brands' sales are attributable to a limited group of customers. Spectrum Brands' largest customer, Wal-Mart, accounted for approximately 15% of its consolidated net sales for Fiscal 2016. As these mass merchandisers and retailers grow larger and become more sophisticated, they may demand lower pricing, special packaging or impose other requirements on product suppliers. These business demands may relate to inventory practices, logistics or other aspects of the customer-supplier relationship. Because of the importance of these key customers, demands for price reductions or promotions, reductions in their purchases, changes in their financial condition or loss of their accounts could have a material adverse effect on Spectrum Brands' business, financial condition and results of operations.

Although Spectrum Brands has long-established relationships with many of its customers, Spectrum Brands does not have long-term agreements with them and purchases are generally made through the use of individual purchase orders. Any significant reduction in purchases, failure to obtain anticipated orders or delays or cancellations of orders by any of these major customers, or significant pressure to reduce prices from any of these major customers, could have a material adverse effect on Spectrum Brands' business, financial condition and results of operations. Additionally, a significant deterioration in the financial condition of the retail industry in general, the bankruptcy of any of Spectrum Brands' customers or any of Spectrum Brands' customers ceasing operations could have a material adverse effect on Spectrum Brands' sales and profitability.

As a result of retailers maintaining tighter inventory control, Spectrum Brands faces risks related to meeting demand and storing inventory.

As a result of the desire of retailers to more closely manage inventory levels, there is a growing trend among them to purchase products on a "just-in-time" basis. Due to a number of factors, including (i) manufacturing lead-times, (ii) seasonal purchasing patterns and (iii) the potential for material price increases, Spectrum Brands may be required to shorten its lead-time for production and more closely anticipate its retailers' and customers' demands, which could in the future require Spectrum Brands to carry additional inventories and increase its working capital and related financing requirements. This may increase the cost of warehousing inventory or result in excess inventory becoming difficult to manage, unusable or obsolete. In addition, if Spectrum Brands retailers significantly change their inventory management strategies, Spectrum Brands may encounter difficulties in filling customer orders or in liquidating excess inventories, or may find that customers are cancelling orders or returning products, which may have a material adverse effect on its business.

Furthermore, Spectrum Brands primarily sells branded products and a move by one or more of its large customers to sell significant quantities of private label products, which Spectrum Brands does not produce on their behalf and which directly

compete with Spectrum Brands products, could have a material adverse effect on Spectrum Brands' business, financial condition and results of operations.

As a result of its international operations, Spectrum Brands faces a number of risks related to exchange rates and foreign currencies.

Spectrum Brands' international sales and certain of its expenses are transacted in foreign currencies. During Fiscal 2016, approximately 36% of Spectrum Brands' net sales and operating expenses were denominated in foreign currencies. Spectrum Brands expects that the amount of its revenues and expenses transacted in foreign currencies will increase as its Latin American, European and Asian operations grow and as a result of acquisitions in these markets and, as a result, its exposure to risks associated with foreign currencies could increase accordingly. Significant changes in the value of the U.S. dollar in relation to foreign currencies will affect Spectrum Brands' cost of goods sold and its operating margins and could result in exchange losses or otherwise have a material effect on Spectrum Brands' business, financial condition and results of operations. Changes in currency exchange rates may also affect Spectrum Brands' sales to, purchases from, and loans to, its subsidiaries, as well as sales to, purchases from, and bank lines of credit with, its customers, suppliers and creditors that are denominated in foreign currencies.

Spectrum Brands sources many products from China and other Asian countries. To the extent the Chinese Renminbi ("RMB") or other currencies appreciate with respect to the U.S. dollar, Spectrum Brands may experience fluctuations in Spectrum Brands' results of operations. Since 2005, the RMB has no longer been pegged to the U.S. dollar at a constant exchange rate and instead fluctuates versus a basket of currencies. Although the People's Bank of China has historically intervened in the foreign exchange market to prevent significant short-term fluctuations in the exchange rate, the RMB may appreciate or depreciate within a flexible peg range against the U.S. dollar in the medium to long term. Moreover, it is possible that in the future Chinese authorities may lift restrictions on fluctuations in the RMB exchange rate and lessen intervention in the foreign exchange market.

While Spectrum Brands may enter into hedging transactions in the future, the availability and effectiveness of these transactions may be limited, and Spectrum Brands may not be able to successfully hedge its exposure to currency fluctuations. Further, Spectrum Brands may not be successful in implementing customer pricing or other actions in an effort to mitigate the impact of currency fluctuations and, thus, its results of operations may be adversely impacted. Spectrum Brands' international operations may expose it to risks related to compliance with the laws and regulations of foreign countries.

Spectrum Brands is subject to three EU Directives that may have a material impact on its business: Restriction of the Use of Hazardous Substances in Electrical and Electronic Equipment ("RUHSEEE"); Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment ("WEEE"); and the Directive on Batteries and Accumulators and Waste Batteries ("DBAWB"), discussed below. RUHSEEE requires Spectrum Brands to eliminate specified hazardous materials from products it sells in EU member states. WEEE requires Spectrum Brands to collect and treat, dispose of or recycle certain products it manufactures or imports into the EU at its own expense. The EU DBAWB bans heavy metals in batteries by establishing maximum quantities of heavy metals in batteries and mandates waste management of these batteries, including collection, recycling and disposal systems, with the costs imposed upon producers and importers such as Spectrum Brands. The costs associated with maintaining compliance or failing to comply with the EU Directives may harm Spectrum Brands' business. For example:

Although contracts with its suppliers address related compliance issues, Spectrum Brands may be unable to procure appropriate RUHSEEE- compliant material in sufficient quantity and quality and/or be able to incorporate it into its product procurement processes without compromising quality and/or harming its cost structure.

Spectrum Brands may face excess and obsolete inventory risk related to non-compliant inventory that it may hold for which there is reduced demand, and it may need to write down the carrying value of such inventories.

Spectrum Brands may be unable to sell certain existing inventories of its batteries in Europe and other countries that have adopted similar regulations.

Many of the developing countries in which Spectrum Brands operates do not have significant governmental regulation relating to environmental safety, occupational safety, employment practices or other business matters routinely regulated in the U.S. and EU or may not rigorously enforce such regulation. As these countries and their economies develop, it is possible that new regulations or increased enforcement of existing regulations may increase the expense

of doing business in these countries. In addition, social legislation in many countries in which Spectrum Brands operates may result in significantly higher expenses associated with labor costs, terminating employees or distributors and closing manufacturing facilities. Increases in Spectrum Brands' costs as a result of increased regulation, legislation or enforcement could materially and adversely affect its business, results of operations and financial condition. Spectrum Brands may not be able to adequately establish and protect its intellectual property rights, and the infringement or loss of its intellectual property rights could harm its business.

To establish and protect its intellectual property rights, Spectrum Brands relies upon a combination of national, foreign and multi-national patent, trademark and trade secret laws, together with licenses, confidentiality agreements and other contractual

arrangements. The measures that Spectrum Brands takes to protect its intellectual property rights may prove inadequate to prevent third parties from infringing or misappropriating its intellectual property. Spectrum Brands may need to resort to litigation to enforce or defend its intellectual property rights. If a competitor or collaborator files a patent application claiming technology also claimed by Spectrum Brands, or a trademark application claiming a trademark, service mark or trade dress also used by Spectrum Brands, in order to protect Spectrum Brands' rights, Spectrum Brands may have to participate in expensive and time consuming opposition or interference proceedings before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office or a similar foreign agency. Similarly, its intellectual property rights may be challenged by third parties or invalidated through administrative process or litigation. The costs associated with protecting intellectual property rights, including litigation costs, may be material. Furthermore, even if Spectrum Brands' intellectual property rights are not directly challenged, disputes among third parties could lead to the weakening or invalidation of Spectrum Brands' intellectual property rights, or its competitors may independently develop technologies that are substantially equivalent or superior to its technology. Obtaining, protecting and defending intellectual property rights can be time consuming and expensive, and may require Spectrum Brands to incur substantial costs, including the diversion of the time and resources of management and technical personnel. Moreover, the laws of certain foreign countries in which Spectrum Brands operates or may operate in the future do not protect, and the governments of certain foreign countries do not enforce, intellectual property rights to the same extent as do the laws and government of the U.S., which may negate Spectrum Brands' competitive or technological advantages in such markets. Also, some of the technology underlying Spectrum Brands' products is the subject of nonexclusive licenses from third parties. As a result, this technology could be made available to Spectrum Brands' competitors at any time. If Spectrum Brands is unable to establish and then adequately protect its intellectual property rights, its business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. Spectrum Brands licenses various trademarks, trade names and patents from third parties for certain of its products. These licenses generally place marketing obligations on Spectrum Brands and require Spectrum Brands to pay fees and royalties based on net sales or profits. Typically, these licenses may be terminated if Spectrum Brands fails to satisfy certain minimum sales obligations or if it breaches the terms of the license. The termination of these licensing arrangements could adversely affect Spectrum Brands' business, financial condition and results of operations. In Spectrum Brands' GBA product line, Spectrum Brands licenses the use of the Black and Decker brand for marketing in certain small household appliances in North America, South America (excluding Brazil) and the Caribbean. In July 2014, BDC extended the license agreement through December 2018. The failure to renew the license agreement with BDC or to enter into a new agreement on acceptable terms for the period following December 2018 could have a material adverse effect on Spectrum Brands' financial condition, liquidity and results of operations. Additionally, in connection with Spectrum Brands' acquisition of the HHI Business, pursuant to a transitional trademark license agreement, Stanley Black and Decker granted Spectrum Brands the right to use the "Stanley" and "Black and Decker" marks and logos, and certain other marks and logos, for up to five years after the completion of the acquisition in connection with certain products and services. When Spectrum Brands' right to use these trademarks, brand names and logos expires, Spectrum Brands may not be able to maintain or enjoy comparable name recognition or status under its new brand. If Spectrum Brands is unable to successfully manage the transition of its business to its new brand, Spectrum Brands' reputation among its customers could be adversely affected, and its revenue and profitability could decline.

Claims by third parties that Spectrum Brands is infringing their intellectual property and other litigation could adversely affect its business.

From time to time in the past Spectrum Brands has been subject to claims that it is infringing the intellectual property of others. Spectrum Brands currently is the subject of such claims and it is possible that third parties will assert infringement claims against Spectrum Brands in the future. An adverse finding against Spectrum Brands in these or similar trademark or other intellectual property litigations may have a material adverse effect on Spectrum Brands' business, financial condition and results of operations. Any such claims, with or without merit, could be time consuming and expensive, and may require Spectrum Brands to incur substantial costs, including the diversion of the resources of management and technical personnel, cause product delays or require Spectrum Brands to enter into licensing or other agreements in order to secure continued access to necessary or desirable intellectual property. If

Spectrum Brands is deemed to be infringing a third party's intellectual property and is unable to continue using that intellectual property as it had been, its business and results of operations could be harmed if it is unable to successfully develop non-infringing alternative intellectual property on a timely basis or license non-infringing alternatives or substitutes, if any exist, on commercially reasonable terms. In addition, an unfavorable ruling in intellectual property litigation could subject Spectrum Brands to significant liability, as well as require Spectrum Brands to cease developing, manufacturing or selling the affected products or using the affected processes or trademarks. Any significant restriction on Spectrum Brands' proprietary or licensed intellectual property that impedes its ability to develop and commercialize its products could have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Spectrum Brands' dependence on a few suppliers and one of its U.S. facilities for certain of its products makes it vulnerable to a disruption in the supply of its products.

Although Spectrum Brands has long-standing relationships with many of its suppliers, it generally does not have long-term contracts with them. An adverse change in any of the following could have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition and results of operations:

its ability to identify and develop relationships with qualified suppliers;

the terms and conditions upon which it purchases products from its suppliers, including applicable exchange rates, transport and other costs, its suppliers' willingness to extend credit to Spectrum Brands to finance its inventory purchases and other factors beyond its control;

the financial condition of its suppliers;

political and economic instability in the countries in which its suppliers are located, as a result of war, terrorist attacks, pandemics, natural disasters or otherwise;

its ability to import outsourced products;

its suppliers' noncompliance with applicable laws, trade restrictions and tariffs; or

its suppliers' ability to manufacture and deliver outsourced products according to its standards of quality on a timely and efficient basis.

If Spectrum Brands' relationship with one of its key suppliers is adversely affected, Spectrum Brands may not be able to quickly or effectively replace such supplier and may not be able to retrieve tooling, molds or other specialized production equipment or processes used by such supplier in the manufacture of its products. The loss of one or more of its suppliers, a material reduction in their supply of products or provision of services to Spectrum Brands or extended disruptions or interruptions in their operations could have a material adverse effect on Spectrum Brands' business, financial condition and results of operations.

Spectrum Brands manufactures the majority of its foil cutting systems for its shaving product lines, using specially designed machines and proprietary cutting technology, at its Portage, Wisconsin facility. In addition, Spectrum Brands also manufactures the majority of its residential door locks at its Subic Bay, Philippines facility. Spectrum Brands' home and garden products are mainly manufactured from its St. Louis, Missouri, facility. Damage to these facilities, or prolonged interruption in the operations of these facilities whether for repairs, as a result of labor difficulties or for other reasons, could have a material adverse effect on its ability to manufacture and sell its foil shaving, residential door locks and home and garden products, which could in turn harm its business, financial condition and results of operations.

Spectrum Brands faces risks related to its sales of products obtained from third-party suppliers.

Spectrum Brands sells a significant number of products that are manufactured by third party suppliers over which it has no direct control. While Spectrum Brands has implemented processes and procedures to try to ensure that the suppliers it uses are complying with all applicable regulations, there can be no assurances that such suppliers in all instances will comply with such processes and procedures or otherwise with applicable regulations. Noncompliance could result in Spectrum Brands' marketing and distribution of contaminated, defective or dangerous products which could subject it to liabilities and could result in the imposition by governmental authorities of procedures or penalties that could restrict or eliminate its ability to purchase products. Any or all of these effects could adversely affect Spectrum Brands' business, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act includes provisions regarding certain minerals and metals, known as conflict minerals, mined from the Democratic Republic of Congo and adjoining countries. These provisions require companies to undertake due diligence procedures and report on the use of conflict minerals in its products, including products manufactured by third parties. Compliance with these provisions will cause Spectrum Brands to incur costs to certify that its supply chain is conflict free and Spectrum Brands may face difficulties if its suppliers are unwilling or unable to verify the source of their materials. Spectrum Brands' ability to source these minerals and metals may also be adversely impacted. In addition, Spectrum Brands' customers may require that it provides them with a certification and its inability to do so may disqualify it as a supplier. Class action and derivative action lawsuits and other investigations, regardless of their merits, could have an adverse

Class action and derivative action lawsuits and other investigations, regardless of their merits, could have an adverse effect on Spectrum Brands' business, financial condition and results of operations.

Spectrum Brands and certain of its officers and directors have been named in the past, and, may be named in the future, as defendants of class action and derivative action lawsuits. In the past, Spectrum Brands has also received requests for information from government authorities. Regardless of their subject matter or merits, class action lawsuits and other government investigations may result in significant cost to Spectrum Brands, which may not be covered by insurance, may divert the attention of management or may otherwise have an adverse effect on its business, financial condition and results of operations.

Spectrum Brands may be exposed to significant product liability claims which its insurance may not cover and which could harm its reputation.

In the ordinary course of its business, Spectrum Brands may be named as a defendant in lawsuits involving product liability claims. In any such proceeding, plaintiffs may seek to recover large and sometimes unspecified amounts of damages and the matters may remain unresolved for several years. Any such matters could have a material adverse effect on Spectrum Brands' business, results of operations and financial condition if it is unable to successfully defend against or settle these matters or if its insurance coverage is insufficient to satisfy any judgments against it or settlements relating to these matters. Although Spectrum Brands has product liability insurance coverage and an excess umbrella policy, its insurance policies may not provide coverage for certain, or any, claims against Spectrum Brands or may not be sufficient to cover all possible liabilities. Additionally, Spectrum Brands does not maintain product recall insurance. Spectrum Brands may not be able to maintain such insurance on acceptable terms, if at all, in the future. Moreover, any adverse publicity arising from claims made against Spectrum Brands, even if the claims were not successful, could adversely affect the reputation and sales of its products. In particular, product recalls or product liability claims challenging the safety of Spectrum Brands' products may result in a decline in sales for a particular product and could damage the reputation or the value of the related brand. This could be true even if the claims themselves are ultimately settled for immaterial amounts. This type of adverse publicity could occur and product liability claims could be made in the future.

Spectrum Brands may incur material capital and other costs due to environmental liabilities.

Spectrum Brands is subject to a broad range of federal, state, local, foreign and multi-national laws and regulations relating to the environment. These include laws and regulations that govern:

- •discharges to the air, water and land;
- •the handling and disposal of solid and hazardous substances and wastes; and

remediation of contamination associated with release of hazardous substances at its facilities and at off-site disposal locations.

Risk of environmental liability is inherent in Spectrum Brands' business. As a result, material environmental costs may arise in the future. In particular, Spectrum Brands may incur capital and other costs to comply with increasingly stringent environmental laws and enforcement policies, such as the EU Directives: Restriction of the Use of Hazardous Substances in Electrical and Electronic Equipment, Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment and the Directive on Batteries and Accumulators and Waste Batteries, discussed above. Spectrum Brands' international operations may expose Spectrum Brands to risks related to compliance with the laws and regulations of foreign countries. See Part I, Item 1A. "Risk Factors-Risks Related to Spectrum Brands' Business- Spectrum Brands' international operations may expose Spectrum Brands to risks related to compliance with the laws and regulations of foreign countries" in this report.

Moreover, there are adopted and proposed international accords and treaties, as well as federal, state and local laws and regulations that would attempt to control or limit the causes of climate change, including the effect of greenhouse gas emissions on the environment. In the event that the U.S. government or foreign governments enact new climate change laws or regulations or make changes to existing laws or regulations, compliance with applicable laws or regulations may result in increased manufacturing costs for Spectrum Brands' products, such as by requiring investment in new pollution control equipment or changing the ways in which certain of its products are made. Spectrum Brands may incur some of these costs directly and others may be passed on to it from its third-party suppliers. Although Spectrum Brands believes that it is substantially in compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations at its facilities, Spectrum Brands may not always be in compliance with such laws and regulations or any new laws and regulations in the future, which could have a material adverse effect on Spectrum Brands' business, financial condition and results of operations.

From time to time, Spectrum Brands has been required to address the effect of historic activities on the environmental condition of its properties or former properties. Spectrum Brands has not conducted invasive testing at all of its facilities to identify all potential environmental liability risks. Given the age of its facilities and the nature of its operations, material liabilities may arise in the future in connection with its current or former facilities. If previously unknown contamination of property underlying or in the vicinity of its manufacturing facilities is discovered,

Spectrum Brands could be required to incur material unforeseen expenses. If this occurs, it may have a material adverse effect on Spectrum Brands' business, financial condition and results of operations. Spectrum Brands is currently engaged in investigative or remedial projects at a few of its facilities and any liabilities arising from such investigative or remedial projects at such facilities may have a material effect on Spectrum Brands' business, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, in connection with certain business acquisitions, Spectrum Brands has assumed, and in connection with future acquisitions may assume in the future, certain potential environmental liabilities. To the extent Spectrum Brands has not identified such environmental liabilities or to the extent the indemnifications obtained from Spectrum Brands' counterparties are insufficient to cover such environmental liabilities, these environmental liabilities could have a material adverse effect on Spectrum Brands' business.

Spectrum Brands is also subject to proceedings related to its disposal of industrial and hazardous material at off-site disposal locations or similar disposals made by other parties for which it is responsible as a result of its relationship with such other parties. These proceedings are under CERCLA or similar state or foreign jurisdiction laws that hold persons who "arranged for" the disposal or treatment of such substances strictly liable for costs incurred in responding to the release or threatened release of hazardous substances from such sites, regardless of fault or the lawfulness of the original disposal. Liability under CERCLA is typically joint and several, meaning that a liable party may be responsible for all of the costs incurred in investigating and remediating contamination at a site. Spectrum Brands occasionally is identified by federal or state governmental agencies as being a potentially responsible party for response actions contemplated at an off-site facility. At the existing sites where Spectrum Brands has been notified of its status as a potentially responsible party, it is either premature to determine if Spectrum Brands' potential liability, if any, will be material or it does not believe that its liability, if any, will be material. Spectrum Brands may be named as a potentially responsible party under CERCLA or similar state or foreign jurisdiction laws in the future for other sites not currently known to Spectrum Brands, and the costs and liabilities associated with these sites may have a material adverse effect on Spectrum Brands' business, financial condition and results of operations.

Compliance with various public health, consumer protection and other regulations applicable to Spectrum Brands' products and facilities could increase its cost of doing business and expose Spectrum Brands to additional requirements with which Spectrum Brands may be unable to comply.

Certain of Spectrum Brands' products sold through, and facilities operated under, each of its business segments are regulated by the EPA the FDA or other federal consumer protection and product safety agencies and are subject to the regulations such agencies enforce, as well as by similar state, foreign and multinational agencies and regulations. For example, in the U.S., all products containing pesticides must be registered with the EPA and, in many cases, similar state and foreign agencies before they can be manufactured or sold. Spectrum Brands' inability to obtain, or the cancellation of, any registration could have an adverse effect on its business, financial condition and results of operations. The severity of the effect would depend on which products were involved, whether another product could be substituted and whether its competitors were similarly affected. Spectrum Brands attempts to anticipate regulatory developments and maintain registrations of, and access to, substitute chemicals and other ingredients, but it may not always be able to avoid or minimize these risks.

As a distributor of consumer products in the U.S., certain of Spectrum Brands' products are also subject to the Consumer Product Safety Act, which empowers the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission (the "Consumer Commission") to exclude from the market products that are found to be unsafe or hazardous. Under certain circumstances, the Consumer Commission could require it to repair, replace or refund the purchase price of one or more of its products, or it may voluntarily do so. Any additional repurchases or recalls of Spectrum Brands' products could be costly to Spectrum Brands and could damage the reputation or the value of its brands. If Spectrum Brands is required to remove, or Spectrum Brands voluntarily removes its products from the market, its reputation or brands could be tarnished and it may have large quantities of finished products that could not be sold. Furthermore, failure to timely notify the Consumer Commission of a potential safety hazard can result in significant fines being assessed against Spectrum Brands. Additionally, laws regulating certain consumer products exist in some states, as well as in other countries in which Spectrum Brands sells its products, and more restrictive laws and regulations may be adopted in the future.

The Food Quality Protection Act ("FQPA") established a standard for food-use pesticides, which is that a reasonable certainty of no harm will result from the cumulative effect of pesticide exposures. Under the FQPA, the EPA is evaluating the cumulative effects from dietary and non-dietary exposures to pesticides. The pesticides in certain of Spectrum Brands' products that are sold through the Home and Garden Business continue to be evaluated by the EPA as part of this program. It is possible that the EPA or a third party active ingredient registrant may decide that a pesticide Spectrum Brands uses in its products will be limited or made unavailable to Spectrum Brands. Spectrum Brands cannot predict the outcome or the severity of the effect of the EPA's continuing evaluations of active ingredients used in its products.

In addition, the use of certain pesticide products that are sold through Spectrum Brands' Home and Garden Business may, among other things, be regulated by various local, state, federal and foreign environmental and public health

agencies. These regulations may require that only certified or professional users apply the product, that users post notices on properties where products have been or will be applied or that certain ingredients may not be used. Compliance with such public health regulations could increase Spectrum Brands' cost of doing business and expose Spectrum Brands to additional requirements with which it may be unable to comply.

Any failure to comply with these laws or regulations, or the terms of applicable environmental permits, could result in Spectrum Brands incurring substantial costs, including fines, penalties and other civil and criminal sanctions or the prohibition of sales of its pest control products. Environmental law requirements, and the enforcement thereof, change frequently, have tended to become more stringent over time and could require Spectrum Brands to incur significant expenses.

Most federal, state and local authorities require certification by Underwriters Laboratory, Inc. ("UL"), an independent, not-for-profit corporation engaged in the testing of products for compliance with certain public safety standards, or other safety regulation certification prior to marketing electrical appliances. Foreign jurisdictions also have regulatory authorities overseeing the safety of consumer products. Spectrum Brands' products may not meet the specifications required by these authorities. A determination

that any of its products are not in compliance with these rules and regulations could result in the imposition of fines or an award of damages to private litigants.

Spectrum Brands actual or perceived failure to adequately protect personal data could adversely affect its business, financial condition and results of operations.

A variety of state, national, foreign, and international laws and regulations apply to the collection, use, retention, protection, disclosure, transfer, and other processing of personal data. These privacy and data protection-related laws and regulations are evolving, with new or modified laws and regulations proposed and implemented frequently and existing laws and regulations subject to new or different interpretations. Compliance with these laws and regulations can be costly and can delay or impede the development of new products.

Spectrum Brands historically has relied upon adherence to the U.S. Department of Commerce's Safe Harbor Privacy Principles and compliance with the U.S.-EU Safe Harbor Framework under Directive 95/46/EC (commonly referred to as the "Data Protection Directive") agreed to by the U.S. Department of Commerce and the EU. The U.S.-EU Safe Harbor Framework, which established means for legitimizing the transfer of personal data by U.S. companies from the European Economic Area, or EEA, to the U.S., recently was invalidated by a decision of the European Court of Justice (or the "ECJ").

On July 12, 2016, the European Commission adopted the EU-U.S. Privacy Shield, which provides a framework for the transfer of personal data of EU data subjects, and on May 4, 2016, the EU General Data Protection Regulation ("GDPR"), which will replace Directive 95/46/EC, was formally published. The GDPR will go into effect on May 25, 2018 and as a regulation as opposed to a directive will be directly applicable in EU member states. Among other things, the GDPR applies to data controllers and processors outside of the EU whose processing activities relate to the offering of goods or services to, or monitoring the behavior within the EU of, EU data subjects.

In light of these developments, Spectrum Brands is reviewing its business practices and may find it necessary or desirable to make changes to our personal data handling to cause our transfer and receipt of EEA residents' personal data to be legitimized under applicable European law. The regulation of data privacy in the EU continues to evolve, and it is not possible to predict the ultimate content, and therefore the effect, of data protection regulation over time. Spectrum Brands' actual or alleged failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations, or to protect personal data, could result in enforcement actions and significant penalties against Spectrum Brands, which could result in negative publicity, increase Spectrum Brands' operating costs, subject Spectrum Brands to claims or other remedies and have a material adverse effect on Spectrum Brands' business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Public perceptions that some of the products Spectrum Brands produces and markets are not safe could adversely affect Spectrum Brands.

On occasion, Spectrum Brands' customers have alleged that some products failed to perform up to expectations or have caused damage or injury to individuals or property. Public perception that any of its products are not safe, whether justified or not, could impair Spectrum Brands' reputation, damage its brand names and have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, Spectrum Brands relies on certain third party trademarks, brand names and logos which it does not have exclusive use of. Public perception that any such third party trademarks, brand names and logos used by Spectrum Brands are not safe, whether justified or not, could have a material adverse effect on Spectrum Brands' business, financial condition and results of operations. If Spectrum Brands is unable to negotiate satisfactory terms to continue existing or enter into additional collective bargaining agreements, Spectrum Brands may experience an increased risk of labor disruptions and its results of operations and financial condition may suffer.

Approximately 14% of Spectrum Brands' total labor force is covered by collective bargaining agreements. There are 4 collective bargaining agreements that will expire during Fiscal 2016, which cover approximately 38% of the labor force under collective bargaining agreements, or approximately 5% of Spectrum Brands' total labor force. While Spectrum Brands currently expects to negotiate continuations to the terms of these agreements, there can be no assurances that it will be able to obtain terms that are satisfactory to it or otherwise to reach agreement at all with the applicable parties. In addition, in the course of its business, Spectrum Brands may also become subject to additional collective bargaining agreements. These agreements may be on terms that are less favorable than those under its current collective bargaining agreements. Spectrum Brands' increased exposure to collective bargaining agreements,

whether on terms more or less favorable than its existing collective bargaining agreements, could adversely affect the operation of Spectrum Brands' business, including through increased labor expenses. While Spectrum Brands intends to comply with all collective bargaining agreements to which it is subject, there can be no assurances that Spectrum Brands will be able to do so and any noncompliance could subject it to disruptions in its operations and materially and adversely affect its results of operations and financial condition.

Significant changes in actual investment return on pension assets, discount rates and other factors could affect Spectrum Brands' results of operations, equity and pension contributions in future periods.

Spectrum Brands' results of operations may be positively or negatively affected by the amount of income or expense it records for its defined benefit pension plans. U.S. GAAP requires that Spectrum Brands calculate income or expense for the plans using

actuarial valuations. These valuations reflect assumptions about financial markets and other economic conditions, which may change based on changes in key economic indicators. The most significant assumptions Spectrum Brands uses to estimate pension income or expense are the discount rate and the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets. In addition, Spectrum Brands is required to make an annual measurement of plan assets and liabilities, which may result in a significant change to equity. Although pension expense and pension funding contributions are not directly related, key economic factors that affect pension expense would also likely affect the amount of cash Spectrum Brands would contribute to pension plans as required under ERISA.

If Spectrum Brands' goodwill, indefinite-lived intangible assets or other long-term assets become impaired, Spectrum Brands will be required to record additional impairment charges, which may be significant.

A significant portion of Spectrum Brands' long-term assets consist of goodwill, other indefinite-lived intangible assets and finite-lived intangible assets recorded as a result of past acquisitions as well as through fresh start reporting. Spectrum Brands does not amortize goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets, but rather reviews them for impairment on a periodic basis or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying value may not be recoverable. Spectrum Brands considers whether circumstances or conditions exist which suggest that the carrying value of its goodwill and other long-lived intangible assets might be impaired. If such circumstances or conditions exist, further steps are required in order to determine whether the carrying value of each of the individual assets exceeds its fair value. If analysis indicates that an individual asset's carrying value does exceed its fair value, the next step is to record a loss equal to the excess of the individual asset's carrying value over its fair value. The steps required by U.S. GAAP entail significant amounts of judgment and subjectivity. Events and changes in circumstances that may indicate that there may be an impairment and which may indicate that interim impairment testing is necessary include, but are not limited to: strategic decisions to exit a business or dispose of an asset made in response to changes in economic, political and competitive conditions; the impact of the economic environment on the customer base and on broad market conditions that drive valuation considerations by market participants; Spectrum Brands' internal expectations with regard to future revenue growth and the assumptions Spectrum Brands makes when performing impairment reviews; a significant decrease in the market price of Spectrum Brands' assets; a significant adverse change in the extent or manner in which Spectrum Brands' assets are used; a significant adverse change in legal factors or the business climate that could affect Spectrum Brands' assets; an accumulation of costs significantly in excess of the amount originally expected for the acquisition of an asset; and significant changes in the cash flows associated with an asset. As a result of such circumstances, Spectrum Brands may be required to record a significant charge to earnings in its financial statements during the period in which any impairment of its goodwill, indefinite-lived intangible assets or other long-term assets is determined. Any such impairment charges could have a material adverse effect on Spectrum Brands' business, financial condition and operating results.

If Spectrum Brands is unable to protect the confidentiality of its proprietary information and know-how, the value of Spectrum Brands' technology, products and services could be harmed significantly.

Spectrum Brands relies on trade secrets, know-how and other proprietary information in operating its business. If this information is not adequately protected, then it may be disclosed or used in an unauthorized manner. To the extent that consultants, key employees or other third parties apply technological information independently developed by them or by others to its proposed products, disputes may arise as to the proprietary rights to such information, which may not be resolved in Spectrum Brands' favor. The risk that other parties may breach confidentiality agreements or that Spectrum Brands' trade secrets become known or independently discovered by competitors, could harm Spectrum Brands by enabling its competitors, who may have greater experience and financial resources, to copy or use Spectrum Brands' trade secrets and other proprietary information in the advancement of their products, methods or technologies. The disclosure of Spectrum Brands' trade secrets would impair its competitive position, thereby weakening demand for its products or services and harming Spectrum Brands' ability to maintain or increase its customer base.

Disruption or failures of Spectrum Brands' information technology systems could have a material adverse effect on its business.

Spectrum Brands' information technology systems are susceptible to security breaches, operational data loss, general disruptions in functionality, and may not be compatible with new technology. Spectrum Brands depends on its

information technology systems for the effectiveness of its operations and to interface with its customers, as well as to maintain financial records and accuracy. Disruption or failures of Spectrum Brands' information technology systems could impair its ability to effectively and timely provide its services and products and maintain its financial records, which could damage its reputation and have a material adverse effect on Spectrum Brands' business.

Spectrum Brands' acquisition and expansion strategy may not be successful.

Spectrum Brands' growth strategy is based in part on growth through acquisitions, which poses a number of risks. Spectrum Brands may not be successful in identifying appropriate acquisition candidates, consummating acquisitions on satisfactory terms or integrating any newly acquired or expanded business with its current operations. Spectrum Brands may issue additional equity, incur long-term or short-term indebtedness, spend cash or use a combination of these for all or part of the consideration paid in

Table of Contents

future acquisitions or expansion of its operations. The execution of Spectrum Brands' acquisition and expansion strategy could entail repositioning or similar actions that in turn require Spectrum Brands to record impairments, restructuring and other charges. Any such charges would reduce Spectrum Brands' earnings. Spectrum Brands cannot guarantee that any future business acquisitions will be pursued or that any acquisitions that are pursued will be consummated.

Significant costs have been incurred and are expected to be incurred in connection with the consummation of recent and future business acquisitions and the integration of such acquired businesses with Spectrum Brands into a combined company, including legal, accounting, financial advisory and other costs.

Spectrum Brands expects to incur one-time costs in connection with integrating Spectrum Brands' operations, products and personnel and those of the businesses Spectrum Brands acquires into a combined company, in addition to costs related directly to completing such acquisitions. Spectrum Brands would expect similar costs to be incurred with any future acquisition. These costs may include expenditures for:

- •employee redeployment, relocation or severance;
- •integration of operations and information systems;
- •combination of research and development teams and processes; and
- •reorganization or closures of facilities.

In addition, Spectrum Brands expects to incur a number of non-recurring costs associated with combining its operations with those of acquired businesses. Additional unanticipated costs may yet be incurred as Spectrum Brands integrates its business with acquired businesses. Although Spectrum Brands expects that the elimination of duplicative costs, as well as the realization of other efficiencies related to the integration of its operations with those of acquired businesses, may offset incremental transaction and transaction-related costs over time, this net benefit may not be achieved in the near term. Additionally, while Spectrum Brands expects to benefit from leveraging distribution channels and brand names among Spectrum Brands and its acquired businesses, Spectrum Brands cannot assure you that it will achieve such benefits.

Spectrum Brands may not realize the anticipated benefits of, and synergies from, its business acquisitions and may become responsible for certain liabilities and integration costs as a result.

Business acquisitions involve the integration of new businesses that have previously operated independently from Spectrum Brands. The integration of Spectrum Brands' operations with those of acquired businesses is frequently expected to result in financial and operational benefits, including increased top line growth, margins, revenues and cost savings and be accretive to earnings per share, earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization and free cash flow before synergies. There can be no assurance, however, regarding when or the extent to which Spectrum Brands will be able to realize these increased top line growth, margins, revenues, cost savings or accretions to earnings per share, earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization or free cash flow or other benefits. Integration may also be difficult, unpredictable, and subject to delay because of possible company culture conflicts and different opinions on technical decisions and product roadmaps. Spectrum Brands will often be required to integrate or, in some cases, replace, numerous systems, including those involving management information, purchasing, accounting and finance, sales, billing, employee benefits, payroll and regulatory compliance, many of which may be dissimilar. In some instances, Spectrum Brands and certain acquired businesses have served the same customers, and some customers may decide that it is desirable to have additional or different suppliers. Difficulties associated with the integration of acquired businesses could have a material adverse effect on Spectrum Brands' business.

Spectrum Brands may also acquire partial or full ownership in businesses or may acquire rights to market and distribute particular products or lines of products. The acquisition of a business or the rights to market specific products or use specific product names may involve a financial commitment by Spectrum Brands, either in the form of cash or equity consideration. In the case of a new license, such commitments are usually in the form of prepaid royalties and future minimum royalty payments. There is no guarantee that Spectrum Brands will acquire businesses or product distribution rights that will contribute positively to its earnings. Anticipated synergies may not materialize, cost savings may be less than expected, sales of products may not meet expectations and acquired businesses may carry unexpected liabilities.

In addition, in connection with business acquisitions, Spectrum Brands has assumed, and may assume in connection with future acquisitions, certain potential liabilities. To the extent such liabilities are not identified by Spectrum Brands or to the extent the indemnifications obtained from third parties are insufficient to cover such liabilities, these liabilities could have a material adverse effect on Spectrum Brands' business.

Integrating Spectrum Brands' business with acquired businesses may divert its management's attention away from operations.

Successful integration of acquired businesses' operations, products and personnel with Spectrum Brands may place a significant burden on its management and other internal resources. The diversion of management's attention, and any difficulties encountered in the transition and integration process, could harm its business, financial condition and operating results.

As a result of business acquisitions, Spectrum Brands may not be able to retain key personnel or recruit additional qualified personnel, which could materially affect Spectrum Brands' business and require Spectrum Brands to incur substantial additional costs to recruit replacement personnel.

Spectrum Brands is highly dependent on the continuing efforts of its senior management team and other key personnel. As a result of business acquisitions, Spectrum Brands' current and prospective employees could experience uncertainty about their future roles. This uncertainty may adversely affect Spectrum Brands' ability to attract and retain key management, sales, marketing and technical personnel. Any failure to attract and retain key personnel could have a material adverse effect on Spectrum Brands' business. In addition, Spectrum Brands currently does not maintain "key person" insurance covering any member of its management team.

If any of Spectrum Brands' key personnel or those of Spectrum Brands' acquired businesses were to join a competitor or form a competing company, existing and potential customers or suppliers could choose to form business relationships with that competitor instead of Spectrum Brands. There can be no assurance that confidentiality, non-solicitation, non-competition or similar agreements signed by former directors, officers, employees or stockholders of Spectrum Brands, Spectrum Brands' acquired businesses or its transactional counterparties will be effective in preventing a loss of business.

General customer uncertainty related to Spectrum Brands' business acquisitions could harm Spectrum Brands. Spectrum Brands' customers may, in response to the announcement or consummation of a business acquisition, delay or defer purchasing decisions. If Spectrum Brands' customers delay or defer purchasing decisions, its revenues could materially decline or any anticipated increases in revenue could be lower than expected.

A change in governmental regulations regarding the use of refrigerant gas R-134a or its potential future substitutes could have a material adverse effect on Spectrum Brands' ability to sell its aftermarket A/C products.

The refrigerant R-134a is critical component of Spectrum Brands' aftermarket A/C products and is used in products which comprised approximately 34% of GAC's net sales, or approximately 3% of Spectrum Brands' net sales, in Fiscal 2016. Older generation refrigerants such as R-12 (Freon) have been regulated for some time in the United States and elsewhere, due to concerns about their potential to contribute to ozone depletion. In recent years, refrigerants such as R-134a, which is an approved substitute for R-12, have also become the subject of regulatory focus due to their potential to contribute to global warming.

The European Union has passed regulations that require the phase out of R-134a in automotive cooling systems in new vehicles by 2017. In the United States, Spectrum Brands has reported that it cannot predict what future action, if any, the EPA will take on the regulation of R-134a. But based on currently available information, it believes that it would take some time for suitable alternatives to R-134a to come into full scale commercial production and therefore such alternatives would not be readily available for wide spread use in new car models. If the future use of R-134a is phased out or is limited or prohibited in jurisdictions in which Spectrum Brands does business, the future market for GAC's products containing R-134a may be limited, which could have a material adverse impact on Spectrum Brands' results of operations, financial condition, and cash flows.

In addition, regulations may be enacted governing the packaging, use and disposal of Spectrum Brands' products containing refrigerants. For example, regulations are currently in effect in California that govern the sale and distribution of products containing R-134a. While Spectrum Brands has reported that it is not aware of any noncompliance with such regulations, its failure to comply with these or possible future regulations in California, or elsewhere, could result in material fines or costs or the inability to sell its products in those markets, which could have a material adverse impact on Spectrum Brands' results of operations, financial condition and cash flows. If substitutes for R-134a become widely used in A/C systems and their use for DIY and retrofit purposes are not approved by the EPA, it could have a material adverse effect on Spectrum Brands' results of operations, financial condition, and cash flows. In addition, the cost of HFO-1234yf, the leading long-term alternative to R-134a being proposed in the United States and the European Union for use in the A/C systems of new vehicles, will likely be higher than that of R-134a. If HFO-1234yf becomes widely used and Spectrum Brands is able to develop products using HFO-1234yf, but is unable to price its products to reflect the increased cost of HFO-1234yf, it could have a material adverse effect on Spectrum Brands' results of operations, financial condition and cash flow.

All of Spectrum Brands' GAC refrigerant products are produced at one facility, and a significant disruption or disaster at such a facility could have a material adverse effect on its results of operations.

GAC's manufacturing facility consists of one site which is located in Garland, Texas and thus GAC is dependent upon the continued safe operation of this facility. Its facility is subject to various hazards associated with the manufacturing, handling, storage, and transportation of chemical materials and products, including human error, leaks and ruptures, explosions, floods, fires, inclement weather and natural disasters, power loss or other infrastructure failures, mechanical failure, unscheduled downtime, regulatory requirements, the loss of certifications, technical difficulties, labor disputes, inability to obtain material, equipment or transportation, environmental hazards such as remediation, chemical spills, discharges or releases of toxic or hazardous substances or gases, and other risks. Many of these hazards could cause personal injury and loss of life, severe damage to, or destruction of, property and equipment and environmental contamination. In addition, the occurrence of material operation problems at GAC's facility due to any of these hazards could cause a disruption in the production of its products. GAC may also encounter difficulties or interruption as a result of the application of enhanced manufacturing technologies or changes to

production lines to improve GAC's throughput or to upgrade or repair its production lines. Spectrum Brands' insurance policies have coverage in case of significant damage to its manufacturing facility but may not fully compensate GAC for the cost of replacement for any such damage and any loss from business interruption. As a result, GAC may not be adequately insured to cover losses resulting from significant damage to its manufacturing facility. Any damage to its facility or interruption in manufacturing could result in production delays and delays in meeting contractual obligations which could have a material adverse effect on Spectrum Brands' relationship with its customers and on its results of operations, financial condition or cash flows in any given period.

Risks Related to FGL's and Front Street's Businesses

The FGL Merger is subject to various closing conditions, including regulatory approvals, no assurance can be provided that such conditions will be satisfied and when, or if, the closing of the FGL Merger will occur The completion of the FGL Merger is subject to various closing conditions, including, but not limited to, (1) the information statement to be filed by FGL with the SEC in connection with the FGL Merger shall have been cleared by the SEC and shall have been sent to stockholders of FGL (in accordance with Regulation 14C under the Exchange Act at least 20 days prior to the closing), (2) the absence of any law or order enacted, issued or enforced that is in effect and that makes the consummation of the FGL Merger illegal, prevents, prohibits, restrains or enjoins the consummation of the FGL Merger and (3) obtaining the requisite approvals from the IID, NYDFS, Vermont Department of Financial Regulation, China Insurance Regulatory Commission and the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States. The FGL Merger Agreement was recently amended to extend the outside termination date for the completion of the FGL Merger to February 8, 2017. A number of the closing conditions are outside of FGL's control and it cannot predict with certainty whether all of the required closing conditions will be satisfied or waived or if other uncertainties may arise. In addition, regulators could impose additional requirements or obligations as conditions for their approvals, which may be burdensome. No assurance can be provided that the various closing conditions will be satisfied, the necessary waivers or approvals will be obtained in a timely fashion or at all, in which case the FGL Merger could be prevented or delayed. In addition, no assurance can be provided that FGL will not lose the benefit of previously satisfied closing conditions and waivers or approvals, in which case FGL may be required to again seek to satisfy closing conditions and waivers or approvals, which it may not be able to obtain in a timely fashion or at all, in which case the FGL Merger could be prevented or delayed. For further information regarding these approvals, please see Part I, Item 1. "Business-Our Operating Subsidiaries-FGL."

Failure to timely complete the FGL Merger could adversely impact FGL's stock price, business, financial condition and results of operations

A failure to complete the FGL Merger on a timely basis or at all, none of which can be assured, could result in negative publicity and cause the price of FGL's common stock to decline, in particular because FGL's current stock price reflects a market assumption that the FGL Merger will occur. As a result of the pending FGL Merger, trading in FGL's stock has increased substantially. If the FGL Merger is not consummated or the timing thereof is further delayed, the investment goals of FGL's stockholders may be materially different than those of FGL's stockholders on a pre-Merger announcement basis. In addition, FGL will remain liable for significant transaction costs that will be payable even if the FGL Merger is not completed and could also be required to pay a termination fee to Anbang in certain specific circumstances.

The FGL Merger and operating restrictions contained in the FGL Merger Agreement could adversely affect FGL's business and operations

The FGL Merger and certain interim operating covenants in the FGL Merger Agreement that govern the conduct of FGL's business during the pendency of the FGL Merger could cause disruptions to FGL's business and business relationships, which could have an adverse impact on FGL's results of operations, liquidity and financial condition. For example, FGL may be limited or restricted from taking certain actions, the attention of FGL's management may be directed to Merger-related considerations, FGL's current and prospective employees may experience uncertainty about their future roles with FGL, which may adversely affect FGL's ability to retain and hire key personnel, and parties with which FGL has business relationships, including customers, potential customers and distributors, may experience uncertainty as to the future of such relationships and seek alternative relationships or seek to alter their present business relationships with FGL in a manner that negatively impacts FGL.

Shareholder litigation against FGL, its directors and/or Anbang could delay or prevent the FGL Merger and cause FGL to incur significant costs and expenses

Transactions such as the FGL Merger are often subject to lawsuits by shareholders. Conditions to the closing of the FGL Merger require that no law or order must have been enacted, issued or enforced and in effect, that would make the consummation of the FGL Merger illegal, prevent, prohibit, restrain or enjoin the consummation of the FGL Merger. FGL cannot provide assurance as to the outcome of any potential lawsuits, including the costs associated with defending the claims or any other liabilities that may be incurred in connection with the litigation or settlement of lawsuits.

FGL's subsidiaries may not be able to generate sufficient cash to service all of their obligations and may be forced to take other actions to satisfy their obligations, which may not be successful.

FGL's subsidiaries' ability to make scheduled payments on or to refinance their debt obligations, including the FGH Senior Notes, depends on their financial condition and operating performance, which in turn are subject to prevailing economic and competitive conditions and to certain financial, business and other factors beyond their control. FGL's subsidiaries may not be able to maintain a level of cash flows from operating activities sufficient to permit them to pay the principal, premium, if any, and interest on indebtedness.

If FGL's subsidiaries' cash flows and capital resources are insufficient to fund its subsidiaries' obligations, FGL could face substantial liquidity problems and may be forced to reduce or delay investments and capital expenditures, or to sell assets, seek additional capital or restructure or refinance indebtedness. FGL's ability to restructure or refinance its subsidiaries' debt will depend on the condition of the capital markets and its financial condition at such time. Any refinancing of FGL's subsidiaries' debt could be at higher interest rates and may require compliance with more onerous covenants, which could further restrict its business operations. The terms of existing and future debt instruments may restrict FGL from adopting some of these alternatives. In addition, any failure to make payments on outstanding obligations on a timely basis would likely result in a reduction of FGL's ratings, which could harm FGL's ability to conduct its business and to incur additional indebtedness. In the face of such substantial liquidity problems, FGL may be required to dispose of material assets or operations to meet its obligations. FGL may not be able to consummate those dispositions and these proceeds may not be adequate to meet any obligations then due.

FGL is a holding company with no operations of its own; its ability to pay dividends on its stock will depend on the ability of its subsidiaries to pay dividends to FGL, which may be restricted by law. Front Street is a parent company with limited business operations of its own and conducts most of its business operations through its subsidiaries and its primary source of cash is and will be distributions from its subsidiaries.

FGL is a holding company with limited business operations of its own. Its primary subsidiaries are insurance subsidiaries that own substantially all of its assets and conduct substantially all of its operations. Accordingly, FGL's payment of dividends is dependent, to a significant extent, on the generation of cash flow by its subsidiaries and their ability to make such cash available to FGL, by dividend or otherwise. FGL's subsidiaries may not be able to, or may not be permitted to, make distributions to enable FGL to meet its obligations and pay dividends. Each subsidiary is a distinct legal entity and legal and contractual restrictions may also limit FGL's ability to obtain cash from its subsidiaries.

subsidiaries to pay dividends is limited by applicable insurance laws and regulations. See Part I, Item 1A. "Business-Our Operating Subsidiaries-FGL-Regulation-Dividend and Other Distribution Payment Limitations". The Iowa insurance law and the New York insurance law regulate the amount of dividends that may be paid in any year by FGL Insurance and FGL NY Insurance, respectively. This could limit both FGL's ability to receive cash flow from its direct wholly owned subsidiary, FGH and FGH's ability to receive cash flow from its direct wholly owned subsidiary.

FGL's insurance subsidiaries are subject to various statutory and regulatory restrictions and the ability of its insurance

FGL Insurance, and FGL Insurance's ability to receive cash flow from its direct wholly owned subsidiary, FGL NY

Each year FGL Insurance may pay a certain limited amount of ordinary dividends or other distributions without being required to obtain the prior consent of the Iowa Commissioner. FGL Insurance is required to provide advance written notice to the Iowa Commissioner of its intention to pay dividends that are deemed ordinary dividends and to request approval to pay dividends that are deemed extraordinary dividends. Pursuant to Iowa insurance law, ordinary dividends are payments, together with all other such payments within the preceding twelve months, that do not exceed the greater of (i) 10% of FGL Insurance's statutory surplus as regards policyholders as of December 31 of the preceding year; or (ii) the net gain from operations of FGL Insurance (excluding realized capital gains) for the 12-month period ending December 31 of the preceding year. Dividends may only be paid out of statutory earned surplus.

Dividends in excess of FGL Insurance's ordinary dividend capacity are referred to as extraordinary and require prior approval of the Iowa Commissioner. In deciding whether to approve a request to pay an extraordinary dividend, Iowa insurance law requires the Iowa Commissioner to consider the effect of the dividend payment on FGL Insurance's

surplus and financial condition generally and whether the payment of the dividend will cause FGL Insurance to fail to meet its required RBC ratio. In addition, Delaware law may impose requirements that may restrict FGL's ability to pay dividends to holders of FGL's common stock. FGL Insurance has not paid out extraordinary dividends since 2008, and in the future FGL Insurance may be required to request approval to pay an extraordinary dividend and there is no guarantee such a request would be approved by the Iowa Commissioner.

It is possible that in the future, FGL's insurance subsidiaries may be unable to pay dividends or distributions to FGL in an amount sufficient to meet its obligations or to pay dividends due to a lack of sufficient statutory net gain from operations, a diminishing statutory policyholders surplus, changes to the Iowa or New York insurance laws or regulations or for some other reason. Further, the covenants in the agreement governing the existing indebtedness of FGH significantly restrict its ability to pay dividends, which further limits FGL's ability to obtain cash or other assets from FGL's subsidiaries. If FGL's subsidiaries cannot pay sufficient dividends or distributions to FGL in the future, FGL would be unable to meet its obligations or to pay dividends. This would negatively affect FGL's business and financial condition as well as the trading price of FGL's common stock.

Table of Contents

Front Street is a parent company with limited business operations of its own and conducts most of its business operations through its subsidiaries and its primary source of cash is and will be distributions from its subsidiaries. Front Street's subsidiaries have limited operations and might not generate sufficient earnings and cash flow to pay dividends or distributions in the future.

FGL's businesses are highly regulated and subject to numerous legal restrictions and regulations. State Regulation

FGL's business is subject to government regulation in each of the states in which they conduct business. Such regulation is vested in state agencies having broad administrative and discretionary, authority with respect to many aspects of FGL's business which may include, among other things, premium rates and increases thereto, underwriting practices, reserve requirements, marketing practices, advertising, privacy, policy forms, reinsurance reserve requirements, acquisitions, mergers and capital adequacy, and is concerned primarily with the protection of policyholders and other customers rather than shareholders. At any given time, FGL and its insurance subsidiaries may be the subject of a number of ongoing financial or market conduct examinations, audits or inquiries. From time to time, regulators raise issues during such examinations or audits that could, if determined adversely, have a material impact on FGL's business.

FGL has received inquiries from a number of state regulatory authorities regarding its use of the U.S. Social Security Administration's Death Master File ("Death Master File") and compliance with state claims practices regulations and unclaimed property or escheatment laws. The NYDFS issued a letter and subsequent regulation requiring life insurers doing business in New York to use the Death Master File or similar databases to determine if benefits were payable under life insurance policies, annuities and retained asset accounts. Other states have enacted laws which will impose requirements on insurers to periodically compare their in-force life insurance policies and annuities against the Death Master File or similar databases, investigate any identified potential matches to confirm the death of the insured and determine whether benefits are due and attempt to locate the beneficiaries of any benefits that are due or, if no beneficiary can be located, escheat the benefit to the state as unclaimed property. FGL has received notice of escheatment audits from several states. FGL has filed suit in federal and state court to challenge the audit policies of the California controller and the applicability of California's unclaimed property laws to FGL generally. It is possible that these requirements will result in additional payments to beneficiaries, additional escheatment of funds deemed abandoned under state laws or administrative penalties and expenses. While FGL believes that it has established sufficient reserves with respect to these matters, it is possible that third parties could dispute these amounts and additional payments or additional unreported claims or liabilities could be required or identified given the ongoing regulatory developments, the effects of which could be significant and could have a material adverse effect on FGL's results of operations in any one period.

State insurance departments conduct periodic examinations of the books and records, financial reporting, policy and rate filings, market conduct and business practices of insurance companies domiciled in their states, generally once every three to five years. The regulator in FGL Insurance's previous state of domicile, the MIA, completed a routine financial examination of FGL Insurance for the three-year period ended December 31, 2012. The NYDFS completed a routine financial examination of FGL NY Insurance for the three-year periods ended December 31, 2009 and is completing December 31, 2012, and the Vermont Department of Financial Regulation completed a routine financial examination of Raven Re for the period from April 7, 2011 (commencement of business) through December 31, 2012. FGL Insurance is currently the subject of seven ongoing market conduct examinations or inquiries in various states. While FGL Insurance does not believe that any of the current market conduct examinations it is subject to will result in any fines or remediation orders that will be material to its business, market conduct examinations can result in monetary fines or remediation and generally require FGL Insurance to devote significant resources to the management of such examinations. As a result of its re-domestication to Iowa, FGL Insurance became subject to financial and market conduct examinations by the IID, the primary regulatory authority for Iowa domestic life insurance companies. NAIC

Although FGL's business is subject to regulation in each state in which FGL conducts business, in many instances the state regulatory models emanate from the NAIC. State insurance regulators and the NAIC regularly re-examine existing laws and regulations applicable to insurance companies and their products. Changes in these laws and

regulations, or interpretations thereof, are often made for the benefit of the consumer and at the expense of the insurer and, thus, could have a material adverse effect on FGL's business, operations and financial condition. FGL is also subject to the risk that compliance with any particular regulator's interpretation of a legal or accounting issue may not result in compliance with another regulator's interpretation of the same issue, particularly when compliance is judged in hindsight. Under insurance guaranty fund laws in most states, insurance companies doing business therein can be assessed up to prescribed limits for policyholder losses incurred by insolvent companies. FGL cannot predict the amount or timing of any such future assessments. There is an additional risk that any particular regulator's interpretation of a legal or accounting issue may change over time to FGL's detriment, or that changes to the overall legal or market environment, even absent any change of interpretation by a particular regulator, may cause FGL to change its views regarding the actions FGL needs to take from a legal risk management perspective, which could necessitate changes to FGL's practices that may, in some cases, limit its ability to grow and improve profitability. Some of the NAIC pronouncements, particularly as they affect accounting issues, take effect automatically in the various states without affirmative action by the states. Statutes, regulations and interpretations may be applied with retroactive impact,

particularly in areas such as accounting and reserve requirements. Also, regulatory actions with prospective impact can potentially have a significant impact on currently sold products. The NAIC continues to work to reform state regulation in various areas, including comprehensive reforms relating to life insurance reserves. On June 10, 2016, the NAIC formally approved principle-based reserving for life insurance products with secondary guarantees, with an effective date of January 1, 2017. Additionally, various statutory accounting guidance is being evaluated, including investment value of insurance subsidiaries.

Federal Regulation

In April 2016, the Department of Labor ("DOL") released its final "fiduciary" rule which could have a material impact on FGL, its products, distribution, and business model. The final rule treats persons who provide investment advice for a fee or other compensation with respect to assets of an employer plan or individual retirement account ("IRA") as fiduciaries of that plan or IRA. Significantly, the rule expands the definition of fiduciary to apply to persons, including insurance agents, who advise and sell products to IRA owners. As a practical matter, this means commissioned insurance agents selling FGL's IRA products must qualify for a prohibited transaction exemption, which requires the agent and financial institution to meet various conditions including that an annuity sale be in the "best interest" of the client without regard for the agent's, financial institution's or other party's financial or other interests, and that any compensation paid to the agent and financial institution be reasonable. The final rule is effective June 2016 and generally applicable in April 2017. The rule has generated considerable controversy and is the subject of industry efforts to block implementation both in Congress and through court actions. The success or failure of these efforts cannot be predicted. Assuming the rule is not blocked, the precise impact of the rule on the financial services industry more generally, and the impact on FGL and its business in particular, is difficult to assess because the rule is new and still being studied. While FGL continues to analyze the regulation, FGL believes it could have an adverse effect on sales of FGL's annuity products to IRA owners particularly in the independent agent distribution channel. A significant portion of FGL's annuity sales are to IRAs. Compliance with the prohibited transaction exemptions would likely require additional supervision of agents, cause changes to compensation practices and product offerings, and increase litigation risk, all of which could adversely impact FGL's business, results of operations and/or financial condition. Other Regulation

Other types of regulation that could affect FGL or Front Street include insurance company investment laws and regulations, state adopted statutory accounting principles, antitrust laws, minimum solvency requirements, federal privacy laws, insurable interest laws and federal anti-money laundering and anti-terrorism laws.

Compliance with applicable laws and regulations is time-consuming and personnel-intensive, and changes in laws and regulations may materially increase the cost of compliance and other expenses of doing business. There are a number of risks that may arise where applicable regulations may be unclear, subject to multiple interpretations or under development or where regulations may conflict with one another, where regulators revise their previous guidance or courts overturn previous rulings, which could result in FGL's or Front Street's failure to meet applicable standards. Regulators and other authorities have the power to bring administrative or judicial proceedings against FGL and Front Street, which could result, among other things, in suspension or revocation of FGL's or Front Street's licenses, cease and desist orders, fines, civil penalties, criminal penalties or other disciplinary action, which could materially harm FGL's or Front Street's results of operations and financial condition. If FGL or Front Street fail to address, or appear to fail to address, appropriately any of these matters, FGL's or Front Street's reputation could be harmed and FGL or Front Street could be subject to additional legal risk, which could increase the size and number of claims and damages asserted against them or subject them to enforcement actions, fines and penalties. See Part I, Item I, "Business-Our Operating Subsidiaries-FGL-Regulation" for further discussion of the impact of regulations on FGL's and Front Street's business.

FGL and Front Street cannot predict what form any future changes in these or other areas of regulation affecting the insurance and reinsurance industry might take or what effect, if any, such proposals might have on FGL or Front Street if enacted into law. In addition, because FGL's and Front Street's activities are relatively concentrated in a small number of lines of business, any change in law or regulation affecting one of those lines of business could have a disproportionate impact on FGL or Front Street as compared to other more diversified insurance companies.

FGL's and Front Street's results of operations and financial condition depend on the accuracy of a broad range of assumptions and estimates made by their respective management teams.

FGL and Front Street make certain assumptions and estimates regarding mortality, persistency, expenses, interest rates, tax liability, business mix, frequency of claims, contingent liabilities, investment performance and other factors related to their businesses and anticipated results. FGL and Front Street rely on these assumptions and estimates to determine the amounts of deferred acquisition cost ("DAC") and value of business acquired ("VOBA") policy liabilities and accruals, future earnings and various components of their consolidated balance sheets. These assumptions are also used in making decisions crucial to the operation of their business, including the pricing of products and expense structures related to products. The calculations FGL and Front Street use to estimate various components of their balance sheets and consolidated statements of operations are necessarily complex and involve analyzing and interpreting large quantities of data. The assumptions and estimates required for these calculations involve judgment and by their nature are imprecise and subject to changes and revisions over time. These assumptions and estimates incorporate many factors, none of which can be predicted with certainty. To the extent their actual

experience and changes in estimates differ from original estimates and assumptions, FGL's and Front Street's businesses, Consolidated Statements of Operations and financial condition may be materially adversely affected. Accordingly, their results may be adversely affected by changes resulting from implementing more sophisticated administrative systems and procedures that facilitate the calculation of more precise estimates.

FGL has minimal experience to date on policyholder behavior for its GMWB products which it began issuing in 2008; as a result, future experience could lead to significant changes in their assumptions. If emerging experience deviates from FGL's assumptions on GMWB utilization, it could have a significant effect on FGL's reserve levels and related results of operations. See Part I, Item I. "Business-Our Operating Subsidiaries-FGL-Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations-Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates."

FGL's or Front Street's financial condition and results of operations could be adversely impacted if their assumptions regarding the fair value and future performance of their investments differ from actual experience.

FGL and Front Street make assumptions regarding the fair value and expected future performance of their investments. It is possible that actual values will differ from their assumptions. Such events could result in a material change in the value of their investments, business, operations and financial condition.

For example, expectations that FGL's investments in RMBS and CMBS will continue to perform in accordance with their contractual terms are based on assumptions a market participant would use in determining the current fair value and considering the performance of the underlying assets. FGL has non-agency RMBS holdings of \$1.4 billion as of September 30, 2016. It is possible that the collateral underlying these investments will not meet performance expectations and the lower performance levels may lead to adverse changes in the cash flows on FGL's holdings of these types of securities. This could lead to potential future other-than-temporary impairments ("OTTI") within FGL's portfolio of RMBS, CMBS, and ABS. In addition, expectations that FGL's investments in corporate securities or debt obligations will continue to perform in accordance with their contractual terms are based on evidence gathered through FGL's normal credit surveillance process. It is possible that issuers of corporate securities in which FGL has invested will perform worse than current expectations. Such events may lead FGL to recognize potential future OTTI within its portfolio of corporate securities. FGL recorded OTTI charges of approximately \$43.9 million and \$81.4 million for Fiscal 2016 and Fiscal 2015, respectively, inclusive of OTTI on investments in affiliates. It is also possible that unanticipated events would lead FGL to dispose of certain of those holdings and recognize the effects of any market movements in FGL's financial statements.

For Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014, Front Street recognized other-than-temporary credit impairment losses in operations totaling \$7.4 million, \$2.3 million and \$3.0 million, respectively, related to funds withheld receivables with an amortized cost of \$15.5 million, \$4.8 million and \$13.1 million and a fair value of \$8.1 million, \$2.5 million and \$10.1 million at September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

A financial strength ratings downgrade, potential downgrade, or any other negative action by a rating agency, could make FGL's product offerings less attractive and increase its cost of capital, and thereby could adversely affect FGL's financial condition and results of operations.

Various nationally recognized rating agencies review the financial performance and condition of insurers, including FGL's insurance subsidiaries, and publish their financial strength ratings as indicators of an insurer's ability to meet policyholder and contractholder obligations. These ratings are important to maintaining public confidence in FGL's products, its ability to market its products and its competitive position. Any downgrade or other negative action by a rating agency with respect to the financial strength ratings of FGL's insurance subsidiaries could have a materially adverse effect on FGL in many ways, including the following:

- adversely affecting relationships with distributors, IMOs and sales agents, which could result in reduction of sales; •increasing the number or amount of policy lapses or surrenders and withdrawals of funds;
- requiring a reduction in prices for FGL's insurance products and services in order to remain competitive;
- adversely affecting FGL's ability to obtain reinsurance at a reasonable price, on reasonable terms or at all; and
- requiring FGL to collateralize reserves, balances or obligations under reinsurance and derivatives agreements.

Rating agencies assign ratings based upon several factors. While most of these factors relate to the rated company, some factors relate to the views of the rating agency, general economic conditions and circumstances outside the rated company's control. In addition, rating agencies use various models and formulas to assess the strength of a rated

company, and from time to time rating agencies have, in their discretion, altered the models and may do so in the future in ways that negatively impact the financial strength ratings of FGL's insurance subsidiaries and make it more difficult to maintain or obtain comparable ratings going forward. As rating agencies continue to evaluate the financial services industry, it is possible that rating agencies will heighten the level of scrutiny that they apply to financial institutions, increase the frequency and scope of their credit reviews, request additional information from the companies that they rate and potentially adjust upward the capital and other requirements employed in the rating agency models for maintenance of certain ratings levels. It is possible that the outcome of any such review of FGL would have additional adverse ratings consequences, which could have a material adverse effect on FGL's results of

operations, financial condition and liquidity. FGL may need to take actions in response to changing standards or capital requirements set by any of the rating agencies which could cause FGL's business and operations to suffer. If the financial strength ratings of FGL's insurance subsidiaries are downgraded, FGL anticipates that its sales of new policies will be adversely impacted and that FGL could experience substantial surrenders of existing policies. In order to improve or maintain their financial strength ratings, FGL's insurance subsidiaries may limit the amount of dividends that they would otherwise pay to FGL. In that regard, FGL may, among other things, implement business strategies to improve the RBC ratio of FGL's insurance subsidiaries to a level anticipated by the rating agencies to maintain or improve FGL's current rating. If FGL is unable to achieve this level, FGL may limit dividend payments from FGL Insurance to the extent necessary. FGL cannot guarantee these measures will be successful, and if FGL Insurance fails to maintain such a target RBC ratio, its financial strength rating could suffer. FGL cannot predict what actions rating agencies may take in the future, and failure to improve or maintain current financial strength ratings could adversely affect FGL's financial condition and results of operations.

Following the announcement of the proposed FGL Merger, the rating organizations have undertaken a review of FGL's debt ratings and FGL's insurance company subsidiaries' financial strength ratings. The rating organizations may take various actions, positive or negative, and their actions may not be known until the FGL Merger closes.

FGH is required to maintain minimum ratings as a matter of routine practice under FGH's over-the-counter derivative agreements on forms promulgated by ISDA Under some ISDA agreements, FGH has agreed to maintain certain financial strength ratings. A downgrade below these levels provides the counterparty under the agreement the right to terminate the open derivative contracts between the parties, at which time any amounts payable by FGH or the counterparty would be dependent on the market value of the underlying derivative contracts. FGH's current rating allows multiple counterparties the right to terminate ISDA agreements. As of September 30, 2016, the amount at risk for ISDA agreements which could be terminated based upon FGH's current ratings was \$275.2 million, which equals the fair value to FGH of the open over-the-counter call option positions. The fair value of the call options can never decrease below zero. No ISDA agreements have been terminated, although the counterparties have reserved the right to terminate the ISDA agreements at any time. In certain transactions, FGH and the counterparty have entered into a collateral support agreement requiring either party to post collateral when the net exposures exceed predetermined thresholds. These thresholds vary by counterparty and credit rating. As of September 30, 2016 and 2015, \$127.8 million and \$7.0 million, respectively, of collateral was posted by FGH's counterparties. Accordingly, the maximum amount of loss due to credit risk that FGH were to incur if parties to the call options failed completely to perform according to the terms of the contracts was \$147.4 million and \$73.7 million at September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Additionally, under certain insurance reserve financing arrangements, if FGH were to take certain actions without the counterparties consent, and such actions resulted in a specified financial strength ratings downgrade, FGH would be in default. See Part II, Item 7A. "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk-Credit Risk and Counterparty Risk-FGL."

The amount of regulatory capital that FGL's and Front Street's insurance subsidiaries have and the amount of statutory capital that they must hold to maintain their financial strength ratings and meet other requirements can vary significantly from time to time due to a number of factors outside of their control.

FGL's insurance subsidiaries are subject to regulations that provide minimum capitalization requirements based on RBC formulas for life insurance and reinsurance companies that establish capital requirements relating to insurance, business, asset, interest rate, and certain other risks.

In any particular year, statutory surplus amounts and RBC ratios may increase or decrease depending on a variety of factors, most of which are outside of FGL's control, including, but not limited to, the following:
the amount of statutory income or losses generated by their insurance subsidiaries (which itself is sensitive to equity market and credit market conditions);

• the amount of additional capital their insurance subsidiaries must hold to support business growth;

•hanges in reserve requirements applicable to their insurance subsidiaries; •heir ability to access capital markets to provide reserve relief;

changes in equity market levels;

the value of certain fixed-income and equity securities in their investment portfolios;

changes in the credit ratings of investments held in their portfolios;

the value of certain derivative instruments;

changes in interest rates;

eredit market volatility;

changes in consumer behavior; and

changes to the RBC formulas and interpretation of the NAIC instructions with respect to RBC calculation methodologies.

The financial strength ratings of FGL's insurance subsidiaries are significantly influenced by their statutory surplus amounts and capital adequacy ratios. Rating agencies may also implement changes to their internal models, which differ from the RBC capital model, that have the effect of increasing or decreasing the amount of statutory capital FGL's insurance subsidiaries must

result in substantial judgments.

hold in order to maintain their current ratings. In addition, rating agencies may downgrade the investments held in FGL's portfolio, which could result in a reduction of FGL's capital and surplus and its RBC ratio.

In extreme equity market declines, the amount of additional statutory reserves, FGL's insurance subsidiaries are required to hold for fixed indexed products may decrease at a rate less than the rate of change of the market value of the invested assets. This mismatch could result in a reduction of the capital, surplus or RBC ratio of FGL's insurance subsidiaries. To the extent that an insurance subsidiary's RBC ratios are deemed to be insufficient, FGL may seek to take actions either to increase the capitalization of the insurer or to reduce the capitalization requirements. If FGL is unable to accomplish such actions, the rating agencies may view this as a reason for a ratings downgrade. While the amount of statutory reserves is not directly affected by changes in interest rates, additional statutory reserves may be required as the result of an asset adequacy analysis, and this analysis of cash flow testing is altered by rising or falling interest rates and widening credit spreads.

The failure of any of FGL's insurance subsidiaries to meet its applicable RBC requirements or minimum capital and surplus requirements could subject it to further examination or corrective action imposed by insurance regulators, including limitations on its ability to write additional business, supervision by regulators or seizure or liquidation. Any corrective action imposed could have a material adverse effect on FGL's business, results of operations and financial condition. A decline in RBC ratios also limits the ability of an insurance subsidiary to make dividends or distributions to FGL and could be a factor in causing rating agencies to downgrade the insurer's financial strength ratings, which could have a material adverse effect on FGL's business, results of operations and financial condition. Financial services companies are frequently the targets of litigation, including class action litigation, which could

FGL and Front Street, like other financial services companies, are involved in litigation and arbitration in the ordinary course of business. Although FGL and Front Street do not believe that the outcome of any such litigation or arbitration will have a material adverse effect on their financial condition, it is possible that their results of operations and cash flows could be materially affected by an unfavorable outcome. More generally, FGL and Front Street operate in industries in which various practices are subject to scrutiny and potential litigation, including class actions. In addition, FGL sells its products through IMOs, whose activities may be difficult to monitor. Civil jury verdicts have been returned against insurers and other financial services companies involving sales, underwriting practices, product design, product disclosure, administration, denial or delay of benefits, charging excessive or impermissible fees, recommending unsuitable products to customers, breaching fiduciary or other duties to customers, refund or claims practices, alleged agent misconduct, failure to properly supervise representatives, relationships with agents or other persons with whom the insurer does business, payment of sales or other contingent commissions and other matters. Such lawsuits can result in substantial judgments that are disproportionate to the actual damages, including material amounts of punitive non-economic compensatory damages. In some states, juries, judges and arbitrators have substantial discretion in awarding punitive and non-economic compensatory damages, which creates the potential for unpredictable material adverse judgments or awards in any given lawsuit or arbitration. Arbitration awards are subject to very limited appellate review. In addition, in some class action and other lawsuits, financial services companies have made material settlement payments.

FGL's reinsurers, including Wilton Re and Front Street Cayman, could fail to meet assumed obligations, increase their rates, or become subject to adverse developments that could materially adversely affect FGL's business, financial condition and results of operations.

FGL's insurance subsidiaries cede material amounts of insurance and transfer related assets and certain liabilities to other insurance companies through reinsurance. For example, a material amount of reinsured liabilities are concentrated with Wilton Re and Front Street Cayman. As of September 30, 2016, the amount recoverable from Wilton Re and Front Street Cayman was \$1,523.3 million and \$1,119.5 million, respectively. Given FGL's significant concentration of reinsurance with Wilton Re, if Wilton Re fails to perform its obligations under the various reinsurance treaties, such failure could have a material impact on FGL's financial position. See Part I, Item 1. "Business-Our Operating Subsidiaries-FGL-Reinsurance-Wilton Re Transaction". However, notwithstanding the transfer of related assets and certain liabilities, FGL remains liable with respect to ceded insurance should any reinsurer fail to meet the obligations assumed. Accordingly, FGL bears credit risk with respect to its reinsurers. The

failure, insolvency, inability or unwillingness of any reinsurer to pay under the terms of reinsurance agreements with FGL could materially adversely affect FGL's business, financial condition and results of operations. To mitigate the counterparty risk for the Front Street Cayman transaction, the assets are held on FGL Insurance's balance sheet and are used as collateral in the event of a failure. For Wilton Re, A+ rated from Fitch, FGL monitors the credit rating. During 2014 Wilton Re announced their purchase by Canadian Pension Plan Investment Board, ("CCIB"), an AAA rated organization. With the capital resources of CCIB behind Wilton Re, FGL believes the counterparty risk is low. See Part I, Item 1A. "Business-Our Operating Subsidiaries-FGL-Reinsurance-Wilton Re Transaction". FGL's ability to compete is dependent on the availability of reinsurance or other substitute financing solutions, both of which could involve the use of reinsurance affiliates referred to generally as "captives". Premium rates charged by FGL are based, in part, on the assumption that reinsurance will be available at a certain cost. Under certain reinsurance agreements, the reinsurer may increase the rate it charges FGL for the reinsurance. Therefore, if the cost of reinsurance were to increase, if reinsurance

were to become unavailable on commercially reasonable terms or at all, if alternatives to reinsurance were not available to FGL, if the use of captives were materially restricted through regulation, including certain general proposals currently under consideration by the NAIC, FGL's business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

The credit for reinsurance taken by FGL's insurance subsidiaries under offshore reinsurance agreements is, under certain conditions, dependent upon the offshore reinsurers ability to obtain and provide sufficient qualifying assets in a qualifying trust or qualifying letters of credit issued by qualifying lending banks. The cost of letters of credit, when available, continues to be very expensive in the current economic environment. Loss of reserve credit by an insurance subsidiary would require it to establish additional reserves and would result in a decrease in the level of its capital, which could have a material adverse effect on FGL's profitability, results of operations and financial condition. In recent years, access to reinsurance has become more costly for members of the insurance industry, including FGL. In addition, the number of life reinsurers has decreased as the reinsurance industry has consolidated. The decreased number of participants in the life reinsurance market resulted in increased concentration of risk for insurers, including FGL. If the reinsurance market further contracts, FGL's ability to continue to offer FGL's products on terms favorable to it could be negatively impacted, resulting in adverse consequences to FGL's business, operations and financial condition.

In addition, reinsurers are facing many challenges regarding illiquid credit or capital markets, investment downgrades, rating agency downgrades, deterioration of general economic conditions and other factors negatively impacting the financial services industry generally. If such events cause a reinsurer to fail to meet its obligations, FGL's business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

Restrictions on FGL's ability to use captive reinsurers could adversely impact its competitive position and results of operations.

The NAIC and state insurance regulators continue to review life insurance companies' use of affiliated captive reinsurers or off-shore entities. On June 4, 2014, Rector & Associates, a consulting firm commissioned by the NAIC, presented a revised report (the "Rector Report") to the Principle-Based Reserving Implementation Task Force of the NAIC which proposes a new regulatory framework for captives assuming term life insurance ("XXX") or universal life insurance with secondary guarantees ("AXXX") business, and recommends, among other things, placing limitations on the types of assets that may be used to finance reserves associated with XXX and AXXX business and making an individual state's adoption of the new regulations contemplated by the report an NAIC accreditation standard. On August 17, 2014, the NAIC Executive (EX) Committee adopted the regulatory framework proposed by the Rector Report, including recommendations to have various NAIC technical subgroups propose regulations and guidelines to implement the new framework. These technical working groups are in various stages of developing and proposing regulations and guidelines. On October 9, 2014, the NAIC's Principle-Based Reserving Implementation Task Force voted to expose for comment a new Actuarial Guideline (AG48) designed to implement many of the recommendations in the Rector Report related to the amount of assets that may be supported by different asset classes in connection with certain transactions involving captive reinsurance companies.

If state insurance regulators restrict the use of captive reinsurers or if FGL otherwise is unable to continue to use captive reinsurers in the future, FGL's ability to write certain products, to manage the associated risks and to deploy capital efficiently, could be adversely affected, or FGL may need to increase prices on those products, which could adversely impact its competitive position and its results of operations.

Interest rate fluctuations and withdrawal demands in excess of FGL's and Front Street's assumptions could negatively affect their business, financial condition and results of operations.

FGL and Front Street offer certain products that allow policyholders to withdraw their funds under defined circumstances. In order to meet such funding obligations, FGL and Front Street manage their liabilities and configure their investment portfolios so as to provide and maintain sufficient liquidity to support expected withdrawal demands and contract benefits and maturities. However, in order to provide necessary long-term returns, a certain portion of FGL's and Front Street's assets are relatively illiquid. There can be no assurance that withdrawal demands will match FGL's and Front Street's estimation of withdrawal demands. As interest rates increase, FGL and Front Street are exposed to the risk of financial disintermediation through a potential increase in the number of withdrawals.

Disintermediation risk refers to the risk that policyholders may surrender their contracts in a rising interest rate environment, requiring FGL and Front Street to liquidate assets in an unrealized loss position. If FGL and Front Street experience unexpected withdrawal activity, whether as a result of interest rate movements financial strength downgrades or otherwise, it could exhaust its liquid assets and be forced to liquidate other less liquid assets, possibly at a loss or on other unfavorable terms, which could have a material adverse effect on FGL's and Front Street's business, financial condition and results of operations. Additionally, FGL and Front Street may experience spread compression, and a loss of anticipated earnings, if credited interest rates are increased on renewing contracts in an effort to decrease or manage withdrawal activity.

Interest rates are subject to volatility and fluctuations. For the past several years, interest rates have trended downwards to historically low levels. In order to meet its policy and contractual obligations, FGL and Front Street must earn a sufficient return on its invested assets. A prolonged period of historically low rates or significant changes in interest rates could expose FGL and Front Street to the risk of not achieving sufficient return on its invested assets by not achieving anticipated interest earnings, or of not earning anticipated spreads between the interest rate earned on investments and the credited interest rates paid on outstanding policies and contracts. Additionally, a prolonged period of low interest rates in the future may lengthen liability

maturity, thus increasing the need for a re-investment of assets at yields that are below the amounts required to support guarantee features of FGL's and Front Street's contracts. Both rising and declining interest rates can negatively affect FGL's and Front Street's interest earnings and spread income (the difference between the returns FGL and Front Street earn on their investments and the amounts they must credit to policyholders and contractholders). While FGL and Front Street develop and maintain asset liability management ("ALM") programs and procedures designed to mitigate the effect on interest earnings and spread income in rising or falling interest rate environments, no assurance can be given that changes in interest rates will not materially adversely affect FGL's or Front Street's businesses, financial conditions and results of operations.

FGL's expectation for future interest earnings and spread income is an important component in amortization of DAC and VOBA and significantly lower interest earnings or spreads may cause FGL to accelerate amortization, thereby reducing net income in the affected reporting period. An extended period of declining interest rates or a prolonged period of low interest rates may also cause FGL or Front Street to change their long-term view of the interest rates that they can earn on their investments. Such a change in FGL's or Front Street's view would cause them to change the long-term interest rate that they assume in their calculation of insurance assets and liabilities under U.S. GAAP. This revision would result in increased reserves and other unfavorable consequences. In addition, while the amount of statutory reserves is not directly affected by changes in interest rates, additional statutory reserves may be required as the result of an asset adequacy analysis, which is altered by rising or falling interest rates and widening credit spreads. Additionally, FGL's and Front Street's ALM programs and procedures incorporate assumptions about the relationship between short-term and long-term interest rates and relationships between risk-adjusted and risk-free interest rates, market liquidity and other factors. The effectiveness of FGL's and Front Street's ALM programs and procedures may be negatively affected whenever actual results differ from these assumptions.

Changes in interest rates may also affect the attractiveness of certain of FGL's products. For example, lower interest rates may result in decreased sales of certain of FGL's insurance and investment products. However, during periods of declining interest rates, certain life insurance and annuity products may be relatively more attractive investments to consumers, resulting in increased premium payments on products with flexible premium features, repayment of policy loans and increased persistency or a higher percentage of insurance policies remaining in force from year to year during a period when FGL's investments carry lower returns. As a result, FGL could become unable to earn its desired level of spread income.

During periods of increasing market interest rates, FGL may offer higher crediting rates on interest-sensitive products, such as universal life insurance and fixed annuities, and FGL may increase crediting rates on in-force products to keep these products competitive. Increases in crediting rates, as well as surrenders and withdrawals, could have a material adverse effect on FGL's business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, if long-term interest rates rise dramatically within a six- to twelve-month time period, certain of FGL's products may be exposed to disintermediation risk. Higher interest rates may increase the cost of debt and other obligations having floating rate or rate reset provisions and may result in lower sales of other products. A rise in interest rates, in the absence of other countervailing changes, will increase the gross unrealized loss position of FGL's investment portfolio which will decrease FGL's accumulated other comprehensive income and shareholders' equity. FGL's gross unrealized loss on FGL's available for sale ("AFS") portfolio was \$268.2 million as of September 30, 2016 compared to \$498.4 million as of September 30, 2015.

FGL's and Front Street's investments are subject to market and credit risks. These risks could be heightened during periods of extreme volatility or disruption in financial and credit markets.

FGL's and Front Street's invested assets and derivative financial instruments are subject to risks of credit defaults and changes in market values. Periods of extreme volatility or disruption in the financial and credit markets could increase these risks. Underlying factors relating to volatility affecting the financial and credit markets could have a material adverse impact on FGL's and Front Street's results of operations or financial condition.

The value of FGL's and Front Street's mortgage-backed investments depends in part on the financial condition of the borrowers and tenants for the properties underlying those investments, as well as general and specific economic trends affecting the overall default rate. FGL and Front Street are also subject to the risk that cash flows resulting from the payments on pools of mortgages that serve as collateral underlying the mortgage-backed securities FGL and Front

Street own may differ from their expectations in timing or size. Cash flow variability arising from an unexpected acceleration in mortgage prepayment behavior can be significant, and could cause a decline in the estimated fair value of certain "interest-only" securities within FGL's and Front Street's mortgage-backed securities portfolio. Any event reducing the estimated fair value of these securities, other than on a temporary basis, could have an adverse effect on FGL's and Front Street's business, results of operations and financial condition.

Significant continued financial and credit market volatility, changes in interest rates, credit spreads, credit defaults, real estate values, market illiquidity, declines in equity prices, acts of corporate malfeasance, ratings downgrades of the issuers or guarantors of these investments and declines in general economic conditions, either alone or in combination, could have a material adverse impact on FGL's or Front Street's results of operations, financial condition or cash flows through realized losses, OTTI, changes in unrealized loss positions and increased demands on capital. As of September 30, 2016 and 2015, FGL had gross unrealized losses on its AFS portfolio of \$268.2 million and \$498.4 million, respectively. In addition, FGL's investment portfolio is concentrated in certain industries. As of September 30, 2016 and 2015, FGL's most significant investment in one industry was

its investment securities in the banking industry with a fair value of \$2,447.9 million and \$1,979.1 million, or 11.6% and 10.4%, respectively, of the invested assets portfolio. FGL's holdings in this industry include investments in 97 and 83 different issuers as of September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, with the top ten investments accounting for 33.6% and 39.0% of the total holdings in this industry as of September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. As of September 30, 2016 and 2015, Front Street's most significant exposure related to the securities underlying the funds withheld receivables was to the financial sector and the energy, mining and metals industries. As of September 30, 2016 and 2015, the carrying value of the fixed maturity securities in the financial sector was \$232.8 million, or 14.1%, and \$269.7 million, or 15.8%, respectively, of Front Street's funds withheld receivables. At September 30, 2016 and 2015, the holdings in this sector included investments in 81 and 107 different issuers, respectively, with the top ten investments accounting for 48.0% and 41.0%, respectively, of the total holdings in this sector. As of September 30, 2016 and 2015, the carrying value of the fixed maturity securities in the energy, mining and metals industries was \$188.6 million, or 11.4%, and \$236.6 million, or 13.8%, respectively, of Front Street's funds withheld receivables. At September 30, 2016 and 2015, the holdings in these industries included investments in 74 and 98 different issuers, respectively, with the top ten investments accounting for 43.4% and 39.7%, respectively, of the total holdings in these industries.

In addition, market volatility can make it difficult for FGL and Front Street to value certain of their assets, especially if trading becomes less frequent. Valuations may include assumptions or estimates that may have significant period-to-period changes that could have an adverse impact on FGL's and Front Street's results of operations or financial condition.

FGL is exposed to credit loss in the event of non-performance by its counterparties on call options. FGL seeks to reduce the risk associated with such agreements by purchasing such options from large, well-established financial institutions, but there can be no assurance that FGL will not suffer losses in the event of counterparty non-performance. As of September 30, 2016 and 2015, \$127.8 million and \$7.0 million, respectively, of collateral was posted by FGL's counterparties. Accordingly, the maximum amount of loss due to credit risk that FGL would incur if parties to the call options failed completely to perform according to the terms of the contracts was \$147.4 million and \$73.7 million at September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. See "Note 5. Derivative Financial Instruments" to FGL's audited consolidated financial statements for further discussion of credit risk.

Equity market volatility could negatively impact FGL's or Front Street's business.

Equity market volatility can negatively affect FGL's or Front Street's revenues and profitability in various ways, particularly as a result of guaranteed minimum withdrawal or surrender benefits in their products. The estimated cost of providing GMWB incorporates various assumptions about the overall performance of equity markets over certain time periods. Periods of significant and sustained downturns in equity markets or increased equity volatility could result in an increase in the valuation of the future policy benefit or policyholder account balance liabilities associated with such products, resulting in a reduction in FGL's or Front Street's revenues and net income. The rate of amortization of DAC and VOBA costs relating to FIA products and the cost of providing guaranteed minimum withdrawal or surrender benefits could also increase if equity market performance is worse than assumed, hence materially and adversely impacting FGL's or Front Street's results of operations and financial condition. Credit market volatility or disruption could adversely impact FGL's or Front Street's financial condition or results of operations.

Significant volatility or disruption in credit markets could have a material adverse effect on FGL's or Front Street's business, financial condition and results of operations. Changes in interest rates and credit spreads could cause market price and cash flow variability in the fixed income instruments in FGL's and Front Street's investment portfolios. Significant volatility and lack of liquidity in the credit markets could cause issuers of the fixed-income securities in FGL's or Front Street's investment portfolio to default on either principal or interest payments on these securities. Additionally, market price valuations may not accurately reflect the underlying expected cash flows of securities within FGL's or Front Street's investment portfolio.

Changes in federal or state tax laws may affect sales of FGL's products and profitability.

The annuity and life insurance products that FGL markets generally provide the policyholder with certain federal income or state tax advantages. For example, federal income taxation on any increases in non-qualified annuity

contract values (i.e., the "inside build-up") is deferred until it is received by the policyholder. Non-qualified annuities are annuities that are not sold to a qualified retirement plan. With other savings investments, such as certificates of deposit and taxable bonds, the increase in value is generally taxed each year as it is realized. Additionally, life insurance death benefits are generally exempt from income tax.

From time to time, various tax law changes have been proposed that could have an adverse effect on FGL's business, including the elimination of all or a portion of the income tax advantages described above for annuities and life insurance. Additionally, insurance products, including the tax favorable features of these products, generally must be approved by the insurance regulators in each state in which they are sold. This review could delay the introduction of new products or impact the features that provide for tax advantages and make such products less attractive to potential purchasers. If legislation were enacted to eliminate the tax deferral for annuities, such a change would have a material adverse effect on FGL's ability to sell non-qualified annuities.

FGL and Front Street may be required to increase their valuation allowance against their deferred tax assets, and may face restrictions on FGL's and Front Street's ability to fully utilize such assets which could materially adversely affect FGL's and Front Street's capital position, business, operations and financial condition.

Deferred tax assets refer to assets that are attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets in essence represent future savings of taxes that would otherwise be paid in cash. The realization of the deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of sufficient future taxable income, including capital gains. If it is determined that the deferred tax assets cannot be realized, a deferred tax valuation allowance must be established, with a corresponding charge to net income. Based on FGL's and Front Street's current assessment of future taxable income, including available tax planning opportunities, FGL and Front Street anticipate that it is more likely than not that FGL and Front Street will generate sufficient taxable income to realize all of their deferred tax assets as to which FGL and Front Street do not have a valuation allowance. If future events differ from FGL's and Front Street's current forecasts, the valuation allowance may need to be increased from the current amount, which could have a material adverse effect on FGL's and Front Street's capital position, business, operations and financial condition.

FGL's and Front Street's business models depend on the performance of various third parties, including independent distributors, underwriters, actuarial consultants and other service providers.

FGL and Front Street rely significantly on various third parties to provide services for their business operations. As such, their results may be affected by the performance of those other parties. For example, FGL is dependent upon independent distribution channels to sell its products, third parties to perform policy administration and underwriting functions, and independent consultants to perform actuarial analyses and asset managers to manage certain of FGL's assets. Additionally, FGL's and Front Street's operations are dependent on various service providers and on various technologies, some of which are provided or maintained by certain key outsourcing partners and other parties. Many of FGL's products and services are complex and are sold through third-party intermediaries. In particular, FGL's insurance businesses are reliant on these intermediaries to describe and explain their products to potential customers. The intentional or unintentional misrepresentation of FGL's products and services in advertising materials or other external communications, or inappropriate activities by FGL's personnel or an intermediary, could adversely affect FGL's reputation and business prospects, as well as lead to potential regulatory actions or litigation.

The third parties upon which FGL and Front Street depend may default on their obligations to FGL or Front Street due to bankruptcy, insolvency, lack of liquidity, adverse economic conditions, operational failure, fraud, loss of key personnel, or other reasons. Such defaults could have a material adverse effect on FGL's or Front Street's financial condition and results of operations. In addition, certain of these other parties may act, or be deemed to act, on behalf of FGL or represent FGL in various capacities. Consequently, FGL may be held responsible for obligations that arise from the acts or omissions of these other parties

Interruption or other operational failures in telecommunication, information technology and other operational systems, or a failure to maintain the security, integrity, confidentiality or privacy of sensitive data residing on such systems, including as a result of human error, could harm FGL's or Front Street's business.

FGL and Front Street are highly dependent on automated and information technology systems to record and process their internal transactions and transactions involving their customers, as well as to calculate reserves, value-invested assets and complete certain other components of their U.S. GAAP and statutory financial statements. FGL or Front Street could experience a failure of one of these systems, their employees or agents could fail to monitor and implement enhancements or other modifications to a system in a timely and effective manner, or their employees or agents could fail to complete all necessary data reconciliation or other conversion controls when implementing a new software system or implementing modifications to an existing system. Despite the implementation of security and back-up measures, FGL's and Front Street's information technology systems may be vulnerable to physical or electronic intrusions, viruses or other attacks, programming errors and similar disruptions. FGL or Front Street may also be subject to disruptions of any of these systems arising from events that are wholly or partially beyond their control (for example, natural disasters, acts of terrorism, epidemics, computer viruses and electrical/telecommunications outages). All of these risks are also applicable where FGL and Front Street rely on outside vendors, including Dell, to provide services to FGL and Front Street, to provide services to them and their

customers. The failure of any one of these systems for any reason, or errors made by FGL's or Front Street's employees or agents, could in each case cause significant interruptions to their respective operations, which could harm their reputations, adversely affect their internal controls over financial reporting, or have a material adverse effect on FGL's or Front Street's business, results of operations and financial condition.

FGL retains confidential information in its information technology systems and those of its business partners, and it relies on industry standard commercial technologies to maintain the security of those systems. Despite its implementation of network security measures, FGL's servers could be subject to physical and electronic break-ins, and similar disruptions from unauthorized tampering with their computer systems. While FGL performs annual penetration tests and has adopted a number of measures to protect the security of customer and company data and have not experienced a successful cyberattack, there is no guaranty that such an attack will not occur or be successful in the future. Anyone who is able to circumvent FGL's security measures and

penetrate its information technology systems could access, view, misappropriate, alter, or delete information in the systems, including personally identifiable customer information and proprietary business information. Information security risks also exist with respect to the use of portable electronic devices, such as laptops, which are particularly vulnerable to loss and theft. In addition, an increasing number of jurisdictions require that customers be notified if a security breach results in the disclosure of personally identifiable customer information. Any compromise of the security of FGL's information technology systems that results in inappropriate access, use or disclosure of personally identifiable customer information could damage FGL's reputation in the marketplace, deter purchases of its products, subject FGL to heightened regulatory scrutiny or significant civil and criminal liability and require FGL to incur significant technical, legal and other expenses.

In the event of a disaster such as a natural catastrophe, an industrial accident, a blackout, a computer virus, a terrorist attack or war, FGL's or Front Street's information technology systems may be inaccessible to their employees, customers, or business partners for an extended period of time. Even if FGL's or Front Street's employees are able to report to work, they may be unable to perform their duties for an extended period of time if their data or systems are disabled or destroyed. Any such occurrence could materially adversely affect FGL's or Front Street's business, operations and financial condition.

FGL's and Front Street's insurance subsidiaries' ability to grow depends in large part upon the continued availability of capital.

FGL's and Front Street's insurance subsidiaries' long-term strategic capital requirements will depend on many factors, including their accumulated statutory earnings and the relationship between their statutory capital and surplus and various elements of required capital. To support their long-term capital requirements, FGL, Front Street and their insurance subsidiaries may need to increase or maintain their statutory capital and surplus through financings, which could include debt, equity, financing arrangements or other surplus relief transactions. Adverse market conditions have affected and continue to affect the availability and cost of capital from external sources. Neither FGL nor Front Street are obligated, and they may choose not or may be unable, to provide financing or make any capital contribution to their insurance subsidiaries. Consequently, financings, if available at all, may be available only on terms that are not favorable to FGL or Front Street or their insurance subsidiaries. If FGL's or Front Street's insurance subsidiaries cannot maintain adequate capital, they may be required to limit growth in sales of new policies, or reinsurance treaties (each as applicable), and such action could materially adversely affect FGL's or Front Street's business, operations and financial condition.

Accounting rules, changes to accounting rules, or the grant of permitted accounting practices to competitors could negatively impact FGL and Front Street.

FGL and Front Street are required to comply with U.S. GAAP. A number of organizations are instrumental in the development and interpretation of U.S. GAAP, such as the SEC, the FASB and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. U.S. GAAP is subject to constant review by these organizations and others in an effort to address emerging accounting rules and issue interpretative accounting guidance on a continual basis. FGL nor Front Street cannot assure you that future changes to U.S. GAAP will not have a negative impact on FGL or Front Street. U.S. GAAP includes the requirement to carry certain assets and liabilities at fair value. These fair values are sensitive to various factors including, but not limited to, interest rate movements, credit spreads, and various other factors. Because of this, changes in these fair values may cause increased levels of volatility in FGL's and Front Street's consolidated financial statements.

In addition, FGL's insurance subsidiaries are required to comply with statutory accounting principles ("SAP"). SAP and in particular actuarial reserving methodology are subject to constant review by the NAIC and its task forces and committees as well as state insurance departments in an effort to address emerging issues and otherwise improve financial reporting. Various proposals are currently, or have previously been, pending before committees and task forces of the NAIC, some of which, if enacted, would negatively affect FGL's insurance subsidiaries. The NAIC is also currently working to reform state regulation in various areas, including comprehensive reforms relating to life insurance reserves and the accounting for such reserves. FGL cannot predict whether or in what form reforms will be enacted and, if so, whether the enacted reforms will positively or negatively affect FGL. In addition, the NAIC Accounting Practices and Procedures manual provides that state insurance departments may permit insurance

companies domiciled therein to depart from SAP by granting them permitted accounting practices. FGL cannot predict whether or when the insurance departments of the states of domicile of its competitors may permit them to utilize advantageous accounting practices that depart from SAP, the use of which is not permitted by the insurance departments of the states of domicile of FGL and its insurance subsidiaries. With respect to regulations and guidelines, states sometimes defer to the interpretation of the insurance department of the state of domicile. Neither the action of the domiciliary state nor action of the NAIC is binding on a state. Accordingly, a state could choose to follow a different interpretation. FGL can give no assurance that future changes to SAP or components of SAP or the grant of permitted accounting practices to its competitors will not have a negative impact on FGL.

FGL's and Front Street's risk management policies and procedures could leave them exposed to unidentified or unanticipated risks, which could negatively affect their businesses or result in losses.

FGL and Front Street have developed risk management policies and procedures and expect to continue to enhance these in the future. Nonetheless, their policies and procedures to identify, monitor, and manage both internal and external risks may not effectively mitigate these risks or predict future exposures, which could be different or significantly greater than expected. These identified risks may not be the only risks facing FGL or Front Street. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to FGL or Front Street, or that either of them currently deem to be immaterial, may adversely affect their business, financial condition or operating results. For example, FGL hedges its FIA index credits with a combination of static and dynamic strategies, which can result in earnings volatility. In addition, FGL's FIA hedging strategy economically hedges the equity returns and exposes FGL to the risk that unhedged market exposures result in divergence between changes in the fair value of the liabilities and the hedging assets.

FGL may not be able to protect its intellectual property and may be subject to infringement claims.

FGL relies on a combination of contractual rights and copyright, trademark and trade secret laws to establish and protect its intellectual property. Although FGL uses a broad range of measures to protect its intellectual property rights, third parties may infringe or misappropriate its intellectual property. FGL may have to litigate to enforce and protect its copyrights, trademarks, trade secrets and know-how or to determine their scope, validity or enforceability, which represents a diversion of resources that may be significant in amount and may not prove successful. The loss of intellectual property protection or the inability to secure or enforce the protection of FGL's intellectual property assets could adversely impact its business and its ability to compete effectively.

FGL also may be subject to costly litigation in the event that another party alleges its operations or activities infringe upon that party's intellectual property rights. FGL may also be subject to claims by third parties for breach of copyright, trademark, trade secret or license usage rights. Any such claims and any resulting litigation could result in significant expense and liability for damages or FGL could be enjoined from providing certain products or services to its customers or utilizing and benefiting from certain methods, processes, copyrights, trademarks, trade secrets or licenses, or alternatively, FGL could be required to enter into costly licensing arrangements with third parties, all of which could have a material adverse effect on FGL's business, results of operations and financial condition. FGL's and Front Street's business could be interrupted or compromised if they experience difficulties arising from outsourcing relationships.

In addition to services provided by third-party asset managers and actuarial consultants, FGL outsources the following functions to third-party service providers, and expect to continue to do so in the future: (i) new business administration, (ii) hosting of financial systems, (iii) servicing of existing policies, (iv) information technology development and maintenance, (v) call centers and (vi) underwriting administration of life insurance applications. Front Street is also reliant on a variety of third party services in conducting its operations. If FGL and Front Street do not maintain an effective outsourcing strategy or third-party providers do not perform as contracted, they may experience operational difficulties, increased costs and a loss of business that could have a material adverse effect on their results of operations. In addition, FGL's and Front Street's reliance on third-party service providers that they do not control does not relieve them of their responsibilities and requirements. Any failure or negligence by such third-party service providers in carrying out their contractual duties may result in FGL and Front Street becoming subjected to liability to parties who are harmed and ensuing litigation. Any litigation relating to such matters could be costly, expensive and time-consuming, and the outcome of any such litigation may be uncertain.

Moreover, any adverse publicity arising from such litigation, even if the litigation is not successful, could adversely affect FGL's and Front Street's reputation and sales of their products.

FGL and Front Street are exposed to the risks of natural and man-made catastrophes, pandemics and malicious and terrorist acts that could materially adversely affect their business, financial condition and results of operations. Natural and man-made catastrophes, pandemics and malicious and terrorist acts present risks that could materially adversely affect FGL's or Front Street's results of operations. A natural or man-made catastrophe, pandemic or malicious or terrorist act could materially adversely affect the mortality or morbidity experience of FGL's business or its reinsurers, including Front Street. Such events could adversely affect the mortality and morbidity experience of

FGL's or Front Street's business. Claims arising from such events could have a material adverse effect on FGL's or Front Street's business, operations and financial condition, either directly or as a result of their effect on FGL's reinsurers including Front Street or other counterparties. Such events could also have an adverse effect on lapses and surrenders of existing policies, as well as sales of new policies. While FGL and Front Street have taken steps to identify and manage these risks, such risks cannot be predicted with certainty, nor fully protected against even if anticipated.

In addition, such events could result in overall macroeconomic volatility or specifically a decrease or halt in economic activity in large geographic areas, adversely affecting the marketing or administration of FGL's or Front Street's business within such geographic areas or the general economic climate, which in turn could have an adverse effect on FGL's or Front Street's business.

operations and financial condition. The possible macroeconomic effects of such events could also adversely affect FGL's or Front Street's asset portfolio.

FGL and Front Street operate in highly competitive industries, which could limit their abilities to gain or maintain their respective positions in the industries and could materially adversely affect their business, financial condition and results of operations.

FGL and Front Street operate in highly competitive industries. FGL encounters significant competition in all of its product lines from other insurance companies. Front Street faces significant competition from other reinsurance providers. Many of FGL's and Front Street's competitors have greater financial resources and, with respect to FGL, higher financial strength ratings, which may have a greater market share, offer a broader range of products, services or features, assume a greater level of risk, have lower operating or financing costs, or have different profitability expectations than FGL or Front Street, as applicable. Competition could result in, among other things, lower sales or higher lapses of existing products.

FGL's annuity products compete with fixed indexed, fixed rate and variable annuities sold by other insurance companies and also with mutual fund products, traditional bank investments and other retirement funding alternatives offered by asset managers, banks and broker-dealers. FGL's insurance products compete with those of other insurance companies, financial intermediaries and other institutions based on a number of factors, including premium rates, policy terms and conditions, service provided to distribution channels and policyholders, ratings by rating agencies, reputation and commission structures.

Consolidation in the insurance and reinsurance industries and in distribution channels may result in increasing competitive pressures on FGL and Front Street. Larger, potentially more efficient organizations may emerge from such consolidation. In addition, some mutual insurance companies have converted to stock ownership, which gives them greater access to capital markets and greater ability to compete. The ability of banks to increase their securities-related business or to affiliate with insurance companies may materially and adversely affect sales of all of FGL's products by substantially increasing the number and financial strength of potential competitors. Consolidation and expansion among banks, insurance companies and other financial services companies with which FGL and Front Street do business could also have an adverse effect on their business, operations and financial condition if they demand more favorable terms than FGL or Front Street previously offered or if they elect not to continue to do business with FGL or Front Street following consolidation or expansion.

FGL's ability to compete is dependent upon, among other things, its ability to develop competitive and profitable products, its ability to maintain low unit costs, and its maintenance of adequate financial strength ratings from rating agencies. FGL's ability to compete is also dependent upon, among other things, its ability to attract and retain distribution channels to market its products, the competition for which is vigorous. FGL competes for marketers and agents primarily on the basis of FGL's financial position, support services, compensation and product features. Such marketers and agents may promote products offered by other life insurance companies that may offer a larger variety of products than FGL does. FGL's competitiveness for such marketers and agents also depends upon the long-term relationships FGL develops with them. If FGL is unable to attract and retain sufficient marketers and agents to sell its products, its ability to compete and FGL's revenues will suffer.

Front Street's ability to successfully compete will be dependent on, among other things, risks associated with an insurance business and managing of assets, including, among other things, Front Street's: (a) ability to successfully implement its investment strategy, especially with respect to riskier, below-investment-grade securities; (b) exposure to credit risk associated with third parties, including brokers with whom it will conduct business; (c) ability to provide collateral to ceding companies or otherwise comply with applicable insurance regulations; (d) ability to successfully employ loss limitation methods to mitigate its loss exposure; (e) ability to attract qualified personnel and retain such key personnel; (f) mitigate unfavorable changes in applicable laws, accounting rules or regulations; (g) operational risks associated with, among other things, employee and contractor conduct, operational errors, system malfunctions and cyber-security incidents; and (h) successfully maintain its existing investments.

FGL's ability to maintain competitive policy expense costs is dependent upon the level of new sales and persistency of existing business.

FGL's ability to maintain competitive policy expense costs is dependent upon a number of factors, such as the level of new sales, persistency of existing business and expense management. A decrease in sales or persistency without a corresponding reduction in expenses may result in higher policy expense costs.

In addition, lower persistency may result in higher or more rapid amortization of VOBA costs, which would result in higher unit costs and lower reported earnings. Although many of FGL's products contain surrender charges, such charges decrease over time and may not be sufficient to cover the unamortized DAC and VOBA costs with respect to the insurance policy or annuity contract being surrendered.

There may be adverse consequences if the independent contractor status of FGL's IMOs is successfully challenged. FGL sells its products through a network of approximately 200 IMOs representing approximately 35,000 independent agents and managing general agents. FGL currently treats these IMOs as independent contractors who own their own businesses. However, the tests governing the determination of whether an individual is considered to be an independent contractor or an employee are typically fact sensitive and vary from jurisdiction to jurisdiction. Laws and regulations that govern the status of the IMOs are subject to change or interpretation by various authorities. If a federal, state or local authority or court enacts

legislation or adopts regulations or adopts an interpretation that changes the manner in which employees and independent contractors are classified or makes any adverse determination with respect to some or all of FGL's independent contractors, FGL could incur significant costs in complying with such laws, regulations or interpretations, including, in respect of tax withholding, social security payments and recordkeeping, or FGL could be held liable for the actions of such independent contractors or may be required to modify its business model, any of which could have a material adverse effect on FGL's business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, there is the risk that FGL may be subject to significant monetary liabilities arising from fines or judgments as a result of any such actual or alleged non-compliance with federal, state or local tax or employment laws. Further, if it were determined that FGL's IMOs should be treated as employees, FGL could possibly incur additional liabilities with respect to any applicable employee benefit plan.

If FGL is unable to attract and retain national marketing organizations and independent agents, sales of FGL's products may be reduced.

FGL must attract and retain its network of IMOs and independent agents to sell its products. Insurance companies compete vigorously for productive agents. FGL competes with other life insurance companies for marketers and agents primarily on the basis of FGL's financial position, support services, compensation and product features. Such marketers and agents may promote products offered by other life insurance companies that may offer a larger variety of products than FGL does. FGL's competitiveness for such marketers and agents also depends upon the long-term relationships FGL develops with them. FGL's most important IMOs (those who are able to meet certain production targets) are referred to as "Power Partners". FGL currently has 32 Power Partners that accounted for approximately 95.0% of FGL's Fiscal 2016 sales volume. There can be no guaranty that such relationships will continue in the future. If FGL is unable to attract and retain sufficient marketers and agents to sell its products, FGL's ability to compete and its revenues would suffer.

FGL may be subject to an additional tax as a personal holding company on future undistributed personal holding company income if it generates passive income in excess of operating expenses (subject to certain exclusions relating to FGL's life insurance subsidiaries).

Section 541 of the Code subjects a corporation (not including a life insurance corporation) that is a "personal holding company" ("PHC") to a 20% tax on "undistributed personal holding company income" in addition to a corporation's normal income tax. A corporation (not including a life insurance corporation) is also generally is considered to be a PHC if (i) at least 60% of its adjusted ordinary gross income (excluding dividends paid by any non-consolidated life insurance subsidiary) is PHC Income (defined below) and (ii) more than 50% in value of its outstanding stock is owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals (including, for this purpose, certain organizations and trusts) at any time during the last half of the taxable year. Personal holding company income ("PHC Income") is comprised primarily of passive investment income (but does not include non-passive income such as insurance premiums or dividends paid by any non-consolidated life insurance subsidiary) plus, under certain circumstances, personal service income.

So long as individuals and their affiliates hold (directly or by attribution) more than 50% in value of FGL's outstanding common stock, including through ownership of the outstanding common stock of HRG at any time during any future tax year, it is possible that FGL will be a PHC if at least 60% of its adjusted ordinary gross income consists of PHC Income (taking into account the rules and exclusions discussed above). In the past, FGL has not incurred the PHC tax. However, there can be no assurance that FGL will not be subject to this tax in the future, which, in turn, may materially and adversely impact its financial position, results of operations, cash flows and liquidity.

The agreements and instruments governing FGL's debt contain significant operating and financial restrictions, which may prevent FGL from capitalizing on business opportunities.

The indenture governing the 6.375% senior notes due 2021 (the "Senior Notes") issued by FGH and the three-year \$150.0 million unsecured revolving credit facility (the "Credit Agreement"); each contains various restrictive covenants which limit, among other things, FGH's ability to:

incur additional indebtedness;

pay dividends or certain other distributions on its capital stock other than as allowed under the indenture and the Credit Agreement;

make certain investments or other restricted payments;

engage in transactions with stockholders or affiliates; sell certain assets or merge with or into other companies; change FGH's accounting policies; enter into restrictive agreements; guarantee indebtedness; and create liens.

Table of Contents

In addition, if FGL or FGH undergoes a "change of control" as defined in the indenture, each holder of FGH Senior Notes will have the right to require FGL to repurchase their FGL Senior Notes at a price equal to 101% of the principal amount and any accrued but unpaid interest.

As a result of these restrictions and their effect on FGL, FGL may be limited in how it conducts its business and FGL may be unable to raise additional debt financing to compete effectively or to take advantage of new business opportunities. The terms of any future indebtedness FGL or its subsidiaries may incur could include more restrictive covenants.

FGL has entered into business transactions with unaffiliated third-party borrowers through Salus and would be adversely affected if third-party borrowers were unable to meet their obligations.

FGL maintains exposure to senior secured asset-based loans to unaffiliated third-party borrowers through loans originated by Salus, a company indirectly owned by HRG. FGL Insurance has not participated in any new originations to asset-based loans through Salus since October 2014, and this portfolio has been winding down as exposures mature and borrowers refinance. As of September 30, 2016, \$20.9 million of such loans were outstanding. FGL currently estimates that this portfolio will be largely paid down by the end of calendar year 2017.

Risks Related to Salus' Business

Salus may not recover all amounts that are contractually owed to it by its borrowers and may not be able to adequately address the various risks it faces in executing its business strategy.

During Fiscal 2016, Salus determined to focus its efforts primarily on monitoring, servicing and collecting its existing loans and winding-down its operations. The success of Salus' business strategy is primarily dependent on its ability to recover amounts owed to it by its borrowers. While Salus has developed a variety of processes to value and monitor the collateral related to its loans and maintain its position in the collateral securing its loans, there can be no assurance that it will not suffer a partial or complete loss if the loan becomes non-performing. Such loss may arise from a variety of reasons, including, the risk profile of the borrower and the complex nature of the transaction; Salus not being provided with complete and accurate disclosure of all material information concerning the borrower and its business or Salus may, even if it receives complete and accurate information, misinterpret or incorrectly analyze such information; the failure of results or developments to materialize as anticipated or mistakes in interpreting data, assumptions, analyses, and financial forecasts prepared for Salus by its employees or third parties; or Salus' inability to timely detect operational or financial problems of the borrower that could result in a substantial impairment or loss of the value of the collateral and Salus' loan. Furthermore, while most of Salus' loans are secured by a first lien on specified collateral, there is no assurance that Salus has obtained or properly perfected its liens or will be able to seize and liquidate the collateral prior to diminution in value. Any such losses, particularly recognizing that many of Salus' loans individually represent a significant percentage of its total loans, could adversely affect the adequacy of Salus' reserves for credit losses and have a material adverse effect on Salus' business, results of operations, and financial position. For example, during Fiscal 2015, RadioShack Corp. ("RadioShack"), Salus' largest borrower, filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy. Following lengthy and costly litigation, Salus did not receive the full amount of the loan it had provided to RadioShack, which had a negative effect on the business and operations of Salus and its loan participants, FGL and Front Street. Salus and its loan participants, FGL and Front Street, could be further adversely affected if Salus is unable to recover the full amount owned to it by its other borrowers.

Salus cannot predict the timing of the completion of the wind-down of its business or the timing and the costs associated with such wind-down. The completion of the wind-down could also be delayed or diminished due to other factors, including, without limitation:

if Salus becomes a party to any lawsuits or other claims asserted by or against it; or

if Salus is unable to resolve any new claims or investigations with creditors or third parties, or if such resolutions take longer than expected.

Claims, liabilities and expenses from operations (such as administrative costs, salaries, directors' and officers' insurance, federal and state income taxes, business and local taxes, legal and accounting fees and miscellaneous office expenses) will continue to be incurred by Salus as it seeks to wind-down its business. Salus' expectations regarding its expenses may be inaccurate and/or unexpected claims, liabilities or expenses may arise which could negatively impact

Salus' operations, cash flow and financial condition and its ability to wind-down its operations in an orderly and efficient manner or at all.

Salus has a small number of employees and relies on their services and the services of consultants and advisors to complete the wind-down of its business; if Salus is unable to retain key employees, consultants and/or advisors, its ability to successfully complete the wind-down of its business may be harmed.

Salus' ability to successfully implement the wind-down of its business is partially dependent upon its ability to retain key employees, consultants and advisors. While Salus has offered these individuals certain financial incentives to continue providing services to Salus, there can be no assurance that Salus will be able to retain its key employees, consultants and advisors, which may have an adverse impact on Salus' operations, cash flow and financial condition and its ability to wind-down its operations in an orderly and efficient manner or at all.

Salus is subject to a variety of operational risks, including legal and compliance risk, the risk of fraud or theft by employees or outsiders, operational errors, systems malfunctions, or cyber-security incidents and other risks, which may adversely affect its business and results of operations.

In collecting its loans and winding-down its business, Salus is exposed to many types of operational risks, including legal and compliance risk, the risk of fraud or theft by borrowers, employees or outsiders, unauthorized transactions by employees or outsiders, or operational errors, including clerical or record keeping errors or those resulting from faulty or disabled computer or telecommunications systems or disclosure of confidential proprietary information of its borrowers and counterparties. In addition, negative public opinion can result from Salus' actual or alleged conduct in any number of activities, including lending practices, sales practices, customer treatment and corporate governance and from actions taken by government regulators and community organizations in response to those activities. Negative public opinion can expose Salus and its stockholders to litigation and regulatory action.

Salus is subject to a variety of laws and regulations, including laws and regulations applicable to registered investment advisers. In order to conduct its operations in compliance with these laws and regulations, Salus has incurred and is expected to continue to incur substantial costs in order to comply with these laws and regulations. Failure to comply with such laws and regulations, as interpreted and enforced, could have a material adverse effect on Salus' operations, cash flow and financial condition and its ability to wind-down its operations in an orderly and efficient manner or at all.

Salus' businesses is dependent on its ability to process a large number of complex transactions. If Salus' financial, accounting, or other data processing systems fail or have other significant shortcomings, Salus could be materially adversely affected. Salus may also be subject to disruptions of its operating systems arising from events that are wholly or partially beyond its control, which may include, for example, computer viruses or electrical or telecommunications outages, natural or man-made disasters, such as earthquakes, hurricanes, floods, or tornadoes, disease pandemics, or events arising from local or regional politics, including terrorist acts. Such disruptions may give rise to losses in service to clients and loss or liability to Salus. In addition, there is the risk that Salus' controls and procedures, as well as business continuity and data security systems prove to be inadequate. The computer systems and network systems Salus uses could be vulnerable to unforeseen problems. These problems may arise in both Salus' internally developed systems and the systems of third-party service providers. In addition, Salus' computer systems and network infrastructure present security risks, and could be susceptible to hacking or identity theft. Any such failure could affect Salus' operations and could materially adversely affect its results of operations by requiring it to expend significant resources to correct the defect, as well as by exposing it to litigation or losses not covered by insurance. Although Salus has business continuity plans and other safeguards in place, Salus' business operations may be adversely affected by significant and widespread disruption to its physical infrastructure or operating systems that support its businesses and clients.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

HRG

HRG leases through HGI Funding its headquarters at 450 Park Avenue, 29th Floor, New York, NY 10022. HRG's lease expires in November 2022. For the operations of certain of HRG's former subsidiaries, HGI Funding leases office space at 64 Wooster Street, 3rd Floor, New York, NY 10012. The lease expires in November 2018. HRG and its subsidiaries, as applicable, believe their existing facilities are suitable and adequate for their present purposes.

Spectrum Brands

73

The following lists Spectrum Brands' principal owned or leased administrative, manufacturing, packaging, and distribution facilities at September 30, 2016:

Corporate and Administrative

corporate and ridinimist	i ati ve				
Location Function / Use		e		Owned / Leased	
U.S. Locations					
Middleton, Wisconsin	World Headquarters & GBA Headquarters		rters	Leased	
Danbury, Connecticut	GAC Headquarters			Leased	
Earth City, Missouri	Pet, Home & Garden Headquarters		Leased		
Lake Forest, California	HHI Headquarters			Leased	
Miami Lakes, Florida	Latin America Headquarters			Leased	
Non-U.S. Locations					
Manchester, England	UK Headquarters		Owned		
Mentone, Australia	APAC Headquarters			Leased	
Sulzbach, Germany	Europe Headquarters			Leased	
Mississauga, Canada	Canada Headquarters			Leased	
Global Batteries and Appliances (GBA)					
Location		Function / Use	Owned / Leased		
U.S. Locations					
Fennimore, Wisconsin		Battery Manufacturing	Owned		
Portage, Wisconsin		Battery Manufacturing	Owned		
DeForest, Wisconsin		Distribution	Leased		
Dixon, Illinois		Distribution	Leased		
Redlands, California		Distribution	Leased		
Non-U.S. Locations					
Dischingen, Germany		Battery Manufacturing	Leased		
Guatemala City, Guatemala		Battery Manufacturing	Owned		
Jaboatao, Brazil		Battery Manufacturing	Owned		
Washington, UK		Battery Manufacturing	Leased		
Ellwangen-Neunheim, Germany		Distribution	Leased		
Guatemala City, Guatemala		Distribution	Owned		
Mentone, Australia		Distribution	Leased		
Santo Domingo, Dominican Republic		Distribution	Owned		
Wolverhampton, England		Distribution	Owned		

Home & Hardware Impr	rovement (HHI)
----------------------	----------------

nome & Hardware Impi	ovement (HHI)		
Location	Function / Use	Owned /	
TIO T		Leased	
U.S. Locations	M C · · · O D · · · · ·	. т 1	
Charlotte, North Carolin	C		
Houston, Texas	Manufacturing & Distribut		
Lititz, Pennsylvania	Manufacturing & Distribut		
Denison, Texas	Manufacturing	Leased	
Birmingham, Alabama	Distribution	Leased	
Dallas, Texas	Distribution	Leased	
Denison, Texas	Distribution	Owned	
Elkhart, Indiana	Distribution	Leased	
Mira Loma, California	Distribution	Leased	
Non-U.S. Locations			
Mexicali, Mexico	Manufacturing & Distribut	tion Leased	
Chia-Yi, Taiwan	Manufacturing	Leased	
Nogales, Mexico	Manufacturing	Owned	
Subic Bay, Philippines	Manufacturing	Owned	
Xiamen, China	Manufacturing	Leased	
Xiaolan, China	Manufacturing	Leased	
Brockville, Canada	Distribution	Leased	
Shenzhen, China	Distribution	Leased	
Global Pet Supplies (PE	Τ)		
Location	Function / Use	Owned / Leased	
U.S. Locations			
Blacksburg, Virginia	Manufacturing	Owned	
Bridgeton, Missouri	Manufacturing	Leased	
Noblesville, Indiana	Manufacturing	Owned	
St. Louis, Missouri	Manufacturing	Leased	
Edwardsville, Illinois	Distribution	Leased	
Non-U.S. Locations			
Bogota, Colombia	Manufacturing & Distribution	Leased	
Ambato, Ecuador	Manufacturing	Leased	
Coevorden, Netherlands	Manufacturing	Owned	
Leon, Mexico	Manufacturing	Leased	
Melle, Germany	Manufacturing	Owned	
Phnom Penh, Cambodia	Manufacturing	Leased	
Melle, Germany	Distribution	Leased	
Home & Garden (H&G)			
Location F	unction / Use Owned / Leased		
U.S. Locations			
St. Louis, Missouri M	Ianufacturing Leased		
Edwardsville, Illinois D	_		
Global Auto Care (GAC)		
Location	Function / Use	Owned / Leased	
U.S. Locations			
Garland, Texas	Manufacturing & Distribution	on Leased	
Mentor, Ohio	Manufacturing & Distribution		
Painesville, Ohio	Manufacturing & Distribution		
	-		

Non-U.S. Locations
Ebbw Vale, Gwent, Wales Manufacturing & Distribution Leased

Table of Contents

Spectrum Brands also owns, operates or contracts with third parties to operate distribution centers, sales and administrative offices throughout the world in support of its business.

Spectrum Brands believes that its existing facilities are suitable and adequate for its present purposes and that the productive capacity in such facilities is substantially being utilized or Spectrum Brands has plans to utilize it. FGL

FGL leases its headquarters at 601 Locust Street, Des Moines, Iowa, and subleases properties in Baltimore, Maryland and Lincoln, Nebraska for legal, claims and processing needs. Such leases expire December 2020, May 2021 and January 2017 respectively. FGL believes that its existing facilities are suitable and adequate for its present purposes. Front Street

Front Street leases its headquarters at Sterling House, 16 Wesley Street, Hamilton HM CX, Bermuda. This lease expires in May 2017. Front Street believes its existing facilities are suitable and adequate for its present purposes.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

See Part IV, Item 15. "HRG Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries Index of Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 22, Commitments and Contingencies — Legal and Environmental Matters to HRG's Consolidated Financial Statements" included elsewhere in this report.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures Not applicable.

PART II

Unless otherwise indicated in Part II of this annual report on Form 10-K (this "Form 10-K") or the context requires otherwise, in this 10-K, references to the "Company," "HRG," "we," "us" or "our" refer to HRG Group, Inc. (formerly, Harbinger Group Inc.) and, where applicable, its consolidated subsidiaries; "FGH" refers to Fidelity & Guaranty Life Holdings, Inc. (formerly, Old Mutual U.S. Life Holdings, Inc.) and, where applicable, its consolidated subsidiaries; "FGL" refers to Fidelity & Guaranty Life (formerly, Harbinger F&G, LLC) and, where applicable, its consolidated subsidiaries; "Fiscal 2012" refers to the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012; "Fiscal 2013" refers to the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014; "Fiscal 2015" refers to the fiscal year ended September 30, 2016; "Fiscal 2016" refers to the fiscal year ended September 30, 2016; "Fiscal 2017" refers to the fiscal year ended September 30, 2016; "Fiscal 2017" refers to the fiscal year ended September 30, 2016; "Fiscal 2017" refers to Front Street Re (Delaware) Ltd. and, where applicable, its consolidated subsidiaries; "Front Street Cayman" refers to Front Street Re Cayman Ltd. and, where applicable, its consolidated subsidiaries; "HGI Funding" refers to HGI Funding, LLC; "Salus" refers to Salus Capital Partners, LLC and, where applicable, its consolidated subsidiaries; and "Spectrum Brands" refers to Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. and, where applicable, its consolidated subsidiaries.

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange and trades under the symbol "HRG." The high and low sales prices for our common stock for each quarterly period for the last two years are shown in the following table.

<u>.</u>		
	High	Low
Fiscal 2016		
First Quarter	\$14.11	\$11.63
Second Quarter	14.04	10.29
Third Quarter	14.59	12.50
Fourth Quarter	16.39	13.14
Fiscal 2015		
First Quarter	\$14.32	\$12.06
Second Quarter	14.22	11.51
Third Quarter	13.69	11.55
Fourth Quarter	14.73	11.16

We have not declared any dividends in the past several years and we do not anticipate paying dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. Our ability to pay dividends is limited by the terms of our indebtedness and is dependent on the ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends to us. The ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends to HRG is subject to restrictions contained in their applicable financing agreements, state and regulatory restrictions and other relevant considerations as determined by the applicable board. See Part I. Item 1A. "Risk Factors-Risks Related to HRG-We are a holding company and our only material assets are our equity interests in our operating subsidiaries and our other investments; as a result, our principal source of revenue and cash flow is distributions from our subsidiaries; our subsidiaries may be limited by law and by contract in making distributions to us."

As of November 17, 2016, there were approximately 1,516 holders of record of our common stock. This number does not include the stockholders for whom shares are held in a "nominee" or "street" name.

Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans

The following table sets forth information with respect to compensation plans under which our equity securities are authorized for issuance as of September 30, 2016:

Plan category

Number of securitiesWeighted-averageNumber of to be issued upon exercise price of securities exercise of outstanding remaining outstanding options, warrants and rights issuance under

	and rights (in thousands) (a)	(b)	equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (in thousands) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	7,448	\$ 7.50	9,065
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	_	_	_
Total	7,448	\$ 7.50	9,065
76			

Equity Plans

Our stockholders approved the adoption of the HRG Group, Inc. 2011 Omnibus Equity Award Plan (formerly, Harbinger Group Inc. 2011 Omnibus Equity Award Plan, as amended (the "2011 Plan"), pursuant to which incentive compensation and performance compensation awards may be provided to employees, directors, officers and consultants of the Company or of its subsidiaries or their respective affiliates. The 2011 Plan authorizes the issuance of up to 24 million shares of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, of the Company. In addition, our stockholders approved the adoption of the Harbinger Group Inc. 2014 Warrant Plan (the "2014 Warrant Plan") pursuant to which the Company awarded, Philip Falcone, its former Chief Executive Officer, warrants representing the right to purchase approximately 3 million shares of our common stock, at an exercise price of \$13.125 per share. A portion of the warrants, representing 600 thousand shares, vested immediately upon approval of the grant, and the remainder would vest in equal installments on March 10, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018. At September 30, 2016, there were 1.2 million warrants outstanding and not yet vested. The description of the 2011 Plan and 2014 Warrant Plan above is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the 2011 Plan and 2014 Warrant Plan. Refer to Note 18, Stock-Based Compensation, to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part IV - Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statements and Schedules for additional information.

Share Repurchases

On May 8, 2014, our board of directors authorized us to enter into a repurchase program, which replaced our prior share repurchase program. This share repurchase program authorized us to repurchase up to \$100.0 million of shares of our common stock, subject to certain restrictions and provisions. During Fiscal 2015, HRG purchased 1.7 million shares of its outstanding common stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$22.2 million under this program. During Fiscal 2016, HRG did not repurchase any of its outstanding common stock. As of September 30, 2016, under this program we had repurchased a total of 6.9 million shares of our common stock, at an aggregate repurchase price of \$87.7 million with \$12.3 million remaining value of the shares that may yet to be purchased. This program does not have an expiration date, but may be suspended, discontinued, modified and/or reinstated at any time and without prior notice.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

None

Stock Performance Graph

Set forth below is a line-graph presentation comparing the cumulative stockholder return on our common stock against cumulative total returns of the following: (a) the Russell 2000 and (b) a peer group of companies consisting of Leucadia, Carlisle Companies Inc., Apollo Global Management, LLC and Standex International Corp. The performance graph shows the total return on an investment of \$100 for the period beginning September 30, 2011 and ending September 30, 2016. The Company believes that the peer group of companies provides a reasonable basis for comparing total stockholder returns. The stockholder return shown on the graph below is not necessarily indicative of future performance, and we will not make or endorse any predictions as to future stockholder returns. The graph and related data were furnished by Research Data Group, Inc.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following table sets forth certain selected historic financial information for the periods and as of the dates presented and should be read in conjunction with our accompanying consolidated financial statements and the related notes thereto referenced in Item 8 of this report and with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" included in Item 7 of this report. Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified or combined to conform to the current year presentation, including reclassifications to reflect the presentation of discontinued operations, assets and liabilities of business held for sale and business of discontinued operations, as well as the adoption of new accounting pronouncements. All amounts are in millions, except for per share amounts.

share amounts.					
	Year ended September 30,				
	2016	$2015^{(1)}$	2014 (2)	$2013^{(3)}$	2012
Income Statement Data:					
Revenues	\$5,215.4	\$4,709.7	\$4,634.7	\$4,123.5	\$3,260.8
Operating income ⁽⁴⁾	628.0	45.8	427.4	286.3	248.3
Interest expense (5)	(397.1	(401.6)	(298.8)	(504.7)	(250.4)
Loss from the change in the fair value of the equity conversion			(12.7	(101.6	(156.6
feature of preferred stock			(12.7)	(101.6)	(156.6)
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	190.4	(290.9)	14.4	(353.7)	(195.5)
(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax ⁽⁶⁾	(224.3	(221.5)	87.3	284.7	306.2
Net (loss) income ⁽⁷⁾	(33.9	(512.4)	101.7	(69.0)	110.7
Net (loss) income attributable to controlling interest	(198.8	(556.8)	(10.3)	(45.8)	89.5
Preferred stock dividends, accretion and loss on conversion			73.6	48.4	59.6
Net (loss) income attributable to common and participating	(100.0	\ (55 (0 \ \	(02.0	(04.2	20.0
preferred stockholders	(198.8) (556.8)	(83.9)	(94.2)	29.9
Gain on contingent purchase price reduction		8.5	0.5		41.0
Per Share Data:					
Net income (loss) per common share:					
Basic income (loss) from continuing operations	\$0.23	\$(1.58)	\$(0.97)	\$(2.71)	\$(1.98)
Basic (loss) income from discontinued operations			0.46	2.04	2.19
Basic	` ,				\$0.21
	, , , , ,	, , , , , ,	, (,	, (,	
Diluted income (loss) from continuing operations ⁽⁸⁾	\$0.22	\$(1.58)	\$(0.97)	\$(2.71)	\$(1.98)
Diluted (loss) income from discontinued operations ⁽⁸⁾	(1.21)	(1.23)	0.46	2.04	2.19
Diluted	\$(0.99	\$(2.81)	\$(0.51)	\$(0.67)	\$0.21
Weighted average common shares outstanding:					
Basic	198.4	198.1	162.9	139.9	139.4
Diluted (8)	201.6	198.1	162.9	139.9	139.4
Cash Flow and Related Data:					
Net cash provided by continuing operating activities	\$558.2	\$300.3	\$476.9	\$253.7	\$374.3
Capital expenditures	95.4	90.6	75.5	82.7	47.3
Depreciation and amortization	183.7	171.0	158.2	140.3	104.8
Balance Sheet Data (at year end):					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$497.3	\$661.2	\$728.8	\$677.0	\$421.3
Total assets	35,792.8	35,031.5	32,907.3	30,884.3	25,331.0
Total debt	5,430.9	5,984.6	4,851.3	4,561.1	2,073.2
Total shareholders' equity	1,817.2	1,588.1	2,257.0	1,133.5	1,598.9
(1) 5: 10015	, ·	, .			1

⁽¹⁾ Fiscal 2015 operating results include the Armored AutoGroup business' operations since the acquisition date of May 21, 2015, Salix Animal Health LLC ("Salix") operations since the acquisition date of January 16, 2015;

European IAMS and Eukanuba pet food business ("European IAMS and Eukanuba") operations since the acquisition date of December 31, 2014; and Tell Manufacturing, Inc. ("Tell") operations since the acquisition date of October 1, 2014. The Armored AutoGroup business contributed \$160.5 million in revenues and recorded an operating profit of \$21.8 million for the period from May 21, 2015 through September 30, 2015. Fiscal 2015 also includes \$61.1 million of acquisition and integration-related charges, a portion of which was associated with the Armored AutoGroup business acquisition.

(2) Fiscal 2014 operating results include the Liquid Fence Company ("Liquid Fence") operations since the acquisition date of January 2, 2014.

- Fiscal 2013 operating results includes the Hardware & Home Improvement business' ("HHI business") operations since the acquisition date of December 17, 2012. The HHI business contributed \$869.6 million in revenues and
- (3) recorded an operating profit of \$88.7 million for the period from December 30, 2012 through September 30, 2013. Fiscal 2013 also includes \$53.2 million of acquisition and integration-related charges principally associated with the HHI business acquisition.
 - In Fiscal 2016, HRG recorded net increase to provision of \$12.8 million for credit losses on Salus' asset-based loan portfolio and impairments of \$10.7 million to goodwill of CorAmerica Capital, LLC ("CorAmerica") as a result of amending its investment management agreement with a counterparty that resulted in a decrease to CorAmerica's projected future revenues. In addition, \$4.7 million impairment on indefinite-lived intangible asset was recorded due to the reduction in value of certain tradenames in response to changes Spectrum Brands' strategy. In Fiscal 2015, HRG recorded \$93.5 million related to deterioration in Salus' asset-based loan portfolio, including \$66.0
- (4) million related to the bankruptcy of RadioShack Corporation ("RadioShack"), a significant Salus borrower. HRG also recorded impairments of \$60.2 million to goodwill and the intangible assets as a result of the change of strategic direction of HRG's former subsidiary, Frederick's of Hollywood Group Inc. ("FOH"). In April 2015, FOH, its parent company, FOHG Holdings, LLC ("FOHG"), and their subsidiaries filed for bankruptcy, and any remaining assets and liabilities were deconsolidated. Upon deconsolidation, HRG recognized a gain of \$38.5 million, primarily resulting from the elimination of FOH's cumulative historical losses. Following the completion of the bankruptcy of FOHG, FOH and their subsidiaries, such entities ceased to be subsidiaries of HRG. Fiscal 2016, 2015, 2014, 2013 and 2012 interest expense includes charges totaling \$21.4 million, \$58.8 million, \$9.2 million, \$210.1 million and \$31.7 million, respectively, relating to the refinancing, prepayment and/or
- (5) amendment of various senior debt. Such charges include cash fees and expenses of \$15.6 million, \$46.0 million, \$0.0 million, \$181.2 million and \$26.4 million, respectively, and non-cash charges for write-off and accelerated amortization of unamortized debt issuance costs and discount/premium of \$5.8 million, \$12.8 million, \$9.2 million \$28.9 million and \$5.3 million, respectively.
 - In Fiscal 2016, following FGL and Anbang Insurance Group Co., Ltd and its affiliates entering into a definitive merger agreement, our ownership interest in FGL was classified as held for sale in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets and FGL's operations were classified as discontinued operations in the accompanying Consolidated
- (6) Statements of Operations and the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and reported separately for all periods presented. In addition, following the completion of the sale of Compass in Fiscal 2016, the Company no longer owns, directly or indirectly, any oil and gas properties and as a result, the results of Compass were presented as discontinued operations in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations and Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and reported separately for Fiscal 2016, Fiscal 2015, Fiscal 2014 and Fiscal 2013. Fiscal 2015, 2013 and 2012 income tax (benefit) expense of \$(16.2) million, \$29.4 million and \$60.4 million, respectively, include non-cash charges of approximately \$190.8 million, \$171.7 million and \$58.2 million,
- (7) respectively, resulting from an increase in the valuation allowance against certain net deferred tax assets. Fiscal 2016 and 2014 income tax expense of \$41.5 million and \$89.6 million, respectively, include non-cash benefits of approximately \$42.3 million and \$31.8 million, respectively, resulting primarily from a decrease in the valuation allowance.
- (8) For Fiscal 2016, there were 1.9 million and 1.3 million weighted-average shares of HRG's common stock related to awards of restricted stock and stock units and stock options, respectively, that were included in the calculation of "diluted net loss per common share attributable to controlling interest." For Fiscal 2015, there were 2.7 million and 1.3 million weighted-average shares of HRG's common stock related to awards of restricted stock and stock units and stock options, respectively, that were excluded from the calculation of "diluted net loss per common share attributable to controlling interest" because the as-converted, unvested restricted stock and stock units, and stock options would have been anti-dilutive for Fiscal 2015. Also excluded from the calculations for Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014 were respectively 1.2 million, 1.8 million and 3.0 million shares of HRG common stock issuable upon the exercise of warrants issued by the Company because the warrants have an exercise price of \$13.125 per share ,which was above the average stock price of HRG's common stock during the entirety of the applicable year. In Fiscal 2014, diluted weighted average common shares outstanding did not reflect the conversion effect of the

Series A Participating Convertible Preferred Stock ("Series A Preferred Shares") and the Series A-2 Participating Convertible Preferred Stock ("Series A-2 Preferred Shares", together with the Series A Preferred Shares, the "Preferred Stock") for the portion of the period that these were outstanding, or the exercise of dilutive common stock equivalents as both would be antidilutive. In Fiscal 2013, diluted weighted average common shares outstanding did not reflect any conversion effect of the Preferred Stock or the exercise of dilutive common stock equivalents as both would be antidilutive. For Fiscal 2012, diluted weighted average common shares outstanding assumes only the exercise of dilutive common stock equivalents as the conversion effect of Preferred Stock would be antidilutive. See Note 21, Earnings Per Share, to our Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report for further details regarding the calculation of net income (loss) per common share. For Fiscal 2016 and 2015, the conversion effect of the Preferred Stock had no impact on the diluted weighted average common shares as the Preferred Stock was converted in the third quarter of Fiscal 2014.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Introduction

This "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" of HRG should be read in conjunction with Item 6, "Selected Financial Data," and our accompanying consolidated financial statements and related notes (the "Consolidated Financial Statements") referred to in Item 8 of this Form 10-K. Certain statements we make under this Item 7 constitute "forward-looking statements" under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. See "Forward-Looking Statements" at the beginning of Part I of this Form 10-K. You should consider our forward-looking statements in light of our Consolidated Financial Statements and other financial information appearing elsewhere in this Form 10-K and our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). HRG Overview

We are a holding company that conducts its operations through its operating subsidiaries. As of September 30, 2016, our principal operations were conducted through subsidiaries that offer branded consumer products and related businesses (Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc., ("Spectrum Brands")) and insurance and reinsurance services (FGL and Front Street Re (Delaware) Ltd., ("Front Street")). We also own Salus Capital Partners, LLC ("Salus"), an asset-based lender, and 97.9% of NZCH Corporation ("NZCH", formerly Zap.Com Corporation), a public shell company. From time to time, we may manage a portion of our available cash and engage in other activities through our wholly-owned subsidiaries, HGI Funding, LLC ("HGI Funding") and HGI Energy Holdings, LLC ("HGI Energy").

We currently present our operations in two reportable segments: (i) Consumer Products, which consists of Spectrum Brands; and (ii) Insurance, which consists of Front Street.

On November 8, 2015, Anbang Insurance Group Co., Ltd., a joint-stock insurance company established in the People's Republic of China ("Anbang"), AB Infinity Holding, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Anbang ("AB Infinity"), and AB Merger Sub, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of AB Infinity ("Merger Sub"), entered into a definitive merger agreement (which was amended on November 3, 2016, as amended, the "FGL Merger Agreement" and such merger, the "FGL Merger") to acquire FGL for \$26.80 per share. As a result of the FGL Merger Agreement, as of March 31, 2016, our ownership interest in FGL has been classified as held for sale in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets and FGL's operations were classified as discontinued operations in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations and the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and reported separately for all periods presented. Prior to the transaction, FGL was included in our Insurance segment. As a result of classifying FGL as held for sale, all segmented information has been adjusted to exclude FGL from the Insurance segment. See Note 4, Divestitures to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part IV - Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statements and Schedules for additional information.

During the fourth quarter of Fiscal 2016, HGI Energy completed the sale of its equity interests in Compass to a third party (the "Compass Sale"). Following the completion of the Compass Sale, the Company no longer owns, directly or indirectly, any oil and gas properties and accordingly, the results of Compass are presented as discontinued operations in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations and the operations of HGI Energy are included in the Corporate and Other segment. See Note 4, Divestitures to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part IV - Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statements and Schedules for additional information.

Consumer Products Segment

Through Spectrum Brands, we are a diversified global branded consumer products company with positions in seven major product categories: consumer batteries, small appliances, global pet supplies, home and garden control products, personal care products, hardware and home improvement products and global auto care.

Spectrum Brands' operating performance is influenced by a number of factors including: general economic conditions; foreign exchange fluctuations; trends in consumer markets; consumer confidence and preferences; overall product line mix, including pricing and gross margin, which vary by product line and geographic region; pricing of certain raw materials and commodities; energy and fuel prices; and general competitive positioning, especially as impacted by competitors' advertising and promotional activities and pricing strategies.

Insurance Segment

Through Front Street and its Bermuda and Cayman-based subsidiaries, we engage in the business of life, annuity and long-term care reinsurance.

Highlights for Fiscal 2016:

Significant Transactions and Activity

During Fiscal 2016, Spectrum Brands completed a cash tender offer to purchase any and all of Spectrum Brands' 6.375% Senior Notes due 2020 (the "6.375% Notes"). As part of the transaction, Spectrum Brands received tenders from the holders of \$390.3 million of its outstanding 6.375% Notes and has accepted for purchase all 6.375% Notes which were validly tendered. In addition, on October 20, 2016, Spectrum Brands redeemed the remaining \$129.7 million aggregate principal amount of 6.375% Notes with a call premium of \$4.6 million.

On September 20, 2016, SBI issued €425.0 million aggregate principal amount of 4.00% Notes at par value, due October 1, 2026 (the "4.00% Notes").

On October 6, 2016, subsequent to the end of the fiscal year, Spectrum Brands replaced all of its U.S. dollar-denominated term loans with new U.S. dollar-denominated term loans that carry lower interest rate margins, but otherwise are on the same terms as the old term loans, including the maturity date.

On November 8, 2015, Anbang entered into the FGL Merger Agreement. Pursuant to this agreement, Anbang, through its subsidiaries, will acquire all of the outstanding shares of FGL at closing. Stockholders of FGL will receive \$26.80 per share in cash at closing. At the date of the transaction, the Company owned 47.0 million shares, or 80.5% of FGL. On November 3, 2016, subsequent to the end of Fiscal 2016, FGL, Anbang, AB Infinity, and Merger Sub amended the FGL Merger Agreement to extend the outside termination date for the completion of the FGL Merger from November 7, 2016 to February 8, 2017.

During Fiscal 2016, Front Street was provided information on a recapture notice of a reinsurance agreement between third parties and as a result, Front Street's funds withheld receivables and insurance reserves decreased by approximately \$83.0 million during Fiscal 2016.

During the first quarter of Fiscal 2016, Compass Production Partners ("Compass") completed the sale of its Holly, Waskom, and Danville assets (the "Compass Asset Sale") for a total cash consideration of \$153.4 million. Proceeds were primarily used to reduce Compass' borrowings under its credit facility (the "Compass Credit Agreement"). During the fourth quarter of Fiscal 2016, HGI Energy completed the sale of its equity interests in Compass to a third party for a cash purchase price of \$145.0 million (the "Compass Sale"). The proceeds received by HGI Energy from the Compass Sale were reduced by the outstanding balance of Compass' existing credit facility of \$125.2 million. Following the completion of the Compass Sale, the Company no longer owns, directly or indirectly, any oil and gas properties and accordingly, the results of Compass were presented as discontinued operations in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. The results HGI Energy are presented within the Corporate and Other segment. All historical results have been recast to reflect this change.

During Fiscal 2016, Salus and Front Street received a partial recovery on the loan to a significant Salus borrower, RadioShack, of \$45.4 million, excluding \$22.7 million repayment on FGL's participation on the loan. As a result of the aforementioned partial recovery, we also reversed \$18.0 million of previously recorded allowance for bad debt, excluding \$9.0 million reversal of realized losses by FGL included in "(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

During Fiscal 2016, Salus determined to focus its efforts primarily on monitoring, servicing and collecting its existing loans and winding down its business. Salus may, however, pursue other opportunities that it may consider strategically advantageous or complimentary to its efforts to collect its existing loans.

During the third quarter of Fiscal 2016, CorAmerica amended its investment management agreement with a counterparty that resulted in a decrease to CorAmerica's projected future revenues, which triggered a goodwill impairment test. The test resulted in an impairment of \$10.7 million to goodwill.

During the fourth quarter of Fiscal 2016, we sold our 51.0% interest in CorAmerica for \$0.5 million. During Fiscal 2016, we also wound-down the operations of Energy & Infrastructure Capital, LLC ("EIC"), our other asset manager. The operations of Salus, CorAmerica and EIC were historically presented in a separate reportable segment. As a result of the diminished operations in that segment, starting in Fiscal 2016, we are presenting the operations of Salus, CorAmerica and EIC within the Corporate and Other segment. All historical results have been recast to reflect this change.

Key financial highlights

Basic and diluted net loss attributable to common and participating preferred stockholders decreased \$358.0 million to \$198.8 million, or \$1.00 and \$0.99 per basic and diluted common share attributable to controlling interest, respectively, in Fiscal 2016, compared to basic and diluted net loss attributable to common and participating preferred stockholders of \$556.8 million, or \$2.81 per basic and diluted common share attributable to controlling interest in Fiscal 2015.

We ended the year with corporate cash and investments at HRG of approximately \$172.0 million. Our Consumer Products segment's operating income for Fiscal 2016 increased \$182.2 million, or 38.4%, to \$656.3 million from \$474.1 million for Fiscal 2015. Our Consumer Products segment's adjusted earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation

Table of Contents

and amortization ("Adjusted EBITDA - Consumer Products") increased by \$152.2 million, or 19.0%, to \$952.8 million versus Fiscal 2015 driven by the performance of Armored AutoGroup Parent Inc. ("AAG"), which was acquired during Fiscal 2015, coupled with higher organic sales and margins in all other product lines. Adjusted EBITDA margin represented 18.9% of sales as compared to 17.1% in Fiscal 2015.

Our Insurance segment's operating loss for Fiscal 2016 was \$12.4 million compared to \$68.7 million for Fiscal 2015. The decrease in operating loss was primarily due to credit impairment losses on intercompany investments of \$11.3 million and \$73.4 million in Fiscal 2016 and Fiscal 2015, respectively.

During Fiscal 2016, we received cash dividends of approximately \$63.5 million from our subsidiaries, including \$50.9 million, \$12.2 million and \$0.4 million from Spectrum Brands, FGL and CorAmerica, respectively, which does not give effect to the net impact from interest payments made by HRG with respect to the intercompany notes issued by HGI Energy.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations

Fiscal 2016 Compared to Fiscal 2015, and Fiscal 2015 Compared to Fiscal 2014

Presented below is a table that summarizes our results of operations and compares the amount of the change between the fiscal periods (in millions):

	Fiscal						Increase (Decrease 2016	se) 2015	
	2016		2015		2014			eccompare to 2014	ed
Revenues:									
Consumer Products	\$5,039.7	7	\$4,690.4	1	\$4,429.	1	\$349.3	\$ 261.3	
Insurance	150.4		(98.8)	144.3		249.2	(243.1)
Intersegment elimination (a)	16.4		54.7		7.8		(38.3)	46.9	
Consolidated segment revenues	5,206.5		4,646.3		4,581.2		560.2	65.1	
Corporate and Other	8.9		63.4		53.5		(54.5)	9.9	
Total revenues	\$5,215.4	4	\$4,709.7	7	\$4,634.	7	\$505.7	\$75.0	
Operating income (loss):									
Consumer Products	\$656.3		\$474.1		\$481.9		\$182.2	\$ (7.8)
Insurance	(12.4)	(68.7)	40.1		56.3	(108.8)
Intersegment elimination (a,b)	68.1		(62.9)	32.5		131.0	(95.4)
Total segment operating income	712.0		342.5		554.5		369.5	(212.0)
Corporate and Other and eliminations	(84.0)	(296.7)	(127.1))	212.7	(169.6)
Consolidated operating income	628.0		45.8		427.4		582.2	(381.6)
Interest expense	(397.1)	(401.6)	(298.8)	4.5	(102.8))
Loss from the change in the fair value of the equity conversion					(12.7	`		10.7	
feature of preferred stock					(12.7)	_	12.7	
Gain on deconsolidation of subsidiary			38.5				(38.5)	38.5	
Other income (expense), net	1.0		10.2		(11.9)	(9.2)	22.1	
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	231.9		(307.1)	104.0		539.0	(411.1)
Income tax expense (benefit)	41.5		(16.2)	89.6		57.7	(105.8)
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	190.4		(290.9)	14.4		481.3	(305.3)
(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax	(224.3)	(221.5)	87.3		(2.8)	(308.8)
Net (loss) income	(33.9)	(512.4)	101.7		478.5	(614.1)
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	164.9		44.4		112.0		120.5	(67.6)
Net loss attributable to controlling interest	(198.8)	(556.8)	(10.3))	358.0	(546.5)
Less: Preferred stock dividends, accretion and loss on conversio	n—		_		73.6			(73.6)
Net loss attributable to common and participating preferred stockholders	\$(198.8)	\$(556.8)	\$(83.9)	\$358.0	\$ (472.9)

⁽a) The Intersegment eliminations primarily represent the reversal and reclassification of impairments recorded in our Insurance segment on affiliated investments, as well as usual intercompany transactions for the period. For Fiscal 2015, the Insurance segment eliminations include the reversal of intercompany asset impairments of \$57.1 million. (b) For its stand-alone reporting purposes, Front Street elected, since inception, to apply the fair value option to account for its funds withheld receivables, non-funds withheld assets and future policyholder benefits reserves related to its assumed reinsurance. For our consolidated reporting, the results from Front Street's assumed reinsurance business with FGL is reported on FGL's historical basis. Accordingly, in order to align our consolidated reporting, we have recorded a net intersegment adjustment to operating income (loss) of \$59.7 million, \$(115.4) million and \$45.1 million for Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Upon completion of the FGL Merger, our consolidated results will reflect all reinsurance business on the fair value option.

Revenues. Revenues for Fiscal 2016 increased \$505.7 million, or 10.7%, to \$5,215.4 million from \$4,709.7 million for Fiscal 2015. The increase was primarily due to growth from acquisitions and organic net sales from our Consumer Products segment, coupled with an increase in fair value of the underlying fixed maturity debt securities included in the funds withheld receivables in the Insurance segment due to lower interest rates and tighter credit spreads. These increases were partially offset by negative impact of foreign exchange in the Consumer Products segment; lower sales revenue associated with FOH that was deconsolidated in Fiscal 2015; and lower investment income as a result of the decrease in the asset-based loan portfolio of Salus.

Revenues for Fiscal 2015 increased \$75.0 million, or 1.6%, to \$4,709.7 million from \$4,634.7 million for Fiscal 2014. The increase was primarily due to sales growth driven by acquisitions in the Consumer Products segment. This was offset by the

negative impact of foreign exchange in the Consumer Products segment and a decrease in the fair value of the underlying fixed maturity debt securities included in the funds withheld receivables during Fiscal 2015, coupled with realized losses related to credit impairment losses related to intercompany investments and asset-based loan participations that were recorded in Fiscal 2015.

Consolidated operating income. Consolidated operating income for Fiscal 2016 increased \$582.2 million, or 1,271.2%, to \$628.0 million from an operating income of \$45.8 million for Fiscal 2015. The \$582.2 million increase in operating income was mainly due to increased profitability in our Consumer Products segment, lower impairments in our Insurance and Corporate and Other segments, lower selling, acquisition, operating and general expenses in the Corporate and Other segment and an increase in the fair value of the underlying fixed maturity debt securities included in the funds withheld receivables during Fiscal 2016 due to market conditions with decreasing risk-free rates and tightening credit spreads resulting in generally higher valuations of fixed maturity debt securities. Operating income for Fiscal 2015 decreased \$381.6 million, or 89.3%, to \$45.8 million from \$427.4 million for Fiscal 2014. The decrease was primarily due to impairments in our Insurance and Corporate and Other segments; an increase in the fixed index annuities ("FIA") present value of future credits in our Insurance segment; increased costs and lower revenues in our Corporate and Other segment; and the severance payments associated with the departure of Philip Falcone, the Company's former Chief Executive Officer, in December 2014 (the "2014 CEO Departure"). Interest expense. Interest expense decreased \$4.5 million to \$397.1 million for Fiscal 2016 from \$401.6 million for Fiscal 2015. The decrease was primarily due to \$58.8 million of one-time costs incurred in Fiscal 2015 related to the financing of Spectrum Brands' acquisition of AAG (the "AAG Acquisition"), the refinancing of certain of Spectrum Brands' term loans (the "Term Loans Refinancing") and the refinancing of Spectrum Brands' revolver facility (the "Revolver Facility Refinancing") and redemption of SBI's 6.75% senior unsecured notes (the "6.75% Notes"). Expenses related to the financing of the AAG Acquisition included \$14.1 million of costs related to bridge financing commitments and \$4.5 million of costs related to interest on the acquired AAG senior notes from the date of the acquisition through the time of payoff. Expenses related to the Term Loan Refinancing, Revolver Facility Refinancing and redemption of the 6.75% Notes included: (i) \$16.9 million of cash costs related to the call premium and pre-paid interest on the 6.75% Notes; (ii) \$10.4 million of cash costs related to fees associated with the refinancing of the Term Loan; (iii) \$8.8 million of non-cash costs for the write-off of unamortized deferred financing fees and original issue discount; and (iv) \$4.1 million of non-cash costs for the write off of unamortized deferred financing fees on the 6.75% Notes. This decrease was partially offset by \$21.4 million of non-recurring costs due to Spectrum Brands' refinancing activity during Fiscal 2016, including (i) \$15.6 million tender premium upon repayment of 6.375% Notes, and (ii) a \$5.8 million non-cash expense for the write-off of debt issuance costs associated with the repayment of 6.375% Notes. Excluding the one-time and non-recurring costs, interest expense increased \$32.9 million due to higher overall debt levels in the Consumer Products segment and Corporate and Other segment.

Interest expense increased \$102.8 million to \$401.6 million for Fiscal 2015 from \$298.8 million for Fiscal 2014. As described further above, the increase was primarily due to \$58.8 million non-recurring costs incurred related to the financing of the AAG Acquisition and Term Loan Refinancing, Revolver Facility Refinancing and redemption of the 6.75% Notes in Fiscal 2015. Also contributing to the increases in interest expense were higher overall debt levels in the Consumer Products segment and Corporate and Other segment, offset in part by refinancing to lower rate debt during Fiscal 2014.

Loss from the change in the fair value of the equity conversion feature of preferred stock. The loss from the change in the fair value of the equity conversion feature of HRG's then outstanding Preferred Stock of \$12.7 million for Fiscal 2014 was primarily due to an increase in the market price of our common stock from \$10.37 to \$11.69 per share during Fiscal 2014 through the date of the conversion of HRG's then outstanding Preferred Stock on May 15, 2014. Gain on deconsolidation of subsidiary. FOH was deconsolidated in Fiscal 2015, which resulted in a gain of \$38.5 million upon the elimination of FOH's cumulative historical losses through April 19, 2015, the date FOHG, FOH and their subsidiaries filed for bankruptcy. Following the completion of the bankruptcy of FOHG, FOH and their subsidiaries, such entities ceased to be subsidiaries of HRG.

Other income (expense), net. Other income decreased \$9.2 million to \$1.0 million for Fiscal 2016 compared to \$10.2 million for Fiscal 2015. Other income for Fiscal 2015 increased \$22.1 million from other expense of \$11.9 million for

Fiscal 2014.

The income for Fiscal 2015 of \$10.2 million was primarily due to unrealized gains on our ownership interest in HC2 Holdings Inc. ("HC2") which was sold during the first fiscal quarter of 2016; and a gain on contingent purchase price reduction and associated interest income as a result of a settlement with OM Group (UK) Limited ("OMGUK") during Fiscal 2015 of a purchase price adjustment in connection with HRG's acquisition of FGL's subsidiaries; partially offset by foreign exchange losses on asset-based loans denominated in Canadian Dollars for which Salus bears the foreign exchange exposure.

Other expense for Fiscal 2014 of \$11.9 million was primarily due to unrealized losses on marketable securities classified as trading.

Income Taxes. Our tax rates are affected by many factors, including our mix of worldwide earnings related to operations in various taxing jurisdictions, changes in tax legislation and the character of our income.

For Fiscal 2016, our effective tax rate of 17.9% differed from the expected U.S. statutory tax rate of 35.0%. Our tax rate was reduced by the change in judgment in the realizability on a portion of Spectrum Brands' U.S. net operating loss ("NOL") carryforwards that were previously recorded with valuation allowance. This reduction was partially offset by an increase in the valuation allowance needed for current year losses from the Corporate and Other segment in the U.S. that are not more-likely-than-not to be realized. For Fiscal 2016, Spectrum Brands' effective tax rate was reduced primarily due to the release of valuation allowances on U.S. NOL deferred tax assets and income earned outside the U.S. that is subject to statutory rates lower than 35.0% offsetting tax expense on U.S. pretax income. Our effective tax rate for Fiscal 2016 also included the effect of \$25.5 million of income tax expense recognized by Spectrum Brands' for a tax contingency reserve for an uncertain tax position in Germany. During Fiscal 2016, a local court ruled against Spectrum Brands characterization of certain assets as amortizable under Germany tax law. Spectrum Brands has appealed this ruling to the German Federal Court. While Spectrum Brands continues to believe that Spectrum Brands' tax treatment was correct under the applicable German law, Spectrum Brands has concluded that sufficient uncertainty on the ruling from the German Federal Court exists to record a full tax contingency for this exposure. The effective tax rate for Fiscal 2016 also included the tax effects related to the adoption of Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2016-09, Compensation - Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting ("ASU 2016-09") which resulted in the recognition of excess tax benefits in our provision for income taxes rather than paid-in capital of \$25.6 million during Fiscal 2016. In addition, \$5.9 million of excess tax benefits were recognized in (Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax as the excess tax benefits increased net operating loss carryforwards that are expected to offset the tax effects of the FGL Merger. During Fiscal 2016, Spectrum Brands determined it is more-likely-than-not that its U.S. deferred tax assets will be used to reduce taxable income, except for tax attributes subject to ownership change limitations, capital losses, and certain state operating losses and credits that will expire unused. Spectrum Brands released \$111.1 million of domestic valuation allowance during Fiscal 2016. Approximately \$25.1 million of the domestic valuation allowance released by Spectrum Brands resulted from additional deferred tax assets created by the adoption of ASU 2016-09, effective October 1, 2015. In December 2015, Spectrum Brands received a ruling from the Internal Revenue Service which resulted in \$87.8 million of U.S. NOL being restored and a release of \$16.2 million of domestic valuation allowance from additional deferred tax assets created by such ruling. Spectrum Brands recorded tax expense of \$3.1 million related to additional foreign valuation allowance during Fiscal 2016.

For Fiscal 2015, our effective tax rate of 5.3% differed from the expected U.S. statutory tax rate of 35.0% and was impacted by pretax losses including significant impairment and bad debt expense in our Corporate and Other segment in the U.S., and certain pretax losses from foreign jurisdictions for which the Company concluded that the tax benefits are not more-likely-than-not to be realized, resulting in the recording of valuation allowances. Additionally, the effective tax rate for Fiscal 2015 included the recognition of a nonrecurring net income tax benefit of \$12.3 million attributable to the tax impact related to the impairment of certain FOH's indefinite lived intangible assets. Due to the indefinite life of these assets for book purposes, the related deferred tax liability was not regarded as a source of taxable income to support the realization of deferred tax assets. Consequently, the impairment recorded resulted in a reduction to the deferred tax liability previously recorded. In addition, for Fiscal 2015, the Company recognized a \$22.8 million income tax benefit from the reversal of a portion of Spectrum Brands' U.S. valuation allowance on deferred tax assets in connection with the acquisition of AAG. As a result of the AAG Acquisition, Spectrum Brands determined that a portion of its pre-existing deferred tax assets are more-likely-than-not to be realized by the combined entity and a portion of the valuation allowance should be eliminated. The discrete tax benefits related to the reversal of Spectrum Brands' valuation allowance and impairments at FOH reduced the Company's income tax expense for Fiscal 2015.

For Fiscal 2014, our effective tax rate of 86.2%, differed from the expected U.S. statutory tax rate of 35.0% and was primarily driven by: (i) pretax losses in the U.S. and some foreign jurisdictions for which the Company concluded that the tax benefits are not more-likely-than-not realizable, resulting in valuation allowances; (ii) an increase in the fair value of the equity conversion feature of our then outstanding Preferred Stock with no tax benefit; (iii) tax amortization of certain indefinite lived intangibles; and (iv) tax expense on income in certain foreign jurisdictions for which the Company will not receive tax credits in the U.S. due to its tax loss position. Partially offsetting these items

was a release of U.S. valuation allowances as a result of a recent acquisition by Spectrum Brands. Up through the 2015 tax year end, the majority of NOLs, capital loss and tax credit carryforwards of HRG and Spectrum Brands were historically subject to valuation allowances, as we concluded that all or a portion of the related tax benefits are not more-likely-than-not to be realized. Utilization of a portion of the NOL, capital loss and tax credit carryforwards of HRG and Spectrum Brands are subject to limitations under Internal Revenue Code ("IRC") Sections 382 and 383. Such limitations resulted from ownership changes of more than 50 percentage points over a three-year period. When consummated, the FGL Merger is expected to result in a significant amount of tax attribute carryforwards to be realized. As a result, in Fiscal 2016, we reversed a significant portion of our valuation allowance previously recorded against tax attribute carryforwards that are expected to be realized against the tax effects of the FGL Merger. See Part I. Item 1A. Risk Factor - Risks Related to HRG - "HRG and certain of its subsidiaries, including Spectrum Brands and FGL, may not be able to fully utilize their net operating loss and other tax carryforward; restrictions in HRG's Certificate of Incorporation intended to protect net operating losses and other tax attributes may limit transfer of HRG's Securities."

(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax. Discontinued operations include FGL's and Compass' results from operations that were previously reported in our Insurance segment and our former Energy segment, respectively. Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax for Fiscal 2016 was \$224.3 million compared to \$221.5 million for Fiscal 2015. The \$2.8 million increase in loss from discontinued operations, net of tax was primarily driven by a decrease in income attributable to FGL of \$412.2 million, partially offset by an increase in income attributable to Compass of \$409.4 million.

The decrease in income of \$412.2 million attributable to FGL was driven by a write-down of the carrying value of the assets of business held for sale to fair value less cost to sell of \$362.8 million (mostly due to the increase in unrealized gains, net of offsets in FGL's investment portfolio). Such write-down could be partially reversed if the carrying value of FGL decreases in future reporting periods. If the FGL Merger is consummated, the amount of AOCI related to FGL will be recognized through (loss) income from discontinued operations on the statement of operations and could result in a gain from discontinued operations. In addition, there was a decrease in net income attributable to FGL's operations of \$34.2 million which was driven primarily by a decrease in fair value of FGL's reinsurance related embedded derivative resulting from an increase in the fair value of the underlying assets held in the funds withheld portfolio. Partially offsetting these decreases were increases recognized by FGL in net investment income due to increased average assets under management as well as higher earned yields from repositioning activities, tender offer consideration and bond prepayment income; and insurance and investment product fees due to increases in rider fees on FIA policies and in cost of insurance charges on universal life policies. Also attributing to the decrease in FGL's net income in Fiscal 2016 was \$15.2 million of income tax expense primarily related to the establishment of a deferred tax liability of \$367.9 million at September 30, 2016 as a result of classifying HRG's ownership interest in FGL as held for sale, partially offset by the recognition of a \$94.7 million deferred tax asset related to realized capital losses primarily from the Compass Sale and \$258.0 million reduction of valuation allowances on HRG's net operating and capital loss carryforwards expected to offset the tax effects of the FGL Merger.

The \$409.4 million increase in income attributable to Compass was primarily due to a decrease of ceiling test impairments in Fiscal 2016 of \$391.9 million year over year; a gain on sale of oil and gas properties of \$105.6 million in Fiscal 2016; and \$53.6 million gain on disposal of Compass; partially offset by a gain upon gaining control of an equity method investment of \$141.2 million in Fiscal 2015.

Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax for Fiscal 2015 was \$221.5 million compared to income from discontinued operations, net of tax of \$87.3 million for Fiscal 2014. The \$308.8 million increase in loss from discontinued operations, net of tax was primarily driven by an increase attributable to Compass of \$300.9 million due to higher ceiling test impairments in Fiscal 2015 and lower prices of oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids and natural production declines, partially offset by a gain upon gaining control of an equity method investment. Noncontrolling Interest. The net income attributable to noncontrolling interest reflects the share of the net income of our subsidiaries, which are not wholly-owned, attributable to the noncontrolling interest. Such amount varies in relation to such subsidiary's net income or loss for the period and the percentage interest not owned by HRG. Preferred Stock Dividends and Accretion. While outstanding, HRG's Preferred Stock dividends and accretion consisted of (i) a cumulative quarterly cash dividend at an annualized rate of 8%; (ii) a quarterly non-cash principal accretion, which accrued under certain circumstances; (iii) accretion of the carrying value of the Preferred Stock, which was discounted by the bifurcated equity conversion feature and issuance costs; and (iv) any gain or loss realized upon the conversion of the Preferred Stock. As a result of the conversion of HRG's Preferred Stock in the third quarter of Fiscal 2014, HRG no longer recognizes preferred dividends and accretion. On May 15, 2014, the Company elected to exercise its option to convert all but one share of the remaining outstanding Preferred Stock into shares of its common stock. Upon converting the outstanding Preferred Stock, the Company recognized a loss of \$43.9 million. As a result of the conversion of the Preferred Stock, the Company ceased recognizing any additional dividends and accretion, and is no longer required to compute the Preferred Stock net asset value ("NAV"). The remaining share of Preferred Stock only provides its holders certain information and governance rights and does not have any dividend or accretion rights.

Consumer Products Segment

Acquisitions

The application of acquisition accounting as a result of business combinations can significantly affect certain assets, liabilities and expenses. During Fiscal 2015 and 2014, Spectrum Brands completed a number of acquisitions as outlined below. There were no acquisitions during Fiscal 2016. See Note 3, "Acquisitions" to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part IV - Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statements and Schedules for further details regarding acquisition activity.

AAG - Spectrum Brands completed the acquisition of AAG, a consumer products company consisting primarily of Armor All branded appearance products, STP branded performance chemicals, and A/C PRO branded do-it-yourself automotive air conditioner recharge products. The results of AAG's operations are included in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Operations, since May 21, 2015.

Salix - Spectrum Brands completed the acquisition of Salix, a vertically integrated producer and distributor of natural rawhide dog chews, treats and snacks. The results of Salix's operations are included in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Operations since January 16, 2015.

European IAMS and Eukanuba - Spectrum Brands completed the acquisition of Procter & Gamble's European IAMS and Eukanuba, including its brands for dogs and cats. The results of the European IAMS and Eukanuba's operations are included in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Operations since December 31, 2014.

Tell Manufacturing - Spectrum Brands completed the acquisition of Tell, a leading manufacturer and distributor of commercial doors, locks and hardware. The results of Tell's operations are included in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Operations since October 1, 2014.

Liquid Fence - Spectrum Brands completed the acquisition of the Liquid Fence, a producer of animal repellents. The results of Liquid Fence's operations are included in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Operations since January 2, 2014.

Spectrum Brands continually seeks to improve its operational efficiency, match the manufacturing capacity and product costs to market demand and better utilize its manufacturing resources. Spectrum Brands has undertaken various initiatives to reduce manufacturing and operating costs. See Note 20, "Restructuring and Related Charges" to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part IV - Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statements and Schedules for further restructuring and related activity.

Presented below is a table that summarizes the results of operations of our Consumer Products segment and compares the amount of the change between the fiscal periods (in millions):

	Fiscal			Increase /			
	riscai			(Decrease)			
				2016	2015		
	2016 2015 2		2014	compar	ed compare	d	
			to	to	to 2014	u	
				2015	10 2014		
Net consumer and other product sales	\$5,039.7	\$4,690.4	\$4,429.1	\$349.3	\$ 261.3		
Cost of consumer products and other goods sold	3,119.8	3,020.0	2,860.3	99.8	159.7		
Consumer products segment gross profit	1,919.9	1,670.4	1,568.8	249.5	101.6		
Selling, acquisition, operating and general expenses	1,165.0	1,108.5	1,005.2	56.5	103.3		
Impairments and bad debt expense	4.7	_	_	4.7	_		
Amortization of intangibles	93.9	87.8	81.7	6.1	6.1		
Operating income - Consumer Products segment	\$656.3	\$474.1	\$481.9	\$182.2	\$ (7.8)	

Net consumer and other product sales. Net consumer and other products sales for Fiscal 2016 increased \$349.3 million, or 7.4%, to \$5,039.7 million from \$4,690.4 million for Fiscal 2015. The increase in net consumer product sales was primarily due to the impact of the AAG Acquisition that accounted for \$277.3 million and the growth in organic net sales across the hardware and home improvement products, consumer batteries, home and garden control products, personal care products, global auto care and global pet supplies product lines. These increases were partially offset by the negative impact of foreign exchange of \$126.2 million and a decrease in small appliances product lines. Organic net sales excludes the impact of foreign currency translation and acquisitions, and is considered a non-GAAP measurement (See "Non-GAAP Measures" section below for reconciliation of net sales to organic net sales). The following table details the principal components of the change in the Consumer Products segment net sales from

The following table details the principal components of the change in the Consumer Products segment net sales from Fiscal 2015 to Fiscal 2016 (in millions):

	Net Sales
Fiscal 2015 Net consumer and other product sales	\$4,690.4
Acquisition of AAG	277.3
Acquisition of European IAMS and Eukanuba	44.2
Acquisition of Salix	30.3
Increase in consumer batteries	51.2
Increase in hardware and home improvement products	50.2

Edgar Filing: HRG GROUP, INC. - Form 10-K

Increase in home and garden control products	35.1
Increase in global auto care	16.6
Increase in personal care products	12.9
Increase in global pet supplies	1.2
Decrease in small appliances	(43.5)
Foreign currency impact, net	(126.2)
Fiscal 2016 Net consumer and other product sales	\$5,039.7

The consumer batteries organic net sales improved \$51.2 million for Fiscal 2016 compared to Fiscal 2015 primarily attributable to increases in North America of \$6.9 million due to an increase in alkaline battery volumes from branded and private label product; increases in Europe of \$33.8 million primarily from an increase in alkaline battery sales of \$17.5 million driven by

promotional sales volumes, increased e-commerce and new private label customers; an increase in hearing aid and specialty batteries of \$14.9 million due to higher volumes with new and existing customers coupled with increases in portable power sales; and increases in Latin America of \$9.7 million primarily from hearing aid and specialty batteries.

Hardware and home improvement products organic net sales grew \$50.2 million for Fiscal 2016 compared to Fiscal 2015 mainly attributable to an increase in the security product category of \$40.0 million from an increase in point of sale, new product listings with key retail customers, increases in e-commerce volumes, and market growth with non-retail customers, partially offset by a \$5.5 million decrease in sales with private label customers due to the transition in production of higher-margin branded product; an increase in plumbing products of \$14.7 million from the introduction of new products and promotional sales with key retail customers. These increases were partially offset by a \$3.7 million decrease in hardware products driven by a \$22.8 million decrease for the expiration of a customer tolling agreement and planned exit of unprofitable businesses, mitigated by volume growth at existing retail and market expansion with non-retail customers in North America.

Home and garden control products organic net sales grew \$35.1 million for Fiscal 2016 compared to Fiscal 2015 primarily attributable to increases in repellent products growth of \$15.7 million due to volume growth with key retailers and increased demand in response to the Zika virus; an increase in household insect control products of \$10.3 million from volume growth with key retailers; and an increase in lawn and garden control products of \$9.0 million from an extended outdoor season due to warmer weather and early season retail shipments.

Global auto care organic net sales increased \$16.6 million for Fiscal 2016 compared to Fiscal 2015 primarily driven by increased sales from air conditioning recharge and refrigerant products and the introduction of private label products with a key customer.

Personal care products organic net sales increased \$12.9 million for Fiscal 2016 compared to Fiscal 2015 mainly attributable to increases in Europe of \$13.0 million and Latin America of \$9.5 million from higher volume due to promotional sales and market expansion; offset by decrease in North America of \$13.9 million for softer point of sales in the category, reduction in retail inventory, shifting of holiday sales and competitive pricing.

Global pet supplies net sales increased \$1.2 million primarily due to increases in aquatic sales of \$1.1 million from timing of prior year holiday shipments, partially offset with the exit of lower margin business; while companion animal and pet food sales were consistent to prior year due to increased competition at key retailers, offset by growth with independent pet retailers, timing of promotional activity, and exiting of certain private label business. Small appliances organic net sales decreased \$43.5 million for Fiscal 2016 compared to Fiscal 2015 primarily attributable to decrease in sales in North America of \$43.8 million due to softer point of sale within the category, reduction in retail inventory, shifting of holiday sales, and competitive pricing.

Net consumer products sales for Fiscal 2015 increased \$261.3 million, or 5.9%, to \$4,690.4 million from \$4,429.1 million for Fiscal 2014. The increase was primarily due to the impact of the acquisitions of AAG, European IAMS and Eukanuba, Salix and Tell that accounted for \$400.0 million and the growth in organic net sales across global pet supplies, small appliances, home and garden control, personal care, and hardware and home improvement product lines. These increases were partially offset by the negative impact of foreign exchange of \$229.8 million and a decrease in consumer battery sales. The following table details the principal components of the change in the Consumer Products segment net sales from the Fiscal 2014 to Fiscal 2015 (in millions):

	Net Sales
Fiscal 2014 Net consumer and other product sales	\$4,429.1
Acquisition of AAG	160.5
Acquisition of European IAMS and Eukanuba	128.7
Acquisition of Salix	71.4
Acquisition of Tell	39.4
Increase in small appliances	51.3
Increase in home and garden control products	42.2
Increase in personal care products	35.5
Increase in hardware and home improvement products	20.7

Decrease in global pet supplies	(15.8)
Decrease in consumer batteries	(42.8)
Foreign currency impact, net	(229.8)
Fiscal 2015 Net consumer and other product sales	\$4,690.4

Small appliances organic net sales increased \$51.3 million for Fiscal 2015 compared to Fiscal 2014 driven by increased sales in North America of \$25.1 million attributable to the success of new product launches; an increase in Europe sales of \$24.9 million from promotional activity; and an increase in Latin America sales of \$2.0 million from new product introductions and volume increases in certain product lines.

Home and garden control products organic net sales grew \$42.2 million for Fiscal 2015 compared to Fiscal 2014 attributable to increases in repellent products of \$16.2 million, lawn and garden control products of \$13.1 million, and household insect control products of \$12.8 million. The sales increase for all categories within home and garden was a result of distribution gains, strong point of sale activity driving replenishment orders at existing customer and market share gains on certain brands.

Personal care products organic net sales increased \$35.5 million for Fiscal 2015 compared to Fiscal 2014 mainly driven by increased sales in North America, Europe and Latin America of \$11.6 million, \$16.6 million and \$5.3 million, respectively. The North American sales increase was primarily a result of product display location changes at a major customer, promotional activity and continued growth through the e-commerce channel. The European sales increase was due to a combination of sales from new products and continued expansion into Eastern European markets. The Latin American sales increase was primarily attributable to growth in Mexico, new customers and effective promotional sales within the region.

Hardware and home improvement products organic net sales increased \$20.7 million for Fiscal 2015 compared to Fiscal 2014 driven by an increase in North America as a result of higher domestic security and plumbing sales from retailers due to customer gains and from non-retailers through pricing and market growth. The increase was partially offset by a \$14.2 million decrease in sales in the Asia-Pacific region driven by the exit of lower margin products and the expiration of a customer tolling agreement.

Global pet supplies organic net sales decreased \$15.8 million for Fiscal 2015 compared to Fiscal 2014 primarily due to decreases in aquatic sales of \$12.8 million.

Consumer batteries organic net sales decreased \$42.8 million for Fiscal 2015 compared to Fiscal 2014 primarily driven by a \$75.8 million decrease in sales in North America due to lower alkaline batteries sales of \$54.6 million from continued competitor discounting coupled with a large retail customer's bankruptcy, and a decrease in specialty batteries and lights from distribution loss to a competitor at a major retailer and timing of holiday sales; partially offset by an increase in Europe sales of \$29.4 million from increased alkaline batteries sales of \$24.4 million from increased volumes with new and existing retailers and private label customers, increased volumes in specialty and hearing aid batteries, plus increased lights from new products and promotional activity.

Consolidated net sales by product line for each of those respective periods are as follows (in millions):

	Fiscal		_	Increase	(Decreas	e)
				2016	2015	
Product line net sales	2016	2015	2014	compare	ccompare	d
				to 2015	to 2014	
Hardware and home improvement products	\$1,241.0	\$1,205.5	\$1,166.0	\$35.5	\$ 39.5	
Consumer batteries	840.7	829.5	957.8	11.2	(128.3)
Global pet supplies	825.7	758.2	600.5	67.5	157.7	
Small appliances	656.0	734.6	730.8	(78.6)	3.8	
Personal care products	513.6	528.1	542.1	(14.5)	(14.0)
Home and garden control products	509.0	474.0	431.9	35.0	42.1	
Global auto care	453.7	160.5		293.2	160.5	
Total net sales to external customers	\$5,039.7	\$4,690.4	\$4,429.1	\$349.3	\$ 261.3	

Cost of consumer products and other goods sold / Consumer products segment gross profit. Consumer products segment gross profit, representing net consumer products sales minus consumer products cost of goods sold, for Fiscal 2016 was \$1,919.9 million compared to \$1,670.4 million for Fiscal 2015. Gross profit margin for Fiscal 2016 increased to 38.1% from 35.6% in Fiscal 2015 primarily driven by the AAG Acquisition, and a shift towards higher margin product sales and continuing cost improvements across segments.

Consumer products segment gross profit for Fiscal 2015 was \$1,670.4 million compared to \$1,568.8 million for Fiscal 2014. The increase in gross profit was primarily attributable to higher margin sales and continuing cost improvements. Gross profit margin for Fiscal 2015 increased to 35.6% from 35.4% in Fiscal 2014. The increase in gross profit margin was due to a shift towards higher margin product sales and cost improvement initiatives.

Selling, acquisition, operating and general expenses. Selling, acquisition, operating and general expenses increased by \$56.5 million, or 5.1%, to \$1,165.0 million for Fiscal 2016, from \$1,108.5 million for Fiscal 2015 primarily attributable to an increase of \$83.3 million due to increased net sales, prior year acquisitions, increased share based compensation of \$16.8 million and increased research and development costs of \$7.4 million; partially offset by a decrease in acquisition and integration related charges of \$22.1 million and decreased restructuring and related charges of \$11.9 million.

Selling, acquisition, operating and general expenses increased by \$103.3 million, or 10.3%, to \$1,108.5 million for Fiscal 2015 from \$1,005.2 million for Fiscal 2014 primarily attributable to an increase of \$53.6 million in selling and general and administrative expenses as a result of acquisition activity and increased net sales, increased acquisition and integration costs of \$38.7 million and increased restructuring and related charges of \$7.4 million for new and continuing restructuring initiatives.

Acquisition and integration related charges include, but are not limited to, transaction costs such as banking, legal and accounting professional fees directly related to acquisitions, termination and related costs for transitional and certain other employees,

integration related professional fees and other post business combination related expenses associated with our acquisitions.

Impairments and bad debt expense. For Fiscal 2016, the Consumer Products segment recognized \$4.7 million impairment on indefinite life intangible asset due to the reduction in value over certain tradenames in response to changes in management's strategy. There was no impairment loss on indefinite-lived intangible assets for Fiscal 2015 or 2014.

Amortization of intangibles. For Fiscal 2016, amortization of intangibles increased \$6.1 million, or 6.9%, to \$93.9 million from \$87.8 million for Fiscal 2015. For Fiscal 2015, amortization of intangibles increased \$6.1 million, or 7.5%, to \$87.8 million from \$81.7 million for Fiscal 2014. The increases were as a result of the additional definite lived intangible assets acquired during Fiscal 2015 and 2014.

Insurance Segment

Presented below is a table that summarizes the results of operations of our Insurance segment and compares the amount of the change between the fiscal periods (in millions):

	Fiscal			Increase /			
				(Decrease)			
				2016	2015		
	2016	2015	2014	compare	ccompared	d	
				to 2015	to 2014		
Insurance segment revenues	\$150.4	\$(98.8)	\$144.3	\$249.2	\$ (243.1)	
Benefits and other changes in policy reserves	153.2	(43.5)	92.8	196.7	(136.3)	
Selling, acquisition, operating and general expenses	9.6	13.4	11.4	(3.8)	2.0		
Total Insurance segment operating costs and expenses	162.8	(30.1)	104.2	192.9	(134.3)	
Operating (loss) income - Insurance segment	\$(12.4)	\$(68.7)	\$40.1	\$56.3	\$ (108.8)	

For segment reporting purposes, at the inception date of the reinsurance transactions, Front Street elected to apply the fair value option to account for its funds withheld receivables, non-funds withheld assets and future policyholder benefits reserves related to its assumed reinsurance. For consolidated reporting, the results from Front Street's assumed reinsurance business with FGL is reported on FGL's historical basis. Upon completion of the FGL Merger, our consolidated results will reflect all reinsurance business on the fair value option.

Insurance segment revenues. For Fiscal 2016, Insurance segment revenues increased \$249.2 million to \$150.4 million from a loss of \$98.8 million for Fiscal 2015. The increase in Insurance segment revenues was primarily driven by an increase in the fair value of the underlying fixed maturity debt securities included in the funds withheld receivables during Fiscal 2016 and such increase was due to market conditions with decreasing risk-free rates and tightening credit spreads resulting in generally higher valuations of fixed maturity debt securities. In addition, the Insurance segment recognized losses related to credit impairment losses due to intercompany investments of \$11.3 million and \$73.4 million in Fiscal 2016 and Fiscal 2015, respectively, and asset-based loan participations of \$5.1 million that were recorded in Fiscal 2015.

For Fiscal 2015, Insurance segment revenues decreased \$243.1 million to a loss of \$98.8 million compared to a gain of \$144.3 million for Fiscal 2014. Such decrease was primarily driven by a decrease in the fair value of the underlying fixed maturity debt securities included in the funds withheld receivables during Fiscal 2015 due to market conditions with increasing risk-free rates and widening credit spreads resulting in generally lower valuations of fixed maturity debt securities. In addition, the Insurance segment recognized \$78.5 million of losses related to credit impairment losses related to intercompany investments and asset-based loan participations that were recorded in Fiscal 2015. Benefits and other changes in policy reserves. For Fiscal 2016, benefits and other changes in policy reserves increased \$196.7 million to an expense of \$153.2 million from an income of \$43.5 million for Fiscal 2015. The increase was primarily due to a decrease in the insurance liability discount rate. In addition, at December 31, 2015, Front Street began discounting the liability cash flows by using the market yields on the underlying asset backing the liabilities less the non-performance spread to reflect uncertainty. In prior periods, the discount rate was based on risk-free rates

plus non-performance spreads less a risk margin.

For Fiscal 2015, benefits and other changes in policy reserves decreased \$136.3 million to an income of \$43.5 million from an expense of \$92.8 million for Fiscal 2014. The decrease was primarily due to the increase in the insurance liability discount rate for Fiscal 2015, as discussed above.

Selling, acquisition, operating and general expenses. Selling, acquisition, operating and general expenses of the Insurance segment decreased to \$9.6 million for Fiscal 2016 as compared to \$13.4 million for Fiscal 2015 mainly driven by legal and actuarial consulting costs related to new reinsurance treaties in Fiscal 2015. There were no new reinsurance treaties entered into during Fiscal 2016.

Selling, acquisition, operating and general expenses of the Insurance segment increased slightly to \$13.4 million for Fiscal 2015 as compared to \$11.4 million for Fiscal 2014 primarily due to costs associated with new reinsurance treaties.

Corporate and Other Segment

Presented below is a table that summarizes the results of operations of our Corporate and Other segment and compares the amount of the change between the fiscal periods (in millions):

	Eigas1			Increase /
	Fiscal			(Decrease)
				2016 2015
	2016	2015	2014	comparedcompared
				to 2015 to 2014
Corporate and Other segment revenues	\$8.9	\$63.4	\$53.5	\$(54.5) \$9.9
Cost of consumer products and other goods sold	_	30.9	15.3	(30.9) 15.6
Selling, acquisition, operating and general expenses	69.4	181.1	163.0	(111.7) 18.1
Impairments and bad debt expense	23.5	148.1	2.3	(124.6) 145.8
Total Corporate and Other segment operating costs and expenses	92.9	360.1	180.6	(267.2) 179.5
Operating loss - Corporate and Other segment	\$(84.0)	\$(296.7)	\$(127.1)	\$212.7 \$(169.6)

Corporate and Other segment revenues. Presented below is a table that summarizes the Corporate and Other segment revenues by product line and compares the amount of the change between the fiscal periods (in millions):

	Fiscal		Increase /			
	Fiscai			(Decrease)		
				2016	2015	
Corporate and Other segment revenues	2016	2015	2014	compare	e d ompare	d
				to 2015	to 2014	
Asset management	\$8.9	\$22.2	\$34.2	\$(13.3)	\$ (12.0)
Women's apparel and related products	_	42.7	20.1	(42.7)	22.6	
Inter-company eliminations	_	(1.5)	(0.8)	1.5	(0.7)
Corporate and Other segment revenues	\$8.9	\$63.4	\$53.5	\$(54.5)	\$ 9.9	
Asset Management						

Revenues decreased \$13.3 million to \$8.9 million from \$22.2 million for Fiscal 2015, primarily driven by lower revenue generated by Salus as a result of the continued run-off of the remaining outstanding amount of Salus loans primarily attributable to paydowns on existing loans and a lack of new loan originations by Salus.

Revenues decreased \$12.0 million to \$22.2 million from \$34.2 million for Fiscal 2014, primarily due to lower interest revenue on Salus' retained interest in the RadioShack loan for Fiscal 2015 as compared to Fiscal 2014, as well as the continued run-off and paydowns of Salus loans as discussed above. Partially offsetting these decreases was an increase in asset management revenues from CorAmerica and EIC driven by loan origination.

Women's apparel and related products

Revenues from Women's apparel and related products reported within the "Net consumer and other product sales" caption for Fiscal 2015 and 2014 represent sales from FOH. FOH was deconsolidated in the third quarter of Fiscal 2015 following the declaration of bankruptcy by FOHG, FOH and their subsidiaries in April 2015. Following the completion of the bankruptcy of FOHG, FOH and their subsidiaries, such entities ceased to be subsidiaries of HRG. Cost of consumer products and other goods sold for Fiscal 2015 and 2014 represents FOH cost of consumer products and other goods sold for Fiscal 2015 and 2014.

Selling, acquisition, operating and general expenses. Presented below is a table that summarizes the Selling, acquisition, operating and general expenses of our Corporate and Other segment by product line, and compares the amount of the change between the fiscal periods (in millions):

	Figaal		Increase /		
	Fiscal		(Decrease)		
			2016 2015		
Selling, acquisition, operating and general expenses	2016 2015	2014	compared compared		
			to 2015 to 2014		

Corporate (a)	\$52.2	\$103.9	\$116.4	\$(51.7) \$ (12.5)
Asset management	17.2	37.4	31.2	(20.2) 6.2
Women's apparel and related products	_	39.8	15.4	(39.8) 24.4
Selling, acquisition, operating and general expenses - Corporate and Other segment	\$69.4	\$181.1	\$163.0	\$(111.7) \$ 18.1
(a) Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014 include \$0.5 million, \$1.2 million and \$0.5 acquisition, operating and general expenses previously reported under the			•	O .

Corporate

Selling, acquisition, operating and general expenses decreased \$51.7 million to \$52.2 million for Fiscal 2016 from \$103.9 million for Fiscal 2015. The decrease was primarily due to the absence in Fiscal 2016 of \$34.1 million of severance costs associated with the 2014 CEO Departure and other HRG employee departures; a decrease of \$5.2 million in bonus and stock based compensation; and a decrease in overall overhead cost for Fiscal 2016 when compared to Fiscal 2015. The lower compensation expense was driven by fewer participants in HRG's bonus pool, which was partially offset by higher performance-based bonuses for the remaining participants.

Selling, acquisition, operating and general expenses decreased \$12.5 million to \$103.9 million for Fiscal 2015 from \$116.4 million for Fiscal 2014. The decrease was primarily due to (i) a decrease in bonus expense of \$31.7 million; (ii) the non-recurrence of a \$6.1 million contingency reserve recorded in Fiscal 2014; (iii) a \$2.9 million decrease in acquisition and integrations costs; and (iv) a \$2.8 million reimbursement of legal fees as part of the settlement with OMGUK; partially offset by the severance costs associated with the 2014 CEO Departure and other HRG employee departures of \$34.1 million.

HRG's Compensation Committee established annual salary, cash and equity-based bonus arrangements for certain of HRG's corporate employees. In determining the bonus arrangements for HRG employees, HRG has considered the change in the value of its net asset value in accordance with the criteria established by HRG's Compensation Committee ("Compensation NAV"). In Fiscal 2016, underlying performance of the Compensation NAV increased 24.8% as compared to a decline of 3.8% in Fiscal 2015 and an increase of 41.5% in Fiscal 2014.

Asset Management

Selling, acquisition, operating and general expenses decreased \$20.2 million to \$17.2 million for Fiscal 2016 from \$37.4 million for Fiscal 2015. The decrease in selling, acquisition, operating and general expenses reflect the continued run-off of the Salus portfolio, coupled with the effect of the Company's sale of its ownership interest in CorAmerica and the wind-down of the operations of EIC.

Selling, acquisition, operating and general expenses increased \$6.2 million to \$37.4 million for Fiscal 2015 from \$31.2 million for Fiscal 2014, primarily due to increased legal and consulting fees.

Women's apparel and related products

Selling, acquisition, operating and general expenses increased \$24.4 million to \$39.8 million for Fiscal 2015 from \$15.4 million in Fiscal 2014 primarily due to costs related to the bankruptcy filing of FOHG, FOH and their subsidiaries during Fiscal 2015.

Impairments and bad debt expense. Impairments and bad debt expense for Fiscal 2016 were \$23.5 million due to \$12.8 million net increase to the provision for credit losses on Salus' asset-based loan portfolio and goodwill impairment of \$10.7 million at CorAmerica.

Impairments and bad debt expense for Fiscal 2015 were \$148.1 million primarily due to impairments of \$60.2 million to goodwill and intangible assets due to a change in view of the strategic direction of FOH following the 2014 CEO Departure during the first quarter of Fiscal 2015, which triggered goodwill and intangibles impairment tests. In addition, the Company recognized \$51.1 million charge-off on the loan to RadioShack, a former borrower of Salus, as well as an increase in the provision for credit losses of \$19.2 million related to the RadioShack loan and \$23.2 million related to other loans.

Non-GAAP Measurements

Adjusted earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA") is a non-GAAP financial measure used in our Consumer Products segment and one of the measures used for determining Spectrum Brands' debt covenant compliance. We believe that certain financial measures that are not prescribed by generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") provides useful information to investors because it reflects ongoing operating performance and trends, excluding certain non-cash based expenses and/or non-recurring items during each of the comparable periods and facilitates comparisons between peer companies since interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization can differ greatly between organizations as a result of differing capital structures and tax strategies. EBITDA is calculated by excluding the Company's income tax expense, interest expense, depreciation expense and amortization expense (from intangible assets) from net income. Adjusted EBITDA further excludes: (1) stock based

compensation expense as it is a non-cash based compensation cost; (2) acquisition and integration costs that consist of transaction costs from acquisition transactions during the period or subsequent integration related project costs directly associated with the acquired business; (3) restructuring and related costs, which consist of project costs associated with restructuring initiatives; (4) non-cash purchase accounting inventory adjustments recognized in earnings subsequent to an acquisition; (5) non-cash asset impairments or write-offs realized; (6) and other adjustments. During Fiscal 2016, other adjustments consisted of costs associated with the onboarding of a key executive and the involuntary transfer of inventory. During Fiscal 2015, other adjustments consisted of costs associated with the exiting of a key executive, coupled with onboarding a key executive, plus the Company recognized a non-recurring adjustment for the devaluation of cash and cash equivalents denominated in Venezuelan currency.

While management believes that non-GAAP measurements are useful supplemental information, such adjusted results are not intended to replace the Company's GAAP financial results. EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA are measures that are not prescribed by U.S. GAAP. EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA exclude changes in working capital, capital expenditures and other items that are set forth on a cash flow statement presentation of a company's operating, investing and financing activities. As such, we encourage investors not to use these measures as substitutes for the determination of net income, net cash provided by operating activities or other similar GAAP measures. Adjusted EBITDA — Consumer Products

The table below shows the adjustments made to the reported net income of the Consumer Products segment to calculate its Adjusted EBITDA (in millions):

	Fiscal		
Reconciliation to reported net income:	2016	2015	2014
Reported net income - Consumer Products segment	\$357.7	\$149.4	\$214.5
Interest expense	250.0	271.9	202.1
Income tax expense	40.0	43.9	59.0
Depreciation of properties	89.1	82.2	76.0
Amortization of intangibles	93.9	87.8	81.7
EBITDA - Consumer Products segment	830.7	635.2	633.3
Stock-based compensation	64.4	47.6	46.8
Acquisition and integration related charges	36.7	58.8	20.1
Restructuring and related charges	15.2	28.7	22.9
Write-off from impairment of intangible assets	4.7	_	_
Purchase accounting inventory adjustment	_	21.7	_
Venezuela devaluation	_	2.5	_
Other	1.1	6.1	1.3
	A 0 = 4 0	4000	A-044

Adjusted EBITDA - Consumer Products segment \$952.8 \$800.6 \$724.4

Our Consumer Products segment's Adjusted EBITDA increased \$152.2 million, or 19.0%, to \$952.8 million in Fiscal 2016 as compared to \$800.6 million for Fiscal 2015 primarily driven by a (i) \$106.1 million increase attributable to AAG's operations; (ii) the improved profitability in hardware and home improvement products and home and garden control products due to higher sales, improved product mix and cost improvements that account for \$29.9 million of the increase in Adjusted EBITDA; and (iii) an increase of \$15.6 million in the global pet supplies product line primarily driven by the acquisitions of Salix and European IAMS and Eukanuba. Adjusted EBITDA margin represented 18.9% of sales as compared to 17.1% in Fiscal 2015.

Our Consumer Products segment's Adjusted EBITDA increased \$76.2 million, or 10.5%, to \$800.6 million for Fiscal 2015 from \$724.4 million for Fiscal 2014 driven by \$47.3 million attributable to AAG's operations coupled with increased profitability in the home and garden control products as a result of an increase in net sales to external customers and product cost improvements initiatives. Adjusted EBITDA margin represented 17.1% of sales as compared to 16.4% in Fiscal 2014.

Organic Net Sales — Consumer Products

Our Consumer Products segment results contain financial information regarding organic net sales, which we define as net sales excluding the effect of changes in foreign currency exchange rates and impact from acquisitions. We believe this non-GAAP measure provides useful information to investors because it reflects regional and operating performance from our Consumer Products segment's activities without the effect of changes in currency exchange rate and/or acquisitions. The Consumer Products segment uses organic net sales as one measure to monitor and evaluate their regional and segment performance. Organic growth is calculated by comparing organic net sales to net sales in the prior year. The effect of changes in currency exchange rates is determined by translating the period's net sales using the currency exchange rates that were in effect during the prior comparative period. Net sales are attributed to the geographic regions based on the country of destination. We exclude net sales from acquired businesses in the current year for which there are no comparable sales in the prior period.

Table of Contents

The following is a reconciliation of reported net sales to organic net sales for Fiscal 2016 compared to net sales for Fiscal 2015 and for Fiscal 2015 compared to Fiscal 2014:

Fiscal 2016	Net Sales		Net Sales Excluding Effect of Changes in Currency	Effect of Acquisitio	ns	Not	Net Sales September 30, 2015	\$ Variance	% Varia	ance
Hardware and home improvement products	\$1,241.0	\$ 14.7	\$ 1,255.7	\$ —		\$1,255.7	\$ 1,205.5	\$50.2	4.2	%
Consumer batteries	840.7	40.0	880.7			880.7	829.5	51.2	6.2	%
Global pet supplies	825.7	8.2	833.9	(74.5)	759.4	758.2	1.2	0.2	%
Small appliances	656.0	35.1	691.1		,	691.1	734.6	(43.5)	(5.9)%
Personal care products	513.6	27.4	541.0			541.0	528.1	12.9	2.4	%
Home and garden control products	509.0	0.1	509.1	_		509.1	474.0	35.1	7.4	%
Global auto care	453.7	0.7	454.4	(277.3)	177.1	160.5	16.6	10.3	%
Total	\$5,039.7	\$ 126.2	\$5,165.9	\$ (351.8)	\$4,814.1	\$4,690.4	\$123.7	2.6	%
Fiscal 2015	Net Sales		Net Sales f Excluding Effect of Changes y in Currency	g		Organic Net Sales	Net Sales Septembe 30, 2014	r Variance	% Vari	ance
Hardware and home										%
improvement products	\$1,205.5	5 \$ 20.6	\$1,226.1	\$ (39.4)	\$1,186.7	\$ 1,166.0	\$ 20.7	1.8	10
improvement products Consumer batteries	\$1,205.5 829.5	5 \$ 20.6 85.5	\$ 1,226.1 915.0	\$ (39.4 —)	\$1,186.7 915.0	\$ 1,166.0 957.8		1.8 (4.5	
• •	•			\$ (39.4 — (200.1				(42.8))%
Consumer batteries	829.5	85.5	915.0	_		915.0	957.8	(42.8)	(4.5)%
Consumer batteries Global pet supplies	829.5 758.2	85.5 26.6	915.0 784.8	_		915.0 584.7	957.8 600.5	(42.8) (15.8)	(4.5 (2.6)%)%
Consumer batteries Global pet supplies Small appliances	829.5 758.2 734.6	85.5 26.6 47.5	915.0 784.8 782.1	_		915.0 584.7 782.1	957.8 600.5 730.8	(42.8) (15.8) 51.3	(4.5 (2.6 7.0)%)% %
Consumer batteries Global pet supplies Small appliances Personal care products Home and garden control	829.5 758.2 734.6 528.1	85.5 26.6 47.5 49.5	915.0 784.8 782.1 577.6	_		915.0 584.7 782.1 577.6 474.1	957.8 600.5 730.8 542.1	(42.8) (15.8) 51.3 35.5	(4.5) (2.6) 7.0) 6.5)%)% %
Consumer batteries Global pet supplies Small appliances Personal care products Home and garden control products	829.5 758.2 734.6 528.1 474.0 160.5	85.5 26.6 47.5 49.5	915.0 784.8 782.1 577.6 474.1)	915.0 584.7 782.1 577.6 474.1	957.8 600.5 730.8 542.1	(42.8) (15.8) 51.3 35.5	(4.5) (2.6) 7.0) 6.5) 9.8)%)% % %

Liquidity and Capital Resources

HRG

HRG is a holding company and its liquidity needs are primarily for interest payments on the 7.875% Senior Secured Notes due 2019 (the "7.875% Notes") and the 7.75% Senior Notes due 2022 (the "7.75% Notes") (approximately \$137.1 million per year), professional fees (including advisory services, legal and accounting fees), executive bonuses, salaries and benefits, office rent, pension expense, insurance costs and funding certain requirements of our insurance and other subsidiaries. HRG's current source of liquidity is its cash, cash equivalents and investments, and distributions from our subsidiaries.

During Fiscal 2016, we received cash dividends of \$63.5 million from our subsidiaries, including \$50.9 million, \$12.2 million and \$0.4 million from Spectrum Brands, FGL and CorAmerica, respectively. During Fiscal 2017, we expect to receive approximately \$55.1 million of dividends from our subsidiaries' distributable earnings.

The ability of HRG's subsidiaries to generate sufficient net income and cash flows to make upstream cash distributions is subject to numerous factors, including restrictions contained in such subsidiary's financing agreements, availability of sufficient funds in such subsidiary, applicable state laws and regulatory restrictions and the approval of such payment by such subsidiary's board of directors, which must consider various factors, including general economic and business conditions, tax considerations, strategic plans, financial results and condition, expansion plans, any contractual, legal or regulatory restrictions on the payment of dividends, and such other factors such subsidiary's board of directors considers relevant including, in the case of FGL, target capital ratios and ratio levels anticipated by regulatory agencies to maintain or improve current ratings. In addition, while the FGL Merger Agreement permits FGL to pay out a regular quarterly cash dividend on its common stock in an amount not in excess of \$0.065 per share, per quarter, FGL may not pay any other dividends without the consent of Anbang. In addition, if the FGL Merger is consummated, while we will receive the proceeds from the sale of our shares of FGL common stock, we will no longer receive dividends from FGL. Furthermore, one or more of our subsidiaries may issue, repurchase, retire or refinance, as applicable, their debt and/or equity securities for a variety of purposes, including in order to, in the future, grow their business, pursue acquisition activities and/or manage their liquidity needs. Any such issuance may limit such subsidiary's ability to make upstream cash distributions.

HRG's liquidity may also be impacted by the capital needs of HRG's subsidiaries and the ability of our subsidiaries to remain in compliance with the covenants governing their indebtedness. Such entities may require additional capital to acquire other business, maintain or grow their businesses, make payments on, or remain in compliance with the covenants governing their indebtedness, and/or make upstream cash distributions to HRG. For example, Front Street has required, and may in the future require, additional capital in order to operate its business, engage in reinsurance transactions, and/or to meet regulatory or other applicable capital requirements. Similarly, Salus has required, and may in the future require, additional capital in order to operate its business and execute on its strategy to collect its existing loans and wind-down its business. HGI Energy has required, and may in the future require, additional capital to conduct its operations and pay interest on the Affiliate Notes (as defined below).

We expect our cash, cash equivalents and investments to continue to be a source of liquidity except to the extent they may be used to fund the capital needs of our subsidiaries. At September 30, 2016, HRG's corporate cash, cash equivalents and investments were \$172.0 million.

We expect that dividends from our subsidiaries along with our cash on hand, cash equivalents and investments to exceed our expected cash requirements and to satisfy our interest obligations, and general administrative expenses for at least the next twelve months. Depending on a variety of factors, including general state of capital markets, operating needs or business strategies, HRG and/or one or more of its subsidiaries may or may be required to raise additional capital through the issuance of equity, debt, or both. There is no assurance, however, that such capital will be available at that time, in the amounts necessary or on terms satisfactory to HRG. We seek to service any such new additional debt through increasing the dividends we receive or disposing of certain of our holdings, but there can be no assurance that we will be able to do so. We may also seek to repurchase, retire or refinance, as applicable, all or a portion of, our 7.875% Notes, the 7.75% Notes, or common stock through open market purchases, tender offers, negotiated transactions or otherwise.

HGI Energy

HGI Energy has indebtedness of an aggregate \$92.0 million under notes issued by HGI Energy for which Front Street, a wholly-owned subsidiary of HRG bears the economic risk (the "Affiliate Notes"). The Affiliate Notes mature on August 22, 2017 and carries interest of 0.71% payable semi-annually. HGI Energy's assets at September 30, 2016 included \$116.0 million of marketable securities owned by HGI Energy. HGI Energy has required, and may in the future require, additional capital to conduct its operations and pay interest on the Affiliate Notes.

Spectrum Brands

Spectrum Brands expects to fund its cash requirements, including capital expenditures, dividend, interest and principal payments due in Fiscal 2017 through a combination of cash on hand (\$275.3 million at September 30, 2016), cash flows from operations and \$466.2 million available borrowings under the asset based lending revolving credit facility (the "Revolver Facility"). Spectrum Brands expects its capital expenditures for Fiscal 2017 will be approximately \$110.0 million to \$120.0 million. Going

forward, its ability to satisfy financial and other covenants in its senior credit agreements and senior unsecured indentures and to make scheduled payments or prepayments on its debt and other financial obligations will depend on its future financial and operating performance. There can be no assurances that its business will generate sufficient cash flows from operations or that future borrowings under Spectrum Brands' debt agreements, including the Revolver Facility, will be available in an amount sufficient to satisfy its debt maturities or to fund its other liquidity needs.

Front Street

Front Street's liquidity needs consist primarily of supporting the capitalization of its reinsurance business. As of September 30, 2016, Front Street maintained regulatory capital in excess of its minimum commitments. Front Street's reinsurance obligations are collateralized by the assets in the funds-withheld accounts of ceding companies. Front Street does not expect to need additional liquidity in the near-term, but there can be no assurance that its capitalization or the funds-withheld assets will be sufficient in the future to meet applicable regulatory requirements or its reinsurance obligations in the event of impairments in the funds-withheld assets.

Funds withheld receivables

Front Street Cayman has entered into various reinsurance agreements on a funds withheld basis, meaning that funds are withheld by the ceding company, from the coinsurance premium owed to Front Street Cayman as collateral for Front Street Cayman's payment obligations. Accordingly, the collateral assets remain under the ultimate ownership for the ceding company. Front Street Cayman's investment portfolio underlying the funds withheld assets includes fixed maturities and short-term investments that are recorded at fair value and other invested assets. The carrying values of the investments underlying the funds withheld receivables at September 30, 2016 and 2015 were as follows (in millions):

September 30,			September 30,		
2016			2015		
Fair	Percent		Fair	Percent	
Value			Value		
\$1,033.3	67.3	%	\$1,083.0	66.1	%
350.4	22.8	%	395.0	24.1	%
69.9	4.6	%	8.4	0.5	%
66.4	4.3	%	101.0	6.2	%
8.2	0.5	%	39.3	2.4	%
6.9	0.5	%	11.4	0.7	%
1,535.1	100.0)%	1,638.1	100.0)%
17.8			20.5		
77.7			41.1		
19.8			10.4		
\$1,650.4			\$1,710.1		
	2016 Fair Value \$1,033.3 350.4 69.9 66.4 8.2 6.9 1,535.1 17.8 77.7 19.8	2016 Fair Value \$1,033.3 67.3 350.4 22.8 69.9 4.6 66.4 4.3 8.2 0.5 6.9 0.5 1,535.1 100.0 17.8 77.7 19.8	2016 Fair Value \$1,033.3 67.3 % 350.4 22.8 % 69.9 4.6 % 66.4 4.3 % 8.2 0.5 % 6.9 0.5 % 1,535.1 100.0 % 17.8 77.7 19.8	2016 Fair Value \$1,033.3 67.3 % \$1,083.0 350.4 22.8 % 395.0 69.9 4.6 % 8.4 66.4 4.3 % 101.0 8.2 0.5 % 39.3 6.9 0.5 % 11.4 1,535.1 100.0% 1,638.1 17.8 20.5 77.7 41.1 19.8 10.4	2016 2015 Fair Value Percent Value Fair Value Percent Value \$1,033.3 67.3 % \$1,083.0 66.1 350.4 22.8 % 395.0 24.1 69.9 4.6 % 8.4 0.5 66.4 4.3 % 101.0 6.2 8.2 0.5 % 39.3 2.4 6.9 0.5 % 11.4 0.7 1,535.1 100.0% 1,638.1 100.0 17.8 20.5 77.7 41.1 19.8

The decrease in the fair value of the funds withheld receivables at September 30, 2016 compared to 2015 was primarily related to the continued run-off of the closed block reinsurance treaties and the recapture notice of a reinsurance agreement effective October 31, 2015, between third parties, coupled with timing of trade settlements; partially offset by increases due to new business assumed, as well as increase in the fair value of the underlying fixed maturity debt securities included in the funds withheld receivables during Fiscal 2016 due to market conditions with decreasing risk-free rates and tightening credit spreads resulting in generally higher valuations of fixed maturity debt securities.

The table below summarizes Front Street's funds withheld receivables rated by established nationally recognized statistical rating organizations in percentage terms at September 30, 2016 and 2015 (by credit rating, in millions):

	Septem	September 30,		ber 30,
	2016		2015	
Rating	Fair Value	Percent	Fair Value	Percent

Santambar 20

Edgar Filing: HRG GROUP, INC. - Form 10-K

AAA	\$109.9	7.2 %	\$113.8	6.9	%
AA	205.9	13.4 %	176.4	10.8	%
A	263.7	17.2 %	267.5	16.3	%
BBB	502.1	32.7 %	563.7	34.4	%
BB	187.6	12.2 %	202.3	12.4	%
B and below	256.8	16.7 %	295.2	18.0	%
Not rated	9.1	0.6 %	19.2	1.2	%
Total	\$1,535.1	100.0%	\$1,638.1	100.0)%

See Note 8, Funds Withheld Receivables, to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part IV - Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statements and Schedules for additional information regarding the funds withheld receivables portfolio.

Salus

Salus expects that its available cash on hand will be sufficient for it to fund its operations going forward, which consists principally of collecting outstanding loans and winding down its business. However, there can be no assurance that unexpected losses and contingencies, such as larger than expected litigation expenses, would not require additional capital to conduct its operations.

The Company's portfolio of asset-based loans receivable, originated by Salus and its co-lender Front Street, are included in "Other assets" in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2016 and 2015. The asset-based loans participations by FGL are included in "Assets of business held for sale" in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2016 and 2015. See Note 13, Other Assets, to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part IV - Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statements and Schedules for the composition of the asset-based loans portfolio by industry sector, as well as discussion and information regarding the credit quality indicators.

FGL (Business Held for Sale)

FGL's principal source of liquidity is dividends from FGH, whose liquidity is, in turn, principally based on dividends from its operating insurance company subsidiaries, Fidelity & Guaranty Life Insurance Company ("FGL Insurance") and Fidelity & Guaranty Life Insurance Company of New York ("FGL NY Insurance"). FGL Insurance's and FGL NY Insurance's primary sources of liquidity are cash flow from insurance premiums and fees and investment income. FGL's principal use of cash is to fund payments under their annuity and universal life products. FGL Insurance's and FGL NY Insurance's cash flows associated with collateral received from and posted with counterparties change as the market value of the underlying derivative contract changes. As the value of a derivative asset declines (or increases), the collateral required to be posted by our counterparties would also decline (or increase). Likewise, when the value of a derivative liability declines (or increases), the collateral we are required to post to our counterparties would also decline (or increase). FGH also maintains lines of credit and long-term debt financing, which provide liquidity but also require debt service.

FGL's principal use of liquidity is to pay dividends to its stockholders, including HRG. Its ability to pay dividends is limited by regulatory and capital adequacy considerations and contractual limitations, including the FGL Merger Agreement, and other limitations applicable to its subsidiaries. See Part I, Item 1A. "Risk Factors-Risks Related to FGL's and Front Street's Businesses - FGL's subsidiaries may not be able to generate sufficient cash to service all of their obligations and may be forced to take other actions to satisfy their obligations, which may not be successful" and "FGL is a holding company with no operations of its own; its ability to pay dividends on its stock will depend on the ability of its subsidiaries to pay dividends to FGL, which may be restricted by law. Front Street is a parent company with limited business operations of its own and conducts most of its business operations through its subsidiaries and its primary source of cash is and will be distributions from its subsidiaries."

Discussion of Consolidated Cash Flows

Summary of Consolidated Cash Flows

Presented below is a table that summarizes the cash provided or used in our activities and the amount of the respective increases or decreases in cash provided or used from those continuing activities between the fiscal periods (in millions):

			Increase / (Decrease)			
				2016	2015	
Cash provided by (used in) continuing activities:	2016	2015	2014	comparedcompared		
				to 2015	to 2014	
Operating activities	\$558.2	\$300.3	\$476.9	\$257.9	\$(176.6)
Investing activities	145.9	(1,047.6)	(269.8)	1,193.5	(777.8)

Financing activities	(866.6) 709.4 (147.0) (1,576.0 856.4
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(1.4) (29.7) (8.3) 28.3 (21.4)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents in continuing operations	\$(163.9) \$(67.6) \$51.8 \$(96.3) \$(119.4)
Operating Activities	

Cash provided by operating activities totaled \$558.2 million for Fiscal 2016 as compared to \$300.3 million for Fiscal 2015. The \$257.9 million improvement in cash provided by operating activities was the result of a (i) \$170.1 million increase in cash provided by the Consumer Products segment; (ii) \$38.9 million decrease in cash used by the Insurance segment and (iii) \$72.8 million increase in cash provided from net withdrawals from contractholder accounts related to the cession between Front Street and FGL, which is reflected in operating cash for the Insurance segment; partially offset by a \$10.0 million decrease in dividends received from Compass, which was sold in Fiscal 2016 and is now classified as discontinued operations; and a \$2.6 million increase in cash used by the Corporate and Other segment.

The \$170.1 million increase in cash provided by operating activities in the Consumer Products segment was primarily due to the (i) incremental cash generated from the segment operations of \$166.7 million due to growth in net sales, acquisitions and cost management initiatives, including cash contributed through working capital primarily from decreases of receivables and inventory through working capital management initiatives; (ii) a decrease in cash paid for interest of \$12.0 million, excluding a non-recurring tender premium of \$15.6 million for the redemption of 6.375% Note, from a decrease in annualized interest costs; (iii) a decrease in cash paid for income taxes, which was partially offset by (a) an increase in cash paid towards restructuring and acquisition and integration related activities of \$7.1 million towards integration of previously acquired businesses; and (b) increased payments towards corporate expenditures of \$3.9 million for increased compensation costs and investment in shared services.

The \$38.9 million decrease in cash used by the Insurance segment was primarily due to lower payments made for net reinsurance settlements related to the funds withheld accounts in Fiscal 2016 as compared to Fiscal 2015. The reduction in net payments for net reinsurance settlements to ceding companies in Fiscal 2016 was as a result of lower impairments within the associated funds withheld accounts.

Cash provided by operating activities totaled \$300.3 million for Fiscal 2015 compared to \$476.9 million for Fiscal 2014. The \$176.6 million decline was the result of (i) \$140.5 million increase in cash used in our Insurance segment (consisting primarily off a \$73.3 million decrease in cash provided for net withdrawals from contractholder accounts related to the cession between Front Street and FGL, which is reflected in operating cash for the Insurance segment; and an \$89.7 million increase in cash used for reinsurance settlements with third parties and discontinued operations, partially offset by a \$22.5 million decrease in income taxes paid); (ii) a \$30.0 million decrease in dividends received from businesses classified as discontinued operations; and (iii) a \$15.4 million increase in cash used by the Corporate and Other segment; offset by a \$12.2 million increase in cash provided by the Consumer Products segment. Investing Activities

Cash provided by investing activities was \$145.9 million for Fiscal 2016 and was primarily related to (i) net repayment of asset-based loans of \$177.2 million, as Salus continues to wind down its loan portfolio; (ii) \$48.5 million of cash provided from sales, maturities and repayments, net of purchases, of fixed maturity securities and other investments; and (iii) \$19.7 million of net proceeds from the sale of Compass. Partially offsetting these inflows were capital expenditures of \$95.4 million, primarily in the Consumer Products segment.

Cash used in investing activities during Fiscal 2015 was \$1.0 billion primarily driven by (i) \$1.3 billion used for the acquisitions of AAG, European IAMS and Eukanuba, Salix and Tell in the Consumer Products segment; and (ii) \$90.6 million of capital expenditures. Partially offsetting these outflows were (i) net proceeds of \$289.2 million from the repayment of asset-based loans and (ii) \$81.2 million of cash provided from sales, maturities and repayments, net of purchases, of fixed maturity securities and other investments.

Cash used in investing activities during Fiscal 2014 was \$269.8 million primarily driven by (i) \$127.6 million cash used to originate asset-based loans; (ii) \$75.5 million of capital expenditures; (iii) \$46.8 million of cash used for purchases, of fixed maturity securities and other investments, net of sales, maturities and repayments; and (iv) 27.3 million used for the acquisitions of Liquid Fence, FOH and CorAmerica.

Financing Activities

Cash used in financing activities during Fiscal 2016 was \$866.6 million primarily related to (i) debt repayment by Spectrum Brands of \$819.5 million, inclusive of discretionary payments on term loans of \$415.5 million; (ii) debt repayment at Salus of \$240.1 million; (iii) cash used for payment of contractholder account withdrawals, net of account deposits of \$134.7 million; (iv) purchases of Spectrum Brands stock of \$52.1 million; (v) share-based award tax withholding payments of \$28.7 million; and (vi) dividend paid by Spectrum Brands to noncontrolling interests of \$37.3 million, partially offset by new borrowing by Spectrum Brands under the 4.00% Notes, net of financing costs \$475.7 million.

Cash provided by financing activities during Fiscal 2015 was \$709.4 million primarily driven by (i) proceeds from issuance of debt, net of financing costs of \$3.7 billion to fund certain acquisitions, organic growth and refinance debt with lower interest rates; (ii) cash provided by contractholder account deposits, net of the payment of contractholder account withdrawals of \$61.9 million; and (iii) \$281.0 million of cash provided by the issuance of Spectrum Brands common stock in relation to the funding for the acquisition of AAG. Partially offsetting these cash inflows was cash

used for (i) the repayment of debt, including tender and call premiums of \$3.1 billion; (ii) \$49.6 million used for the purchases of shares of Spectrum Brands as well as on additional interest in CorAmerica; (iii) payment of dividends by our partially owned subsidiaries to noncontrolling interest holders of \$31.0 million; (iv) common stock repurchases of \$22.2 million; and (v) share-based award tax withholding payments of \$21.0 million.

Cash used in financing activities during Fiscal 2014 was \$147.0 million primarily driven by (i) repayment of debt, including tender and call premiums of \$770.9 million; (ii) cash used for payment of contractholder account withdrawals, net of contractholder account deposits of \$135.2 million; (iii) common stock repurchases of \$65.8 million; (iv) share-based award tax withholding payments of \$31.5 million; (v) payment of dividends on preferred stock of \$28.6 million; and (vi) payment of dividends by our partially owned subsidiaries to noncontrolling interest holders of \$26.0 million. This was offset by the proceeds

from issuance of debt, net of financing costs of \$917.5 million to fund certain acquisitions, organic growth and refinance debt with lower interest rates.

Debt Financing Activities

At September 30, 2016, HRG and its subsidiaries were in compliance with their respective covenants under their respective debt documents. See Note 15, Debt, to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part IV - Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statements and Schedules for additional information regarding the Company and its subsidiaries' debt activities during Fiscal 2016.

Equity Financing Activities

During Fiscal 2016, we granted shares and restricted stock awards representing approximately 99 thousand shares to our employees, our directors, and our consultants. All vesting dates of grants made to our employees are subject to the recipient's continued employment with us, except as otherwise permitted by our Board of Directors, or in certain cases if the employee is terminated without cause or resigns for good reason. The total market value of the restricted shares on the date of grant was approximately \$1.4 million, a portion of which represented unearned restricted stock-based compensation. Unearned compensation is amortized to expense over the appropriate vesting period. From time to time we may repurchase our outstanding shares of common stock in the open market or otherwise.

During Fiscal 2016, we did not repurchase any of our outstanding common stock under the \$100.0 million repurchase program authorized by our Board in May 2014. As of September 30, 2016, under this program, we had repurchased a total of 6.9 million shares of our common stock, at an aggregate repurchase price of \$87.7 million, with \$12.3 million remaining value of the shares that may be purchased in the future. Under a different common stock repurchase program, during Fiscal 2015 and 2014, we repurchased 1.7 million and 5.2 million shares, respectively, of our outstanding common stock for \$22.2 million and \$65.5 million, respectively.

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations as of September 30, 2016 and the effect such obligations are expected to have on our liquidity and cash flow in future periods (in millions) and excludes certain other obligations that have been reflected on our Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2016 included in this report.

	Payments Due by Period					
	Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	3 to 5 years	Thereafter	
Contractual obligations of business held for use:						
Annuity, universal life, and long-term products (a)	\$1,671.6	\$204.1	\$321.6	\$253.7	\$892.2	
Debt, excluding capital lease (b)	5,426.0	156.0	891.1	125.4	4,253.5	
Interest payments, excluding capital lease (b)	1,869.9	312.3	613.4	475.3	468.9	
Capital lease (c)	114.7	10.0	18.3	18.5	67.9	
Operating lease (d)	157.1	44.3	59.3	33.3	20.2	
Employee benefit (e)	125.8	10.6	22.8	24.9	67.5	
Letters of credit (f)	26.9	26.9				
Unfunded asset-based lending commitments (g)	6.2	6.2				
Total contractual obligations of business held for use	\$9,398.2	\$770.4	\$1,926.5	\$931.1	\$5,770.2	
Contractual obligations of business held for sale:						
Annuity and universal life products	\$29,781.2	\$2,223.7	\$4,391.4	\$3,974.1	\$19,192.0	
Operating leases	8.4	1.9	3.6	2.9		
Debt	300.0	_		300.0		
Revolving credit facility	100.0	100.0	_	_		
Interest expense	95.8	19.1	38.3	38.3	0.1	
Total contractual obligations of business held for sale	\$30,285.4	\$2,344.7	\$4,433.3	\$4,315.3	\$19,192.1	

At September 30, 2016, our Consolidated Balance Sheets included \$47.9 million of reserves for uncertain tax positions. It is not possible to predict or estimate the timing of payments for these obligations and, accordingly, they are not reflected in the above table.

Consists of projected payments through the year 2030 that the Insurance segment is contractually obligated to pay

- (a) to annuity, universal life, and long-term care policyholders. The payments are derived from actuarial models which assume a level interest rate scenario and incorporate assumptions regarding mortality and persistency, when applicable. These assumptions are based on historical experience, but actual amounts will differ.
- (b) For more information concerning debt, see Note 15, Debt, to our Consolidated Financial Statements.
- (c) Capital lease payments due by fiscal year include executory costs and imputed interest.
- (d) For more information concerning operating leases, see Note 22, Commitments and Contingencies, to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

- Employee benefit obligations represent the sum of our estimated future minimum required funding for our qualified defined benefit plans based on actuarially determined estimates and projected future benefit payments from our unfunded postretirement plans. For additional information about our employee benefit obligations, see Note 17, Employee Benefit Obligations, to our Consolidated Financial Statements.
- (f) Consists of standby letters of credit that back the performance of certain entities under various credit facilities, insurance policies and lease arrangements.
- (g) Consists entirely of unfunded asset-based lending commitments of Salus.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Throughout our history, we have entered into indemnifications in the ordinary course of business with our customers, suppliers, service providers, business partners and in connection with the purchase and sale of assets, securities and businesses. Additionally, we have indemnified our directors and officers who are, or were, serving at our request in such capacities. Although the specific terms or number of such arrangements is not precisely quantifiable, we do not believe that future costs associated with such arrangements will have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Seasonality

On a consolidated basis, our financial results are approximately equally weighted between quarters, however, sales of certain product categories within our Consumer Products segment tend to be seasonal. Sales in the consumer batteries and personal care products categories tend to increase during the December holiday season (our first fiscal quarter), while small appliances sales increase from July through December primarily due to the increased demand by customers in the late summer for "back-to-school" sales (our fourth fiscal quarter) and in December for the holiday season. Sales for hardware and home improvement products increase during the spring and summer construction period (our third and fourth fiscal quarters). Sales for global pet supplies products remain fairly consistent throughout the year with little variations. Sales for home and garden control products and global auto care typically peak during the first six months of the calendar year (our second and third fiscal quarters) due to customer seasonal purchasing patterns and timing of promotional activities.

Information about our sales by quarter as a percentage of annual net sales during the last three fiscal years was as follows:

Percentage of Annual Net Consumer Products Sales	Fiscal
Fiscal Quarter Ended	2016 2015 2014
First Quarter	24% 23% 25%
Second Quarter	24% 23% 23%
Third Quarter	27% 26% 25%
Fourth Quarter	25% 28% 27%

Recent Accounting Pronouncements Not Yet Adopted

Revenue from Contracts with Customers

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued ASU No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606) ("ASU 2014-09"), which supersedes the revenue recognition requirements in Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") ASC 605, Revenue Recognition. ASU 2014-09 requires revenue recognition to depict the transfer of goods and services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The new revenue recognition model requires identifying the contract and performance obligations, determining the transaction price, allocating the transaction price to performance obligations and recognizing the revenue upon satisfaction of performance obligations. ASU 2014-09 also requires additional disclosure about the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from customer contracts, including significant judgments and changes in judgments, and assets recognized from costs incurred to obtain or fulfill a contract. ASU 2014-09 can be applied either retrospectively to each prior reporting period presented or retrospectively with the cumulative effect of initially applying the updates recognized at the date

of the initial application along with additional disclosures. In August 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-14, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Deferral of the Effective Date ("ASU 2015-14"), which amends the previously issued ASU 2014-09 to provide for a one year deferral from the original effective date. As a result, the ASU 2014-09 will become effective for the Company beginning in the first quarter of its fiscal year ending September 30, 2019, with early adoption beginning in the first quarter of its fiscal year ending September 30, 2018. In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU No 2016-08, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Principal versus Agent Considerations (Reporting Revenue Gross versus Net) ("ASU 2016-08"), which clarifies gross versus net revenue reporting when another party is involved in the transaction. In April 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-10, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Identifying Performance Obligations and Licensing ("ASU 2016-10"), which amends the revenue guidance on identifying performance obligations and accounting for licenses of intellectual property. There are two transition methods available under the new standard, either cumulative effect or retrospective. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact of this update on its financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

Share-Based Payments When a Performance Target is Achieved after the Requisite Service Period In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-12, Compensation-Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Term of an Award Provide that a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period, effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015 and interim periods within those years. The new guidance requires that performance targets that affect vesting and that could be achieved after the requisite service period to be treated as performance conditions. Such performance targets would not be included in the grant-date fair value calculation of the award, rather compensation cost should be recorded when it is probable the performance target will be reached and should represent the compensation cost attributable to period(s) for which the requisite service has already been rendered. This standard may be early adopted and the amendments in this accounting standards update may be applied either prospectively or retrospectively. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this new accounting guidance on its Consolidated Financial Statements.

Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis In February 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-02, Consolidation (Topic 810): Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis. This ASU makes changes to the VIE model and voting interest ("VOE") model consolidation guidance. The main provisions of the ASU include the following: i) adding a requirement that limited partnerships and similar legal entities must provide partners with either substantive kick-out rights or substantive participating rights over the general partner to qualify as a VOE rather than a VIE; ii) eliminating the presumption that the general partner should consolidate a limited partnership; iii) eliminating certain conditions that need to be met when evaluating whether fees paid to a decision maker or service provider are considered a variable interest; iv) excluding certain fees paid to decision makers or service providers when evaluating which party is the primary beneficiary of a VIE; and v) revising how related parties are evaluated under the VIE guidance. Lastly, this ASU eliminates the indefinite deferral of FAS 167, which allowed reporting entities with interests in certain investment funds to follow previous guidance in FIN 46 (R). However, this ASU permanently exempts reporting entities from consolidating registered money market funds that operate in accordance with Rule 2a-7 of the Investment Company Act of 1940. This ASU is effective for annual periods and interim periods within those annual periods beginning after December 15, 2015. Entities may apply this ASU either using a modified retrospective approach by recording a cumulative-effect adjustment to equity as of the beginning period of adoption or retrospectively to all prior periods presented in the financial statements. Early adoption is also permitted provided that this ASU is applied from the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this ASU on its Consolidated Financial Statements.

Investments That Calculate Net Asset Value per Share

In May 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-07, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Disclosures for Investments in Certain Entities That Calculate Net Asset Value per Share (or Its Equivalent), effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015 and interim periods within those years. Current U.S. GAAP requires that investments for which fair value is measured at net asset value (or its equivalent) using the practical expedient in Topic 820 be categorized within the fair value hierarchy using criteria that differ from the criteria used to categorize other fair value measurements within the hierarchy. Currently, investments valued using the practical expedient are categorized within the fair value hierarchy on the basis of whether the investment is redeemable with the investee at net asset value on the measurement date, never redeemable with the investee at net asset value, or redeemable with the investee at net asset value at a future date. For investments that are redeemable with the investee at a future date, a reporting entity must take into account the length of time until those investments become redeemable to determine the classification within the fair value hierarchy. There is diversity in practice related to how certain investments measured at net asset value with redemption dates in the future (including periodic redemption dates) are categorized within the fair value hierarchy. Under the amendments in this ASU, investments for which fair value is measured at net asset value per share (or its equivalent) using the practical expedient should not be categorized in the fair value hierarchy. Removing those investments from the fair value hierarchy not only eliminates the diversity in practice resulting from the way in which investments measured at net asset value per share (or its equivalent) with future redemption dates are classified, but also ensures that all investments categorized in the fair value hierarchy are classified using a consistent approach. Investments that calculate net asset value per share (or its equivalent), but for which the practical expedient is not applied will continue to be included in the fair value hierarchy. Early adoption is permitted. The amendments in this

ASU are required to be applied retrospectively to all prior periods presented in the financial statements. The Company will not adopt this standard early and is currently evaluating the impact of this new accounting guidance on its Consolidated Financial Statements.

Amendments to Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities
In January 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-01, Financial Instruments - Overall (Subtopic 825-10): Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities ("ASU 2016-01"), which changes how entities measure certain equity investments and present changes in the fair value of financial liabilities measured under the fair value option that are attributable to their own credit. Under the new guidance, entities will be required to measure equity investments that do not result in consolidation and are not accounted for under the equity method at fair value and recognize any changes in fair value in net income unless the investments qualify for the new practicability exception. For financial liabilities measured using the fair value option, entities will be required to record changes in fair value caused by a change in instrument-specific credit risk (own credit

risk) separately in other comprehensive income. The accounting for other financial instruments, such as loans and investments in debt securities is largely unchanged. The classification and measurement guidance is effective for public entities in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those fiscal years. This update will be effective for the Company in the first quarter of fiscal year 2019. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact of this update on its financial condition, results of operations or liquidity. Leases

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, Leases (Topic 842) ("ASU 2016-02"), which supersedes the lease requirements in ASC 840, Leases. ASU 2016-02 requires lessees to recognize lease assets and liabilities on the balance sheet, as well as to disclose key information about leasing arrangements. Although the new ASU 2016-02 requires both operating and finance leases to be disclosed on the balance sheet, a distinction between the two types still exists as the economics of leases can vary. ASU 2016-02 can be applied using a modified retrospective approach, with a number of optional practical expedients relating to the identification and classification of leases that commenced before the effective date, along with the ability to use hindsight in the evaluation of lease decisions, that entities may elect to apply. ASU 2016-02 is effective for public business entities in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within those fiscal years. As a result, the ASU 2016-02 will become effective for the Company beginning in the first quarter of its fiscal year ending September 30, 2020, with early adoption applicable. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact of this update on its financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments

In June 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-13, Financial Instruments - Credit Losses (Topic 326): Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments ("ASU 2016-13"), which introduces an approach based on expected losses to estimate credit losses on certain types of financial instruments. It also modifies the impairment model for available-for-sale debt securities and provides for a simplified accounting model for purchased financial assets with credit deterioration since their origination. ASU 2016-13 is effective for the Company in the first quarter of fiscal year ending September 30, 2020. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact of this update on its financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-15, Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments ("ASU 2016-15"), which intends to reduce diversity in practice in how certain transactions are classified in the statement of cash flows. ASU 2016-15 is effective for public business entities in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those fiscal years. As a result, the ASU 2016-15 will become effective for the Company beginning in the first quarter of its fiscal year ending September 30, 2019, with early adoption applicable. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact of this update on its financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

Intra-Entity Transfers of Assets Other Than Inventory

In October 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-16, Income Taxes (Topic 740): Intra-Entity Transfers of Assets Other Than Inventory ("ASU 2016-16"), which removes the prohibition against the immediate recognition of the current and deferred income tax effects of intra-entity transfers of assets other than inventory. ASU 2016-16 is effective for public business entities in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those fiscal years. As a result, the ASU 2016-16 will become effective for the Company in the first quarter of fiscal year ending September 30, 2019, with early adoption applicable. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact of this update on its financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

Interests Held through Related Parties That Are under Common Control

In October 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-17, Consolidation (Topic 810): Interest Held through Related Parties That Are under Common Control ("ASU 2016-17"), which alters how a decision maker needs to consider indirect interest in a variable interest entity ("VIE") held through an entity under common control. ASU 2016-17 is effective for public business entities in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those fiscal years. As a result, the ASU 2016-17 will become effective for the Company in the first quarter of fiscal year ending September 30, 2019, with early adoption applicable. The Company is in the process of evaluating

the impact of this update on its financial condition, results of operations or liquidity. Restricted Cash

In November 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-18, Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Restricted Cash, a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force ("ASU 2016-18"), which provides guidance on the presentation of restricted cash or restricted cash equivalents in the statement of cash flows. ASU 2016-18 is effective for public business entities in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those fiscal years. As a result, the ASU 2016-18 will become effective for the Company in the first quarter of fiscal year ending September 30, 2019, with early adoption applicable. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact of this update on its financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of our financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in our financial statements and accompanying notes. We believe the following accounting policies are critical to an understanding of our financial statements. The application of these policies requires management's judgment and estimates in areas that are inherently uncertain. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates. Except for the matter discussed below, there have been no material changes to the critical accounting policies and estimates.

General

Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations

The Company reports a business as held for sale when the criteria of ASC Topic 360, Property, Plant and Equipment ("ASC 360") are met. A business classified as held for sale is recorded at the lower of its carrying amount or estimated fair value less cost to sell. If the carrying amount of the business exceeds its estimated fair value less cost to sell, a loss is recognized. Assets and liabilities related to a business classified as held for sale are segregated in the current and prior balance sheets in the period in which the business is classified as held for sale. Transactions between the business held for sale and businesses held for use that are expected to continue to exist after the disposal are not eliminated to appropriately reflect the continuing operations and balances held for sale. If a business is classified as held for sale after the balance sheet date but before the financial statements are issued or are available to be issued, the business continues to be classified as held and used in those financial statements when issued or when available to be issued.

The Company reports the results of operations of a business as discontinued operations if a disposal represents a strategic shift that has (or will have) a major effect on an entity's operations and financial results when the business is sold or classified as held for sale, in accordance with ASC 360 and ASU No. 2014-08, Presentation of Financial Statements (Topic 2015) and Property, Plant and Equipment (Topic 360): Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity ("ASU 2014-08"). The results of discontinued operations are reported in "(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations for current and prior periods commencing in the period in which the business meets the criteria of a discontinued operation, and include any gain or loss recognized on closing or adjustment of the carrying amount to fair value less cost to sell. Transactions between the business held for sale and businesses held for use that are expected to continue to exist after the disposal are not eliminated to appropriately reflect the continuing operations and balances held for sale.

The guidance above does not apply to oil and gas properties that are accounted for using the full-cost method of accounting as prescribed by the U.S. SEC (Regulation S-X, Rule 4-10, Financial Accounting and Reporting for Oil and Gas Producing Activities Pursuant to the Federal Securities Laws and the Energy Policy and Conservation Act of 1975) unless the disposal represents all or substantially all of a full cost pool as a discontinued operation. As discussed in Note 4, Divestitures, on July 1, 2016, the Company entered into an agreement to sell all of its remaining oil and gas interests. Consequently, the Company's investments in oil and gas properties have been reclassified as discontinued operations.

Income Taxes

Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which the temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax laws or rates is recognized in the income in the period that includes the enactment date. The Company has the ability and intent to recover in a tax-free manner assets (or liabilities) with book/tax basis differences for which no deferred taxes have been provided, in accordance with ASC Topic 740, Income Taxes. Additionally, taxing jurisdictions could retroactively disagree with our tax treatment of certain items, and some historical transactions have income tax effects going forward. Accounting guidance requires these future effects to be evaluated using current laws, rules and regulations, each of which can change at any time and in an unpredictable

manner.

In accordance with ASC Topic 740, we establish valuation allowances for deferred tax assets when, in our judgment, we conclude that it is more-likely-than-not that the deferred tax assets will not be realized. We base these judgments on projections of future income, including tax-planning strategies, by individual tax jurisdiction. Changes in industry and economic conditions and the competitive environment may impact the accuracy of our projections. In accordance with ASC Topic 740, during each reporting period, we assess the likelihood that our deferred tax assets will be realized and determine if adjustments to our valuation allowance are appropriate. As a result of this assessment, as of September 30, 2016, our consolidated valuation allowance was \$512.1 million. Increase or decreases in our of valuation allowances has had and could have a significant negative or positive impact on our current and future earnings. In Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014, we recorded a net (benefit) expense, respectively, due to changes in valuation allowances of \$(42.3) million, \$190.8 million and \$(31.8) million, respectively. Additionally, our ability to use NOLs and other tax carryforwards could also be adversely affected if the respective companies were deemed to have an

"ownership change" within the meaning of Sections 382 and 383 of the Code. An ownership change is generally defined as a greater than 50% increase in equity ownership by "5% shareholders" (as that term is defined for purposes of Sections 382 and 383 of the Code) in any three-year period. We experienced ownership changes in 2013 and in the years prior, which have limited the utilization of a portion of their NOL carryforwards and other carryforward tax attributes.

We prepare and file tax returns based on our interpretation of tax laws and regulations. Our tax returns are subject to examination by various taxing authorities and may result in future tax and interest assessments. For financial reporting purposes, we apply the accounting guidance for uncertain tax positions under ASC Topic 740 which prescribes a minimum recognition threshold that a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. A reserve for uncertain tax positions is established for those positions that are determined to not be more likely than not of being sustained upon examination based on their technical merits. Our unrecognized tax benefits totaled \$47.9 million and \$16.1 million as of September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. See further discussion in Note 19, Income Taxes, to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Loss Contingencies

Loss contingencies are recorded as liabilities when it is probable that a loss has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. The outcome of existing litigation, the impact of environmental matters and pending or potential examinations by various taxing or regulatory authorities are examples of situations evaluated as loss contingencies. Estimating the probability and magnitude of losses is often dependent upon management's judgment of potential actions by third parties and regulators. It is possible that changes in estimates or an increased probability of an unfavorable outcome could materially affect our business, financial condition or results of operations. The establishment of litigation, regulatory and environmental reserves requires judgments concerning the ultimate outcome of pending claims against us and our subsidiaries. In applying judgment, management utilizes opinions and estimates obtained from outside legal counsel to apply the appropriate accounting for contingencies. Accordingly, estimated amounts relating to certain claims have met the criteria for the recognition of a liability. Other claims for which a liability has not been recognized are reviewed on an ongoing basis in accordance with accounting guidance. A liability is recognized for all associated legal costs as incurred. Liabilities for litigation settlements, regulatory matters, environmental settlements, legal fees and changes in these estimated amounts may have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

If the actual cost of settling these matters, whether resulting from adverse judgments or otherwise, differs from the reserves totaling \$8.2 million that we have accrued as of September 30, 2016, the difference will be reflected in our results of operations when the matter is resolved or when our estimate of the cost changes. See further discussion in Note 22, Commitments and Contingencies, to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Goodwill, Intangible Assets and Other Long-Lived Assets

Our goodwill, intangible assets and tangible fixed assets are held at historical cost, net of depreciation and amortization, less any provision for impairment. Intangible and tangible assets with determinable lives are amortized or depreciated on a straight line basis over estimated useful lives.

On an annual basis, or more frequently if triggering events occur, we compare the estimated fair value of our reporting units to the carrying value to determine if potential goodwill impairment exists. If the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value, an impairment loss, if any, is recorded for the difference between the implied fair value of the reporting unit goodwill and its carrying value. The estimated fair value represents the amount at which a reporting unit could be bought or sold in a current transaction between willing parties on an arms-length basis. In estimating the fair value of the reporting unit, we use a discounted cash flows methodology, which requires us to estimate future revenues, expenses, and capital expenditures and make assumptions about our weighted average cost of capital, and perpetuity growth rate, among other variables. We tested the aggregate estimated fair value of our consumer products reporting units for reasonableness by comparison to Spectrum Brands' total market capitalization, which includes both its equity and debt securities.

In addition to goodwill, we have indefinite-lived intangible assets that consist of acquired tradenames. On an annual basis, or more frequently if triggering events occur, we compare the estimated fair value of the identified trade names to the carrying value to determine if potential impairment exists. If the fair value is less than its carrying value, an

impairment loss is recorded for the excess. The fair value of indefinite-lived intangible assets is determined using an income approach, the relief from royalty methodology, which requires us to make estimates and assumptions about future revenues, royalty rates, and the discount rate, among others.

We also review other definite-lived intangible assets and tangible fixed assets for impairment when events or changes in business circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be fully recoverable. Circumstances such as the discontinuation of a product or product line, a sudden or consistent decline in the sales forecast for a product, changes in technology or in the way an asset is being used, a history of operating or cash flow losses or an adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate, among others, may trigger an impairment review. If such indicators are present, the Company performs undiscounted cash flow analyses to determine if impairment exists. The asset value would be deemed impaired if the undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the asset did not exceed the carrying value of the asset. If impairment is determined to exist, any related impairment loss is calculated based on fair value.

Table of Contents

A considerable amount of judgment and assumptions is required in performing the impairment tests, principally in determining the fair value of each reporting unit and assets subject to impairment testing. While we believe that our judgments and assumptions are reasonable, different assumptions could change the estimated fair value and therefore, additional impairment changes could be required. The Company is subject to financial statement risk in the event that business or economic conditions unexpectedly decline and impairment is realized.

In Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014, we tested our goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets as required. As a result of this testing, there were no impairment charges in Fiscal 2014. See "Corporate and Other Segment" and "Consumer Products Segment" section below for impairments recorded in Fiscal 2016 and 2015.

See Note 2, Significant Accounting Policies and Practices and Recent Accounting Pronouncements, and Note 12, Goodwill and Intangibles, net, to our Consolidated Financial Statements for more information about our asset impairment determinations.

Restructuring and Related Charges

Restructuring charges include, but are not limited to, termination and related costs consisting primarily of one-time termination benefits such as severance costs and retention bonuses, and contract termination costs consisting primarily of lease termination costs. Related charges, as defined by us, include, but are not limited to, other costs directly associated with exit and relocation activities, including impairment of property and other assets, departmental costs of full-time incremental employees, and any other items related to the exit or relocation activities. Costs for such activities are estimated by us after evaluating detailed analyses of the costs to be incurred.

Liabilities from restructuring and related charges are recorded for estimated costs of facility closures, significant organizational adjustments and measures undertaken by us to exit certain activities. Costs for such activities are estimated by us after evaluating detailed analyses of the costs to be incurred. Such liabilities could include amounts for items such as severance costs and related benefits (including settlements of pension plans), impairment of property and equipment and other current or long term assets, lease termination payments and any other items directly related to the exit activities.

Restructuring and related charges associated with manufacturing and related initiatives are reported in cost of goods sold. Restructuring and related charges reflected in cost of goods sold include, but are not limited to, termination and related costs associated with manufacturing employees, asset impairments relating to manufacturing initiatives and other costs directly related to the restructuring initiatives implemented. Restructuring and related charges associated with administrative functions are reported in operating expenses, such as initiatives impacting sales, marketing, distribution or other non-manufacturing related functions. Restructuring and related charges reflected in operating expenses include, but are not limited to, termination and related costs, any asset impairments relating to the administrative functions and other costs directly related to the initiatives implemented.

While the actions are carried out as expeditiously as possible, restructuring and related charges are estimates. Changes in estimates resulting in an increase to or a reversal of a previously recorded liability may be required as we execute a restructuring plan. See Note 20, Restructuring and Related Charges to our Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of our restructuring initiatives and related costs.

Consumer Products Segment

Goodwill, Intangible Assets and Other Long-Lived Assets

Spectrum Brands has reporting units that are also consistent with Spectrum Brands' product lines: global batteries and appliances, hardware and home improvement, global pet supplies, home and garden, and global auto care. The fair value of global batteries and appliances, hardware and home improvement, global pet supplies, home and garden and global auto care reporting units exceeded their carrying value by 157%, 110%, 58%, 326% and 12%, respectively. During Fiscal 2016, Spectrum Brands recognized \$4.7 million impairment on indefinite life intangible assets due to the reduction in value over certain tradenames in response to changes in management's strategy.

There were no triggering events identified during the year that would require the need for an impairment test over Spectrum Brands' definite-lived assets.

See Note 2, Significant Accounting Policies and Practices and Recent Accounting Pronouncements to our Consolidated Financial Statements for a more complete discussion.

Insurance Segment

Front Street Cayman has entered into various reinsurance agreements on a funds withheld basis, meaning that funds are withheld by the ceding company, from the coinsurance premium owed to Front Street Cayman as collateral for Front Street Cayman's payment obligations. Accordingly, the collateral assets remain under the ultimate ownership for the ceding company. Front Street Cayman has entered into such reinsurance agreements with FGL ("Reinsurance Agreements with FGL"), as well as third parties ("Reinsurance Agreements with Third Parties"). For segment reporting purposes, at the inception date of the reinsurance transactions, Front Street elected to apply the fair value option to account for its funds withheld receivables, non-funds withheld assets and future policyholder benefits reserves related to its assumed reinsurance. For consolidated reporting, the results from

Front Street's assumed reinsurance business with FGL is reported on FGL's historical basis. Upon completion of the FGL Merger, the Company's consolidated results will reflect all reinsurance business on the fair value option. Valuation of Funds Withheld Receivables

The funds withheld receivable portfolio related to the Reinsurance Agreements with FGL consists of investments in debt and equity securities that are carried at fair value with unrealized gains and losses included in AOCI, net of associated intangibles "shadow adjustments" and deferred income taxes. Unrealized gains and losses represent the difference between the cost or amortized cost basis and the fair value of these investments. We measure the fair value of such securities based on assumptions used by market participants, which may include inherent risk and restrictions on the sale or use of an asset. The estimate of fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset in an orderly transaction between market participants ("exit price") in the principal market, or the most advantageous market in the absence of a principal market, for that asset or liability. We utilize independent pricing services in estimating the fair values of such securities. The independent pricing services incorporate a variety of observable market data in their valuation techniques, including: reported trading prices, benchmark yields, broker-dealer quotes, benchmark securities, bids and offers, credit ratings, relative credit information and other reference data.

Front Street elected to apply the fair value option to account for its funds withheld receivables related to its assumed reinsurance with third parties. Front Street measures fair value of the funds withheld receivables based on the fair values of the securities in the underlying funds withheld portfolio held by the cedant. Policy loans included in the funds withheld receivables with third parties are measured at fair value, which approximates amortized cost. We categorize the securities in Front Street's funds withheld receivables portfolio into a three-level hierarchy based on the priority of the inputs to the valuation technique. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3). If the inputs used to measure fair value fall within different levels of the hierarchy, the category level is based on the lowest priority level input that is significant to the fair value measurement of the instrument.

The following table presents the fair value of the funds withheld assets, by pricing source and hierarchy level as of September 30, 2016 (in millions):

	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total
Fixed maturity securities, equity securities and call options:				
Priced via third party pricing services	\$ 69.9	\$1,398.4	\$ —	\$1,468.3
Priced via independent broker quotations	_		35.7	35.7
Priced via other methods	_		40.6	40.6
Total	69.9	1,398.4	76.3	1,544.6
Loan participations:				
Priced via other methods	_	_	1.8	1.8
Total	\$ 69.9	\$1,398.4	\$ 78.1	\$1,546.4
% of total	4.5 %	90.4 %	5.1 %	100.0 %

Front Street's assessment of all available data when determining fair value of the securities in the funds withheld receivables portfolio is necessary to appropriately apply fair value accounting. The independent pricing services also take into account perceived market movements and sector news, as well as a security's terms and conditions, including any features specific to that issue that may influence risk and marketability. Depending on the security, the priority of the use of observable market inputs may change as some observable market inputs may not be relevant or additional inputs may be necessary. Front Street generally obtains one value from its asset managers that utilize independent pricing services. In situations where a price is not available from the independent pricing service, Front Street's asset managers may obtain broker quotes or prices from additional parties recognized to be market participants. Front Street believes the broker quotes are prices at which trades could be executed based on historical trades executed at broker-quoted or slightly higher prices. When quoted prices in active markets are not available, the determination of

estimated fair value is based on market standard valuation methodologies, including discounted cash flows, matrix pricing, or other similar techniques. See Note 7, Fair Value of Financial Instruments, for further discussion. Front Street validates external valuations (i) at least quarterly through a combination of procedures that include the evaluation of methodologies used by the pricing services, analytical reviews and performance analysis of the prices against trends, and maintenance of a securities watch list; and (ii) at least annually, through comparisons to valuations from other independent pricing services.

Front Street's assumed FIA contracts permit the holder to elect to receive a credit based on an interest rate or the performance of a market index. Certain portions of Front Street's exposure to equity market risk is hedged assuming derivative transactions consisting of call options that hedge Front Street's share of the FIA index credit. Front Street is exposed to credit loss in the

event of non-performance by its counterparties on the call options. Front Street seeks to reduce the risk associated with such agreements by purchasing such options from large, well-established financial institutions, but there can be no assurance that Front Street will not suffer losses in the event of counterparty non-performance. No collateral has been posted with Front Street by its counterparties; accordingly, the maximum amount of loss due to credit risk that Front Street would incur if parties to the call options failed completely to perform according to the terms of the contracts at September 30, 2016 was \$5.9 million.

All of Front Street's derivative instruments are recognized as either assets or liabilities at fair value in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. The change in fair value of our derivative assets is recognized in "Net investment gains (losses)" in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Certain FIA products contain an embedded derivative, a feature that permits the holder to elect an interest rate return or an equity-index linked component, where interest credited to the contract is linked to the performance of various equity indices. The FIA embedded derivative is valued at fair value and included in the liability for contractholder funds in the Consolidated Balance Sheets with changes in fair value included as a component of "Benefits and other changes in policy reserves" in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The fair value of derivative assets and liabilities is based upon valuation pricing models and represents what Front Street would expect to receive or pay at the balance sheet date if the ceding company canceled the options, entered into offsetting positions, or exercised the options. Credit risk related to the counterparty is considered when estimating the fair values of these derivatives. The fair values of the embedded derivatives in Front Street's assumed FIA contracts are derived using market value of options, swap rates, mortality rates, surrender rates and non-performance spread and are classified as Level 3. The discount rate used to determine the fair value of Front Street's assumed FIA embedded derivative liabilities includes an adjustment to reflect the risk that these obligations will not be fulfilled ("non-performance risk"). For Fiscal 2016, the nonperformance risk adjustment was based on the expected loss due to default in debt obligations for similarly rated financial companies.

See Note 13, Other Assets, Note 5, Derivative Financial Instruments, Note 7, Fair Value of Financial Instruments and Note 2, Significant Accounting Policies and Practices and Recent Accounting Pronouncements to our Consolidated Financial Statements for a more complete discussion.

Evaluation of OTTI

Front Street's available for sale securities included in the funds withheld portfolio related to the reinsurance agreements with FGL with unrealized losses are reviewed for potential other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI"). In evaluating whether a decline in value is other-than-temporary, Front Street considers several factors including, but not limited to, the following: (1) the extent and the duration of the decline; (2) the reasons for the decline in value (credit event, currency or interest-rate related, including general credit spread widening); and (3) the financial condition of and near-term prospects of the issuer. Front Street also considers the ability and intent to hold the investment for a period of time to allow for a recovery of value.

When assessing the Company's intent to sell a debt security or if it is more likely than not the Company will be required to sell a debt security before recovery of its cost basis, the Company evaluates facts and circumstances such as, but not limited to, decisions to reposition the Company's security portfolio, sale of securities to meet cash flow needs and sales of securities to capitalize on favorable pricing and tax planning strategies. In order to determine the amount of the credit loss for a security, the Company calculates the recovery value by performing a discounted cash flow analysis based on the current cash flows and future cash flows the Company expects to recover. The discount rate is the effective interest rate implicit in the underlying security. The effective interest rate is the original purchased yield or the yield at the date the debt security was previously impaired.

The Company analyzes its ability to recover the amortized cost by comparing the net present value of cash flows expected to be collected with the amortized cost of the security. For mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities, cash flow estimates consider the payment terms of the underlying assets backing a particular security, including interest rate and prepayment assumptions, based on data from widely accepted third-party data sources or internal estimates. In addition to interest rate and prepayment assumptions, cash flow estimates also include other assumptions regarding the underlying collateral including default rates and recoveries, which vary based on the asset type and geographic location, as well as the vintage year of the security. For structured securities, the payment priority within

the tranche structure is also considered. For all other debt securities, cash flow estimates are driven by assumptions regarding probability of default and estimates regarding timing and amount of recoveries associated with a default. If the net present value is less than the amortized cost of the investment, an OTTI is recognized.

The Company includes the total OTTI recognized in "Net investment gains (losses)" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations, with an offset for the amount of non-credit impairments recognized in AOCI. The Company discloses the amount of OTTI recognized in AOCI and other disclosures related to OTTI in Note 2, Significant Accounting Policies and Practices and Recent Accounting Pronouncements, Note 8, Funds Withheld Receivables, and the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) to our Consolidated Financial Statements for a more complete discussion.

Insurance Reserves

Reinsurance Agreements with FGL

For consolidated reporting, the results from Front Street's assumed reinsurance business with FGL is reported on FGL's historical basis. Upon completion of the FGL Merger, the Company's consolidated results will reflect all reinsurance business on the fair value option.

The determination of future policy benefit reserves is dependent on actuarial assumptions. The principal assumptions used to establish liabilities for future policy benefits are based on FGL's experience. These assumptions are established at issue of the contract and include mortality, morbidity, contract full and partial surrenders, investment returns, annuitization rates and expenses. The assumptions used require considerable judgment. FGL reviews overall policyholder experience at least annually and updates these assumptions when deemed necessary based on additional information that becomes available. For traditional life and immediate annuity products, assumptions used in the reserve calculation can only be changed if the reserve is deemed to be insufficient. For all other insurance products, changes in assumptions will be used to calculate reserves. These changes in assumptions will also incorporate changes in risk free rates and option market values. Changes in, or deviations from, the assumptions previously used can significantly affect the Company's reserve levels and related results of operations.

Mortality is the incidence of death amongst policyholders triggering the payment of underlying insurance coverage by the insurer. In addition, mortality also refers to the ceasing of payments on life-contingent annuities due to the death of the annuitant. FGL utilizes a combination of actual and industry experience when setting its mortality assumptions. A surrender rate is the percentage of account value surrendered by the policyholder. A lapse rate is the percentage of account value canceled by FGL due to nonpayment of premiums. FGL makes estimates of expected full and partial surrenders of its fixed annuity products. FGL's surrender rate experience in Fiscal 2016 on the fixed annuity products averaged 5.2% which is within FGL's assumed ranges. FGL's best estimate of surrender behavior incorporates actual experience over the entire period, as FGL believes that, over the duration of the policies, FGL will experience the full range of policyholder behavior and market conditions. If actual surrender rates are significantly different from those assumed, such differences could have a significant effect on the Company's reserve levels and related results of operations.

The assumptions used to establish the liabilities for the Company's product guarantees require considerable judgement and are established as management's best estimate of future outcomes. FGL periodically reviews these assumptions and, if necessary, updates them based on additional information that becomes available. Changes in or deviations from the assumptions used can significantly affect FGL's reserve levels and related results of operations.

At issue, and at each subsequent valuation, FGL determines the present value of the cost of the guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefit ("GMWB") rider benefits in excess of benefits that are funded by the account value. FGL also calculates the expected value of the future rider charges for providing for these benefits. FGL accumulates a reserve equal to the portion of these fees that would be required to fund the future benefits less benefits paid to date. In making these projections, a number of assumptions are made and FGL updates these assumptions as experience emerges when required. FGL has minimal experience to date on policyholder behavior for its GMWB products which FGL began issuing in 2008, as a result, future experience could lead to significant changes in our assumptions. If emerging experience deviates from FGL's assumptions on GMWB utilizations, such deviations could have a significant effect on its reserve levels and related results of operations.

Reinsurance Agreements with Third Parties

Front Street elected to apply the fair value option to account for its future policyholder benefits reserve related to its assumed reinsurance with third parties. Front Street uses a discounted cash flows approach to measure the fair value of the future policyholder benefits reserve. The cash flows associated with future policy premiums and benefits are generated using best estimate assumptions (plus a risk margin, where applicable) and are consistent with market prices, where available. Risk margins are typically applied to non-observable, non-hedgeable market inputs such as mortality, morbidity, lapse, discount rate for non-performance risk, discount rate for risk margin, surrenders, etc. Mortality relates to the occurrence of death. Mortality assumptions are based upon the experience of the cedant as well as past and emerging industry experience, when available. Morbidity relates to the occurrence of a claim status and is a key assumption for the long term care business. Morbidity assumptions are based upon the experience of the cedant

as well as past and emerging industry experience, when available. Mortality and morbidity assumptions may be different by sex, underwriting class and policy type. Assumptions are also made for future mortality and morbidity improvements.

Front Street determines the discount rate based on the market yields on the underlying assets backing the liabilities plus a risk margin to reflect uncertainty and adjusts the discount rate to reflect the credit risk of Front Street. Policies are terminated through surrenders and maturities, where surrenders represent the voluntary terminations of policies by policyholders and maturities are determined by policy contract terms. Surrender assumptions are based upon cedant experience adjusted for expected future conditions. As of December 31, 2015, Front Street began discounting the liability cash flows by using the market yields on the underlying assets backing the liabilities plus a risk margin to reflect uncertainty and adjusts the discount rate to reflect the credit risk of Front Street. In prior periods, the discount rate was based on risk free rates plus non-performance spreads plus a risk

margin and a factor to reflect own credit risk. The change in discount rate methodology reduced the fair value of the Front Street future policyholder benefit liability by \$7.0 at December 31, 2015.

The Insurance segment's aggregate reserves for contractholder funds, future policy benefits and product guarantees as of September 30, 2016 are summarized as follows (in millions):

Assumed Reinsurance

Fixed indexed annuities \$ 812.2
Fixed rate annuities 381.3
Long term care 271.5
Immediate annuities 209.8
Life insurance 76.7
Total \$ 1,751.5

See Note 2, Significant Accounting Policies and Practices and Recent Accounting Pronouncements to our Consolidated Financial Statements for a more complete discussion.

Corporate and Other Segment

Allowance for Credit Losses on Asset Based Loans

Salus monitors credit quality as indicated by various factors and utilizes such information in its evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for credit losses. As of September 30, 2016 and 2015, loans with a net carrying value of \$30.1 million and \$79.8 million, respectively, were considered delinquent by Salus and placed on non-accrual status. It is Salus' policy to discontinue accruing interest on its loans when there is a reasonable doubt as to collectability in the normal course of business. Nonaccrual loans are considered impaired for reporting purposes and are individually evaluated for impairment.

Salus has assessed the adequacy of its allowance for credit losses and believes the level of allowance for credit losses to be adequate to mitigate inherent losses in the portfolio. During Fiscal 2014, there were no outstanding loans that had been individually considered impaired.

During Fiscal 2015, the bankruptcy court overseeing the Chapter 11 proceedings of RadioShack approved the sale of 1,743 of the company's stores to General Wireless Inc., an affiliate of Standard General LP. Salus was the lender under RadioShack's \$250.0 million term loan placed in December 2013 with a net exposure to Salus and Front Street of \$93.0 million and \$7.0 million, respectively, after giving effect to a \$50.0 million participation by FGL and a non-qualifying participation of \$100.0 million held by a third party. During Fiscal 2015, the \$100.0 million held by a third party was repaid in full because this third party had the right of first payment in the case of a bankruptcy under an intercreditor agreement with Salus. During Fiscal 2015, the Company recognized charge-offs of \$51.1 million, excluding any charge-offs related to FGL's participations which are included in "(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations; and an additional net increase in the provision of credit losses of \$19.2 million related to the loan with RadioShack.

During Fiscal 2016, Salus and Front Street received a partial repayment on the loan to RadioShack of \$45.4 million, excluding \$22.7 million repayment on FGL's participation on the loan. At September 30, 2016, the Company expects an additional \$3.0 million of future recoveries of the loan to RadioShack, excluding \$1.5 million related to FGL's participation on the loan that is included in "Assets of business held for sale" in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. As a result of the higher than expected recovery rates during Fiscal 2016, the Company reversed \$18.0 million of previously recorded allowance for credit losses, excluding \$9.0 million of realized gains by FGL recorded in "(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. During Fiscal 2016, Salus recorded provision of credit losses of \$30.8 related to delinquent loans unrelated to RadioShack where the underlying collateral was underperforming.

Goodwill, Intangible Assets

During the third quarter of Fiscal 2016, the Company determined that sufficient indicators of potential impairment existed to require an interim goodwill impairment analysis for the CorAmerica reporting unit. The Company estimated the fair value of the CorAmerica reporting unit using the income approach. Under the income approach, the Company calculated the fair value of the CorAmerica reporting unit based on the present value of estimated future cash flows.

The Company's estimate of discounted cash flows for each reporting unit required significant judgment. Cash flow projections were based on management's estimates of revenue and operating margins, taking into consideration existing agreements, industry and market conditions. The discount rate used was based on the weighted-average cost of capital adjusted for the relevant risk associated with business-specific characteristics and the uncertainty related to CorAmerica's ability to execute on the projected cash flows. The evaluation was management's best estimate of projected fair values. Management's estimate of implied fair value of goodwill was zero and, consequently, resulted in a goodwill impairment charge of \$10.7 million. The goodwill impairment charge was reflected in "Impairments and bad debt expense" on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Effective April 19, 2015, FOHG, FOH and their subsidiaries filed for bankruptcy. Following the completion of the bankruptcy of FOHG, FOH and their subsidiaries, such entities ceased to be subsidiaries of HRG.

During the first fiscal quarter of 2015, the Company concluded that an interim impairment test of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets for its FOH reporting unit was necessary. This conclusion was based on certain indicators of impairment, primarily related to the 2014 CEO Departure and the change in the strategic direction of FOH following such departure. The revised plan changed the focus from expansion to rationalization of the existing business and was expected to result in lower revenues and profitability with a reduced level of capital expenditure by us as compared to the level of capital expenditure contemplated under prior management at the time of FOH's acquisition in May of 2014. During Fiscal 2016, we recorded an impairment charge of \$10.7 million, related to goodwill of \$10.7 million and indefinite lived intangible assets of \$4.7 million.

We estimated the fair value of the FOH reporting unit and indefinite-lived intangible assets on a combination of the income and market multiple approaches. Generally, we place a greater significance on the income approach. The discount rate used in our impairment tests ranged from 16.0% to 18.0%; the near-term growth rates ranged from 6.7% to 15.6%; the perpetual growth rate 3.0%; the royalty rate was 2.5%; and a tax rate of approximately 38.0%. The financial forecast utilized for purposes of the impairment analysis was an estimate of reasonable expected-case financial results that a market participant would expect the FOH to generate in the future. While the Company believes the assumptions used in the interim and annual impairment analyses are reasonable, our analysis is sensitive to adverse changes in the assumptions used in the valuations. In particular, changes in the projected cash flows, the discount rate, the terminal year growth rate, royalty rate and market multiple assumptions could produce significantly different results for the impairment analyses.

The measurement of the fair value of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets was based on a combination of income and market-multiple approaches. These evaluations utilized the best information available in the circumstances, including reasonable and supportable assumptions and projections. Certain key assumptions utilized, including changes in revenue, operating expenses, working capital requirements, and capital expenditures, are based on estimates related to strategic initiatives in place and current market conditions. The discounted cash flow analyses used a discount rate that corresponds to the weighted-average cost of capital for the industry and consideration of the current financial condition of FOH. The discount rate assumed was consistent with that used for investment decisions and takes into account the specific and detailed operating plans and strategies of the individual business operations. The market data utilized included publicly-traded prices and transaction values of comparable companies with operations considered to be similar to those of the Company's individual businesses. Collectively, these evaluations were management's best estimate of projected future cash flows and market values.

Valuation of Embedded Derivative

Prior to exercising its option to convert substantially all of its outstanding preferred stock on May 15, 2014, the Company's two series of outstanding preferred stock each contained a conversion feature (see Note 1, Basis of Presentation and Nature of Operations, to our Consolidated Financial Statements). If the Company were to have issued certain equity securities at a price lower than the conversion price of the respective preferred stock, the conversion price would have been adjusted downward to reflect the dilutive effect of the newly issued securities (a "down round" provision). In accordance with the guidance in ASC Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging, the conversion feature was considered to be an embedded derivative that must be separately accounted for as a liability at fair value with any changes in fair value reported in current earnings. The embedded derivative was bifurcated from the host contracts as of the respective issuance dates, marked to fair value with the change in fair value shown separately in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk Market Risk Factors

Market risk is the risk of the loss of fair value resulting from adverse changes in market rates and prices, such as interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices and equity prices. Market risk is directly influenced by the volatility and liquidity in the markets in which the related underlying financial instruments are traded.

Through Spectrum Brands, we have market risk exposure from changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, and commodity prices. Spectrum Brands uses derivative financial instruments to mitigate the risk from such exposures, when appropriate. Through Front Street, we have market risk exposure to credit risk due to non-performance of counterparties and changes in interest rates and equity prices. Through Salus, we are exposed to credit risk due to non-performance of the asset-based loans originated, and to foreign currency risk on foreign currency-denominated loans. While our subsidiaries or we may enter into derivative contracts to attempt to manage a portion of an underlying market risk, our subsidiaries or we may not be successful managing the intended risk and/or our subsidiaries or we may reduce or eliminate such arrangements at any time.

Interest Rate Risk

Spectrum Brands

A portion of Spectrum Brands' debt bears interest at variable rates. If market interest rates increase, the interest rate on Spectrum Brands' variable rate debt will increase and will create higher debt service requirements, which would adversely affect Spectrum

Brands' cash flow and could adversely impact its results of operations. Spectrum Brands also has bank lines of credit at variable interest rates. The general levels of United States, Canadian and European Union interest rates, London Interbank Offered rate, Canadian Dollar Offered rate and Euro Interbank Offered Rate affect interest expense. Spectrum Brands periodically uses interest rate swaps to manage such risk. The net amounts to be paid or received under interest rate swap agreements are accrued as interest rates change, and are recognized over the life of the swap agreements as an adjustment to interest expense from the underlying debt to which the swap is designated. The related amounts payable to, or receivable from, the contract counterparties are included in accrued liabilities or accounts receivable.

Front Street

Interest rate risk is Front Street's primary market risk exposure. Front Street defines interest rate risk as the risk of an economic loss due to adverse changes in interest rates. This risk arises from Front Street's holdings in interest sensitive assets and liabilities, primarily as a result of assuming life insurance premiums and fixed annuity deposits received in interest-sensitive assets and carrying these funds as interest-sensitive liabilities. Substantial and sustained increases or decreases in market interest rates can affect the profitability of the insurance products and fair value of Front Street's funds withheld receivables, as the majority of Front Street's insurance liabilities are backed by fixed maturity securities.

The profitability of most of Front Street's products depends on the spreads between interest yield on investments and rates credited on insurance liabilities. Front Street's cedants have the ability to adjust the rates credited, primarily caps and credit rates, on the majority of the annuity liabilities at least annually, subject to minimum guaranteed values. In addition, the majority of the annuity products have surrender and withdrawal penalty provisions designed to encourage persistency and to help ensure targeted spreads are earned. However, competitive factors, including the impact of the level of surrenders and withdrawals, may limit the ability to adjust or maintain crediting rates at levels necessary to avoid narrowing of spreads under certain market conditions.

In order to meet its policy and contractual obligations, Front Street must earn a sufficient return on its invested assets. Significant changes in interest rates expose Front Street to the risk of not earning the anticipated spreads between the interest rate earned on its investments and the credited interest rates paid on outstanding policies and contracts. Both rising and declining interest rates can negatively affect interest earnings, spread income, and the attractiveness of certain products.

During periods of increasing interest rates, Front Street's cedants may offer higher crediting rates on interest-sensitive products, such as indexed universal life insurance and fixed annuities, and may increase crediting rates on in-force products to keep these products competitive. A rise in interest rates, in the absence of other countervailing changes, will result in a decline in the market value of Front Street's funds withheld portfolio.

As part of Front Street's asset/liability management program, significant effort has been made to identify the assets appropriate to different product lines and ensure investing strategies match the profile of these liabilities. Front Street's asset/liability management program is designed to align the expected cash flows from the investment portfolio with the expected liability cash flows. As such, a major component of managing interest rate risk has been to structure the investment portfolio with cash flow characteristics consistent with the cash flow characteristics of the insurance liabilities. Front Street uses actuarial models to simulate cash flows expected from the existing business under various interest rate scenarios. Front Street uses these simulations to measure the potential gain or loss in the fair value of interest rate-sensitive financial instruments, to evaluate the adequacy of expected cash flows from assets to meet the expected cash requirements of the liabilities and to determine if it is necessary to lengthen or shorten the average life and duration of its investment portfolio. Duration measures the price sensitivity of a security to a small change. When the durations of assets and liabilities are similar, exposure to interest rate risk is minimized because a change in the value of assets could be expected to be largely offset by a change in the value of liabilities.

Equity Price Risk

Front Street

Front Street is primarily exposed to equity price risk through certain insurance products, specifically those products with guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefits reinsured from FGL and third party reinsurers. FGL has offered a variety of FIA contracts with crediting strategies linked to the performance of indices, such as the S&P 500 index,

Dow Jones Industrials or the National Association of Securities Dealers Automated Quotation ("NASDAQ") 100 index that are assumed by Front Street. The estimated cost of providing guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefits incorporates various assumptions about the overall performance of equity markets over certain time periods. Periods of significant and sustained downturns in equity markets, increased equity volatility, or reduced interest rates could result in an increase in the valuation of the future policy benefit or policyholder account balance liabilities associated with such products, resulting in a reduction in Front Street's net income.

Table of Contents

Certain portions of Front Street's exposure to equity market risk is hedged assuming derivative transactions consisting of call options that hedge Front Street's share of the FIA index credit. See discussion of FGL's Equity Price Risk and Front Street's Credit Risk below.

Foreign Exchange Risk

Spectrum Brands

Spectrum Brands is subject to risk from sales and loans to and from its subsidiaries as well as sales to, purchases from and bank lines of credit with, third-party customers, suppliers and creditors, respectively, denominated in foreign currencies. Foreign currency sales and purchases are made primarily in Euro, Pounds Sterling, Mexican Pesos, Canadian Dollars, Australian Dollars and Brazilian Reals. Spectrum Brands manages its foreign exchange exposure from such sales, accounts receivable, intercompany loans, firm purchase commitments, accounts payable and credit obligations through the use of naturally occurring offsetting positions (borrowing in local currency), forward foreign exchange contracts, foreign exchange rate swaps and foreign exchange options. The related amounts payable to, or receivable from, the counter-parties are included in accounts payable or accounts receivable.

At September 30, 2016, Spectrum Brands had \$647.1 million equivalent of debt denominated in foreign currencies. Other than Spectrum Brands Euro-denominated term loan, Canadian-denominated term loan and Euro-denominated 4.00% Notes in the equivalent of \$594.9 million recorded in a U.S. Dollar functional entity, the remaining debt is recorded in countries with the same functional currency as the debt. The foreign currency exposure from the Euro-denominated and Canadian Dollar-denominated term loans are substantially offset by Euro-denominated and Canadian Dollar-denominated intercompany loan receivables recorded in a U.S. Dollar function entity and the 4.00% Notes are held as a net investment hedge of the translation of the Company's net investment in Euro-denominated subsidiaries.

Salus

Salus is subject to foreign exchange risks on asset-based loans originated in foreign currencies where Salus has retained the foreign currency risk. Foreign currency loans are made primarily in Canadian Dollars. As of September 30, 2016, Salus had \$2.3 million of Canadian Dollar loans, excluding Canadian Dollar loan participations held by FGL of \$16.7 million.

Commodity Price Risk

Spectrum Brands

Spectrum Brands is exposed to fluctuations in market prices for purchases of zinc and brass used in their manufacturing processes. Spectrum Brands uses commodity swaps and calls to manage such risk. The maturity of, and the quantities covered by, the contracts are closely correlated to the anticipated purchases of the commodities. The cost of calls is amortized over the life of the contracts and recorded in cost of goods sold, along with the effects of the swap and call contracts. The related amounts payable to, or receivable from, the counter-parties are included in accounts payable or accounts receivable.

Credit Risk

Front Street

Through Front Street, the Company is exposed to insurance counterparty risk, which is the potential for Front Street to incur losses due to a client, retrocessionaire, or partner becoming distressed or insolvent. This includes run-on-the-bank risk and collection risk. The run-on-the-bank risk is that a client's in force block incurs substantial surrenders and/or lapses due to credit impairment, reputation damage or other market changes affecting the counterparty. Substantially higher than expected surrenders and/or lapses could result in inadequate in force business to recover cash paid out for acquisition costs. The collection risk for clients and retrocessionaires includes their inability to satisfy a reinsurance agreement because the right of offset is disallowed by the receivership court; the reinsurance contract is rejected by the receiver, resulting in a premature termination of the contract; and/or the security supporting the transaction becomes unavailable to Front Street. Front Street has never experienced a material default in connection with retrocession arrangements, nor has it experienced any material difficulty in collecting claims recoverable from retrocessionaires; however, no assurance can be given as to the future performance of such retrocessionaires or as to the recoverability of any such claims.

Front Street is exposed to the risk that a counterparty will default on its contractual obligation resulting in financial loss. The major source of credit risk arises predominantly in Front Street's funds withheld receivables portfolio that consists primarily of debt and equity securities. The carrying value of Front Street's funds withheld receivables portfolio totaled \$1.7 billion at both September 30, 2016 and 2015. Front Street's credit risk materializes primarily as impairment losses. Front Street is exposed to occasional cyclical economic downturns, during which impairment losses may be significantly higher than the long-term historical average. This is offset by years where Front Street expects the actual impairment losses to be substantially lower than the long-term average. Credit risk in the portfolio can also materialize as increased capital requirements as assets migrate into lower credit qualities over time. The effect of rating migration on Front Street's capital requirements is also dependent on the economic cycle and increased asset impairment levels may go hand in hand with increased asset related capital requirements.

Front Street assumes reinsurance business from counterparties that seek to manage the risk of default and rating migration by applying credit evaluation and underwriting standards and limiting allocations to lower quality, higher risk investments. In addition, Front Street's reinsurance counterparties diversify their exposure by issuer and country, using rating based issuer and country limits and set investment constraints that limit its exposure by industry segment. To limit the impact that credit risk can have on earnings and capital adequacy levels, Front Street has portfolio-level credit risk constraints in place. Limit compliance is monitored on a daily or, in some cases, monthly basis. In connection with the use of call options, Front Street is exposed to counterparty credit risk- the risk that a counterparty fails to perform under the terms of the derivative contract. Front Street seeks to reduce the risk associated with such agreements by purchasing such options from large, well-established financial institutions, but there can be no assurance that the Company will not suffer losses in the event of counterparty non-performance. No collateral was posted by Front Street's counterparties; accordingly, at September 30, 2016, the maximum amount of loss due to credit risk that Front Street would incur if parties to the call options failed completely to perform according to the terms of the contracts is \$5.9 million.

Asset-Based Loans

The Company's asset-based loan portfolio consists of loans originated by Salus and its co-lender Front Street. Salus and Front Street are exposed to the risk that some of its borrowers may be unable to repay their loans according to their contractual terms. This inability to repay could result in higher levels of nonperforming assets and credit losses, which could potentially reduce the Company' earnings.

The Company's asset-based loans are a financing tool where the loans are primarily based on the value of the borrowers' available collateral, which is typically accounts receivable, inventory or other such assets. This collateral is viewed as the primary source of repayment of the loans, while the borrowers' creditworthiness is viewed as a secondary source of repayment. The Company utilizes a loan structure and collateral monitoring process that focuses on the value of the available collateral, which is designed to reduce the risk of loss associated in delayed intervention and/or asset recovery.

The Company has developed a variety of processes to value and monitor the collateral related to its loans, and maintains its lien position in the collateral securing its loans. However, there can be no assurance that the Company will not suffer a partial or complete loss if its loans became non-performing for any reason. As of September 30, 2016, \$30.1 million of the Company's outstanding loans were classified as doubtful. Most of these loans were placed on nonaccrual status during the year and all were reserved to reflect the amounts the Company expected to recover through the normal recovery process, not giving effect to any ongoing litigation. The carrying value of all outstanding loans represented approximately 68.8% of the eligible collateral for the loans, without the impaired loan, it would have been 62.7%. See Note 13, Other Assets, to our Consolidated Financial Statements, for further details on the Company's asset-based loan portfolio.

Sensitivity Analysis

The analysis below is hypothetical and should not be considered a projection of future risks. Earnings projections are before tax and noncontrolling interest.

Interest Rate Risk

Spectrum Brands

At September 30, 2016, the potential change in fair value of Spectrum Brands' outstanding interest rate derivative instruments assuming a 100 basis points decline in interest rates would be a loss of \$0.2 million. The net impact on reported earnings, after also including the effect of the change on one year's underlying interest rate exposure on Spectrum Brands' variable rate Term Loan would be a net loss of \$0.2 million.

At September 30, 2016, Spectrum Brands had \$1,140.3 million, or 31%, of its total debt subject to variable interest rates, the majority related to its term loan of \$1,123.4 million subject to a 0.75% floor. After inclusion of a weighted average \$155.3 million of interest rate swaps, which expire in April 2017, that hedge a portion of the variable rate debt, \$985.0 million, or 27% of Spectrum Brands' debt is subject to variable rates. Assuming an increase to market rates of 1.0% as of September 30, 2016, Spectrum Brands would incur an increase to interest expense of \$9.4 million. Front Street

Front Street assesses interest rate exposures for financial assets, liabilities and derivatives using hypothetical test scenarios that assume either increasing or decreasing 100 basis point parallel shifts in the yield curve, reflecting changes in either credit spreads or risk-free rates. If interest rates were to increase one percentage point from levels at September 30, 2016, the estimated fair value of the fixed maturity securities related to the Reinsurance Agreements with FGL would decrease by approximately \$47.2 million and the estimated fair value of the fixed maturity securities related to the Reinsurance Agreements with Third Parties would decrease by approximately \$48.4 million. If interest rates were to decrease by 100 basis points from levels at September 30, 2016, the estimated impact on the FIA embedded derivative liability of such a decrease would be an increase of \$8.8 million.

The actuarial models used to estimate the impact of a 100 basis points change in market interest rates incorporate numerous assumptions, require significant estimates and assume an immediate and parallel change in interest rates without any management

of the investment portfolio in reaction to such change. Consequently, potential changes in value of financial instruments indicated by the simulations will likely be different from the actual changes experienced under given interest rate scenarios, and the differences may be material. Because Front Street actively manages its investments and liabilities, the net exposure to interest rates can vary over time. However, any such decreases in the fair value of fixed maturity securities (unless related to credit concerns of the issuer) would economically be realized only if Front Street was required to sell such securities at losses prior to their maturity to meet liquidity needs, which it manages using the surrender and withdrawal provisions of the annuity contracts and through other means.

Equity Price Risk

Front Street

Assuming all other factors are constant, we estimate that a decline in equity market prices of 10% would cause the market value of Front Street's equity investments included in the funds withheld portfolio to decline by approximately \$0.8 million and its FIA embedded derivative liability to decrease by \$1.1 million as of September 30, 2016.

Foreign Exchange Risk

Spectrum Brands

As of September 30, 2016, the potential change in fair value of outstanding foreign exchange derivative instruments of Spectrum Brands, assuming a 10% unfavorable change in the underlying exchange rates, would be a loss of \$35.7 million. The net impact on reported earnings, after also including the effect of the change in the underlying foreign currency-denominated exposures, would be a net gain of \$19.3 million.

Salus

As of September 30, 2016, the potential change in fair value of outstanding foreign currency denominated asset-based loans, assuming a 10% unfavorable change in the underlying exchange rates, would be a loss of \$0.2 million.

Commodity Price Risk

Spectrum Brands

As of September 30, 2016, the potential change in fair value of outstanding commodity price derivative instruments of Spectrum Brands, assuming a 10% decline in the underlying commodity prices, would be a loss of \$2.0 million. The net impact on reported earnings, after also including the reduction in cost of one year's purchases of the related commodities due to the same change in commodity prices, would be a gain of \$1.8 million.

Risks Related to FGL (Business Held for Sale)

For a discussion of the FGL Merger, see Part I, item 1A, Risk Factors - "The FGL Merger is subject to various closing conditions, including regulatory approvals, no assurance can be provided that such conditions will be satisfied and when, or if, the closing of the FGL Merger will occur."

Market Risk Factors

FGL has significant holdings in financial instruments and are naturally exposed to a variety of market risks. FGL is primarily exposed to interest rate risk and equity price risk and has some exposure to credit risk and counterparty risk, which affect the fair value of financial instruments subject to market risk.

Enterprise Risk Management

FGL has established a dedicated risk management function with responsibility for the formulation of its risk appetite, strategies, policies and limits. FGL attempts to align its risk appetite with how its businesses are managed and how it anticipates future regulatory developments.

FGL has implemented several limit structures to manage risk. Examples include, but are not limited to, the following: At-risk limits on sensitivities of regulatory capital to the capital markets provide the fundamental framework to manage capital markets risks including the risk of asset / liability mismatch;

Duration and convexity mismatch limits;

Credit risk concentration limits; and

Investment and derivative guidelines.

FGL manages its risk appetite based on two key risk metrics:

Regulatory Capital Sensitivities: the potential reduction, under a range of moderate to extreme capital markets stress scenarios, of the excess of available statutory capital above the minimum required under the NAIC regulatory Risk-Based Capital ("RBC") methodology; and

Earnings Sensitivities: the potential reduction in results of operations over a 30 year time horizon under the same moderate to extreme capital markets stress scenario. Maintaining a consistent level of earnings helps FGL to finance its operations, support capital requirements and provide funds to pay dividends to stockholders.

FGL is also subject to cash flow stress testing pursuant to regulatory requirements. This analysis measures the effect of changes in interest rate assumptions on asset and liability cash flows. The analysis includes the effects of:

The timing and amount of redemptions and prepayments in FGL's asset portfolio;

FGL's derivative portfolio;

Death benefits and other claims payable under the terms of FGL's insurance products;

Lapses and surrenders in FGL's insurance products;

Minimum interest guarantees in FGL's insurance products; and

Book value guarantees in FGL's insurance products.

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is FGL's primary market risk exposure. FGL defines interest rate risk as the risk of an economic loss due to adverse changes in interest rates. This risk arises from FGL's holdings in interest sensitive assets and liabilities, primarily as a result of investing life insurance premiums and fixed annuity deposits received in interest-sensitive assets and carrying these funds as interest-sensitive liabilities. Substantial and sustained increases or decreases in market interest rates can affect the profitability of the insurance products and fair value of FGL's investments, as the majority of FGL's insurance liabilities are backed by fixed maturity securities.

The profitability of most of FGL's products depends on the spreads between interest yield on investments and rates credited on insurance liabilities. FGL has the ability to adjust the rates credited, primarily caps and credit rates, on the majority of the annuity liabilities at least annually, subject to minimum guaranteed values. In addition, the majority of the annuity products have surrender and withdrawal penalty provisions designed to encourage persistency and to help ensure targeted spreads are earned. However, competitive factors, including the impact of the level of surrenders and withdrawals, may limit the ability to adjust or maintain crediting rates at the levels necessary to avoid a narrowing of spreads under certain market conditions.

In order to meet its policy and contractual obligations, FGL must earn a sufficient return on its invested assets. Significant changes in interest rates expose FGL to the risk of not earning the anticipated spreads between the interest rate earned on its investments and the credited interest rates paid on outstanding policies and contracts. Both rising and declining interest rates can negatively affect interest earnings, spread income, and the attractiveness of certain products.

During periods of increasing interest rates, FGL may offer higher crediting rates on interest-sensitive products, such as indexed universal life insurance and fixed annuities, and it may increase crediting rates on in-force products to keep these products competitive. A rise in interest rates, in the absence of other countervailing changes, will result in a decline in the market value of FGL's investment portfolio.

As part of FGL's asset/liability management program, significant effort has been made to identify the assets appropriate to different product lines and ensure investing strategies match the profile of these liabilities. FGL's asset/liability management program is designed to align the expected cash flows from the investment portfolio with the expected liability cash flows. As such, a major component of managing interest rate risk has been to structure the investment portfolio with cash flow characteristics consistent with the cash flow characteristics of the insurance liabilities. FGL uses actuarial models to simulate cash flows expected from the existing business under various interest rate scenarios. FGL uses these simulations to measure the potential gain or loss in the fair value of interest rate-sensitive financial instruments, to evaluate the adequacy of expected cash flows from assets to meet the expected cash requirements of the liabilities and to determine if it is necessary to lengthen or shorten the average life and duration of its investment portfolio. Duration measures the price sensitivity of a security to a small change in interest rates. When the durations of assets and liabilities are similar, exposure to interest rate risk is minimized because a change in the value of assets could be expected to be largely offset by a change in the value of liabilities.

The duration of the investment portfolio, excluding cash and cash equivalents, derivatives, policy loans, common stocks and the collateral assets of the funds withheld coinsurance agreement with Front Street as of September 30, 2016, is summarized as follows:

Duration	Amortized	% of				
Duration	Cost	Total				
0-4	\$7,956.3	41.2	%			
5-9	5,728.0	29.7	%			
10-14	4,468.0	23.1	%			
15-19	1,148.2	5.9	%			
20-25	7.9	0.1	%			
Total	\$19,308.4	100.0	%			

Credit Risk

FGL is exposed to the risk that a counterparty will default on its contractual obligation resulting in financial loss. The major source of credit risk arises predominantly in FGL's portfolios of debt and similar securities. The carrying value of FGL's fixed maturity AFS portfolio totaled \$19.4 billion and \$17.7 billion at September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. FGL's credit risk materializes primarily as impairment losses. FGL is exposed to occasional cyclical economic downturns, during which impairment losses may be significantly higher than the long-term historical average. This is offset by years where FGL expects the actual impairment losses to be substantially lower than the long-term average. Credit risk in the portfolio can also materialize as increased capital requirements as assets migrate into lower credit qualities over time. The effect of rating migration on FGL's capital requirements is also dependent on the economic cycle and increased asset impairment levels may go hand in hand with increased asset related capital requirements.

FGL seeks to manage the risk of default and rating migration by applying disciplined credit evaluation and underwriting standards and limiting allocations to lower quality, higher risk investments. In addition, FGL diversifies its exposure by issuer and country, using rating based issuer and country limits. FGL also sets investment constraints that limit its exposure by industry segment. To limit the impact that credit risk can have on earnings and capital adequacy levels, FGL has portfolio-level credit risk constraints in place. Limit compliance is monitored on a daily or, in some cases, monthly basis.

In connection with the use of call options, FGL is exposed to counterparty credit risk-the risk that a counterparty fails to perform under the terms of the derivative contract. FGL has adopted a policy of only dealing with credit worthy counterparties and obtaining sufficient collateral where appropriate, as a means of mitigating the financial loss from defaults. The exposure and credit rating of the counterparties are continuously monitored and the aggregate value of transactions concluded is spread amongst five different approved counterparties to limit the concentration in one counterparty. FGL's policy allows for the purchase of derivative instruments from counterparties and/or clearinghouses that meet the required qualifications under the Iowa Code. The internal credit department reviews the ratings of all the counterparties periodically. Collateral support documents are negotiated to further reduce the exposure when deemed necessary.

Information regarding FGL's exposure to credit loss on the call options it holds is presented in the following table:

		September 30, 2016				September 30, 2015				
Counterparty	Credit Rating (Fitch/Moody's/S&P) (a)	Notional Amount		Collatera	Net l Credit Risk	Notional Amount		Collatera	Net dCredit Risk	
Merrill Lynch	A/*/A	\$2,301.7	\$54.4	\$ 9.6	\$44.8	\$2,233.3	\$16.5	\$ —	\$ 16.5	
Deutsche Bank	*/Baa3/BBB+	1,619.8	46.2	12.6	33.6	2,481.4	26.0	_	26.0	
Morgan Stanley	*/A1/A	2,951.8	86.3	58.0	28.3	4,086.2	34.8	7.0	27.8	
Barclay's Bank	*/A2/A-	1,389.6	39.2	_	39.2	391.9	3.4	_	3.4	
Canadian Imperial Bank	AA-/A3/A+	1,623.5	49.1	47.6	1.5	_	_	_	_	
Total		\$9,886.4	\$275.2	\$ 127.8	\$147.4	\$9,192.8	\$80.7	\$ 7.0	\$73.7	

(a) An asterisk (*) represents credit ratings that were not available.

FGL also has credit risk related to the ability of reinsurance counterparties to honor their obligations to pay the contract amounts under various agreements. To minimize the risk of credit loss on such contracts, FGL diversifies its exposures among many reinsurers and limit the amount of exposure to each based on credit rating. FGL also generally limits its selection of counterparties with which FGL does new transactions to those with an "A-" credit rating or above or that are appropriately collateralized and provide credit for reinsurance. When exceptions are made to that principle, FGL ensures that it obtains collateral to mitigate its risk of loss.

The following table presents FGL's reinsurance recoverable balances and financial strength ratings for its four largest reinsurance recoverable balances as of September 30, 2016 (in millions):

Financial Strength Rating

Parent Company/Principal Reinsurers	Reinsurance	AM Best	C & D	Moody's	
Farent Company/Finicipal Reinsulers	Recoverable	AM Dest	Sar		
Wilton Reassurance	\$ 1,523.3	A	Not Rated	Not Rated	
Front Street Re	1,119.5	Not Rated	Not Rated	Not Rated	
Scottish Re	153.2	Not Rated	Not Rated	Not Rated	
Security Life of Denver	142.6	A	A	A2	
London Life	104.3	A	Not Rated	Not Rated	

In the normal course of business, certain reinsurance recoverables are subject to reviews by the reinsurers. FGL is not aware of any material disputes arising from these reviews or other communications with the counterparties, and, therefore, as of September 30, 2016, no allowance for uncollectible amounts was recorded. Equity Price Risk

FGL is primarily exposed to equity price risk through certain insurance products, specifically those products with guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefits. FGL offers a variety of FIA contracts with crediting strategies linked to the performance of indices, such as the S&P 500 index, Dow Jones Industrials or the National Association of Securities Dealers Automated Quotation ("NASDAQ") 100 index. The estimated cost of providing guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefits incorporates various assumptions about the overall performance of equity markets over certain time periods. Periods of significant and sustained downturns in equity markets, increased equity volatility, or reduced interest rates could result in an increase in the valuation of the future policy benefit or policyholder account balance liabilities associated with such products, resulting in a reduction in FGL's net income. The rate of amortization of intangibles related to FIA products and the cost of providing guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefits could also increase if equity market performance is worse than assumed.

To seek to economically hedge the equity returns on these products, FGL purchases derivatives to hedge the FIA equity exposure. The primary way FGL hedges FIA equity exposure is to purchase over the counter equity index call options from broker-dealer derivative counterparties approved by our internal credit department. The second way FGL hedges FIA equity exposure is by purchasing exchange traded equity index futures contracts. FGL's hedging strategy has enabled it to reduce its overall hedging costs and achieve a high correlation of returns on the call options purchased relative to the index credits earned by the FIA contractholders. The majority of the call options are one-year options purchased to match the funding requirements underlying the FIA contracts. These hedge programs are limited to the current policy term of the FIA contracts, based on current participation rates. Future returns, which may be reflected in FIA contracts' credited rates beyond the current policy term, are not hedged. FGL attempts to manage the costs of these purchases through the terms of its FIA contracts, which permit it to change caps or participation rates, subject to certain guaranteed minimums that must be maintained.

The derivatives are used to fund the FIA contract index credits and the cost of the call options purchased is treated as a component of spread earnings. While the FIA hedging program does not explicitly hedge U.S. GAAP income volatility, the FIA hedging program tends to mitigate a significant portion of the statutory and U.S. GAAP reserve changes associated with movements in the equity market and risk-free rates. This is due to the fact that a key component in the calculation of statutory and U.S. GAAP reserves is the market valuation of the current term embedded derivative. Due to the alignment of the embedded derivative reserve component with hedging of this same embedded derivative, there should be a reasonable match between changes in this component of the reserve and changes in the assets backing this component of the reserve. However, there may be an interim mismatch due to the fact that the hedges which are put in place are only intended to cover exposures expected to remain until the end of an indexing term. To the extent index credits earned by the contractholder exceed the proceeds from option expirations and futures income, FGL incurs a hedging loss.

Fair value changes associated with these investments are intended to, but do not always, substantially offset the increase or decrease in the amounts added to policyholder account balances for index products. When index credits to policyholders exceed call option proceeds received at expiration related to such credits, any shortfall is funded by

FGL's net investment spread earnings and futures income. For Fiscal 2016, the annual index credits to policyholders on their anniversaries were \$87.8 million. Proceeds received at expiration on call options related to such credits were \$80.0 million. This shortfall is funded by FGL's net investment spread earnings and futures income. FGL enters into hedging transactions with respect to market exposures periodically depending on market conditions

and FGL's risk tolerance. The FIA hedging strategy seeks to economically hedge the equity returns and exposes FGL to the risk that unhedged market exposures result in divergence between changes in the fair value of the liabilities and the hedging assets. FGL uses a variety of techniques including direct estimation of market sensitivities and value-at-risk to monitor this risk daily. FGL intends to continue to adjust the hedging strategy as market conditions and its risk tolerance change.

Sensitivity Analysis

Interest Rate Risk

FGL assesses interest rate exposures for financial assets, liabilities and derivatives using hypothetical test scenarios that assume either increasing or decreasing 100 basis point parallel shifts in the yield curve, reflecting changes in either credit spreads or risk-free rates. If interest rates were to increase 100 basis points from levels at September 30, 2016, the estimated fair value of FGL's fixed maturity securities would decrease by approximately \$1,285.0 million, of which \$47.2 million relates to the Front Street Cayman fixed income portfolio. The impact on stockholders' equity of such decrease (net of income taxes and intangibles adjustments) would be a decrease of \$547.2 million in accumulated other comprehensive income and a decrease of \$524.7 million in stockholders' equity. If interest rates were to decrease by 100 basis points from levels at September 30, 2016, the estimated impact on the FIA embedded derivative liability of such a decrease would be an increase of \$201.5 million.

The actuarial models used to estimate the impact of a 100 basis points change in market interest rates incorporate numerous assumptions, require significant estimates and assume an immediate and parallel change in interest rates without any management of the investment portfolio in reaction to such change. Consequently, potential changes in value of financial instruments indicated by these simulations will likely be different from the actual changes experienced under given interest rate scenarios, and the differences may be material. Because FGL actively manages its investments and liabilities, the net exposure to interest rates can vary over time. However, any such decreases in the fair value of fixed maturity securities (unless related to credit concerns of the issuer requiring recognition of an OTTI) would generally be realized only if FGL was required to sell such securities at losses prior to their maturity to meet liquidity needs, which it manages using the surrender and withdrawal provisions of the annuity contracts and through other means.

Equity Price Risk

Assuming all other factors are constant, FGL estimates that a decline in equity market prices of 10% would cause the market value of FGL's equity investments to decline by approximately \$68.3 million, its derivative investments to decrease by approximately \$8.5 million based on equity positions and its FIA embedded derivative liability to decrease by approximately \$26.7 million as of September 30, 2016. Because FGL's equity investments are classified as AFS, the 10% decline would not affect current earnings except to the extent that it reflects OTTI. These scenarios consider only the direct effect on fair value of declines in equity market levels and not changes in asset-based fees recognized as revenue, or changes in FGL's estimates of total gross profits used as a basis for amortizing deferred acquisition costs and value of business acquired.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firms, the Company's consolidated financial statements and notes to the Company's consolidated financial statements appear in a separate section of this Form 10-K (beginning on Page F-2 following Part IV). The index to the Company's consolidated financial statements appears on Page F-1.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

An evaluation was performed under the supervision and participation of the Company's management, including the Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")), as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, the Company's management, including the Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, concluded that, as of September 30, 2016, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and is

accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including the Company's Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Notwithstanding the foregoing, there can be no assurance that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures will detect or uncover all failures of persons within the Company to disclose material information otherwise required to be set forth in the Company's periodic reports. There are inherent limitations to the effectiveness of any system of disclosure controls and procedures, including the possibility of human error and the circumvention or overriding of the controls and procedures. Accordingly, even effective disclosure controls and procedures can only provide reasonable, not absolute, assurance of achieving their control objectives.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting

The Company's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for the Company, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that: (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the Company's assets; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of the financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures are being made only with proper authorizations; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. These inherent limitations are an intrinsic part of the financial reporting process. Therefore, although the Company's management is unable to eliminate this risk, it is possible to develop safeguards to reduce it. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. The Company's management, under the supervision of and with the participation of the Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2016 based on criteria for effective control over financial reporting described in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO") in 2013. Based on this assessment, the Company's management concluded that its internal control over financial reporting was effective as of September 30, 2016 in accordance with the COSO criteria. The Company's independent registered public accounting firm, KPMG LLP, has issued an audit report on the Company's internal control over financial reporting, which is included herein.

Changes in Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting

An evaluation was performed under the supervision of the Company's management, including the Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, of whether any change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in the Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) occurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2016. Based on that evaluation, the Company's management, including the Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, concluded that no significant changes in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting occurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2016 that has materially affected or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls

Our management, including our Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, does not expect that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures or the Company's internal controls over financial reporting will prevent all errors and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected.

Item 9B. Other Information

On November 17, 2016, the Company announced that Mr. Omar Asali, President, Chief Executive Officer and a director of the Company, plans to leave the Company in the second half of Fiscal 2017. In connection with the foregoing, on November 17, 2016, the Company and Mr. Asali entered into a Transition Agreement (the "Transition Agreement"). The Transition Agreement provides that Mr. Asali will receive from the Company (i) for Fiscal 2016, a bonus of \$8,000,000 in cash and (ii) for Fiscal 2017, a bonus for \$3,000,000 in cash, on the earlier of March 31, 2017 and the Announcement Date (as defined below), and an additional payment of \$3,000,000 (or such higher amount as

determined by the Board, the "Transaction Bonus"), if (x) the Company enters into definitive documentation with respect to a Transaction (as defined below), Mr. Asali remains employed through the Announcement Date and shareholder approval of the Transaction contemplated in connection with the Announcement Date is obtained or (y) there is a Specified Event on or prior to the Announcement Date, and within 18 months following such Specified Event the Company enters into definitive documentation, and obtains the required shareholder approvals, with respect to a Transaction. For these purposes, "Announcement Date" means the date on which the Company announces that it has entered into definitive documentation which, if the transactions contemplated thereby were consummated, would result in a sale, merger, change in control or other strategic transaction of or involving the Company and substantially all of its assets (such a transaction, a "Transaction").

Table of Contents

Following his separation after a Specified Event and subject to his compliance with terms of the Transition Agreement, Mr. Asali will receive a \$500,000 cash payment as severance and COBRA reimbursement for a period of up to 12 months. In addition, Mr. Asali's options and restricted stock awards, which were scheduled to vest and settle on November 29, 2016, will continue to vest and settle on November 29, 2016 and his options and restricted stock, which were scheduled to vest and settle in November 29, 2017, will vest and settle on the earlier of March 31, 2017, the Announcement Date, a Specified Event or a change in control of the Company.

The Transition Agreement provides that Mr. Asali's last day of employment will be the earliest of the date of his (i) death, (ii) termination for disability, (iii) termination by the Company without cause or the date he resigns for "good reason", (iv) the Announcement Date (clauses (i) through (iv) each, a "Specified Event") or (v) termination for cause or resignation without good reason. Mr. Asali will continue to receive payment of his base salary and any unpaid vacation time and unreimbursed business expenses through the date his employment ends. Mr. Asali remains subject to certain non-solicitation restrictions of the Company's employees for 18 months post-termination of employment and confidentiality provisions indefinitely. The Transition Agreement also contains a customary mutual release of claims.

Table of Contents

PART III

- Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance
- Item 11. Executive Compensation
- Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters
- Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence
- Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The information required by Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14 will be furnished (and are hereby incorporated by reference) by an amendment hereto or pursuant to a definitive proxy statement pursuant to Regulation 14A that will contain such information. Notwithstanding the foregoing, information appearing in the section "Audit Committee Report" shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference in this report.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statements and Schedules

- (a) List of Documents Filed
- 1) Financial Statements

See Index to Consolidated Financial Statements on Page F-1 following this Part IV.

2) Financial Statement Schedules

Schedule I — Summary of Investments — Other than Investments in Related Parties

Schedule II — Condensed Financial Information of Registrant

Schedule III — Supplementary Insurance Information

Schedule IV — Reinsurance

All other schedules have been omitted since they are either not applicable or the information is contained within the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

LIST OF EXHIBITS

(b) List of Exhibits. The following is a list of exhibits filed, furnished or incorporated by reference as a part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. As of March 9, 2015, we changed our name from Harbinger Group Inc. to HRG Group, Inc.

Exhibit

Description of Exhibit No.

> Certificate of Incorporation of HRG Group, Inc. as amended (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 28, 2009 (File No. 1-4219); Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 13, 2011 (File No. 1-4219); Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's

- Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 5, 2011 (File No. 1-4219); Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Current 3.1 Report on Form 8-K filed August 5, 2011 (File No. 1-4219); Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 11, 2015 (File No. 1-4219); Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on form 8-K filed July 15, 2015 (File No. 1-4219); and Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed November 20, 2015 (File No. 1-4219).
- Restated Bylaws of HRG Group, Inc., amended as of July 13, 2015 (incorporated herein by reference to 3.2 Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 15, 2015 (File No. 1-4219)). Indenture governing the 7.875% Senior Secured Notes due 2019, dated as of December 24, 2012, by and between Harbinger Group Inc. and Wells Fargo, National Association, as trustee (incorporated herein by
- 4.1 reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 26, 2012 (File No. 1-4219)).
- First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of May 23, 2014, to the Indenture dated as of December 24, 2012, by and between Harbinger Group Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee (incorporated 4.2
- herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 23, 2014 (File No. 1-4219)).
 - Security and Pledge Agreement, dated as of January 7, 2011, by and between Harbinger Group Inc. and Wells
- 4.3 Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 filed January 28, 2011, as amended (File No. 333-171924)). Collateral Trust Agreement, dated as of January 7, 2011, by and between Harbinger Group Inc. and Wells
- Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to the Company's 4.4 Registration Statement on Form S-4 filed January 28, 2011, as amended (File No. 333-171924)). Indenture governing the 7.750% Senior Notes due 2022, dated as of January 21, 2014, by and between
- Harbinger Group Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee (incorporated herein by 4.5 reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 21, 2014 (File No. 1-4219)).
- 4.6 Certificate of Designation of Series A Participating Convertible Preferred Stock of Harbinger Group Inc., adopted on May 12, 2011 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on

- Form 8-K filed May 13, 2011 (File No. 1-4219)).
- Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Designation of Series A Participating Convertible Preferred Stock of Harbinger Group Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 5, 2011 (File No. 1-4219)).
- Stockholder Agreement, dated as of February 9, 2010, by and among Harbinger Group Inc. (as successor to the initial parties thereto) and Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc.; Harbinger Group Inc. became a party to this agreement on January 7, 2011 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 5, 2010 (File No. 1-4219)).
 - Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of February 9, 2010, by and among Harbinger Group Inc. (as
- successor, effective January 7, 2011, to the initial parties thereto), and Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 5, 2010 (File No. 1-4219)).
 - Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of May 12, 2011, by and among Harbinger Group Inc., CF Turul LLC, an affiliate of funds managed by Fortress Investment Group LLC or its affiliates, Providence TMT Debt
- 10.3 Opportunity Fund II, L.P., PECM Strategic Funding L.P. and Wilton Re Holdings Limited (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 13, 2011 (File No. 1-4219)).
 - Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of May 12, 2011, by and among Harbinger Group Inc., CF Turul LLC, an affiliate of funds managed by Fortress Investment Group LLC or its affiliates, Providence TMT Debt
- Opportunity Fund II, L.P., PECM Strategic Funding L.P. and Wilton Re Holdings Limited (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 13, 2011 (File No. 1-4219)).
- Letter Agreement, dated March 18, 2014, by and between Harbinger Group Inc. and Leucadia National
 Corporation (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 19, 2014 (File No. 1-4219)).

Exhibit No.	Description of Exhibit
10.6	Registration Rights Acknowledgment, dated March 18, 2014, by and among Harbinger Group Inc., Leucadia National Corporation, Harbinger Capital Partners Master Fund I, Ltd., Global Opportunities Breakaway Ltd. and Harbinger Capital Partners Special Situations Fund, L.P. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 19, 2014 (File No. 1-4219)).
10.7	Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of December 18, 2013, by and between Fidelity & Guaranty Life and Harbinger Group Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed February 7, 2014 (File No: 1-4219)).
10.8	Form of Indemnification Agreement, by and among Harbinger Group Inc. and its Directors and Officers, as amended and restated on February 23, 2011 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 filed March 11, 2011 (File No. 1-4219)).
10.9†	Employment Agreement, dated February 11, 2014, by and between Omar Asali and Harbinger Group Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 14, 2014 (File No. 1-4219)).
10.10†	Employment Agreement, dated February 11, 2014, by and between David Maura and Harbinger Group Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 14, 2014 (File No. 1-4219)).
10.11†	Subsidiary Service Agreement, dated as of January 20, 2016, by and between HRG Group, Inc. and David Maura (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 21, 2016 (file No. 1-4219)).
10.12†	Employment Agreement, dated February 11, 2014, by and between Thomas Williams and Harbinger Group Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 14, 2014 (File No. 1-4219)).
10.13†	Retention and Release Agreement, dated as of August 6, 2015, by and between HRG Group, Inc. and Thomas A. Williams (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed August 6, 2015 (File No. 1-4219)).
10.14†	Separation and General Release Agreement, dated as of November 25, 2014, by and between Harbinger Group Inc. and Philip A. Falcone (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 26, 2014 (File No. 001-4219)).
10.15†	HRG Group, Inc. 2011 Omnibus Equity Award Plan (formerly, Harbinger Group Inc. 2011 Omnibus Equity Award Plan), adopted as of September 15, 2011 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Amendment No. 1 to Annual Report on Form 10-K filed January 30, 2012 (File No. 1-4219)).
10.16†	First Amendment to HRG Group, Inc. 2011 Omnibus Equity Award Plan (formerly, Harbinger Group Inc. 2011 Omnibus Equity Award Plan), (incorporated herein by reference to Annex A to the Company's Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed April 29, 2014 (File No. 1-4219)).
10.17†	HRG Group, Inc. 2011 Omnibus Equity Award Plan (formerly, Harbinger Group Inc. 2011 Omnibus Equity Award Plan) Forms of Restricted Stock Award Agreement (Non-Employee Directors), Restricted Stock Unit Agreement, Stock Award Agreement, Employee Nonqualified Option Award Agreement and Restricted Stock Award Agreement (Employees) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to the
10.18†	Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed November 21, 2014 (File No. 1-4219)). The Harbinger Group Inc. 2014 Warrant Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Annex B to the Company's Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed April 29, 2014 (File No. 1-4219)).
10.19†	Common Stock Purchase Warrant Agreement, dated March 10, 2014, by and between Harbinger Group Inc. and Philip Falcone (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed August 8, 2014 (File No: 1-4219)).
10.20†	

Employment Agreement, dated as of November 19, 2015, by and between HRG Group, Inc. and George C. Nicholson (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed November 20, 2015 (File No.: 1-4219)).

- 12.1* Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.
- 21.1* Subsidiaries of the Registrant.
- 23.1* Consent of KPMG LLP.
- Certification of Principal Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- Certification of Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1** Certification of Principal Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2** Certification of Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 101.INS XBRL Instance Document.**
- 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema.**
- 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase.**
- 101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Definition Linkbase.**
- 101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase.**
- 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase.**

Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

^{*}Filed herewith.

^{**}Furnished herewith.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

HRG GROUP, INC.

(Registrant)

Dated: November 22, 2016 By:/s/ GEORGE C. NICHOLSON

Senior Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer and Acting Chief Financial Officer (on behalf of the Registrant and as Principal Financial Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ OMAR M. ASALI Omar M. Asali	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)	November 22, 2016
/s/ GEORGE NICHOLSON George Nicholson	Chief Accounting Officer and Acting Chief Financial Officer (Principal Accounting Officer)	November 22, 2016
/s/ JOSEPH S. STEINBERG Joseph S. Steinberg	Chairman of the Board of Directors	November 22, 2016
/s/ CURTIS GLOVIER Curtis Glovier	Director	November 22, 2016
/s/ FRANK IANNA Frank Ianna	Director	November 22, 2016
/s/ GERALD LUTERMAN Gerald Luterman	Director	November 22, 2016
/s/ DAVID M. MAURA David M. Maura	Director	November 22, 2016
/s/ ANDREW A. MCKNIGHT Andrew A. McKnight	Director	November 22, 2016
/s/ ANDREW WHITTAKER Andrew Whittaker	Director	November 22, 2016
124		

Table of Contents

Financial Statements	
HRG GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES	
INDEX OF CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	<u>F-2</u>
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2016 and 2015	<u></u> F-4
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014	<u>F-4</u> <u>F-5</u>
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the Years Ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and	
2014	<u>F-6</u>
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the Years Ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014	<u>F-7</u>
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014	F-8
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-9
(1) Basis of Presentation and Nature of Operations	F-9
(2) Significant Accounting Policies and Practices and Recent Accounting Pronouncements	F-11
(3) Acquisitions	F-24
(4) Divestitures	F-32
(5) Derivative Financial Instruments	F-36
(6) Securitizations and Variable Interest Entities	F-39
(7) Fair Value of Financial Instruments	F-40
(8) Funds Withheld Receivables	F-45
(9) Receivables, net	F-48
(10) Inventories, net	F-49
(11) Property, Plant and Equipment, net	F-49
(12) Goodwill and Intangibles, net	F-50
(13) Other Assets	F-51
(14) Accounts Payable and Other Current Liabilities	F-52
(15) Debt	F-53
(16) Shareholders' Equity	F-58
(17) Employee Benefit Obligations	F-59
(18) Stock-Based Compensation	F-62
(19) Income Taxes	F-64
(20) Restructuring and Related Charges	F-69
(21) Earnings Per Share	F-70
(22) Commitments and Contingencies	F-71
(23) Insurance Subsidiary — Financial Information	F-74
(24) Related Party Transactions	F-75
(25) Segment and Geographic Data	F-77
(26) Consolidating Financial Information	F-78
(27) Quarterly Results (Unaudited - See Accompanying Accountants' Report)	F-84
Schedule I — Summary of Investments — Other than Investments in Related Parties	F-86
Schedule II — Condensed Financial Information of Registrant	F-87
Schedule III — Supplementary Insurance Information	F-90
Schedule IV — Reinsurance	F-91

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm The Board of Directors and Stockholders HRG Group, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of HRG Group, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of September 30, 2016 and 2015, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended September 30, 2016. In connection with our audits of the consolidated financial statements, we also have audited financial statement schedules I to IV. These consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of HRG Group, Inc. and subsidiaries as of September 30, 2016 and 2015, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended September 30, 2016, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also in our opinion, the related financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), HRG Group, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2016, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, and our report dated November 22, 2016 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ KPMG LLP New York, New York November 22, 2016

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm The Board of Directors and Stockholders HRG Group, Inc.:

We have audited HRG Group, Inc. and subsidiaries' (the Company) internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2016, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on HRG Group, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of HRG Group, Inc.; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, HRG Group, Inc. and subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2016, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of HRG Group, Inc. and subsidiaries as of September 30, 2016 and 2015, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended September 30, 2016, along with the financial statement schedules I to IV, and our report dated November 22, 2016 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules.

/s/ KPMG LLP New York, New York November 22, 2016

F-4

HRG GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In millions, except per share and share amounts)

(III IIIIIIIIII), except per share and share amounts)	September	30
	2016	2015
ASSETS	2010	2018
Cash and cash equivalents	\$497.3	\$661.2
Funds withheld receivables (Note 8)	1,650.4	1,710.1
Receivables, net (Note 9)	556.3	613.9
Inventories, net (Note 10)	740.6	780.8
Deferred tax assets (Note 19)	42.6	56.7
Property, plant and equipment, net (Note 11)	543.4	509.5
Goodwill (Note 12)	2,478.4	2,487.4
Intangibles, net (Note 12)	2,372.5	2,480.3
Other assets (Note 13)	172.6	412.0
Assets of business held for sale (Note 4)	26,738.7	24,976.5
Assets of discontinued operations (Note 4)		343.1
Total assets	\$35,792.8	\$35,031.5
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Insurance reserves	\$1,751.3	\$1,856.0
Debt (Note 15)	5,430.9	5,984.6
Accounts payable and other current liabilities (Note 14)	989.8	1,062.0
Employee benefit obligations (Note 17)	125.4	92.9
Deferred tax liabilities (Note 19)	546.0	574.5
Other liabilities	32.0	56.3
Liabilities of business held for sale (Note 4)	25,100.2	23,418.5
Liabilities of discontinued operations (Note 4)		398.6
Total liabilities	33,975.6	33,443.4
Commitments and contingencies (Note 22)		
HRG Group, Inc. shareholders' equity (Note 16):		
Common stock, \$0.01 par; 500,000.0 thousand shares authorized; 200,789.1 thousand and		
201,383.8 thousand shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2016 and 2015,	2.0	2.0
respectively.		
Additional paid-in capital	1,447.1	1,458.5
Accumulated deficit		(833.1)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	220.9	(40.7)
Total HRG Group, Inc. shareholders' equity	638.1	586.7
Noncontrolling interest	1,179.1	1,001.4
Total shareholders' equity	1,817.2	1,588.1
Total liabilities and equity	\$35,792.8	\$35,031.5
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.		

HRG GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(In millions, except per share amounts)

	Year ende	ed Septemb	er 30,		
	2016	2015	2014		
Revenues:					
Net consumer and other product sales	\$5,039.7	\$4,733.1	\$4,449.2	2	
Net investment income	67.0	84.3	90.1		
Net investment gains (losses)	101.1	(114.0	89.3		
Insurance and investment product fees and other	7.6	6.3	6.1		
Total revenues	5,215.4	4,709.7	4,634.7		
Operating costs and expenses:					
Cost of consumer products and other goods sold	3,119.8	3,050.9	2,875.6		
Benefits and other changes in policy reserves	103.0	70.6	66.9		
Selling, acquisition, operating and general expenses	1,243.6	1,301.3	1,181.0		
Impairments and bad debt expense	27.1	153.3	2.1		
Amortization of intangibles	93.9	87.8	81.7		
Total operating costs and expenses	4,587.4	4,663.9	4,207.3		
Operating income	628.0	45.8	427.4		
Interest expense	(397.1	(401.6	(298.8)	
Loss from the change in the fair value of the equity conversion feature of preferred			(12.7)	
stock	_	_	(12.7)	
Gain on deconsolidation of subsidiary	_	38.5			
Other income (expense), net	1.0	10.2	(11.9)	
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	231.9	(307.1	104.0		
Income tax expense (benefit)	41.5	(16.2	89.6		
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	190.4	(290.9	14.4		
(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax	(224.3)	(221.5	87.3		
Net (loss) income		(512.4	101.7		
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	164.9	44.4	112.0		
Net loss attributable to controlling interest	(198.8)	(556.8)	(10.3))	
Less: Preferred stock dividends, accretion and loss on conversion	_	_	73.6		
Net loss attributable to common and participating preferred stockholders	\$(198.8)	\$(556.8)	\$(83.9))	
Amounts attributable to controlling interest:					
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	\$44.6	\$(313.3)	\$(158.3))	
Net (loss) income from discontinued operations			74.4		
Net loss attributable to controlling interest	\$(198.8)	\$(556.8)	\$(83.9))	
Net loss per common share attributable to controlling interest:					
Basic income (loss) from continuing operations	\$0.23	\$(1.58)	\$(0.97))	
Basic (loss) income from discontinued operations	(1.23)	(1.23	0.46		
Basic	\$(1.00)	\$(2.81	\$(0.51))	
Diluted income (loss) from continuing operations	\$0.22	\$(1.58	\$(0.97))	
Diluted (loss) income from discontinued operations	(1.21	(1.23	0.46		
Diluted	\$(0.99)	\$(2.81	\$(0.51))	
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.					

HRG GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) (In millions)

Net (loss) income	Year ended September 30, 2016 2015 2014 \$(33.9) \$(512.4) \$101.7
Other comprehensive income (loss):	
Foreign currency translation losses	(8.5) (112.9) (32.5)
Net unrealized gain (loss) on derivative instruments	
Changes in derivative instruments before reclassification adjustment	11.1 11.4 13.1
Net reclassification adjustment for (gains) losses included in net income	(1.1) (27.5) 2.6
Changes in derivative instruments after reclassification adjustment	10.0 (16.1) 15.7
Changes in deferred income tax asset/liability	(2.8) 5.2 (4.2)
Deferred tax valuation allowance adjustments	(0.1)(2.2)
Net unrealized gain (loss) on hedging derivative instruments	7.1 (13.1) 11.5
Actuarial adjustments to pension plans	
Changes in actuarial adjustments before reclassification adjustment	(41.7) (13.6) (8.7)
Net reclassification adjustment for losses included in cost of goods sold	1.4 0.7 0.6
Net reclassification adjustment for gains included in selling and general and	1.0 0.7 0.8
administrative expenses	
Changes in actuarial adjustments to pension plans	(39.3) (12.2) (7.3)
Changes in deferred income tax asset/liability	10.8 3.6 2.2
Deferred tax valuation allowance adjustments	- (3.1) (0.6)
Net actuarial adjustments to pension plans	(28.5) (11.7) (5.7)
Unrealized investment gains (losses):	
Changes in unrealized investment gains (losses) before reclassification adjustment	784.5 (643.8) 627.5
Net reclassification adjustment for losses (gains) included in net income	8.8 28.8 (101.0)
Changes in unrealized investment gains (losses) after reclassification adjustment	793.3 (615.0) 526.5
Adjustments to intangible assets	(258.3) 219.7 (156.8)
Changes in deferred income tax asset/liability	(185.7) 138.7 (129.0)
Net unrealized gains (losses) on investments	349.3 (256.6) 240.7
Changes in non-credit related other-than-temporary impairment	(1.4) — —
Net change to derive comprehensive income (loss) for the period	318.0 (394.3) 214.0
Comprehensive income (loss)	284.1 (906.7) 315.7
Less: Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to the noncontrolling interest:	
Net income	164.9 44.4 112.0
Other comprehensive income (loss)	56.0 (108.0) 32.6
	220.9 (63.6) 144.6
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to the controlling interest	\$63.2 \$(843.1) \$171.1
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.	

HRG GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (In millions)

(In millions)													
	Comm Stock	on	Additiona Paid-in	Accumula	tec	Accumulated Other		Total	de	Noncontro	lliı		
	Shares	Amoun	tCapital	Deficit		Comprehe Income	ns	Equity		("NCI")		Equity	
Balances at September 30, 2013	142.4	\$ 1.4	\$828.0	\$(192.4)	\$ 87.7		\$ 724.7		\$ 408.8		\$1,133.5	i
Net income			_	(10.3)	_		(10.3)	112.0		101.7	
Unrealized investment						197.7		197.7		43.0		240.7	
gains, net													
Other unrealized gains			_			6.8		6.8		4.7		11.5	
Actuarial adjustments to						(4.0)	(4.0)	(1.7)	(5.7)
pension plans Translation adjustment						(19.1	`	(19.1	`	(13.4	`	(32.5)
Comprehensive income			_			(19.1	,	171.1	,	144.6)	315.7)
Repurchase of common										177.0			
stock	(5.2)	(0.1)	(65.5) —				(65.6)	_		(65.6)
Proceeds from public													
offering of subsidiary		_	(58.5) —		(25.5)	(84.0)	256.6		172.6	
shares, net			· ·			•		`					
Purchases of subsidiary			(11.1					(11.1	`	2.8		(9.2	`
stock	_	_	(11.1) —		_		(11.1)	2.0		(8.3))
Exercise of stock options			2.8					2.8		_		2.8	
Stock compensation	3.2	0.1	72.3	_		_		72.4		20.6		93.0	
Restricted stock surrendered	(0.1)		(21.1) —		_		(21.1)	(10.4)	(31.5)
for tax withholding	(011)		(=111	,				(=111	,	(10).	,	(6116	,
Preferred stock dividends,				(72.6	`			(72.6	`			(72.6	,
accretion and loss on	_		_	(73.6)	_		(73.6)	_		(73.6)
conversion													
Conversion of preferred stock	62.0	0.6	725.4			_		726.0				726.0	
NCI in acquired subsidiary	_		_							20.7		20.7	
Dividend paid by subsidiary													
to NCI		_	_	_		_		_		(28.3)	(28.3)
Balances at September 30,	202.2	2.0	1 470 0	(07.6.0	,	242.6		1 441 6		015.4		2.257.0	
2014	202.3	2.0	1,472.3	(276.3)	243.6		1,441.6		815.4		2,257.0	
Net loss				(556.8)			(556.8)	44.4		(512.4)
Unrealized investment						(206.1	`	(206.1	`	(50.5	`	(256.6	`
losses, net			_			(200.1)	(200.1	,	(30.3)	(230.0)
Other unrealized losses		_	_	_		(7.5)	(7.5)	(5.6)	(13.1)
Actuarial adjustments to		_	_			(7.0)	(7.0)	(4.7)	(11.7)
pension plans													,
Translation adjustment			_			(65.7)	(65.7		(47.2	-	(112.9)
Comprehensive loss								(843.1)	(63.6)	(906.7)
Repurchase of common stock	(1.7)	(0.1)	(22.1) —		_		(22.2)	_		(22.2)
SIUCK	_		29.9	_		1.3		31.2		249.8		281.0	
	_		47.7	- 		1.5		J1.4		∠ + 7.0		201.0	

Proceeds from public offering of subsidiary shares, net												
Purchases of subsidiary stock	_	_	(76.8) —	0.7		(76.1)	15.1		(61.0)
Exercise of stock options	0.7		4.1	_			4.1				4.1	
Stock compensation	1.4	0.1	71.0				71.1		18.9		90.0	
Restricted stock surrendered for tax withholding	(1.3)		(19.9) —	_		(19.9)	(1.1)	(21.0)
NCI in acquired subsidiary									0.8		0.8	
Dividend paid by subsidiary to NCI	_	_	_	_	_		_		(33.9)	(33.9)
Balances at September 30, 2015	201.4	2.0	1,458.5	(833.1) (40.7)	586.7		1,001.4		1,588.1	
Net loss	_		_	(198.8) —		(198.8)	164.9		(33.9)
Unrealized investment gains, net	_	_	_	_	279.3		279.3		68.6		347.9	
Other unrealized gains					4.1		4.1		3.0		7.1	
Actuarial adjustments to pension plans	_			_	(16.6)	(16.6)	(11.9)	(28.5)
Translation adjustment	_			_	(4.8)	(4.8)	(3.7)	(8.5)
Comprehensive income							63.2		220.9		284.1	
Purchases of subsidiary stock	_	_	(34.6) —	(0.4)	(35.0)	(19.5)	(54.5)
Exercise of stock options	0.6		4.4	_	_		4.4		_		4.4	
Stock compensation	_	_	42.9				42.9		21.4		64.3	
Restricted stock surrendered for tax withholding	(1.2)	· —	(24.1) —	_		(24.1)	(4.6)	(28.7)
NCI in acquired subsidiary			_		_				_		_	
Dividend paid by subsidiary to NCI	_	_	_	_	_		_		(40.5)	(40.5)
Balances at September 30, 2016	200.8	\$ 2.0	\$1,447.	1 \$(1,031.9)	\$ 220.9		\$ 638.1		\$ 1,179.1		\$1,817.2	2
See accompanying notes to	consoli	dated fin	ancial sta	tements.								

HRG GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (In millions)

	Year ended September 30, 2016 2015 2014
Cash flavus from anarating activities:	2010 2013 2014
Cash flows from operating activities:	\$(22.0) \$(512.4) \$101.7
Net (loss) income	\$(33.9) \$(512.4) \$101.7
(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax	(224.3) (221.5) 87.3
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	190.4 (290.9) 14.4
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to operating cash flows from continuing	
operations:	00.0 00.0 76.5
Depreciation of properties	89.8 83.2 76.5
Amortization of intangibles	93.9 87.8 81.7
Impairment of intangible assets and goodwill	15.4 60.2 —
Loan provision and bad debt expense	12.8 93.5 2.2
Stock-based compensation	78.0 72.6 73.7
Amortization of debt issuance costs	18.3 17.2 16.2
Amortization of debt discount	2.8 4.8 4.6
Write-off of discounts and debt issuance costs on retired debt	5.8 12.8 9.2
Deferred income taxes	(4.8) (46.4) 23.4
Gain on deconsolidation of subsidiary	— (38.5) —
Interest credited/index credits to contractholder account balances	36.0 32.3 52.7
Net recognized losses (gains) on investments and derivatives	(54.1) 126.6 (64.2)
Charges assessed to contractholders for mortality and administration	(1.1)(1.1)(1.1)
Non-cash increase to cost of goods sold due to the sale of inventory revalued in	— 21.7 —
acquisition	
Non-cash restructuring and related charges	6.4 20.1 9.2
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:	68.6 44.4 178.4
Net change in cash due to continuing operating activities	558.2 300.3 476.9
Net change in cash due to discontinued operating activities	355.1 16.5 227.2
Net change in cash due to operating activities	913.3 316.8 704.1
Cash flows from investing activities:	
Proceeds from investments sold, matured or repaid	53.7 86.6 54.9
Cost of investments acquired	(5.2) (5.4) (101.7)
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	— (1,328.4) (27.3)
Net asset-based loan repayments (originations)	177.2 289.2 (127.6)
Capital expenditures	(95.4) (90.6) (75.5)
Proceeds from sales of assets	19.7 1.4 9.2
Other investing activities, net	(4.1) (0.4) (1.8)
Net change in cash due to continuing investing activities	145.9 (1,047.6) (269.8)
Net change in cash due to discontinued investing activities	(1,037.3) (1,093.7) (1,672.1)
Net change in cash due to investing activities	(891.4) (2,141.3) (1,941.9)
Cash flows from financing activities:	
Proceeds from issuance of new debt	485.0 3,705.3 933.3
Repayment of debt, including tender and call premiums	(1,090.\\$ (3,050.5) (770.9)
Debt issuance costs	(9.3) (44.8) (15.8)
Purchases of subsidiary stock, net	(52.1) (49.6) (9.3)
Contractholder account deposits	5.9 78.9 23.0

Contractholder account withdrawals	(140.6)	(140.8)	(158.2)
Dividend paid by subsidiary to noncontrolling interest	(37.3	(31.0)	(26.0)
Dividends paid on preferred stock			(28.6)
Share based award tax withholding payments	(28.7	(21.0)	(31.5)
Common stock repurchased	_	(22.2)	(65.8)
Net proceeds from issuance subsidiary common stock		281.0	
Other financing activities, net	1.3	4.1	2.8
Net change in cash due to continuing financing activities	(866.6)	709.4	(147.0)
Net change in cash due to discontinued financing activities	1,015.3	1,021.4	813.0
Net change in cash due to financing activities	148.7	1,730.8	666.0
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(1.4)	(29.7)	(8.3)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(163.9)	(67.6)	51.8
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	661.2	728.8	677.0
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$497.3	\$661.2	\$728.8
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:			
Cash paid for interest	\$397.7	\$395.7	\$278.9
Cash paid for taxes, net	35.9	61.0	80.7
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.			
F-8			

HRG GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in millions, except per share and unit measures or as otherwise specified)

(1) Basis of Presentation and Nature of Operations

HRG Group, Inc. ("HRG" and collectively with its respective subsidiaries, the "Company") is a holding company that conducts its operations through its operating subsidiaries. HRG's shares of common stock trade on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") under the symbol "HRG."

As of September 30, 2016, HRG's principal operations were conducted through subsidiaries that offer branded consumer products and related businesses (Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc., ("Spectrum Brands")); insurance (Fidelity & Guaranty Life, ("FGL", which is presented herein as an asset held for sale), and reinsurance services (Front Street Re (Delaware) Ltd., ("Front Street")). We also own Salus Capital Partners, LLC ("Salus"), an asset-based lender, and 97.9% of NZCH Corporation ("NZCH", formerly Zap.Com Corporation), a public shell company. From time to time, the Company manages a portion of its available cash and engages in other activities through its wholly-owned subsidiaries, HGI Funding, LLC ("HGI Funding") and HGI Energy Holdings, LLC ("HGI Energy").

The accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements of the Company included herein have been prepared in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles ("U.S. GAAP"). The financial statements reflect all adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair statement of such information. All such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. Although the Company believes that the disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading, certain information and footnote disclosures, including a description of significant accounting policies normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified or combined to conform to the current year presentation.

Fiscal Year End

The Company's fiscal year ends on September 30 and the quarters end on the last calendar day of the months of December, March and June. The Company's significant subsidiary, Spectrum Brands' fiscal year ends September 30 and its interim fiscal quarters end every thirteenth Sunday, except for its first fiscal quarter which may end on the fourteenth Sunday following September 30. The Company does not adjust for the difference in fiscal periods between Spectrum Brands and itself, as such difference would be less than 93 days, pursuant to Regulation S-X Rule 3A-02. References herein to Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014 refer to the fiscal years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Reportable Segments

The Company currently presents its operations in two reportable segments: (i) Consumer Products, which consists of Spectrum Brands; and (ii) Insurance, which consists of Front Street. See below for a discussion of the changes to the Company's reportable segments since Fiscal 2015.

Consumer Products Segment

Through Spectrum Brands, the Company is a diversified global branded consumer products company with positions in seven major product categories: consumer batteries, small appliances, global pet supplies, home and garden control products, personal care products, hardware and home improvement products and global auto care.

Spectrum Brands' operating performance is influenced by a number of factors including: general economic conditions; foreign exchange fluctuations; trends in consumer markets; consumer confidence and preferences; overall product line mix, including pricing and gross margin, which vary by product line and geographic market; pricing of certain raw materials and commodities; energy and fuel prices; and general competitive positioning, especially as impacted by competitors' advertising and promotional activities and pricing strategies.

Insurance Segment

As a result of the transaction discussed below, the Insurance segment has been adjusted to exclude FGL and includes only Front Street. Through Front Street and its Bermuda and Cayman-based subsidiaries, we engage in the business of life, annuity and long-term care reinsurance.

On November 8, 2015, Anbang Insurance Group Co., Ltd., a joint-stock insurance company established in the People's Republic of China ("Anbang"), AB Infinity Holding, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Anbang ("AB Infinity"), and AB

Merger Sub, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of AB Infinity ("Merger Sub"), entered into a definitive merger agreement (which was amended on November 3, 2016, as amended the "FGL Merger Agreement" and such merger, the "FGL Merger") to acquire FGL for \$26.80 per share. As a result of the FGL Merger Agreement, the Company's ownership interest in FGL has been classified as held for sale in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets and FGL's operations were classified as discontinued operations in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations and the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and reported separately for all periods presented. Prior to the transaction, FGL was included in the Company's Insurance segment. As a result

Table of Contents

of classifying FGL as held for sale, all segmented information has been adjusted to exclude FGL from the Insurance segment. See Note 4, Divestitures.

Transactions and Activities during Fiscal 2016

Consumer Products

During Fiscal 2016, Spectrum Brands completed a cash tender offer to purchase any and all of Spectrum Brands' 6.375% Notes due 2020 (the "6.375% Notes"). As part of the transaction, Spectrum Brands received tenders from the holders of \$390.3 of its outstanding 6.375% Notes and has accepted for purchase all 6.375% Notes which were validly tendered. In addition, on October 20, 2016, Spectrum Brands redeemed the remaining \$129.7 aggregate principal amount of 6.375% Notes with a call premium of \$4.6. See Note 15, Debt.

On September 20, 2016, SBI issued €425.0 aggregate principal amount of 4.00% Notes at par value, due October 1, 2026 ("4.00% Notes"). See Note 15, Debt.

On October 6, 2016, subsequent to the end of the fiscal year, SBI replaced all of its U.S. dollar-denominated term loans with new U.S. dollar-denominated term loans that carry lower interest rate margins, but otherwise are on the same terms as the old term loans, including the maturity date. See Note 15, Debt.

Oil and Gas Properties

During the first quarter of Fiscal 2016, Compass Production Partners ("Compass"), a majority-owned subsidiary of HGI Energy at the time, completed the sale of its Holly, Waskom, and Danville assets (the "Compass Asset Sale") for a total cash consideration of \$153.4. Proceeds were primarily used to reduce Compass' borrowings under its credit facility (the "Compass Credit Agreement").

During the fourth quarter of Fiscal 2016, HGI Energy completed the sale of its equity interests in Compass to a third party for a cash purchase price of \$145.0 (the "Compass Sale"). The proceeds received by HGI Energy from the Compass Sale were reduced by the outstanding balance of Compass' existing credit facility of \$125.2. Following the completion of the Compass Sale, the Company no longer owns, directly or indirectly, any oil and gas properties and accordingly, the results of Compass are presented as discontinued operations in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations and the operations of HGI Energy are included in the Corporate and Other segment. Corporate and Other

On November 17, 2016, subsequent to the end of the fiscal year, the Company announced that Mr. Omar Asali, President, Chief Executive Officer and a director of the Company, plans to leave the Company in the second half of the fiscal year ending September 30, 2017 ("Fiscal 2017"). In addition, on November 17, 2016, the Company announced that its Board had initiated a process to explore and evaluate strategic alternatives available to the Company with a view toward enhancing shareholder value. Strategic alternatives may include, but are not limited to, a merger, sale or other business combination involving the Company or its assets. The Company has not set a definitive schedule to complete its review of strategic alternatives and there can be no assurance that this process will result in a transaction, or if a transaction is undertaken, as to its terms or timing.

In connection with Mr. Asali's anticipated departure, on November 17, 2016, the Company and Mr. Asali entered into a Transition Agreement (the "Transition Agreement"). The Transition Agreement provides that Mr. Asali will, subject to the terms of the Transition Agreement, receive from the Company (i) for Fiscal 2016, a bonus of \$8.0 in cash; and (ii) for Fiscal 2017, a bonus for \$3.0 in cash, on the earlier of March 31, 2017 and the date on which the Company announces that it has entered into definitive documentation which, if the transactions contemplated thereby were consummated, would result in a sale, merger, change in control or other strategic transaction of or involving the Company and substantially all of its assets; and an additional payment of \$3.0 (or such higher amount as determined by the Board of Directors). Mr. Asali will also receive certain other payments and vesting of prior issued equity grants.

During Fiscal 2016, Salus and Front Street received a partial recovery on the loan to a significant borrower of Salus, RadioShack Corporation ("RadioShack"), of \$45.4, excluding \$22.7 repayment on FGL's participation on the loan. As a result of the aforementioned partial recovery, the Company also reversed \$18.0 of previously recorded allowance for bad debt, excluding \$9.0 of realized gains by FGL recorded in "(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

During Fiscal 2016, Salus determined to focus its efforts primarily on monitoring, servicing and collecting its existing loans and winding-down its business. Salus may, however, pursue other opportunities that it may consider strategically advantageous or complimentary to its efforts to collect its existing loans.

During the fourth quarter of Fiscal 2016, the Company sold all of its 51.0% interest in CorAmerica Capital, LLC, its former subsidiary engaged in the business of asset management ("CorAmerica"), to a third party for \$0.5. During Fiscal 2016, the Company also wound down the operations of Energy & Infrastructure Capital, LLC ("EIC"), its other asset manager. The sale of CorAmerica and the wind down of EIC did not represent a strategic shift for the Company. The operations of Salus, CorAmerica and EIC were historically presented in the Asset Management Segment. As a result of the diminished operations in that segment,

starting in the fourth quarter of Fiscal 2016, the Company is presenting the operations of Salus, CorAmerica and EIC within the Corporate and Other segment. All historical results have been recast to reflect this change.

(2) Significant Accounting Policies and Practices and Recent Accounting Pronouncements Principles of Consolidation

The Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of HRG and all other entities in which HRG has a controlling financial interest and those variable interest entities ("VIEs") where the Company is the primary beneficiary. Intercompany accounts and transactions between businesses held for use have been eliminated. Results of operations of acquired companies are included from the dates of acquisition and for VIEs, from the dates that the Company became the primary beneficiary. At September 30, 2016, the non-controlling interest component of total equity primarily represents the 42.2% share of Spectrum Brands and the 19.6% of FGL not owned by HRG. VIE is an entity that lacks equity investors or whose equity investors do not have a controlling financial interest in the entity through their equity investments. The entity that has a controlling financial interest in a VIE is referred to as the primary beneficiary and consolidates the VIE. A corporation is deemed to have a controlling financial interest and is the primary beneficiary of a VIE if it has both the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance and an obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the VIE.

The Company, through its subsidiary, Salus, primarily uses VIEs for its securitization activities, in which Salus transfers whole loans into a trust or other vehicle such that the assets are legally isolated from the creditors of Salus. Assets held in a trust can only be used to settle obligations of the trust. The creditors of these trusts typically have no recourse to Salus except in accordance with the obligations under standard representations and warranties. When Salus is the servicer of whole loans held in a securitization trust, Salus has the power to direct the most significant activities of the trust. Salus consolidates a whole-loan securitization trust if it has the power to direct the most significant activities and also holds securities issued by the trust or has other contractual arrangements, other than standard representations and warranties, that could potentially be significant to the trust. See Note 6, Securitizations and Variable Interest Entities for additional information on the Company's investment in consolidated VIEs. Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations

The Company reports a business as held for sale when the criteria of Accounting Standard Codification ("ASC") Topic 360, Property, Plant and Equipment ("ASC 360") are met. A business classified as held for sale is recorded at the lower of its carrying amount or estimated fair value less cost to sell. If the carrying amount of the business exceeds its estimated fair value less cost to sell, a loss is recognized. Assets and liabilities related to a business classified as held for sale are segregated in the current and prior balance sheets in the period in which the business is classified as held for sale. Transactions between the business held for sale and businesses held for use that are expected to continue to exist after the disposal are not eliminated to appropriately reflect the continuing operations and balances held for sale. If a business is classified as held for sale after the balance sheet date but before the financial statements are issued or are available to be issued, the business continues to be classified as held and used in those financial statements when issued or when available to be issued.

The Company reports the results of operations of a business as discontinued operations if a disposal represents a strategic shift that has (or will have) a major effect on an entity's operations and financial results when the business is sold or classified as held for sale, in accordance with ASC 360 and Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2014-08, Presentation of Financial Statements (Topic 2015) and Property, Plant and Equipment (Topic 360): Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity ("ASU 2014-08"). The results of discontinued operations are reported in "(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations for current and prior periods commencing in the period in which the business meets the criteria of a discontinued operation, and include any gain or loss recognized on closing or adjustment of the carrying amount to fair value less cost to sell. Transactions between the business held for sale and businesses held for use that are expected to continue to exist after the disposal are not eliminated to appropriately reflect the continuing operations and balances held for sale.

The guidance above does not apply to oil and gas properties that are accounted for using the full-cost method of accounting as prescribed by the U.S. SEC (Regulation S-X, Rule 4-10, Financial Accounting and Reporting for Oil and Gas Producing Activities Pursuant to the Federal Securities Laws and the Energy Policy and Conservation Act of 1975) unless the disposal represents all or substantially all of a full cost pool as a discontinued operation. As discussed in Note 4, Divestitures, on July 1, 2016, the Company entered into an agreement to sell all of its remaining oil and gas interests. Consequently, the Company's investments in oil and gas properties have been reclassified as discontinued operations.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of

Table of Contents

contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid temporary instruments purchased with original maturities of three months or less from date of purchase to be cash equivalents.

Receivables

Trade accounts receivable are carried at net realizable value. The Company extends credit to its customers based upon an evaluation of the customer's financial condition and credit history, but generally does not require collateral. The Company monitors its customers' credit and financial condition based on changing economic conditions and will make adjustments to credit policies as required. Provisions for losses on uncollectible trade receivables are determined based on ongoing evaluations of the Company's receivables, principally on the basis of historical collection experience and evaluations of the risks of nonpayment or return for a given customer. Refer to Note 9, Receivables, net, for further detail.

Inventories

The Company's inventories are valued at the lower of cost or net realizable value. Cost of inventories is determined using the first-in, first-out ("FIFO") method. Refer to Note 10, Inventories, net, for further detail.

Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment are recorded at cost. Depreciation is calculated on the straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Plant and equipment held under capital leases are amortized on a straight-line basis over the shorter of the lease term or estimated useful life of the asset; such amortization is included in depreciation expense. The Company uses accelerated depreciation methods for income tax purposes. Useful lives for property, plant and equipment are as follows:

Asset Type Range
Buildings and improvements 20 to 40 years
Machinery and equipment 2 to 15 years

Expenditures which substantially increase value or extend useful lives are capitalized. Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to operations as incurred. The Company records gains and losses on the disposition or retirement of property, plant and equipment based on the net book value and any proceeds received.

Long-lived fixed assets held and used are reviewed for impairment when events or changes in business circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be fully recoverable. Circumstances such as the discontinuation of a product or product line, a sudden or consistent decline in the sales forecast for a product, changes in technology or in the way an asset is being used, a history of operating or cash flow losses or an adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate, among others, may trigger an impairment review. If such indicators are present, the Company performs undiscounted cash flow analyses to determine if impairment exists. The asset value would be deemed impaired if the undiscounted cash flows generated did not exceed the carrying value of the asset. If impairment is determined to exist, any related impairment loss is calculated based on fair value. There were no triggering events identified during the year that necessitated an impairment test over property, plant and equipment. Assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell. Refer to Note 11, Property, Plant and Equipment, net, for further detail.

Goodwill

Goodwill reflects the excess of acquisition cost over the aggregate fair value assigned to identifiable net assets acquired. Goodwill is not amortized, but instead is assessed for impairment at least annually or more frequently if an event or circumstance indicates that an impairment loss may have been incurred between annual impairment tests. Goodwill has been assigned to reporting units for purposes of impairment testing based upon the relative fair value of the asset to each reporting unit. The Company performs its annual impairment test in the fourth quarter of its fiscal year.

Consumer Products Segment

The reporting units of Spectrum Brands are consistent with the product lines for the Consumer Products segment. Impairment of goodwill is evaluated by Spectrum Brands using a two-step approach. In the first step, the fair value of

each reporting unit is compared to its carrying value, including goodwill. In estimating the fair value of their reporting units, Spectrum Brands uses a discounted cash flow methodology, which requires the estimation of future revenues, expenses, and capital expenditures and make assumptions about Spectrum Brands' weighted average cost of capital and perpetuity growth rate, among other variables. Spectrum Brands tests the aggregate estimated fair value of the reporting units by comparison to Spectrum Brands' total market capitalization, including both equity and debt capital. If the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value, step two is performed. For step two, the implied fair value of goodwill is calculated by deducting the fair value of all tangible and intangible

net assets, including unrecognized intangible assets, of the reporting unit from the fair value of the reporting unit. If the implied fair value of goodwill is less than its carrying value, an impairment loss would be recognized equal to that excess.

The fair values of the global batteries and appliances, hardware and home improvement, global pet supplies, home and garden and global auto care product lines, which are also Spectrum Brands' reportable segments, exceeded their carrying values by 157%, 110%, 58%, 326% and 12%, respectively. As a result, a step two analysis was not required and there were no reporting units that were deemed at risk of impairment.

Corporate and Other

During Fiscal 2016, the Company concluded that an interim impairment test of goodwill for its CorAmerica reporting unit was necessary. This conclusion was based on certain indicators of impairment, primarily related to an amendment, which became effective September 1, 2016, to an investment management agreement to which CorAmerica is a party. The amendment changed the asset management fee structure, which resulted in a significant decrease to the projected future revenues we expect from CorAmerica. In addition, the counterparty reduced its allocation of investments into commercial mortgage loans, which reduced the amount of new loan originations we expect from CorAmerica and reduced CorAmerica's projected future revenues.

As discussed above, during the third fiscal quarter of 2016, the Company determined that sufficient indicators of potential impairment existed to require an interim goodwill impairment analysis for the CorAmerica reporting unit. The Company estimated the fair value of the CorAmerica reporting unit using the income approach. Under the income approach, the Company calculated the fair value of the CorAmerica reporting unit based on the present value of estimated future cash flows. The Company's estimate of discounted cash flows for each reporting unit required significant judgment. Cash flow projections were based on management's estimates of revenue and operating margins, taking into consideration existing agreements, industry and market conditions. The discount rate used was based on the weighted-average cost of capital adjusted for the relevant risk associated with business-specific characteristics and the uncertainty related to CorAmerica's ability to execute on the projected cash flows. The evaluation was management's best estimate of projected fair values. Management's estimate of implied fair value of goodwill was zero and, consequently, resulted in a goodwill impairment charge of \$10.7. The goodwill impairment charge was reflected in "Impairments and bad debt expense" on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations for Fiscal 2016.

During the first fiscal quarter of 2015, the Company concluded that an interim impairment test of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets for its Frederick's of Hollywood Group Inc. ("FOH") reporting unit was necessary. This conclusion was based on certain indicators of impairment, primarily related to the departure of Philip Falcone, the Company's former Chief Executive Officer, in December of 2014 (the "2014 CEO Departure"), and subsequent change in strategic direction of FOH. The revised plan changed the focus from expansion to rationalization of the existing business and was expected to result in lower revenues and profitability with a reduced level of capital expenditure by the Company as compared to the levels of capital expenditure contemplated under prior management at the time of FOH's acquisition in May of 2014.

The Company estimated the fair value of the FOH reporting unit using a combination of the income and market multiple approaches. Under the income approach, the Company calculated the fair value of the FOH reporting unit based on the present value of estimated future cash flows. The Company's estimate of discounted cash flows for each reporting unit required significant judgment. Cash flow projections are based on management's estimates of revenue growth rates and operating margins, taking into consideration industry and market conditions, projected costs of closures, including the costs of exiting leases. The discount rate used is based on the weighted-average cost of capital adjusted for the relevant risk associated with business-specific characteristics and the uncertainty related to the FOH's ability to execute on the projected cash flows. The market data utilized included publicly-traded prices and transaction values of comparable companies with operations considered to be similar to those of the Company's reporting units. Collectively, these evaluations were management's best estimate of projected fair values. Management's estimate of implied fair value of goodwill of \$16.2 was below the carrying value for the FOH reporting unit and, consequently, resulted in a goodwill impairment charge of \$28.3 for Fiscal 2015. Intangibles

Intangibles with Indefinite Lives

Indefinite-lived intangible assets (certain trade name intangible assets) are not amortized; but instead are tested for impairment at least annually in the fourth fiscal quarter or as triggering events or indicators of potential impairment are identified.

Impairment of indefinite-lived intangible assets is assessed by comparing the estimated fair value of the identified trade names to their carrying value to determine if potential impairment exists. If the fair value is less than the carrying value, an impairment loss is recorded for the excess. The fair value of indefinite-lived intangible assets is determined using an income approach, the relief from royalty methodology, which requires management to make estimates and assumptions about future revenues, royalty rates, and the discount rate, among others.

Intangibles Impairment Test

Consumer Products

Spectrum Brands performs its annual impairment test in the fourth quarter of its fiscal year. During Fiscal 2016, the Company recognized \$4.7 impairment on indefinite-lived intangible asset due to the reduction in value of certain tradenames in response to changes in management's strategy. In connection with its annual impairment testing of indefinite-lived intangible assets, Spectrum Brands concluded that the fair values of its intangible assets exceeded their carrying values resulting in no impairment for 2015 and 2014.

Corporate and Other

Prior to conducting the goodwill impairment test for the FOH reporting unit discussed above, the Company first evaluated the recoverability of FOH's intangible assets. The Company valued indefinite-lived trade names and trademarks using the income approach, specifically the relief from royalty method. Under this method, the asset value was determined by estimating the hypothetical royalties that would have to be paid if the trade name was not owned. Royalty rates were selected based on consideration of several factors, including prior transactions of the FOH business, related trademarks and trade names, other similar trademark licensing and transaction agreements and the relative profitability and perceived contribution of the trademarks and trade names. Management estimated the fair value of the trade name and trademarks at \$9.9 under this approach, which resulted in an impairment of \$31.9 for Fiscal 2015.

Both the goodwill impairment charge and the intangible assets impairment charge, totaling \$60.2, were reflected in "Impairments and bad debt expense" on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations for Fiscal 2015. Effective April 19, 2015, FOHG, FOH and their subsidiaries filed for bankruptcy. Following the completion of the bankruptcy of FOHG, FOH and their subsidiaries, such entities ceased to be subsidiaries of HRG.

Intangibles with Definite or Estimable Useful Lives

Intangible assets are recorded at cost or at estimated fair value if acquired in a business combination. Customer lists, proprietary technology and certain trade name intangibles are amortized, using the straight-line method, over their estimated useful lives. The range and weighted average useful lives for definite-lived intangibles assets are as follows:

Asset Type Range Weighted Average

Customer relationships 2 to 20 years 18.5 years Technology assets 5 to 18 years 11.2 years Tradenames 5 to 13 years 11.4 years

Definite-lived intangible assets held and used are reviewed for impairment when events or changes in business circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. If indicators of potential impairment are identified, the Company performs undiscounted cash flow analysis to determine if impairment exists. The asset value would be deemed impaired if the undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the asset did not exceed its carrying value. If impairment is determined to exist, any related impairment loss is calculated based on fair value. There were no triggering events identified during Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014 that necessitated an impairment test of definite-lived intangible assets.

Impairment reviews are conducted at the judgment of management when it believes that a change in circumstances in the business or external factors warrants a review. Circumstances such as the discontinuation of a product or product line, a sudden or consistent decline in the sales forecast for a product, changes in technology or in the way an asset is being used, a history of operating or cash flow losses, or an adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate, among others, may trigger an impairment review. Refer to Note 12, Goodwill and Intangibles, net, for further detail. Investments

The Company's investments are reflected in "Other assets" in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets and consisted of (i) marketable equity securities classified as trading and carried at fair value with unrealized gains and losses recognized in earnings and (ii) investments in debt securities with amortized cost approximating fair value that were designated available-for-sale ("AFS") and carried at fair value with unrealized gains and losses included in "Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)" ("AOCI"), net of deferred income taxes. Refer to Note 13, Other Assets, for further detail.

Asset-based Loans

Allowance for Credit Losses

The Company's asset-based loans at September 30, 2016 and 2015 of \$35.0 and \$226.7, respectively, are reflected in "Other assets" in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. Originated asset-based loans that are intended to be held in the Company's portfolio are stated at the principal amount outstanding, adjusted for an allowance for credit losses. The delinquency status is based upon the contractual terms of the loans. At September 30, 2016, the Company had delinquent loans with a net carrying value of \$30.1.

The allowance for credit losses represents the Company's estimate of probable losses inherent in its lending activities and is initially established upon origination of a loan. The allowance for credit losses does not include amounts related to accrued interest receivable, as accrued interest receivable is reversed when a loan is placed on nonaccrual status. The adequacy of the allowance for credit losses on a combined loan basis is being constantly evaluated. The Company will charge loans off against its allowance for credit losses when it becomes evident that the Company will not fully collect the balance of the loan. The provision for credit losses related to the loan portfolio is charged to "Impairments and bad debt expense" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Included in the allowance for credit losses are reserves that are maintained to cover uncertainties that affect the Company's estimate of probable losses, including domestic and global economic uncertainty and large single name defaults. This collective allowance for credit losses is calculated using loss rates delineated by risk rating and loan type. Factors considered when assessing loss rates include the value of the underlying collateral, if applicable, the industry of the obligor, and the obligor's liquidity and other financial indicators along with certain qualitative factors. If necessary, a specific allowance is also established for loans if they are deemed to be individually impaired. A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that Company will be unable to collect all amounts due, including principal and/or interest, according to the contractual terms of the agreement. Once a loan has been identified as potentially impaired, management measures impairment based on the present value of payments expected to be received, discounted at the loans' original effective contractual interest rates, or discounted at the portfolio average contractual annual percentage rate. Impaired loans may also be measured based on observable market prices, or for loans that are solely dependent on the collateral for repayment, the estimated fair value of the collateral less estimated costs to sell. If the recorded investment in impaired loans exceeds this amount, a specific allowance is established as a component of the allowance for loan losses.

Credit Quality Indicators

The Company monitors credit quality as indicated by various factors and utilizes such information in its evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for credit losses. The Company is a non-bank asset-based lender, who uses a bank-compatible risk rating scale as a guide as to the relative risk of the loan. This scale places primary reliance on a loan's cash-flow as a source of repayment, as compared to Company's primary reliance on the sale or liquidation of collateral. The Company's accounting and credit teams review all substandard loans for any potential impairment on a quarterly basis.

The likelihood of collectibility in accordance with the contractual terms of a loan is, in large part, dependent upon the assessed level of risk associated with the specific loan. Borrowers provide the Company with financial information, in accordance with the loan agreement. Additionally, the Company performs further credit due diligence, such as conducting site visits to the borrowers, as well as obtaining collateral appraisals as a measure of safeguard against decline in loans' collateral values. The Company internally risk rates loans based on individual criteria on at least a quarterly basis. The internal rating that is assigned to a loan provides a view as to the relative risk of each loan. The Company employs an internal risk rating scale to establish a view of the credit quality of each loan. This scale is based on the credit classifications of assets as prescribed by industry standards for the banking industry. The internal risk rating scale is separated into the following groups:

Pass - Loans with standard, acceptable levels of credit risk. The Company scores these loans between 1 and 5; Special mention - Loans that have potential weaknesses that deserve close attention, and which, if left uncorrected, may result in deterioration of the Company's credit position at some future date. The Company scores these loans as a 6;

Substandard - Loans that are inadequately protected by the current sound worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified must have a well defined weakness or weaknesses and are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Company will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. Although substandard loans in the aggregate may have a distinct potential for loss, an individual loan's loss potential does not have to be distinct for the asset to be rated substandard. The Company scores these loans as 7; and Doubtful - Loans that have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as Substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full improbable based on currently existing facts, conditions, and values. The Company scores these loans as an 8.

Derivative Financial Instruments

Derivative assets and liabilities are reported at fair value in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. When hedge accounting is elected at inception, the Company formally designates the financial instrument as a hedge of a specific underlying exposure and documents both the risk management objectives and strategies for undertaking the hedge. Depending on the nature of derivatives designated as hedging instruments, changes in fair value are either offset against the change in fair value of the hedged assets or liability through earnings or recognized in equity through other comprehensive income until the hedged item is recognized. Any ineffective portion of a financial instrument's change in fair value is recognized in earnings. For derivatives that do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment, the change in the fair value is recognized in earnings.

Consumer Products Segment

Derivative financial instruments are used by the Company's Consumer Products segment principally in the management of its interest rate, foreign currency exchange rate and raw material price exposures. The Company's Consumer Products segment does not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

Insurance Segment

The Company's insurance segment hedges certain portions of its economic exposure to product related equity market risk by entering into derivative transactions. Such derivative instruments are not designated as hedging instruments in accordance with hedge accounting with change in fair value recognized within "Net investment gains (losses)" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The Company purchases financial instruments and issues products that may contain embedded derivative instruments. If it is determined that the embedded derivative possesses economic characteristics that are not clearly and closely related to the economic characteristics of the host contract, and a separate instrument with the same terms would qualify as a derivative instrument, the embedded derivative is bifurcated from the host contract for measurement purposes. The embedded derivative is carried at fair value with changes in fair value reported in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Corporate and Other

Prior to exercising its option to convert its outstanding preferred stock on May 15, 2014 (except for one share of preferred stock that remains outstanding and only has information and governance rights), such outstanding preferred stock contained a conversion feature. If the Company were to have issued certain equity securities at a price lower than the conversion price of the respective preferred stock, the conversion price would have been adjusted downward to reflect the dilutive effect of the newly issued securities (a "down round" provision). In accordance with the guidance in ASC Topic 815, "Derivatives and Hedging," the conversion feature was considered to be an embedded derivative that must be separately accounted for as a liability at fair value with any changes in fair value reported in current earnings. The embedded derivative was bifurcated from the host contracts as of the respective issuance dates, marked to fair value with the change in fair value shown separately in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. Reinsurance

Front Street Re (Cayman) Ltd. ("Front Street Cayman") has entered into various reinsurance agreements on a funds withheld basis, meaning that funds are withheld by the ceding company from the coinsurance premium owed to Front Street Cayman as collateral for Front Street Cayman's payment obligations. Accordingly, the collateral assets remain under the ultimate ownership of the ceding company. Front Street Cayman manages the assets supporting reserves in accordance with the internal investment policy of the ceding companies and applicable law. Front Street Cayman has entered into such reinsurance agreements with FGL, as well as third parties as further described below. For segment reporting purposes, at the inception date of the reinsurance transactions, Front Street elected to apply the fair value option to account for its funds withheld receivables, non-funds withheld assets and future policyholder benefits reserves related to its assumed reinsurance. For consolidated reporting, the results from Front Street's assumed reinsurance business with FGL is reported on FGL's historical basis. Upon completion of the FGL Merger, the Company's consolidated results will reflect all reinsurance business on the fair value option.

Reinsurance Agreements with FGL

On December 31, 2012, following regulatory approval, Front Street Cayman entered into a coinsurance agreement (the "Cayman Reinsurance Agreement") with FGL. Pursuant to the Cayman Reinsurance Agreement, Front Street Cayman reinsured a 10% quota share percentage of certain FGL annuity liabilities.

Effective September 17, 2014, FGL entered into a second reinsurance treaty (the "MYGA Treaty", and together with the Cayman Reinsurance Agreement, the "Reinsurance Agreements with FGL") with Front Street Cayman whereby FGL ceded 30% of any new business of its multi-year guaranteed deferred annuity ("MYGA") block of business on a funds withheld basis. This treaty was subsequently terminated as to new business effective April 30, 2015, but remains in effect for policies ceded to Front Street Cayman with an effective date between September 17, 2014 and April 30, 2015.

At September 30, 2016 and 2015, Front Street had \$978.8 and \$1,058.0, respectively, of funds withheld receivables and \$1,119.5 and \$1,226.8, respectively, of insurance reserves related to the Reinsurance Agreements with FGL.

The funds withheld receivables portfolio related to the Reinsurance Agreements with FGL consists of investments in debt and equity securities that are carried at fair value with unrealized gains and losses included in AOCI, net of associated intangibles "shadow adjustments" and deferred income taxes. The funds withheld receivables portfolio also includes cash, derivatives and accrued income.

The liabilities for contractholder funds for deferred annuities consist of contract account balances that accrue to the benefit of the contractholders, excluding surrender charges and other liabilities. The liabilities for fixed index annuities ("FIA") consist of the value of the host contract plus the value of the embedded derivative. The embedded derivative is carried at fair value in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets with changes in fair value reported in "Benefits and other changes in policy reserves" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. Liabilities for immediate annuities without life contingencies are recorded at the present value of future benefits. Liabilities for investment-type contracts are calculated by multiplying the benefit ratio by the cumulative assessments recorded from contract inception through the balance sheet date plus interest. If experience or assumption changes result in a new benefit ratio, the reserves are adjusted to reflect the changes.

The liabilities for future policy benefits and claim reserves life contingent pay-out annuity policies are computed using assumptions for investment yields, mortality and withdrawals based principally on generally accepted actuarial methods and assumptions at the time of contract issue. The investment yield assumptions for life contingent pay-out annuities range from 0.8% to 6.0%.

Reinsurance agreements with third parties

On December 16, 2013, Front Street Cayman closed a reinsurance treaty with Bankers Life Insurance Company. Under the terms of the treaty, Bankers Life Insurance Company ceded annuity business to Front Street Cayman, on a funds withheld basis. At September 30, 2016 and 2015, Front Street had \$125.8 and \$148.3, respectively, of funds withheld receivables and \$119.0 and \$145.7, respectively, of insurance reserves related to this transaction. On October 31, 2014, Front Street Cayman purchased Ability Re from Ability Re Holdings. The Ability Re acquisition consisted of long-term care reinsurance business. The acquired reinsurance agreements complement Front Street Cayman's existing in force long-duration insurance liabilities. At September 30, 2016 and 2015, Front Street had \$295.6 and \$357.5, respectively, of funds withheld receivables and \$271.5 and \$348.4, respectively, of insurance reserves related to this transaction.

During Fiscal 2015, Front Street Cayman also closed three additional reinsurance transactions with unaffiliated parties. At September 30, 2016 and 2015, Front Street had \$250.2 and \$146.3, respectively, of funds withheld receivables and \$241.3 and \$135.1, respectively, of insurance reserves related to these transactions. Front Street elected to apply the fair value option to account for its funds withheld receivables, non-funds withheld assets and future policyholder benefits reserve related to its assumed reinsurance with third parties. Front Street measures fair value of the funds withheld receivables based on the fair values of the securities in the underlying funds withheld portfolio held by the cedant. The non-funds withheld assets held by Front Street, backing the future policyholder benefits reserve, are measured at fair value. Policy loans included in the funds withheld receivables with third parties are measured at amortized cost, which approximates fair value.

Front Street uses a discounted cash flows approach to measure the fair value of the future policyholder benefits reserve. The cash flows associated with future policy premiums and benefits are generated using best estimate assumptions (plus a risk margin, where applicable) and are consistent with market prices, where available. Risk margins are typically applied to non-observable, non-hedgeable market inputs such as mortality, morbidity, lapse, discount rate for non-performance risk, discount rate for risk margin, surrenders, etc. Mortality relates to the occurrence of death. Mortality assumptions are based upon the experience of the cedant as well as past and emerging industry experience, when available. Morbidity relates to the occurrence of a claim status and is a key assumption for the long term care business. Morbidity assumptions are based upon the experience of the cedant as well as past and emerging industry experience, when available. Mortality and morbidity assumptions may be different by sex, underwriting class and policy type. Assumptions are also made for future mortality and morbidity improvements. Policies are terminated through surrenders and maturities, where surrenders represent the voluntary terminations of policies by policyholders and maturities are determined by policy contract terms. Surrender assumptions are based upon cedant experience adjusted for expected future conditions. As of December 31, 2015, Front Street began

discounting the liability cash flows by using the market yields on the underlying assets backing the liabilities plus a risk margin to reflect uncertainty and adjusts the discount rate to reflect the credit risk of Front Street. In prior periods, the discount rate was based on risk free rates plus non-performance spreads plus a risk margin and a factor to reflect own credit risk. The change in discount rate methodology reduced the fair value of the Front Street future policyholder benefit liability by \$7.0 at December 31, 2015.

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the Front Street future policyholder benefit liability are non-performance risk spread and risk spread to reflect uncertainty. Significant increases (decreases) in non-performance risk spread and risk margin to reflect uncertainty would result in a lower (higher) fair value measurement.

Refer to Note 7, Fair Value of Financial Instruments, for further detail.

Use of Estimates and Assumptions

The Company periodically, and at least annually, reviews the assumptions associated with reserves for policy benefits and product guarantees. With respect to the Reinsurance Agreements with FGL, as part of the assumption review process in Fiscal 2016, changes were made to the surrender rates and earned rates to bring assumptions in line with current and expected future experience; in Fiscal 2015, changes were made to the earned rates and the guaranteed option costs; and in Fiscal 2014, changes were made to the changes were made to the surrender rates, earned rates and future index credits. The assumption changes associated with the Reinsurance Agreements with FGL resulted in an increase in insurance reserves of \$1.0 in Fiscal 2016, an increase in insurance agreements with third parties, as part of the assumption review process in Fiscal 2014. With respect to the reinsurance agreements with third parties, as part of the assumption review process in Fiscal 2016, changes were made to morbidity and premium rate increases to bring assumptions in line with current and expected future experience; in Fiscal 2015, changes were made to morbidity; and in Fiscal 2014, there were no changes made as part of the assumption review process. The assumption changes associated with the reinsurance agreements with third parties resulted in a decrease in reserves of \$1.6 in Fiscal 2016 and an increase in insurance reserves of \$12.0 in Fiscal 2015.

Funds Withheld Receivables with FGL - OTTI

The Company's available-for-sale securities included in the funds withheld portfolio related to the reinsurance agreements with FGL with unrealized losses are reviewed for potential other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI"). In evaluating whether a decline in value is other-than-temporary, the Company considers several factors including, but not limited to, the following: (1) the extent and the duration of the decline; (2) the reasons for the decline in value (credit event, currency or interest-rate related, including general credit spread widening); and (3) the financial condition of and near-term prospects of the issuer. The Company also considers the ability and intent to hold the investment for a period of time to allow for a recovery of value.

When assessing the Company's intent to sell a debt security or if it is more likely than not the Company will be required to sell a debt security before recovery of its cost basis, the Company evaluates facts and circumstances such as, but not limited to, decisions to reposition the Company's security portfolio, sale of securities to meet cash flow needs and sales of securities to capitalize on favorable pricing and tax planning strategies. In order to determine the amount of the credit loss for a security, the Company calculates the recovery value by performing a discounted cash flow analysis based on the current cash flows and future cash flows the Company expects to recover. The discount rate is the effective interest rate implicit in the underlying security. The effective interest rate is the original purchased yield or the yield at the date the debt security was previously impaired.

The Company analyzes its ability to recover the amortized cost by comparing the net present value of cash flows expected to be collected with the amortized cost of the security. For mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities, cash flow estimates consider the payment terms of the underlying assets backing a particular security, including interest rate and prepayment assumptions, based on data from widely accepted third-party data sources or internal estimates. In addition to interest rate and prepayment assumptions, cash flow estimates also include other assumptions regarding the underlying collateral including default rates and recoveries, which vary based on the asset type and geographic location, as well as the vintage year of the security. For structured securities, the payment priority within the tranche structure is also considered. For all other debt securities, cash flow estimates are driven by assumptions regarding probability of default and estimates regarding timing and amount of recoveries associated with a default. If the net present value is less than the amortized cost of the investment, an OTTI is recognized.

The Company includes the total OTTI recognized in "Net investment gains (losses)" on the face of the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations, with an offset for the amount of non-credit impairments recognized in AOCI. The Company discloses the amount of OTTI recognized in AOCI and other disclosures related to OTTI in Note 13, Other Assets, and the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss). Income Taxes

Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in

which the temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax laws or rates is recognized in the income in the period that includes the enactment date. The Company has the ability and intent to recover in a tax-free manner assets (or liabilities) with book/tax basis differences for which no deferred taxes have been provided, in accordance with ASC Topic 740, Income Taxes. The Company recognizes the effect of income tax positions only if those positions are more-likely-than-not to be sustained. Recognized income tax positions are measured at the largest amount that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized. Changes in recognition or measurement are reflected in the period in which the change in judgment occurs. Accrued interest expense and penalties related to uncertain tax positions are recorded in "Income tax expense (benefit)" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Refer to Note 19, Income Taxes, for further detail.

Stock-Based compensation

The fair values of restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards are determined based on the market price of HRG's common stock on the grant date. The fair value of stock option awards and warrants are determined using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. HRG uses the simplified method to estimate the expected option term for stock option grants, as the Company does not have a sufficient history of stock option exercises to reliably estimate the expected option term. HRG recognizes stock based compensation expense in income on a straight line basis over the requisite service period for each separately vesting portion of such stock based compensation awards. In certain instances during Fiscal 2016, the Company repurchased restricted stock upon vesting from its current and former officers and employees to cover the minimum applicable statutory taxes. The Company classifies certain stock awards as liabilities. For these awards, the fair value is classified as a liability in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets, and the liability is marked-to-market through net income at the end of each reporting period, and included in "Selling, acquisition, operating and general expenses" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. Spectrum Brands measures the compensation expense of its stock-based compensation awards, which consist of restricted stock units, based on the fair value of the awards at the date of grant and recognizes these costs on a straight line basis over the requisite service period of the awards. The fair value of the restricted stock units is determined based on the market price of Spectrum Brands' shares of common stock on the grant date.

Refer to Note 18, Stock-Based Compensation, for further detail.

Employee Benefit Obligations

The recognition and disclosure provisions of ASC Topic 715: "Compensation-Retirement Benefits" ("ASC 715") requires recognition of the overfunded or underfunded status of defined benefit pension and postretirement plans as an asset or liability in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets, and to recognize changes in that funded status in AOCI. In accordance with the measurement date provisions of ASC 715, the Company measures all of its defined benefit pension and postretirement plan assets and obligations as of September 30, which is the Company's fiscal year end. Refer to Note 17, Employee Benefit Obligations, for further detail.

Foreign Currency Translation

Local currencies are considered the functional currencies for most of the Company's operations outside the United States ("U.S."). Assets and liabilities of the Company's foreign subsidiaries are translated at the rate of exchange existing at year-end, with revenues, expenses, and cash flows translated at the average of the monthly exchange rates. Adjustments resulting from translation of the financial statements are recorded as a component of equity in AOCI, including the effects of exchange rate changes on intercompany balances of a long-term investment nature. As of September 30, 2016 and 2015, accumulated losses related to foreign currency translation adjustments of \$92.4 and \$87.3 (net of taxes and non-controlling interest), respectively, were reflected in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets in AOCI.

Foreign currency transaction gains and losses for transactions denominated in a currency other than the functional currency are reported in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations in the period they occur. Exchange losses on foreign currency transactions aggregating \$6.8, \$26.8 and \$7.6 for Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively, are included in "Other income (expense), net" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Revenue Recognition

Net Consumer and Other Product Sales

The Company recognizes revenue from product sales generally upon delivery to the customer, or at the shipping point in situations where the customer picks up the product or where delivery terms so stipulate. This represents the point at which title and risks and rewards of ownership of the product are passed, provided that: there are no uncertainties regarding customer acceptance; there is persuasive evidence that an arrangement exists; the price to the buyer is fixed or determinable; and ability to collect is deemed reasonably assured. The provision for customer returns is based on historical sales and returns and other relevant information. The Company estimates and accrues the cost of returns, which are treated as a reduction of "Net consumer and other product sales" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The Company enters into promotional arrangements, primarily with retail customers, that entitle such retailers to earn cash rebates from the Company. These arrangements require the Company to estimate and accrue the costs of these

programs, which are treated as a reduction of "Net consumer and other product sales" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The Company also enters into promotional arrangements that target the ultimate consumer. The costs associated with such arrangements are treated as either a reduction of "Net consumer and other product sales" or an increase of "Cost of consumer products and other goods sold," based on the type of promotional program. The income statement presentation of the Company's promotional arrangements complies with ASC Topic 605, "Revenue Recognition." For all types of promotional arrangements and programs, the Company monitors its commitments under all promotional arrangements and uses various measures, including past experience, to determine amounts to be recorded for the estimate of the earned, but unpaid, promotional costs. The terms

Table of Contents

of the Company's customer-related promotional arrangements and programs are tailored to each customer and are documented through written contracts, correspondence or other communications with the individual customers. The Company also enters into various arrangements, primarily with retail customers, which require the Company to make upfront cash payments in order to secure the right to distribute through such customers. The Company capitalizes these payments provided the payments are supported by a time or volume based arrangement with the retailer, and amortizes the associated payment over the appropriate time or volume based term of the arrangement. Capitalized payments are treated as a reduction of "Net consumer and other product sales" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations and a corresponding asset is reported in "Other assets" in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Net Investment Income

Dividends and interest income of Front Street and Salus and on the Reinsurance Agreements with FGL recorded in "Net investment income," are recognized when earned, net of related expenses. Amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts on investments in fixed maturity securities related to the Reinsurance Agreements with FGL are reflected in "Net investment income" over the contractual terms of the investments in a manner that produces a constant effective yield.

For asset-backed securities included in the fixed maturity AFS securities portfolios, which are related to the Reinsurance Agreements with FGL, the Company recognizes income using a constant effective yield based on anticipated prepayments and the estimated economic life of the securities. When actual prepayments differ significantly from originally anticipated prepayments, the effective yield is recalculated prospectively to reflect actual payments to date plus anticipated future payments. Any adjustments resulting from changes in effective yield are reflected in "Net investment income".

Net investment (losses) gains

Net investment (losses) gains include realized losses and gains from the sale of investments, write-downs for OTTI of AFS investments related to the Reinsurance Agreements with FGL; dividends and interest income and changes in the fair value of Front Street's funds withheld receivables with third parties; and gains and losses on derivative investments.

Insurance and investment product fees and other

Insurance premiums and product fees are reported in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations within the caption "Insurance and investment product fees and other." Insurance premiums for traditional life insurance products are recognized as revenue when due from the contractholder. Traditional life insurance products include those products with fixed and guaranteed premiums and benefits and consist primarily of term life insurance and certain annuities with life contingencies.

Premium collections for fixed indexed and fixed rate annuities and immediate annuities without life contingency are reported as deposit liabilities (i.e., contractholder funds) instead of as revenues. Similarly, cash payments to policyholders are reported as decreases in the liability for contractholder funds and not as expenses. Sources of revenues for products accounted for as deposit liabilities are net investment income, surrender and other charges deducted from contractholder funds, and net realized gains (losses) on investments.

Product fee revenue from deferred annuities is comprised of policy and contract fees charged for the cost of insurance policy administration and rider fees that are assessed on a monthly basis, and recognized as revenue when assessed and earned. Product fee revenue also includes surrender charges which are recognized and collected when the policy is surrendered.

Shipping and Handling Costs

Shipping and handling costs, which are included in "Selling, acquisition, operating and general expenses" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations, include costs incurred with third-party carriers to transport products to customers and salaries and overhead costs related to activities to prepare the Company's products for shipment at the Company's distribution facilities. The Company's shipping and handling costs were \$294.7, \$272.9 and \$260.3 during Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Advertising Costs

Advertising costs, which are included in "Selling, acquisition, operating and general expenses" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations, include agency fees and other costs to create advertisements, as well as costs paid to third parties to print or broadcast the Company's advertisements and are expensed as incurred. The Company incurred advertising costs of \$39.8, \$35.1 and \$21.5 during Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Research and Development Costs

Research and development costs are charged to "Selling, acquisition, operating and general expenses" in the period they are incurred. The Company incurred research and development costs of \$58.7, \$51.3 and \$47.9 during Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Environmental Expenditures

Environmental expenditures that relate to current operations or to conditions caused by past operations are expensed or capitalized as appropriate. The Company determines its liability for environmental matters on a site-by-site basis and records a liability at the time when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and such liability can be reasonably estimated. The estimated liability is not reduced for possible recoveries from insurance carriers. Estimated environmental remediation expenditures are included in the determination of the net realizable value recorded for assets held for sale.

Legal Matters and Contingencies

The Company records legal fees and accruals in accordance with ASC Topic 450, "Contingencies". Contingencies arising from environmental remediation costs, regulatory judgments, claims, assessments, guarantees, litigation, recourse reserves, fines, penalties and other sources are recorded when deemed probable and reasonably estimable. Restructuring and Related Charges

Restructuring charges include, but are not limited to the costs of one-time termination benefits such as severance costs and retention bonuses, and contract termination costs consisting primarily of lease termination costs. Related charges, as defined by the Company, include, but are not limited to, other costs directly associated with exit and relocation activities, including impairment of property and other assets, departmental costs of full-time incremental employees, and any other items related to the exit or relocation activities. Costs for such activities are estimated by management after evaluating detailed analyses of the costs to be incurred.

Liabilities from restructuring and related charges are recorded for estimated costs of facility closures, significant organizational adjustments and measures undertaken by management to exit certain activities. Costs for such activities are estimated by management after evaluating detailed analyses of the costs to be incurred. Such liabilities could include amounts for items such as severance costs and related benefits, impairment of property and equipment and other current or long term assets, lease termination payments and any other items directly related to the exit activities. Restructuring and related charges associated with manufacturing and related initiatives are recorded in "Cost of consumer products and other goods sold". Restructuring and related charges reflected in cost of goods sold include, but are not limited to, termination and related costs associated with manufacturing employees, asset impairments relating to manufacturing initiatives and other costs directly related to the manufacturing components of a restructuring initiative. Restructuring and related charges associated with administrative functions are recorded in operating expenses, such as initiatives impacting sales, marketing, distribution or other non-manufacturing related functions reflected in "Selling, acquisition, operating and general expenses". Restructuring and related charges reflected in operating expenses include, but are not limited to, termination and related costs, any asset impairments relating to the administrative functions and other costs directly related to the administrative components of the restructuring initiatives implemented.

See Note 20, Restructuring and Related Charges, for further detail.

Acquisition and Integration Related Charges

Acquisition and integration related charges include, but are not limited to, transaction costs such as banking, legal, accounting and other professional fees directly related to both consummated acquisitions and acquisition targets, termination and related costs for transitional and certain other employees, integration related professional fees and other post business combination expenses associated with integration activity.

Benefits and Other Changes in Policy Reserves

Benefit expenses for deferred annuity and FIA policies related to the Reinsurance Agreements with FGL include index credits and interest credited to contractholder account balances and benefit claims incurred during the period in excess of contract account balances. For the periods from Fiscal 2014 through Fiscal 2016, the interest crediting rates associated with funds invested ranged from 0.0% to 6.0% for deferred annuities and FIAs, combined. Other changes in policy reserves include the change in the fair value of the FIA embedded derivative and the change in the reserve for secondary guarantee benefit payments. For immediate annuities, policy benefit claims are charged to expense in the period that the claims are incurred.

Benefits and other changes in policy reserves also include changes in the fair value of the insurance liabilities with third parties.

Interest Expense

Interest expense on the Company's short-term and long-term debt is recognized as due and any associated premiums, discounts, and costs are amortized (accreted) over the term of the related borrowing utilizing the effective interest method. Interest expense also includes fees on the Company's credit facilities.

Earnings per Share ("EPS")

The Company computes net income (loss) per common share in accordance with ASC Topic 260, "Earnings per Share." Basic net income (loss) per share is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average shares outstanding for the period.

Diluted net income (loss) per share is calculated in the same manner, but shares outstanding are adjusted to reflect the potential dilution that would occur if unvested options, warrants, restricted stock units and unvested restricted stock awards were vested and if outstanding preferred stock was converted to common stock. The dilutive effects of such stock-based compensation awards are calculated using the treasury stock method. In periods where losses are recorded, inclusion of potentially dilutive securities in the calculation would decrease the loss per common share and therefore they are not added to the weighted average number of shares outstanding due to their anti-dilutive effect. Refer to Note 21, Earnings Per Share, for further detail.

Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) includes foreign currency translation gains and losses on assets and liabilities of foreign subsidiaries, effects of exchange rate changes on intercompany balances of a long-term nature and transactions designated as a hedge of a net investment in a foreign subsidiary, deferred gains and losses on derivative financial instruments designated as cash flow hedges, actuarial adjustments to pension plans, and unrealized gains (losses) and non-credit related OTTI on investment securities classified as AFS of business held for sale. Net unrealized gains and losses on investment securities classified as AFS by the business held for sale are reduced by deferred income taxes and adjustments to intangible assets that would have resulted had such gains and losses been realized. The foreign currency translation gains and losses for Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014 were primarily attributable to the impact of translation of the net assets of Spectrum Brands' European and Latin American operations, which primarily have functional currencies in Euros, Pounds Sterling, Mexican Peso and Brazilian Real.

Refer to Note 16, Shareholders' Equity, for further detail.

Fair Value Measurements

The Company's measurement of fair value is based on assumptions used by market participants in pricing the asset or liability, which may include inherent risk, restrictions on the sale or use of an asset or non-performance risk, which may include the Company's own credit risk. The Company's estimate of an exchange price is the price in an orderly transaction between market participants to sell the asset or transfer the liability ("exit price") in the principal market, or the most advantageous market in the absence of a principal market, for that asset or liability, as opposed to the price that would be paid to acquire the asset or receive a liability ("entry price"). The Company categorizes financial instruments carried at fair value into a three-level fair value hierarchy, based on the priority of inputs to the respective valuation technique. The three-level hierarchy for fair value measurement is defined as follows:

Level 1 — Values are unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets and liabilities in active markets accessible at the measurement date.

Level 2 — Inputs include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices from those willing to trade in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by market data for the term of the instrument. Such inputs include market interest rates and volatilities, spreads and yield curves.

Level 3 — Certain inputs are unobservable (supported by little or no market activity) and significant to the fair value measurement. Unobservable inputs reflect the Company's best estimate of what hypothetical market participants would use to determine a transaction price for the asset or liability at the reporting date based on the best information available in the circumstances.

In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, an investment's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lower level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment and considers factors specific to the investment.

When a determination is made to classify an asset or liability within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, the determination is based upon the significance of the unobservable inputs to the overall fair value measurement. Because certain securities trade in less liquid or illiquid markets with limited or no pricing information, the determination of fair value for these securities is inherently more difficult. However, Level 3 fair value investments may include, in addition to the unobservable or Level 3 inputs, observable components, which are components that are actively quoted or can be validated to market-based sources.

Funds Withheld Receivables, Fixed Maturity Securities, Equity Securities and Other Invested Assets

The Company measures the fair value of its securities based on assumptions used by market participants in pricing the security. The appropriate valuation methodology is selected based on the specific characteristics of the fixed maturity or equity security, and the Company will then consistently apply the valuation methodology to measure the security's fair value. The Company's fair value measurement is based on a market approach, which utilizes prices and other relevant information generated by market transactions involving identical or comparable securities. Sources of inputs to the market approach include a third-party pricing service, independent broker quotations or pricing matrices. The Company uses observable and unobservable inputs in its valuation methodologies. Observable inputs include benchmark yields, reported trades, broker-dealer quotes, issuer spreads, two-sided markets, benchmark securities, bids, offers and reference data. In addition, market indicators and industry and economic events are monitored and further market data will be acquired when certain thresholds are met. For certain security types, additional inputs may be used, or some of the inputs described above may not be applicable. For broker-quoted only securities, quotes

from market makers or broker-dealers are obtained from sources recognized to be market participants. Management believes the broker quotes are prices at which trades could be executed based on historical trends executed at broker-quoted or slightly higher prices. The Company did not adjust prices received from third parties as of September 30, 2016 and 2015. However, the Company does analyze the third-party valuation methodologies and its related inputs to perform assessments to determine the appropriate level within the fair value hierarchy. Derivatives

The fair values of the embedded derivatives in Front Street's assumed FIA business from FGL are derived using market indices, pricing assumptions and historical data. The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the embedded derivatives in Front Street's assumed FIA business are market value of option, interest swap rates, mortality multiplier, surrender rates, and non-performance spread. The mortality multiplier at September 30, 2016 and 2015 was applied to the Annuity 2000 mortality tables. Significant increases or decreases in the market value of an option in isolation would result in a higher or lower, respectively, fair value measurement. Significant increases or decreases in interest swap rates, mortality multiplier, surrender rates, or non-performance spread in isolation would result in a lower or higher, respectively, fair value measurement. Generally, a change in any one unobservable input would not result in a change in any other unobservable input.

Spectrum Brands' derivative assets and liabilities are valued on a recurring basis using internal models, which are based on market observable inputs including interest rate curves and both forward and spot prices for currencies and commodities, which are generally based on quoted or observed market prices and classified as Level 2. The fair value of certain derivatives is estimated using pricing models based on contracts with similar terms and risks. Modeling techniques assume market correlation and volatility, such as using prices of one delivery point to calculate the price of the contract's different delivery point. The nominal value of interest rate transactions is discounted using applicable forward interest rate curves. In addition, by applying a credit reserve which is calculated based on credit default swaps or published default probabilities for the actual and potential asset value, the fair value of Spectrum Brands' derivative assets reflects the risk that the counterparties to these contracts may default on the obligations. Likewise, by assessing the requirements of a reserve for non-performance which is calculated based on the probability of default by Spectrum Brands, it adjusts its derivative liabilities to reflect the price at which a potential market participant would be willing to assume Spectrum Brands' liabilities.

The Company has not changed its valuation techniques in measuring the fair value of any derivative assets and liabilities during the year.

Refer to Note 7, Fair Value of Financial Instruments, for further detail. Refer to the "Reinsurance" section above for an explanation of the fair value measurements used to account Front Street Cayman's reinsurance agreements.

Reclassifications

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified or combined to conform to the current year presentation. These reclassifications and combinations had no effect on previously reported results of operations or accumulated deficit. Subsequent Events

ASC Topic 855, "Subsequent Events" ("ASC 855"), establishes general standards of accounting and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. ASC 855 requires the Company to evaluate events that occur after the balance sheet date through the date the Company's financial statements are issued and to determine whether adjustments to or additional disclosures in the financial statements are necessary. The Company has evaluated subsequent events through the date these financial statements were issued. See Note 4, Divestitures, for discussion of the extension of the outside termination for the completion of the FGL Merger Agreement from November 7, 2016 to February 8, 2017, and Note 15, Debt, for Spectrum Brands' redemption of the remaining \$129.7 aggregate principal amount of 6.375% Notes, as well as Spectrum Brands' replacement of all of its U.S. dollar-denominated term loans with new U.S. dollar-denominated term loans that carry lower interest rate margins. See Note 1, Basis of Presentation and Nature of Operations, for the announcement that Mr. Omar Asali, President, Chief Executive Officer and a director of the Company, plans to leave the Company and the Company's review of strategic alternatives. No other significant events occurred subsequent to September 30, 2016.

Newly Adopted Accounting Standards

Debt Issuance Costs

In April 2015, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued ASU No. 2015-03, Interest-Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs ("ASU 2015-03"). The accounting guidance requires that debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability be reported in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of that debt liability. Current guidance generally requires entities to capitalize costs paid to third parties that are directly related to issuing debt and that otherwise wouldn't be incurred, and present those amounts separately as deferred charges. The Company elected to early adopt ASU 2015-03 effective March 31, 2016. The Company applied the new guidance on a retrospective basis, wherein the balance sheet of each individual period presented was adjusted to reflect the period-specific effects of applying the new guidance. The reclassification of unamortized debt issuance costs resulted in reductions in other assets and debt of \$101.8 and reductions in assets and liabilities of business held for sale of \$4.1

as of September 30, 2015. Other than this reclassification, the adoption of this guidance did not have an impact in the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements, debt issuance costs continue to be capitalized and amortized to interest expense using the effective interest method over the lives of the related debt agreements.

Refer to Note 15, Debt, for further detail.

Simplifying the Accounting for Measurement-Period Adjustments

In September 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-16, Business Combinations (Topic 805): Simplifying the Accounting for Measurement-Period Adjustments ("ASU 2015-16"). ASU 2015-16 simplifies the presentation of provisional amounts recognized in a business combination during the measurement period (one year from the date of acquisition). Whereas the prior guidance required retrospective adjustment of prior periods, ASU 2015-16 eliminates this requirement. The Company adopted ASU 2015-16 effective the first day of Fiscal 2016 and all subsequent measurement period adjustments are recorded in the period identified, resulting in the recognition of adjustments to goodwill of \$3.3 as of September 30, 2016 related to Spectrum Brands' acquisition of Armored AutoGroup Parent Inc. ("AAG"). See Note 12, Goodwill and Intangibles, net for adjustments to goodwill.

Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Taxes

In November 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-17, Income Taxes (Topic 740): Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Taxes ("ASU 2015-17"). ASU 2015-17 requires that the presentation of deferred tax assets and liabilities be classified as noncurrent on the balance sheet instead of separating deferred taxes into current and noncurrent amounts. The new guidance requires all deferred tax assets and liabilities to be presented as noncurrent as the separate current classification results in little to no benefit to users of the financial statements because the classification does not generally align with the time period in which the recognized deferred tax amounts are expected to be recovered or settled. The Company elected to early adopt ASU 2015-07 effective March 31, 2016. The Company applied the new guidance on a retrospective basis, resulting in a reclassification reducing both deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities by \$39.1 in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 30, 2015.

Refer to Note 19, Income Taxes, for further detail.

Compensation - Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-09, Compensation - Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting ("ASU 2016-09"). ASU 2016-09 provides for changes to the accounting for share-based payment awards issued to employees, primarily income taxes upon award vesting or settlement, cash flow presentations of excess tax benefits and employee withheld taxes paid, as well as an entity forfeiture policy election. ASU 2016-09 is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim periods within those annual periods. Early adoption is permitted for any interim or annual period. The Company has elected to early adopt ASU 2016-09 effective as if adopted the first day of the fiscal year, October 1, 2015.

Under the new guidance, all excess tax benefits and tax deficiencies related to employee stock compensation will be recognized within income tax expense. Under prior guidance, excess tax benefits were recognized to additional paid-in capital and tax deficiencies were only recognized to income tax expense to the extent they exceeded the pool of excess tax benefits.

As of September 30, 2015, there was \$28.4 of unrecognized deferred tax assets attributable to excess tax benefits that were not previously recognized as they did not reduce income taxes payable. The cumulative adjustment for the adoption of ASU 2016-09 did not have an impact on net equity as the incremental deferred tax assets were fully offset by a corresponding increase in the deferred tax asset valuation allowance as of September 30, 2015. The adoption of the new standard impacted the Company's previously reported quarterly results for the recognition of excess tax benefits in the Company's provision for income taxes rather than paid in capital. Further, as part of the adoption, the Company elected to account for forfeitures in compensation cost as they occur.

(3) Acquisitions

In accordance with ASC Topic 805, Business Combinations ("ASC 805"), the Company accounts for acquisitions by applying the acquisition method of accounting. The acquisition method of accounting requires, among other things, that the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a business combination be measured at their fair values as of the closing date of the acquisition. The fair values assigned to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed are based on

valuations using management's best estimates and assumptions and are preliminary pending the completion of the valuation analysis of selected assets and liabilities. During the measurement period (which is not to exceed one year from the acquisition date), the Company is required to retrospectively adjust the provisional assets or liabilities if new information is obtained about facts and circumstances that existed as of the acquisition date that, if known, would have resulted in the recognition of those assets or liabilities as of that date.

Consumer Products Segment

Significant Acquisitions

Armored AutoGroup

On May 21, 2015, Spectrum Brands completed the acquisition of AAG, a consumer products company consisting primarily of Armor All and STP products brands in the automotive aftermarket appearance products and performance chemicals categorizes, respectively, and the AC/PRO brand of do-it-yourself automotive air conditioner recharge products. The results of AAG's operations since May 21, 2015 are included in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Operations for Fiscal 2016 and 2015.

Spectrum Brands recorded an allocation of the purchase price to tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their fair values as of the May 21, 2015 acquisition date. Measurement period adjustments were recorded subsequent to the acquisition date in the period identified. The excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net tangible assets and identifiable intangible assets was recorded as goodwill, which includes value associated with the assembled workforce, including an experienced research team. The calculation of purchase price and purchase price allocation, including measurement period adjustments is as follows:

	Purchase
	Price
Cash consideration	\$ 929.3

	Purchase Price
	Allocation
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 30.9
Receivables, net	156.5
Inventories, net	82.5
Properties, plant and equipment net	37.6
Goodwill	975.4
Intangibles, net	418.0
Other assets	24.7
Accounts payable and other current liabilities	(119.2)
Debt	(540.0)
Other liabilities	(137.1)
Total net assets acquired	\$ 929.3

The purchase price allocation resulted in goodwill of \$975.4 of which \$4.9 is deductible for tax purposes. The values allocated to intangible assets and the weighted average useful lives are as follows:

	Carrying	Weighted Average Useful Life (Years)
	Amount	Weighted Average Oseful Life (Teals)
Tradenames	\$ 295.0	Indefinite
Technology	41.0	10
Licensing agreements	19.0	10
Customer relationships	63.0	15
Total intangibles acquired	\$418.0	

Spectrum Brands performed a valuation of the acquired inventories; property, plant and equipment; tradenames; technologies; licensing agreements; and customer relationships. The following is a summary of significant inputs to the valuation:

Inventories - The replacement cost approach was applied to estimate the fair value of the raw materials and unbranded finished goods inventory. Branded finished goods were valued based on the comparative sales method, which estimates the expected sales price of the finished goods inventory, reduced for all costs expected to be incurred in its completion or disposition and a profit on those costs.

Property, plant and equipment - The market approach was utilized to estimate the fair value of land. The direct cost approach was utilized to estimate the fair value of property, plant and equipment.

Trade names - Spectrum Brands valued indefinite-lived trade names using an income approach, the relief-from-royalty method. Under this method, the asset value was determined by estimating the hypothetical royalties that would have to be paid if the trade names were not owned. Royalty rates were selected based on consideration of several factors, including prior transactions, related trademarks and trade names, other similar trademark licensing and transaction agreements and the relative profitability and perceived contribution of the trade names.

Technology - Spectrum Brands valued technology using an income approach, the relief from royalty method. Under this method, the asset value was determined by estimating the hypothetical royalties that would have to be paid if the technology was not owned. Royalty rates were selected based on consideration of several factors, including prior transactions, related licensing agreements and the importance of the technology and profit levels, among other considerations. Spectrum Brands anticipates using these technologies through the legal life of the underlying patents; therefore, the expected useful life of these technologies is based on the remaining life of the underlying patents. Licensing agreements - Spectrum Brands valued licensing agreements using the income approach. Under this method, the asset value was determined by estimating the revenue stream over the implied life of the agreements. Customer relationships - Spectrum Brands valued customer relationships using an income approach, the multi-period excess earnings method. In determining the fair value of the customer relationships, the multi-period excess earnings approach values the intangible asset at the present value of the incremental after-tax cash flows attributable only to the customer relationship after deducting contributory asset charges. The incremental after-tax cash flows attributable to the subject intangible asset are then discounted to their present value. Only expected sales from current customers were used, which are estimated using annual expected growth rates of 2.0% to 12.1%. Spectrum Brands assumed a customer retention rate of approximately 95.0%, which is supported by historical retention rates. Income taxes were estimated at 38.0% and amounts were discounted using a 9.5% rate.

The following unaudited pro forma combined financial information presents the Company's pro forma results for Fiscal 2015 had the results of AAG been combined as of October 1, 2013:

	Fiscal					
	2015			2014		
	(Unau	dited)				
Pro forma revenues	\$	4,985.5		\$	5,078.0	
Pro forma net loss	(517.5)	(71.4)
Pro forma net loss						
per common share						
attributable to	(2.61)	(0.44)
controlling interest						
- basic						
Pro forma net loss						
per common share						
attributable to	(2.61)	(0.44)
controlling interest						
- diluted						

The Fiscal 2015 unaudited pro forma combined financial results exclude (i) a non-recurring interest expense of \$35.7 related to the extinguishment of AAG debt recognized in connection with the acquisition; (ii) \$47.3 of acquisition and integration related charges incurred as a result of the acquisition; (iii) \$18.8 of non-recurring expense related to the fair value adjustment to acquisition date inventory and (iv) \$10.4 of accelerated share based compensation costs incurred as a result of the acquisition.

Insignificant acquisitions

Salix

On January 16, 2015, Spectrum Brands completed the acquisition of Salix Animal Health LLC ("Salix"), a vertically integrated producer and distributor of premium, natural rawhide dog chew, treats and snacks. The results of Salix's operations are included in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Spectrum Brands recorded an allocation of the purchase price to the tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their fair values as of the January 16, 2015 acquisition date. The excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net tangible assets and identifiable intangible assets was recorded as goodwill, which includes value associated with the assembled workforce including an experienced research team. The calculation of the purchase price and purchase price allocation is as follows:

	Purchase
	Price
Cash consideration	\$ 146.8
Contingent consideration	1.5
Total purchase price	\$ 148.3
	Purchase
	Price
	Allocation
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 0.5
Receivables, net	10.7
Inventories, net	17.0
Properties, plant and equipment net	1.2
Goodwill	71.5
Intangibles, net	55.5
Other assets	2.5
Accounts payable and other current liabilities	(8.5)
Other liabilities	(2.1)
Total net assets acquired	\$ 148.3
*	

The purchase price allocation resulted in goodwill of \$71.5 of which \$24.7 is deductible for tax purposes. The values allocated to intangible assets and the weighted average useful lives are as follows:

	Carrying	Weighted Average Useful Life (Years)
Tradenames		Indefinite
Technology	2.1	17
Definite-lived tradenames	1.0	13
Customer relationships	35.4	13
Total intangibles acquired		

Spectrum Brands performed a valuation of the acquired inventories, property, plant and equipment, tradenames, customer relationships and non-compete agreement. A summary of the significant inputs to the valuation is as follows: Inventories - The replacement cost approach was applied to estimate the fair value of the raw materials and unbranded finished goods inventory. Branded finished goods were valued based on the comparative sales method, which estimates the expected sales price of the finished goods inventory, reduced for all costs expected to be incurred in its completion or disposition and a profit on those costs.

Property, plant and equipment - The cost approach was utilized to estimate the fair value of approximately 98.0% of the property, plant and equipment. The sales comparison approach was used to estimate the fair value of the remaining 2.0% of the property, plant and equipment.

Tradenames - Spectrum Brands valued indefinite-lived trade names using an income approach, the relief from royalty method. Under this method, the asset value was determined by estimating the hypothetical royalties that would have to be paid if the trade names were not owned. Royalty rates were selected based on consideration of several factors, including prior transactions, related trademarks and trade names, other similar trademark licensing and transaction agreements and the relative profitability and perceived contribution of the trade names.

Technology - Spectrum Brands valued technology using an income approach, the relief from royalty method. Under this method, the asset value was determined by estimating the hypothetical royalties that would have to be paid if the

technology was not owned. Royalty rates were selected based on consideration of several factors, including prior transactions, related licensing agreements and the importance of the technology and profit levels, among other considerations. Spectrum Brands anticipates using these technologies through the legal life of the underlying patents; therefore, the expected useful life of these technologies is based on the remaining life of the underlying patents. Customer relationships - Spectrum Brands valued customer relationships using an income approach, the multi-period excess earnings method. In determining the fair value of the customer relationships, the multi-period excess earnings approach values the intangible asset at the present value of the incremental after-tax cash flows attributable only to the customer relationship

after deducting contributory asset charges. The incremental after-tax cash flows attributable to the subject intangible asset are then discounted to their present value. Only expected sales from current customers were used, which are estimated using annual expected growth rates of 0.0% to 12.1%. Spectrum Brands assumed a customer retention rate of approximately 92.5%, which is supported by historical retention rates. Income taxes were estimated at 38.0% and amounts were discounted using a rate of 12.0% to 13.0%.

Non-compete agreement - Spectrum Brands valued the non-compete agreement using the income approach that compares the prospective cash flows with and without the non-compete agreement in place. The value of the non-compete agreement is the difference between the discounted cash flows of the business under each of these two alternative scenarios, considering both tax expenditure and tax amortization benefits.

European IAMS and Eukanuba

On December 31, 2014, Spectrum Brands completed the acquisition of Proctor & Gamble's European IAMS and Eukanuba ("European IAMS and Eukanuba") pet food business, including is brands for dogs and cats. The results of European IAMS and Eukanuba's operations are included in the Consolidated Statement of Operations. Spectrum Brands has recorded an allocation of the purchase price to tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their fair values as of the December 31, 2014 acquisition date. The excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net tangible assets and identifiable intangible assets was recorded as goodwill, which includes value associated with the assembled workforce including an experienced research team. The calculation of the purchase price and purchase price allocation is as follows:

	Purchase
	Price
Cash consideration	\$ 115.7
	Purchase
	Price
	Allocation
Inventories, net	\$ 16.3
Properties, plant and equipment, net	58.3
Goodwill	4.0
Intangibles, net	39.6
Other assets	2.9
Accounts payable and other accrued liabilities	(2.7)
Other liabilities	(2.7)
Total net assets acquired	\$ 115.7

The purchase price allocation resulted in goodwill of \$4.0 which is not deductible for tax purposes. The values allocated to intangible assets and the weighted average useful lives are as follows:

	Carrying Amount	Weighted Average Useful Life (Years)
Tradenames	\$ 25.5	Indefinite
Technology	3.6	8
Customer relationships	10.5	15
Total intangibles acquired	\$ 39.6	

Spectrum Brands performed a valuation of the acquired inventories, property, plant and equipment, tradenames, technology and customer relationships. The following is a summary of significant inputs to the valuation: Inventories - The replacement cost approach was applied to estimate the fair value of the raw materials inventory. Work-in-process and finished goods inventory were valued at estimated selling price less the sum of costs of disposal and a reasonable profit on the value added in the completion and disposal effort.

Property, plant and equipment - The market approach was used to estimate the fair value of land. The direct cost approach was used to estimate the fair value of property, plant and equipment.

Tradenames - Spectrum Brands valued indefinite-lived trade names using an income approach, the relief from royalty method. Under this method, the asset value was determined by estimating the hypothetical royalties that would have to be paid if the trade names were not owned. Royalty rates were selected based on consideration of several factors, including prior transactions, related trademarks and trade names, other similar trademark licensing and transaction agreements and the relative profitability and perceived contribution of the trade names.

Technology - Spectrum Brands valued technology using an income approach, the relief from royalty method. Under this method, the asset value was determined by estimating the hypothetical royalties that would have to be paid if the technology was not

owned. Royalty rates were selected based on consideration of several factors, including prior transactions, related licensing agreements and the importance of the technology and profit levels, among other considerations. Spectrum Brands anticipates using these technologies through the legal life of the underlying patents; therefore, the expected useful life of these technologies is based on the remaining life of the underlying patents.

Customer relationships - Spectrum Brands valued customer relationships using an income approach, the multi-period excess earnings method. In determining the fair value of the customer relationships, the multi-period excess earnings approach values the intangible asset at the present value of the incremental after-tax cash flows attributable only to the customer relationship after deducting contributory asset charges. The incremental after-tax cash flows attributable to the subject intangible asset are then discounted to their present value. Only expected sales from current customers were used, which are estimated using annual expected growth rates of 0.0% to 5.6%. Spectrum Brands assumed a customer retention rate of approximately 90.0% to 100.0%, which was supported by historical retention rates. Income taxes were estimated at 25.0% and amounts were discounted using a rate of 12.5%.

Tell Manufacturing

On October 1, 2014, Spectrum Brands completed the acquisition of Tell Manufacturing, Inc. ("Tell"), a manufacturer and distributor of commercial doors, locks, and hardware. The results of Tell's operations are included in the Consolidated Statement of Operations.

Spectrum Brands has recorded an allocation of the purchase price to tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their fair values as of the October 1, 2014 acquisition date. The excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net tangible assets and identifiable intangible assets was recorded as goodwill, which includes value associated with the assembled workforce including an experienced research team. The calculation of the purchase price and purchase price allocation is as follows:

	Purchase
	Price
Cash consideration	\$ 30.3

Cush consideration	φ 50.5
	Purchase
	Price
	Allocation
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1.1
Receivables. net	6.0
Inventories, net	7.2
Property, plant and equipment, net	1.5
Intangibles. net	12.5
Goodwill	7.1
Other assets	0.6
Accounts payable and other current liabilities	(5.7)
Total net assets acquired	\$ 30.3

The purchase price allocation resulted in goodwill of \$7.1 which is deductible for tax purposes. The values allocated to intangible assets and the weighted average useful lives are as follows:

	Carrying Amount	Weighted Average Useful Life (Years)
nes	\$ 4.0	Indefinite

Tradenames \$ 4.0 Indefinit Customer relationships 8.5 13

Total intangibles acquired \$ 12.5

Spectrum Brands performed a valuation of the acquired inventories, property, plant and equipment, tradenames and customer relationships. The following is a summary of significant inputs to the valuation:

Inventories - The replacement cost approach was applied to estimate the fair value of the raw materials inventory. Finished goods were valued at estimated selling price less the sum of costs of disposal and a reasonable profit on the value added in the completion and disposal effort.

Property, plant and equipment - The cost approach was used to estimate the fair value of approximately 97.0% of the property, plant and equipment. The sales comparison approach was utilized to estimate the fair value of the remaining 3.0% of the property, plant and equipment.

Tradenames - Spectrum Brands valued indefinite-lived tradenames using an income approach, the relief from royalty method. Under this method, the asset value was determined by estimating the hypothetical royalties that would have to be paid if the trade name was not owned. Royalty rates were selected based on consideration of several factors, including prior transactions

of Tell, related trademarks and trade names, other similar trademark licensing and transaction agreements and the relative profitability and perceived contribution of the trade names.

Customer relationships - Spectrum Brands valued customer relationships using an income approach, the multi-period excess earnings method. In determining the fair value of the customer relationships, the multi-period excess earnings approach values the intangible asset at the present value of the incremental after-tax cash flows attributable only to the customer relationship after deducting contributory asset charges. The incremental after-tax cash flows attributable to the subject intangible asset are then discounted to their present value. Only expected sales from current customers were used, which are estimated using annual expected growth rates of 2.5% to 7.1%. Spectrum Brands assumed a customer retention rate of approximately 90.0%, which was supported by historical retention rates. Income taxes were estimated at 38.0% and amounts were discounted using a rate of 20.0%.

Liquid Fence

On January 2, 2014, Spectrum Brands completed the acquisition of the Liquid Fence Company, Inc. ("Liquid Fence"), a producer of animal repellents. The results of Liquid Fence's operations since January 2, 2014 are included in the Consolidated Statements of Operations for Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014.

Spectrum Brands has recorded an allocation of the purchase price to tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their fair values as of the January 2, 2014 acquisition date. The excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net tangible assets and identifiable intangible assets was recorded as goodwill, which includes value associated with the assembled workforce including an experienced research team. The calculation of the purchase price and purchase price allocation is as follows:

Purchase

Cash consideration Promissory note Contingent consideration Total purchase price	Price \$ 24.8 9.5 1.5 \$ 35.8
	Purchase Price Allocation
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 0.1
Receivables. net	1.1

Inventories, net

Property, plant and equipment, net

Goodwill

7.1

Intangibles. net

Accounts payable and other current liabilities

Total net assets acquired

\$ 35.8

The purchase price included a promissory note to sellers and the property of the purchase price included a promissory note to sellers and the purchase price included a promissory note to sellers and the purchase price included a promissory note to sellers and the purchase price included a promissory note to sellers and the purchase price included a promissory note to sellers and the purchase price included a promissory note to sellers and the purchase price included a promissory note to sellers and the purchase price included a promissory note to sellers and the purchase price included a promissory note to sellers and the purchase price included a promissory note to sellers and the purchase price included a promissory note to sellers and the purchase price included a promissory note to sellers and the purchase price included a promissory note to sellers and the purchase price included a promissory note to sellers and the purchase price included a promissory note to sellers and the purchase price included a promissory note to sellers and the purchase price included a promissory note to sellers and the purchase price included a promissory note to sellers and the purchase price included a promissory note to sellers and the purchase price included a promissory note to sellers and the purchase price included a promissory note to sellers and the purchase price included a promissory note to sellers and the purchase price included a promissory note to sellers and the purchase price included a promissory note to sellers and the purchase price included a promissory note to sellers and the purchase price included a promissory note to sellers and the purchase price included a promissory note to sellers and the purchase price included a promissory note to sellers and the purchase price included a promissory note to sellers and the purchase price included a

The purchase price included a promissory note to sellers and contingent consideration related to additional payments that may be made to the selling company subsequent to the acquisition date. The promissory note was paid in four semi-annual installments over 24 months from the close of the transaction and was paid in full during Fiscal 2015. The contingent consideration is calculated based upon the probability weighted present value of expected payments based upon the achievement of specific revenue milestones through both January 1, 2015 and January 1, 2016. A contingent liability of \$1.5 was also recognized for the projected payments. The purchase price allocation resulted in goodwill of \$7.1 which is deductible for tax purposes. The values allocated to intangible assets and the weighted average useful lives are as follows:

-	Carrying Amount	Weighted Average Useful Life (Years)
Tradenames	\$ 5.1	Indefinite
Technology	20.5	17

Customer relationships 1.3 15

Total intangibles acquired \$ 26.9

Spectrum Brands performed a valuation of the acquired tradenames, technology and customer relationships. The following is a summary of significant inputs to the valuation:

Tradenames - Spectrum Brands valued the tradename using an income approach, the relief from royalty method. Under this method, the asset value was determined by estimating the hypothetical royalties that would have to be paid if the trade name was not owned. Royalty rates were selected based on consideration of several factors, including prior transactions, related

trademarks and tradenames, other similar trademark licensing and transaction agreements and the relative profitability and perceived contribution of the trademarks and tradenames.

Technology - Spectrum Brands valued the technology assets related to formulas and processes using an income approach, the excess earnings method. Under this method, the asset value was determined by estimating the earnings attributable to the technology assets, adjusted for contributory asset charges. In estimating the fair value of the technology, net sales and associated earnings were forecasted and adjusted for a technical obsolescence factor to isolate the forecasted sales and earnings attributable to the acquired technology assets. The forecasted technology earnings were discounted to present value to arrive at the fair value. The useful life was determined by assessing the time period in which substantially all of the discounted cash flows are expected to be generated.

Customer Relationships - Spectrum Brands valued customer relationships using the distributor approach. Under this method, the asset value was determined by estimating the hypothetical earnings before interest and taxes ("EBIT") that a comparable distributor would earn, further adjusted for contributory asset charges. In determining the fair value of the customer relationships, the distributor approach values the intangible asset at the present value of the incremental after-tax cash flows.

Insurance Segment

Total net assets acquired

Ability Re

On November 3, 2014, Front Street Cayman purchased Ability Reinsurance (Bermuda) Limited ("Ability Re") from Ability Re Holdings for \$19.2 in cash. Upon the purchase, Ability Re was concurrently merged into Front Street Cayman, where Front Street Cayman was the surviving entity. The Ability Re acquisition consisted of approximately \$368.0 of assets supporting two closed block long-term care reinsurance agreements and the associated capital. The acquired reinsurance agreements complement Front Street Cayman's existing in force long-duration insurance liabilities.

The Company elected to use October 31, 2014 as the closing date for accounting purposes as Ability Re's accounting close process is based on a month end close, there were zero business days between October 31 and November 3 and no material transactions took place between the accounting close date and November 3, 2014.

Purchase

The following table summarizes the consideration paid by Front Street Cayman for Ability Re:

	Price	
Cash paid at November 3, 2014 close	\$ 17.9	
Cash purchase price adjustments	(1.5)
Contingent consideration premium increase benefit	2.8	
Total purchase price	\$ 19.2	
	Purchase	
	Price	
	Allocation	n
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 8.5	
Funds withheld receivables	359.5	
Insurance reserves	(346.9)
Other liabilities	(1.9)

The Company performed a valuation of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at October 31, 2014. A summary of key inputs is as follows:

\$ 19.2

Funds withheld receivables - The fair value of the funds withheld assets was based on the fair values of the securities in the underlying funds withheld portfolio held in trust by the cedant.

Insurance reserves - The fair value of insurance reserves was determined based on a discounted cash flow model, which included assumptions related to future premium rates and benefit costs, including assumptions for lapse, mortality, maintenance expense and a margin for potential adverse deviations. The discount rate was based on prevailing risk free rates adjusted for credit spreads and expected return on capital.

Acquisition and Integration Costs

The following table summarizes acquisition and integration related charges incurred by the Company for Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014:

	Fiscal		
	2016	2015	2014
Armored AutoGroup	\$14.6	\$21.8	\$—
HHI Business	13.3	12.0	11.1
European IAMS and Eukanuba	3.5	9.3	
Salix	2.1	10.7	
Other	3.8	7.3	13.3
Total acquisition and integration related charges	\$37.3	\$61.1	\$24.4

(4) Divestitures

The following table summarizes the components of "(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations at Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014:

	Fiscal			
	2016	2015	2014	
(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax attributable to FGL	\$(265.1)	\$147.1	\$155.0	
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax attributable to Compass	40.8	(368.6)	(67.7)
(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax	\$(224.3)	\$(221.5)	\$87.3	
FGL Merger Agreement				

On November 8, 2015, FGL, Anbang, AB Infinity, and Merger Sub entered into the FGL Merger Agreement, which was amended by the parties on November 3, 2016. Pursuant to the FGL Merger Agreement and subject to the terms and conditions set forth therein, Merger Sub will merge with and into FGL, with FGL continuing as the surviving entity, which will become a direct, wholly-owned subsidiary of AB Infinity and an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of Anbang. Pursuant to the FGL Merger Agreement, at the effective time of the FGL Merger, each issued and outstanding share of FGL common stock, subject to certain exceptions, will be canceled and converted automatically into the right to receive \$26.80 per share in cash, without interest.

The completion of the FGL Merger is subject to the satisfaction of a number of closing conditions, including the receipt of regulatory approvals from the Iowa Insurance Division, New York Department of Financial Services, Vermont Department of Financial Regulation, China Insurance Regulatory Commission, and the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States ("CFIUS").

Anbang continues to work on securing the remaining required regulatory approvals and the parties are committed to securing such approvals, however, the closing of the FGL Merger, and the timing thereof, is subject to the regulatory review and approval process, none of which can be assured. In the event that the FGL Merger Agreement is terminated, under specified circumstances, FGL may be required to pay a termination fee to Anbang and its subsidiaries of \$51.5.

At September 30, 2016, the Company determined that its ownership interest in FGL met the criteria established by ASC 360 to classify it as held for sale. The following table summarizes the major categories of assets and liabilities of FGL classified as held for sale in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 30, 2016 and 2015:

	September	September
	30, 2016	30, 2015
Assets		
Investments, including loans and receivables from affiliates	\$21,140.9	\$19,206.7
Cash and cash equivalents	863.9	501.8
Accrued investment income	213.7	191.2
Reinsurance recoverable	3,463.9	3,578.7
Deferred tax assets		189.1
Properties, plant and equipment, net	18.5	14.4
Deferred acquisition costs and value of business acquired, net	1,065.5	1,048.6
Other assets	335.1	246.0
Write-down of assets of business held for sale to fair value less cost to sell	(362.8)	_
Total assets of business held for sale	\$26,738.7	\$24,976.5
Liabilities		
Insurance reserves	\$23,944.6	\$22,560.1
Debt	398.8	295.9
Accounts payable and other current liabilities	57.0	43.7
Deferred tax liabilities	9.9	_
Other liabilities	689.9	518.8
Total liabilities of business held for sale	\$25,100.2	\$23,418.5

The balances included in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets and in the table above reflect transactions between the businesses held for sale and businesses held for use that are expected to continue to exist after the closing of the FGL Merger. Such transactions are not eliminated to reflect the continuing operations and balances held for sale. As a result, adjustments to the carrying value of certain intercompany assets recorded by FGL, were reversed upon consolidation in the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

Below is a summary of the impact of such intercompany balances in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets:

	September	September
	30, 2016	30, 2015
Assets		
Funds withheld receivable	\$ 978.8	\$ 1,058.0
Other assets	15.1	15.9
Assets of business held for sale	1,375.5	1,769.8
Total assets	\$ 2,369.4	\$ 2,843.7
Liabilities		
Insurance reserves	\$1,119.5	\$ 1,226.8
Debt	63.0	330.7
Accounts payable and other current liabilities	_	1.6
Other liabilities	_	11.0
Liabilities of business held for sale	1,186.9	1,273.6
Total liabilities	\$ 2,369.4	\$ 2,843.7

The carrying value of the Company's interest in FGL was higher than the fair value less cost to sell based on the sales price at September 30, 2016 and as a result, the Company recorded a write-down of assets of business held for sale of \$362.8 at September 30, 2016.

In accordance with ASU 2014-08, the Company has determined that the FGL Merger Agreement represented a strategic shift for the Company and, accordingly, has presented the results of operations for FGL as discontinued operations in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the components of "(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations for Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014:

	Fiscal		
	2016	2015	2014
Revenues:			
Insurance premiums	\$69.9	\$58.5	\$55.6
Net investment income (a)	922.7	850.8	760.2
Net investment gains (losses) (b)	27.6	(2.0) 306.7
Insurance and investment product fees and other	126.8	89.2	68.3
Total revenues	1,147.0	996.5	1,190.8
Operating costs and expenses:			
Benefits and other changes in policy reserves	790.9	578.4	787.5
Selling, acquisition, operating and general expenses	118.3	112.8	102.3
Amortization of intangibles	78.6	41.8	97.5
Total operating costs and expenses	987.8	733.0	987.3
Operating income	159.2	263.5	203.5
Interest expense	(22.0)	(23.6) (22.5)
Other income (expense), net	4.8	(5.0) (4.0)
Write-down of assets of business held for sale to fair value less cost to sell (c)	(362.8)	_	_
Net (loss) income before income taxes	(220.8)	234.9	177.0
Income tax expense (d)	44.3	87.8	22.0
Net (loss) income	(265.1)	147.1	155.0
Less: net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	19.0	23.1	12.9
Net (loss) income - attributable to controlling interest	\$(284.1)	\$124.0	\$142.1

- (a) Included in the net investment income attributable to FGL is interest income of \$4.1, \$4.5 and \$4.5 for Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively, on debt instruments issued by entities consolidated by HRG as they will continue to exist following the closing of the FGL Merger. The corresponding interest expense is recorded in continuing operations in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.
- (b) Included in "Net investment gains (losses)" are charges related to the change in expected recovery rates of asset-based loans. Such charges are presented as "Impairments and bad debt expense" on the Company's accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.
- (c) FGL's net income during Fiscal 2016 and the increase in unrealized gains on FGL's investment portfolio resulted in an increase of the Company's carrying value in FGL that was above the fair value that the Company expects to receive as part of the sale which write-down of carrying value of the assets of business held for sale to fair value less cost to sell of \$362.8. Such write-down could be partially reversed if the carrying value of FGL decreases in future reporting periods. Upon completion of the FGL Merger, the amount of AOCI related to FGL will be recognized through (loss) income from discontinued operations on the statement of operations and could result in a gain from discontinued operations.
- (d) Included in the income tax expense for Fiscal 2016 was \$15.2 of income tax expense primarily related to the establishment of a deferred tax liability of \$367.9 at September 30, 2016 as a result of classifying HRG's ownership interest in FGL as held for sale, partially offset by the recognition of a \$94.7 deferred tax asset related to realized capital losses primarily from the Compass Sale and \$258.0 reduction of valuation allowances on HRG's net operating and capital loss carryforwards expected to offset the tax effects of the FGL Merger. The excess deferred tax liability of \$15.2 was mainly related to the Company's estimated alternative minimum taxes.

Compass Asset Sale and Compass Sale

Compass was formed on February 14, 2013 through transactions between subsidiaries of EXCO Resources, Inc.'s ("EXCO") and HRG, resulting in the formation of the Compass general partner and the partnership. Under the terms of the respective agreements, Compass acquired certain oil and natural gas assets from EXCO. Immediately after the closing and the consummation of the transactions, the ownership in the Compass partnership was 73.5% by HRG and

24.5% by EXCO and 2.0% by the Compass general partner. In addition, HRG and EXCO each own a 50.0% member interest in the Compass general partner and each have equal representation on the general partner's board of directors. As of September 30, 2014, the ownership of the Partnership and General Partnership translated into an economic ownership of Compass of 74.4%.

On October 6, 2014, HGI Energy entered into an agreement to buy from EXCO their remaining 25.5% economic interest in Compass for \$118.8 (the "25.5% Compass Acquisition"). The transaction closed on October 31, 2014 resulting in HGI Energy owning an economic interest of 99.8% in Compass and 100.0% of the ownership interests in the general partner of Compass. As a result of this transaction, Compass became a consolidated subsidiary of the Company.

As discussed in Note 1, Basis of Presentation and Nature of Operations, on December 1, 2015, Compass completed the sale of its oil and gas interests located in the Holly, Waskom and Danville Fields in East Texas and North Louisiana. The Company accounted for the sale in accordance with ASC Topic 932, Property, Plant and Equipment: Extractive Activities - Oil and Gas.

Further, as discussed in Note 1, Basis of Presentation and Nature of Operations, on July 1, 2016, the Company entered into the Compass Sale Agreement. The Company's interest in Compass met all of the held for sale criteria established by ASC 360 on July 1, 2016. During the fourth quarter of Fiscal 2016, the transactions contemplated by the Compass Sale Agreement were consummated and Compass was sold to a third party. The disposal represents all of the remaining oil and gas properties that were accounted for using the full-cost method as of Fiscal 2016. The following table summarizes the major categories of assets and liabilities of discontinued operations in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 30, 2015:

	September 30, 2015
Assets	30, 2013
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 34.0
Receivables, net	19.1
Properties, including oil and natural gas properties, net	288.9
Other assets	1.1
Total assets of discontinued operations	\$ 343.1
Liabilities	
Debt	\$ 325.9
Accounts payable and other current liabilities	33.6
Other liabilities	39.1
Total liabilities of discontinued operations	\$ 398.6

In accordance with ASU 2014-08, the Company has determined that the Compass Sale represented a strategic shift for the Company and, accordingly, has presented the results of operations for Compass as discontinued operations in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The following table summarizes the components of "Net (loss) income from discontinued operations" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations at Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014. Prior to obtaining control of Compass on October 31, 2014, the Company consolidated its 74.4% proportionate share of the operations of the equity method investment in Compass, using a gross presentation. Fiscal 2016 includes results of Compass' operation through August 23, 2016, the date of the Compass Sale.

	Fiscal		
	2016	2015	2014
Revenues:			
Oil and natural gas revenues	\$40.2	\$107.4	\$147.0
Operating costs and expenses:			
Oil and natural gas direct operating costs	38.2	85.9	69.6
Selling, acquisition, operating and general expenses	22.8	62.0	50.0
Impairments and bad debt expense	93.2	485.1	81.0
Total operating costs and expenses	154.2	633.0	200.6
Operating loss	(114.0)	(525.6)	(53.6)
Interest expense	(5.9)	(9.9	(7.7)
Gain upon gaining control of equity method investment	_	141.2	_
Gain on sale of oil and gas properties	105.6	_	_
Other income (loss), net	1.5	25.7	(6.4)
Gain on disposal	53.6	_	_
Net income (loss)	40.8	(368.6)	(67.7)
Less: net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest	0.1	(1.1)	—
Net income (loss) attributable to common and participating preferred stockholders	\$40.7	\$(367.5)	\$(67.7)

Table of Contents

(5) Derivative Financial Instruments

The fair value of outstanding derivatives recorded in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets were as follows:

The fair value of outstanding derivatives recorded in the a	ecompanying Consonuated B	Septe:		were as i	onows.
		30,			
Asset Derivatives	Classification	2016	2015		
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:					
Foreign exchange contracts	Receivables, net	\$5.5	\$5.2		
Commodity swaps	Receivables, net	2.9			
Foreign exchange contracts	Other assets	0.1	0.4		
Total asset derivatives designated as hedging instruments		8.5	5.6		
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:					
Call option receivable from FGL	Funds withheld receivables		5.4		
Call options	Other assets	5.9	1.0		
Foreign exchange contracts	Receivables, net	0.2	0.4		
Total asset derivatives		\$25.9	\$12.4		
				•	ber 30,
Liability Derivatives	Classification			2016	2015
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:					
Interest rate swaps	Accounts payable and other liabilities	current	į	\$0.7	\$1.4
Interest rate swaps	Other liabilities			0.4	1.2
Commodity swaps	Accounts payable and other liabilities	current	t	0.1	4.7
Commodity swaps	Other liabilities				0.8
Foreign exchange contracts	Accounts payable and other liabilities	current	į	1.7	1.5
Foreign exchange contracts	Other liabilities			0.1	_
Total liability derivatives designated as hedging				3.0	9.6
instruments					
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments: Embedded derivatives in Front Street's assumed FIA					
business	Insurance reserves			131.2	142.3
business	A accounts payable and other	aurrant			
Foreign exchange contracts	Accounts payable and other liabilities	Current	Ļ	0.2	0.1
Foreign exchange contracts	Other liabilities				11.0
Commodity contracts	Accounts payable and other liabilities	current	İ	_	0.1
Total liability derivatives	. 1 4h . 6 6 C	D	1 2 41 1		\$163.1

For derivative instruments that are used to economically hedge the fair value of Spectrum Brands' third party and intercompany foreign currency payments, commodity purchases and interest rate payments, the gain (loss) associated with the derivative contract is recognized in earnings in the period of change. The Company recognizes all derivative instruments as assets or liabilities in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets at fair value.

The following tables summarize the impact of the effective and ineffective portions of designated hedges and the gain (loss) recognized in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations for Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014:

Fiscal 2016 Classification Effective Portion
Gain
Gain

Gain (Loss)
(Loss)
in reclassified to Earnings

Interest rate swaps	Interest expense	\$(0.4) \$ (1.9))
Commodity swaps	Cost of consumer products and other goods sold	4.5 (3.7)
Net investment hedge	Other income (expense), net	0.6 —	
Foreign exchange contracts	Net consumer and other product sales	(0.4) (0.2))
Foreign exchange contracts	Cost of consumer products and other goods sold	6.8 6.9	
		\$11.1 \$ 1.1	

Table of Contents

Fiscal 2015	Classification	Effective Portion
		Gain (Loss) (Loss) reclassified to Earnings
Interest rate swaps	Interest expense	\$(3.4) \$ (1.9)
Commodity swaps	Cost of consumer products and other goods sold	(7.1)(0.7)
Foreign exchange contracts	Net consumer and other product sales	0.1 0.1
Foreign exchange contracts	Cost of consumer products and other goods sold	21.8 30.0
		\$11.4 \$ 27.5
Fiscal 2014	Classification	Effective Portion
		Gain (Loss) (Loss) reclassified to Earnings
Interest rate swaps	Interest expense	\$(1.6) \$ (0.9)
Commodity swaps	Cost of consumer products and other goods sold	1.9 0.7
Foreign exchange contracts	Net consumer and other product sales	0.1 0.2
Foreign exchange contracts	Cost of consumer products and other goods sold	12.7 (2.6)
		\$13.1 \$ (2.6)

The unrealized loss on derivative contracts in AOCI expected to be recognized during Fiscal 2017 is \$5.9. During Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014, the Company recognized the following gains and losses on its derivatives:

Classification	Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments	2016	u 2015	2014
Revenues:				
Net investment gains (losses)	Call options	\$3.8	\$(7.6)	\$25.7
Operating costs and expenses:				
Cost of consumer products and other goods sold	Commodity swaps	\$—	\$(0.1)	\$(1.0)
Benefits and other changes in policy	Embedded derivatives in Front Street's assumed FIA	11.1	8.5	(10.3)
reserves	business	11.1	0.5	(10.5)
Other income (expense), net	Foreign exchange contracts	5.5	(13.5)	3.1
Additional Disclosures				

Call options. Derivative financial instruments included within the funds withheld receivables at fair value in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets are in the form of call options receivable by Front Street. Front Street hedges exposure to product related equity market risk by entering into derivative transactions. These options hedge Front Street's share of the FIA index credit. The change in fair value is recognized within "Net investment gains (losses)" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Call option receivable from FGL. Under the terms of the modified coinsurance arrangement between Front Street and FGL, FGL is required to pay Front Street a portion of the net cost of equity option purchases and the proceeds from expirations related to the equity options which hedge the index credit feature of the reinsured FIA contracts. Accordingly, the receivable from FGL is reflected in "Funds withheld receivables" as of the balance sheet date with changes in fair value reflected in the Company's accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. Embedded derivatives in Front Street's assumed FIA business from FGL. Front Street has assumed FIA contracts that permit the holder to elect an interest rate return or an equity index linked component, where interest credited to the contracts is linked to the performance of various equity indices, primarily the Standard & Poor's Ratings Services ("S&P") 500 Index. This feature represents an embedded derivative under U.S. GAAP. The FIA embedded derivative is valued at fair value and included in the "Insurance reserves" in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets with changes in fair value included as a component of "Benefits and other changes in policy reserves" in the accompanying

Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Interest Rate Swaps. Spectrum Brands uses interest rate swaps to manage its interest rate risk. The swaps are designated as cash flow hedges with the changes in fair value recorded in AOCI and as a derivative hedge asset or liability, as applicable. The swaps settle periodically in arrears with the related amounts for the current settlement period payable to, or receivable from, the counterparties included in accrued liabilities or receivables, respectively, and recognized in earnings as an adjustment to interest expense from the underlying debt to which the swap is designated. As of September 30, 2016 and 2015, Spectrum Brands had a series of U.S. dollar denominated interest rate swaps outstanding which effectively fix the interest on variable rate debt, exclusive of lender spreads, at 1.36% for a notional principal amount of \$300.0 through April 2017. The derivative net losses estimated to be reclassified from AOCI into earnings over the next 12 months is \$0.4, net of tax. Spectrum Brands' interest rate swap derivative financial instruments at September 30, 2016 and 2015 were as follows:

		er 30, 2016		er 30, 2015
	Notional	Remaining Years	Notional	Remaining
	routonai	Remaining Tears	Notional	Years
Interest rate swaps - fixed	\$ 300.0	0.5	\$ 300.0	1.5

Foreign exchange contracts - cash flow hedges. Spectrum Brands periodically enters into forward foreign exchange contracts to hedge the risk from forecasted foreign currency denominated third party and intercompany sales or payments. These obligations generally require Spectrum Brands to exchange foreign currencies for U.S. Dollars, Euros, Pounds Sterling, Australian Dollars, Canadian Dollars ("CAD") or Japanese Yen. These foreign exchange contracts are cash flow hedges of fluctuating foreign exchange rates related to sales of product or raw material purchases. Until the sale or purchase is recognized, the fair value of the related hedge is recorded in AOCI and as a hedge asset or liability, as applicable. At the time the sale or purchase is recognized, the fair value of the related hedge is reclassified as an adjustment to "Net consumer and other product sales" or "Cost of consumer products and other goods sold", respectively, in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. At September 30, 2016, Spectrum Brands had a series of foreign exchange derivative contracts outstanding through December 2017. The derivative net gains estimated to be reclassified from AOCI into earnings over the next 12 months is \$1.9, net of tax. At September 30, 2016 and 2015, Spectrum Brands had foreign exchange derivative contracts designated as cash flow hedges with a notional value of \$224.8 and \$300.6, respectively.

Commodity Swaps - cash flow hedges. Spectrum Brands is exposed to risk from fluctuating prices for raw materials, specifically zinc and brass used in its manufacturing processes. Spectrum Brands hedges a portion of the risk associated with the purchase of these materials through the use of commodity swaps. The hedge contracts are designated as cash flow hedges with the fair value changes recorded in AOCI and as a hedge asset or liability, as applicable. The unrecognized changes in fair value of the hedge contracts are reclassified from AOCI into earnings when the hedged purchase of raw materials also affects earnings. The swaps effectively fix the floating price on a specified quantity of raw materials through a specified date. At September 30, 2016, Spectrum Brands had a series of zinc and brass swap contracts outstanding through December 2017. The derivative net gains estimated to be reclassified from AOCI into earnings over the next 12 months is \$1.1, net of tax. Spectrum Brands had the following commodity swap contracts outstanding as of September 30, 2016 and 2015:

	Septembe	er 30,	September
	2016		30, 2015
	Notional	Contract Value	Notional National Value
Zinc swap contracts (tons)	6.7	\$ 12.8	10.8\$ 22.2
Brass swap contracts (tons)	1.0	4.0	1.8 8.5

Net Investment Hedge. Spectrum Brands' 4.00% Notes are denominated in Euros and have been designated as a net investment hedge of the translation of Spectrum Brands' net investments in Euro denominated subsidiaries at the time of issuance. As a result, the translation of the Euro denominated debt is recognized in AOCI with any ineffective portion recognized as foreign currency translation gains or losses in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations when the aggregate principal exceeds the net investment in its Euro denominated subsidiaries. Net gains or losses from the net investment hedge are reclassified from AOCI into earnings upon a liquidation event or

deconsolidation of Euro denominated subsidiaries. As of September 30, 2016, the hedge was fully effective and no ineffective portion was recognized in earnings.

Commodity Swaps - not designated as hedges for accounting purposes. Spectrum Brands periodically enters into commodity swap contracts to economically hedge the risk from fluctuating prices for raw materials, specifically the pass-through of market prices for silver used in manufacturing purchased watch batteries. Spectrum Brands hedges a portion of the risk associated with these materials through the use of commodity swaps. The commodity swap contracts are designated as economic hedges with the unrealized gain or loss recorded in earnings and as an asset or liability at each period end. The unrecognized changes in the fair value of the commodity swap contracts are adjusted through earnings when the realized gains or losses affect earnings upon settlement of the commodity swap contracts. The commodity swap contracts effectively fix the floating price on a specified quantity of silver through a specified date. At September 30, 2016, Spectrum Brands had a series of commodity swaps outstanding through August 2017.

Table of Contents

Spectrum Brands had the following commodity swaps outstanding as of September 30, 2016 and 2015:

September 30, September 30, 2016 2015

Notional Contract Value Notional Contract Value

Silver (troy oz.) 31 \$ 0.6 25 \$ 0.4

Foreign exchange contracts - not designated as hedges for accounting purposes- Spectrum Brands. Spectrum Brands periodically enters into forward and swap foreign exchange contracts to economically hedge the risk from third party and intercompany payments resulting from existing obligations. These obligations generally require Spectrum Brands to exchange foreign currencies for U.S. Dollars, CAD, Euros, Pounds Sterling, Taiwanese Dollars, Hong Kong Dollars or Australian Dollars. These foreign exchange contracts are economic hedges of a related liability or asset recorded in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. The gain or loss on the derivative hedge contracts is recorded in earnings as an offset to the change in value of the related liability or asset at each period end. At September 30, 2016, Spectrum Brands had a series of forward exchange contracts outstanding through October 2016. At September 30, 2016 and 2015, Spectrum Brands had \$131.4 and \$126.8, respectively, of notional value for such foreign exchange derivative contracts outstanding.

Foreign exchange contracts - not designated as hedges for accounting purposes- Salus. During Fiscal 2015, Salus executed a CAD swap agreement with FGL Insurance, to convert the CAD cash flows into U.S. Dollars cash flows. Under this swap agreement, Salus would reimburse FGL Insurance for certain realized foreign exchange losses related to cash flows on these loan participations from origination date through maturity date. The cumulative foreign exchange losses related to such cash flows at September 30, 2015 were \$11.0 included in "Other liabilities" with an equal and offsetting asset included in "Assets of business held for sale" on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. The swap agreements were settled and terminated during Fiscal 2016. During Fiscal 2016 and 2015, the Company recognized \$2.4 and \$(11.0), respectively of other income (expense) associated with the CAD swap agreements and a corresponding (loss) income from discontinued operations on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Credit Risk

Spectrum Brands is exposed to the risk of default by the counterparties with which Spectrum Brands transacts and generally does not require collateral or other security to support financial instruments subject to credit risk. Spectrum Brands monitors counterparty credit risk on an individual basis by periodically assessing each such counterparty's credit rating exposure. The maximum loss due to credit risk equals the fair value of the gross asset derivatives that are concentrated with certain domestic and foreign financial institution counterparties. Spectrum Brands considers these exposures when measuring its credit reserve on its derivative assets, which was insignificant as of September 30, 2016 and 2015.

Spectrum Brands' standard contracts do not contain credit risk related contingent features whereby Spectrum Brands would be required to post additional cash collateral as a result of a credit event. However, Spectrum Brands is typically required to post collateral in the normal course of business to offset its liability positions. As of September 30, 2015, there was \$3.5 of posted cash collateral related to such liability positions. As of September 30, 2016, there was no cash collateral outstanding. In addition, as of September 30, 2016 and 2015, Spectrum Brands had no posted standby letters of credit related to such liability positions. The cash collateral is included in "Receivables, net" within the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Front Street is exposed to credit risk in the event of non-performance by its counterparties on call options. Front Street seeks to reduce the risk associated with such agreements by purchasing such options from large, well-established financial institutions, but there can be no assurance that Front Street will not suffer losses in the event of counterparty non-performance. No collateral was posted by its counterparties; accordingly, at September 30, 2016, the maximum amount of loss due to credit risk that Front Street would incur if parties to the call options failed completely to perform according to the terms of the contracts was \$5.9.

Earnings from FIA reinsurance are primarily generated from the excess of net investment income earned over the sum of interest credited to policyholders and the cost of hedging the risk on FIA policies, known as the net investment

spread. With respect to FIAs, the cost of hedging the risk includes the expenses incurred to fund the annual index credits. Proceeds received upon expiration or early termination of call options purchased to fund annual index credits are recorded as part of the fair value changes associated with reinsurance contracts in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations, and are largely offset by an expense for index credits earned on annuity contractholder fund balances.

(6) Securitizations and Variable Interest Entities

Collateralized Loan Obligations

In February 2013, Salus completed a collateralized loan obligation ("CLO") securitization with a notional aggregate principal amount of \$175.5 of the asset-based loans that it had originated through that date. In September 2013, Salus increased the CLO securitization to a notional aggregate principal amount of \$331.1 of the asset-based loans that it had originated through that date. Salus' continuing involvement with the trust created as part of the securitization include servicing the receivables; retaining an undivided interest (seller's interest) in the receivables; and holding certain retained interests in subordinate securities, subordinate

interests in accrued interest and fees on the securitized receivables, and cash reserve accounts. Salus continues to consolidate the loans transferred into the trust as it has determined that it is the primary beneficiary of the variable-interest entity represented by the trust, as result of it holding subordinate interest and servicing the receivables. Neither the Company nor Salus provided guarantees or recourse to the securitization trust other than standard representations and warranties.

Included within "Other assets" in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2016 and 2015 were asset-based loans of \$29.3 and \$197.8, respectively, that serve as collateral to the obligations of the CLO. Such obligations include obligations to non-affiliates of \$38.9, net of discount of \$0.8 and \$39.5, net of discount of \$0.9, respectively. The unaffiliated obligations of the CLO are included within "Debt" in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. At September 30, 2016 and 2015, the total liabilities of the consolidated VIE included \$96.3 and \$317.3, respectively, of seller's interest.

For additional information related to the increases in the provision for credit losses on the asset-based loan portfolio, see Note 13, Other Assets.

For additional information related to the reduction in senior secured and subordinated CLO debt, see Note 15, Debt. The table below summarizes select information related to the CLO vehicle in which Salus held a variable interest at September 30, 2016 and 2015:

	Septem	ber 30,
	2016	2015
Maximum loss exposure	\$29.3	\$197.8
Asset-based loans receivable	\$29.3	\$197.8
Cash and other assets	13.7	85.8
Total assets of consolidated VIE	\$43.0	\$283.6
Senior, Secured	\$—	\$219.2
Subordinated	135.2	137.6
Long-term debt	135.2	356.8
Other liabilities		0.7
Total liabilities of consolidated VIE	\$135.2	\$357.5

⁽⁷⁾ Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company's consolidated assets and liabilities measured at fair value are summarized according to the hierarchy previously described as follows:

Feet residency described and seems residency		mber 30, 2	016			mber 30, 2	.015	
Assets	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Fair Value
Fixed maturity and equity securities included in funds withheld receivables Derivatives:	\$69.9	\$1,387.1	\$78.1	\$1,535.1	\$8.4	\$1,555.0	\$74.7	\$1,638.1
Call option receivable from FGL included in funds withheld receivables	_	11.3	_	11.3	_	5.4	_	5.4
Call options		5.9		5.9		1.0		1.0
Foreign exchange contracts		5.8		5.8		6.0		6.0
Commodity contracts		2.9		2.9				
Corporate fixed maturity securities AFS		_		_			14.1	14.1
Equity securities - trading		_		_	32.8			32.8
Other invested assets		_		_	_	_	2.8	2.8
Total financial assets	\$69.9	\$1,413.0	\$78.1	\$1,561.0	\$41.2	\$1,567.4	\$91.6	\$1,700.2
Liabilities								
Front Street future policyholder benefit liability	\$—	\$ —	\$631.8	\$631.8	\$ —	\$ —	\$629.2	\$629.2

\mathbf{r}	•	. •	
11	OT11	Otiv	00.
v	c_{11}	ativ	US.

Embedded derivatives in Front Street's assumed			121.2	131.2			142.3	142.3
FIA business		_	131.2	131.2		_	142.3	142.3
Commodity contracts		0.1	_	0.1		5.6		5.6
Interest rate contracts		1.1	_	1.1		2.6		2.6
Foreign exchange contracts		2.0	_	2.0		12.6	_	12.6
Total financial liabilities	\$ —	\$3.2	\$763.0	\$766.2	\$ —	\$20.8	\$771.5	\$792.3

Table of Contents

Quantitative information regarding significant unobservable inputs used for recurring Level 3 fair value measurements of financial instruments carried at fair value as of September 30, 2016 and 2015 were as follows:

of financial instruments c	Fair Va	lue at	,		Range (Weighte	•
Assets	Septem 2016	borpt0mber 30 2015),Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input(s)	September 30, 2016	September 30, 2015
Corporate fixed maturity securities	\$—	\$ 14.1	Broker-quoted	Offered quotes	— %	83%
Other invested assets	_	2.8	Discounted Cash Flow	Probability of collection	— %	50%
Funds withheld receivables				Discount rate	—%	10%
Fixed maturity and equity securities	35.2	39.1	Matrix pricing	Quoted prices	98% - 122% (109%)	100% - 122% (112%)
Fixed maturity securities	5.4	19.2	Loan Recovery Value	Recovery rate	56% - 100% (82%)	6% - 12% (8%)
Fixed maturity securities	35.7	6.7	Broker-quoted	Offered quotes	97% - 100% (100%)	99% - 103% (101%)
Loan participations	1.8	9.7	Loan Recovery Value	Recovery rate	52% - 100% (71%)	100%
Total Liabilities	\$78.1	\$ 91.6				
Front Street future policyholder benefit liability	\$631.8	\$ 629.2	Discounted cash flow	Non-performance risk spread	0.32%	0.16% - 0.46%
·				Risk margin to reflect uncertainty	0.50%	0.50% - 1.00%
Embedded derivatives in Front Street's assumed FIA business	131.2	142.3	Discounted cash flow	Market value of option	0% - 27% (2%)	0% - 32% (1%)
				SWAP rates Mortality multiplier	1% 80%	2% 80%
				Surrender rates	0.50% - 75% (10%)	0.50% - 75% (13%)
				Non-performance risk spread	0.25%	0.25%
Total	\$763.0	\$ 771.5		_		

See Note 2, Significant Accounting Policies and Practices and Recent Accounting Pronouncements, for additional discussion of the significant unobservable inputs used in for recurring Level 3 fair value measurements of financial instruments carried at fair value.

Table of Contents

Other invested assets

Funds withheld receivables

Total assets at fair value

The following tables summarize changes to the Company's financial instruments carried at fair value and classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy for Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014. The gains and losses below may include changes in fair value due in part to observable inputs that are a component of the valuation methodology.

changes in rail value due in part to observable	Fiscal		compe	onem of the	vaiuatioi	i illeulouoi	ogy.	
	1 iscui	Total (Gains				Net	
	Balanc	e(Losse					transfe	r
	at		,				In	Balance
		ni lig clud	lednclu	ıded			(Out)	at End
	of	in	in	Purchase	esSales	Settlemen	` ,	of
	Period	Earnin	ng&OC	CI			Level	Period
							3 (a)	
Assets								
Corporate fixed maturity securities	\$14.1	\$(0.5)) \$	-\$	\$(13.6)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Other invested assets	2.8	2.7	_	_		(5.5)) —	_
Funds withheld receivables	74.7	(3.6) —	36.2	(34.4)		5.2	78.1
Total assets at fair value	\$91.6	\$(1.4)) \$	-\$ 36.2	\$(48.0)	\$ (5.5	\$ 5.2	\$78.1
		Total (`)			Net	
	Balanc	eLosses	S				transfe	r Balance
	at						In	at End
	_	ni ng clud			~ .	~ .	(Out)	of
	of	in	in	Purchase	esSales	Settlemen		Period
	Period	Earnin	ig&OC	CI .			Level	
T inhilled an							3	
Liabilities Front Street future policyholder benefit liabili	ty \$620.3	\$50.5	¢	_\$	\$ —	\$ (56.9	ν Φ	\$631.8
Embedded derivatives in Front Street's assum	iy \$029.2			- \$	J —	φ (30.9°))	\$031.6
FIA business	142.3	(11.1)) —					131.2
Total liabilities at fair value	\$771.5	5 \$48.4	\$	_\$	\$ —	\$ (56.9	. \$	\$763.0
(a) During Fiscal 2016, the net transfer to Lev						` '		
related to changes in the primary pricing sour			-					
determining the fair value.	ce and en	411800 111		oser vacinity	01 0/11011		non asca	
uccomming and run various	Fiscal 20	015						
		Total G	ains				Net	
	Balance						transfer	
	at	` /					In	Balance
	Beginnii	In cluded	dInclu	ded			(Out)	at End
	_	in	in	Purchase	s Sales	Settlemen		of Dania d
	Period	Earning	sAOC	[Level 3	Period
		C					(a)	
Assets								
Contingent purchase price reduction								
Contingont purchase price reduction	\$415	\$ 2 5	\$	_\$	\$	\$ (50.0	\$	\$
receivable Corporate fixed maturity securities	\$41.5 16.3	\$8.5	\$	-\$	\$—	\$ (50.0)	\$ <i>—</i>	\$— 14.1

(16.3) —

(0.5) —

\$116.7 \$(10.5) \$

30.4

-\$ 30.4

(14.1) —

\$(14.1) \$ (50.0) \$ 19.1

58.9

2.8

74.7

19.1

		eTotal (` ′)			Net	Balance
	at	Losses	3				transfer	at End
	Beginn	ii lig clud	edIncl	ude P urchase	es Sales	Settlement	tsIn	of
	of	in	in				(Out)	Period
	Period	Earnin	gsAO(CI			of	
							Level 3	
Liabilities								
Front Street future policyholder benefit liability	\$151.3	\$24.3	\$	-\$ 444.2	\$—	\$ 9.4	\$ <i>—</i>	\$629.2
Embedded derivatives in Front Street's assumed FIA business	150.8	(8.5) —	_	_	_	_	142.3
Total liabilities at fair value	\$302.1	\$15.8	\$	-\$ 444.2	\$	\$ 9.4	\$ —	\$771.5
(a) During Fiscal 2015, the net transfer to Le	vel 3 was	s related	to a lo	oan receivabl	le previo	usly classifi	ed as a re	lated
party loan.								

Table of Contents

	Fiscal 2	2014						
		Total	Gains				Net	
	Balanc	e(Loss	es)				transfe	er Balance
	at						In	at End
	Beginn	i ng cluc	lethclud	led			(Out)	of
	of	in	in	Purchase	s Sales	Settlement	sof	Period
	Period	Earnii	ng A OCI				Level 3	Terrou
Assets								
Contingent purchase price reduction receivable	\$41.0	\$0.5	\$	_\$	\$ —	\$ <i>—</i>	\$ -	-\$ 41.5
Corporate fixed maturity securities				16.3		_	_	16.3
Equity securities - trading	10.7	1.3		1.5		(13.5)		_
Funds withheld receivables	34.6	1.5		23.5	(0.7)		_	58.9
Total assets at fair value	\$86.3	\$3.3	\$	\$ 41.3	\$(0.7)	\$ (13.5)	\$ -	-\$ 116.7
		Total	(Gains)				Net	
	Balanc		(Gains) s				Net transfe	er
	Balanc		,				transfe	Balance
	at	eLosse	S				transfe In	at End
	at Beginn	eLosse	,	led	s Sales	Settlement	transfe In (Out)	at End of
	at Beginn of	eLosse i llg cluc in	s lethclud in	led Purchase	s Sales	Settlement	transfe In (Out)	at End
	at Beginn of	eLosse i llg cluc in	s le l hclud	led Purchase	s Sales	Settlement	transfe In (Out) as of	of
Liabilities	at Beginn of	eLosse i llg cluc in	s lethclud in	led Purchase	s Sales	Settlement	transfe In (Out) as of Level	at End of
	at Beginn of	eLosse i llg cluc in	s deIhclud in ngAOCI	led Purchase	s Sales \$—		transfe In (Out) es of Level 3	at End of
Liabilities Front Street future policyholder benefit liability Embedded derivatives in Front Street's assumed FIA business	at Beginn of Period	eLosse ingclud in Earnin	s deIhclud in ngAOCI	ed Purchase			transfe In (Out) es of Level 3	at End of Period
Front Street future policyholder benefit liability Embedded derivatives in Front Street's assumed	at Beginn of Period	eLosse i ng clud in Earnin	s deIhclud in ngAOCI	ed Purchase			transfe In (Out) es of Level 3	at End of Period

The Company reviews the fair value hierarchy classifications each reporting period. Changes in the observability of the valuation attributes may result in a reclassification of certain financial assets or liabilities. Such reclassifications are reported as transfers in and out of Level 3, or between other levels, at the beginning fair value for the reporting period in which the changes occur. There were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 for Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014.

Non-Recurring Fair Value Measurements

Goodwill, intangible assets and other long-lived assets are tested annually or if an event occurs that indicates an impairment loss may have been incurred using fair value measurements with unobservable inputs (Level 3). Financial Assets and Liabilities Not Measured at Fair Value

The carrying amount, estimated fair value and the level of the fair value hierarchy of the Company's financial instrument assets and liabilities which are not measured at fair value in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets are summarized as follows:

	September 30, 2016				
	Level 1 Level 2	Level	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	
Assets (a)	1	3	v aruc	Milount	
Asset-based loans, included in other assets	\$ -\$	\$35.0	\$35.0	\$35.0	
Policy loans, included in funds withheld receivables		8.5	8.5	8.5	
Total financial assets	\$ -\$	\$43.5	\$43.5	\$43.5	
Liabilities (a)					
	\$ -\$	\$922.9	\$922.9	\$988.3	

Investment contracts, included in contractholder funds and other insurance

reserves

Total debt (b) —5,700.1 29.1 5,729.2 5,430.9

Total financial liabilities

\$-\$5,700.1 \$952.0 \$6,652.1 \$6,419.2

Table of Contents

	September 3	30, 2015			
	Level 2	Lovol 2	Fair	Carrying	
	1 Level 2	Level 3	Value	Amount	
Assets (a)					
Asset-based loans, included in other assets	\$-\$	\$226.7	\$226.7	\$226.7	
Policy loans, included in funds withheld receivables		9.0	9.0	9.0	
Other invested assets, included in other assets		2.5	2.5	2.5	
Total financial assets	\$-\$	\$238.2	\$238.2	\$238.2	
Liabilities (a)					
Investment contracts, included in contractholder funds and other insurance	ሶ ሶ	\$960.3	\$960.3	¢1 001 5	
reserves	⊅ —	\$900.5	\$900.5	\$1,084.5	
Total debt (b)	6,071.0	99.1	6,170.1	5,984.6	
Total financial liabilities	\$-\$6,071.0	\$1,059.4	\$7,130.4	\$7,069.1	

⁽a) The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, trade receivables, accounts payable and accrued investment income approximate fair value due to their short duration and, accordingly, they are not presented in the tables above.

(b) The fair values of debt set forth above are generally based on quoted or observed market prices.

Valuation Methodology

Investment Contracts and Other Insurance Reserves

Investment contracts assumed from FGL by Front Street include deferred annuities, FIAs and immediate annuities. The fair value of deferred annuity and FIAs is based on their cash surrender value (which is the cost the Company would incur to extinguish the liability) as these contracts are generally issued without an annuitization date. See Note 2, Significant Accounting Policies and Practices and Recent Accounting Pronouncements, for discussion of the calculation of the fair value of the insurance reserves.

Asset-based loans

The fair value of the asset-based loans originated by Salus approximate their net carrying value. Such loans carry a variable rate that are typically revolving in nature and can be settled at the demand of either party. Nonaccrual loans are considered impaired for reporting purposes and are measured and recorded at fair value on a non-recurring basis. As the loans are collateral dependent, Salus measures such impairment based on the estimated fair value of eligible proceeds. This is generally based on estimated market prices from an independently prepared appraisal. The impaired loan balance represents those nonaccrual loans for which impairment was recognized during the year.

Table of Contents

(8) Funds Withheld Receivables

The Company's consolidated funds withheld receivables are summarized as follows:

The Company's consonauted rands withheld receivables are sun		er 30, 2016				
	•		Casas			
	Cost or		Gross	1	Fair	Carrying
		edUnrealized		a	Value	Value
	Cost	Gains	Losses			
Funds withheld receivables with FGL						
Corporates	\$638.5	\$ 18.2	\$ (29.5)	\$627.2	\$627.2
Asset/Mortgage-backed securities	238.8	0.6	(7.9)	231.5	231.5
Municipals	12.1	0.7	_		12.8	12.8
Government bonds	1.1				1.1	1.1
Preferred stock	8.8	0.3	(0.9)	8.2	8.2
Total funds withheld receivables with FGL	899.3	19.8	•	_	880.8	880.8
Funds withheld receivables with third parties	0,,,,,	19.0	(00.0	,	000.0	000.0
Corporates	390.0	18.8	(2.7	`	406.1	406.1
Asset/Mortgage-backed securities	118.7	1.9	•	-	118.9	118.9
~ ~			(1.7)		
Municipals	49.5	4.1	<u> </u>	,	53.6	53.6
Government bonds	67.7	1.3	(0.2)	68.8	68.8
Agency bonds	6.6	0.3	—		6.9	6.9
Total funds withheld receivables with third parties	632.5	26.4	(4.6)	654.3	654.3
Total fixed maturity and equity securities included in funds	1,531.8	46.2	(42.9	`	1,535.1	1 525 1
withheld receivables	1,331.6	40.2	(42.9)	1,333.1	1,535.1
Call option receivable from FGL included in funds withheld						
receivables	9.8	1.5	_		11.3	11.3
Accrued interest	17.8				17.8	17.8
Net receivables	77.7				77.7	77.7
	8.5				8.5	8.5
Policy loans and other		— • 47.7		`		
Total funds withheld receivables	\$1,645.6		\$ (42.9)	\$1,030.4	\$1,650.4
	_	er 30, 2015	~			
	Cost or	Gross	Gross		Fair	Carrying
		dUnrealized		d	Value	Value
	Cost	Gains	Losses		v aruc	varue
Funds withheld receivables with FGL						
Corporates	\$740.4	\$ 4.5	\$ (67.9)	\$677.0	\$677.0
Asset/Mortgage-backed securities	274.6	1.3	(6.6)	269.3	269.3
Municipals	28.5	0.1	(0.6		28.0	28.0
Preferred stock	38.9	1.4	(1.0	-	39.3	39.3
Total funds withheld receivables with FGL	1,082.4	7.3	(76.1	_	1,013.6	1,013.6
Funds withheld receivables with third parties	1,002.4	7.5	(70.1	,	1,015.0	1,015.0
	420.9	2.7	(10.5	`	406 O	406 O
Corporates	420.8	3.7	(18.5	-	406.0	406.0
Asset/Mortgage-backed securities	125.4	1.3	(1.0	_	125.7	125.7
Municipals	72.9	0.8	(0.7)	73.0	73.0
Government bonds	8.3	0.1			8.4	8.4
Agency bonds	11.5		(0.1)	11.4	11.4
Total funds withheld receivables with third parties	638.9	5.9	(20.3)	624.5	624.5
Total fixed maturity and equity securities included in funds	1 721 2	12.2	(06.4	`	1 620 1	1 620 1
withheld receivables	1,721.3	13.2	(96.4)	1,638.1	1,638.1

Call option receivable from FGL included in funds withheld receivables	12.3	_	(6.9) 5.4	5.4
Accrued interest	20.5	_	_	20.5	5 20.5
Net receivables	41.1			41.	1 41.1
Policy loans and other	5.0			5.0	5.0
Total funds withheld receivables	\$1,800.	.2 \$ 13.2	\$ (103.	3) \$1,	710.1 \$1,710.1
F-45					

Maturities of Funds Withheld Receivables

The amortized cost and fair value of fixed maturity and equity securities included in funds withheld receivables by contractual maturities, as applicable, are shown below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or pre-pay obligations.

	September 30,		
	2016		
	AmortizedFair		
	Cost	Value	
Corporate, Non-structured Hybrids, Municipal and Preferred stock:			
Due in one year or less	\$12.8	\$11.9	
Due after one year through five years	231.4	228.2	
Due after five years through ten years	420.8	429.3	
Due after ten years	487.1	493.3	
Subtotal	1,152.1	1,162.7	
Other securities which provide for periodic payments:			
Asset/Mortgage-backed securities	357.5	350.4	
Structured hybrids	22.2	22.0	
Total fined materiate and assists association included in fundamental description	¢ 1 521 0	¢ 1 525 1	

Total fixed maturity and equity securities included in funds withheld receivables \$1,531.8 \$1,535.1

Securities in Funds Withheld Receivables with FGL in an Unrealized Loss Position

See Note 2, Significant Accounting Policies and Practices and Recent Accounting Pronouncements, for an explanation of the Company's process for evaluating securities in the funds withheld receivables with FGL with unrealized losses for potential OTTI. The Company has concluded that the fair value of the securities presented in the table below were not OTTI as of September 30, 2016.

The fair value and gross unrealized losses of securities in the funds withheld receivables with FGL, aggregated by investment category, were as follows:

C V	September 30, 2016								
	Less than 12 months		12 months or longer			Total			
	Fair Value	Gross Unrealize Losses	ed	Fair Value	Gross Unrealize Losses	ed	Fair Value	Gross Unrealize Losses	ed
Funds withheld receivables with FGL									
Corporates	\$137.8	\$ (12.6)	\$91.7	\$ (16.9)	\$229.5	\$ (29.5)
Asset/Mortgage-backed securities	73.3	(2.2)	99.0	(5.7)	172.3	(7.9)
Municipals	1.0	_					1.0	_	
Government bonds	0.2	_			_		0.2	_	
Preferred stock	3.7	(0.9))				3.7	(0.9)
Total funds withheld receivables with FGL	\$216.0	\$ (15.7)	\$190.7	\$ (22.6)	\$406.7	\$ (38.3)
Total number of securities in an unrealized loss position		146			76			222	
	Septem	ber 30, 20	15						
				12 months or longer			Total		
	Fair Value	Gross Unrealize Losses	ed	Fair Value	Gross Unrealize Losses	ed	Fair Value	Gross Unrealize Losses	ed
Funds withheld receivables with FGL									
Corporates	\$417.4	\$ (46.9)	\$113.8	\$ (21.0)	\$531.2	\$ (67.9)
Asset/Mortgage-backed securities	120.8	(3.8)	88.7	(2.8)	209.5	(6.6))
Municipals	5.9	(0.4)	13.4	(0.3)	19.3	(0.7)

Preferred stock	5.6	(0.9)				5.6	(0.9)
Total funds withheld receivables with FGL	\$549.7	\$ (52.0)	\$215.9	\$ (24.1)	\$765.6	\$ (76.1)
Total number of securities in an unrealized loss position		423			67			490	

Table of Contents

At September 30, 2016 and 2015, securities in the funds withheld receivables with FGL in an unrealized loss position were primarily concentrated in investment grade corporate debt instruments.

At September 30, 2016 and 2015, securities with a fair value of \$39.6 and \$84.4, respectively, had an unrealized loss greater than 20% of amortized cost, which represented less than 5% of the carrying value of all funds withheld receivables

For Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014, the Company recognized other-than-temporary credit impairment losses in operations totaling \$7.4, \$2.3 and \$3.0, respectively, related to funds withheld receivables with an amortized cost of \$15.5, \$4.8 and \$13.1 and a fair value of \$8.1, \$2.5 and \$10.1 at September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Details underlying write-downs taken as a result of OTTI that were recognized in "Net (loss) income" and included in "Net investment gains (losses)" were as follows:

Fiscal

2016 2015 2014

OTTI recognized in net (loss) income:

 Corporates
 \$7.4 \$2.2 \$3.0

 Asset/Mortgage-backed securities
 — 0.1 —

 Total
 \$7.4 \$2.3 \$3.0

Net Investment Income

The major sources of "Net investment income" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations were as follows:

	Fiscal		
	2016	2015	2014
Fixed maturity securities included in funds withheld receivables with FGL	\$56.0	\$58.6	\$55.7
Equity securities included in funds withheld receivables with FGL	2.1	2.6	4.1
Asset-based loans	3.5	16.1	28.4
Other investments	5.4	7.0	1.9
Net investment income	\$67.0	\$84.3	\$90.1

Net investment gains (losses)

"Net investment gains (losses)" reported in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations were as follows:

	Fiscal		
	2016	2015	2014
Net realized (losses) gains on fixed maturity securities included in funds withheld receivables with FGL	\$(4.8)	\$3.4	\$(2.5)
Realized gains (losses) on equity securities included in funds withheld receivables with FGL	3.0	(4.9) 14.6
Realized gains (losses) on certain derivative instruments	3.8	(7.6) 25.7
Change in fair value of embedded derivatives in funds withheld receivables with FGL (a)	49.4	(91.1) 41.6
Realized gains (losses) on funds withheld receivables with third parties and other	49.7	(13.8)	9.9
Net investment gains (losses)	\$101.1	\$(114.	0) \$89.3

(a) The modified coinsurance arrangement between FGL Insurance and Front Street created an obligation for the parties to settle a payable or receivable at a later date, which resulted in an embedded derivative. This embedded derivative is considered a total return swap with contractual returns that are attributable to the assets and liabilities associated with this reinsurance arrangement. The fair value of the total return swap is based on the change in fair value of the underlying assets held in the funds withheld portfolio. Investment results for the assets that support the coinsurance with funds withheld reinsurance arrangement, including gains and losses from sales, are passed directly to the reinsurer pursuant to contractual terms of the reinsurance arrangement. The reinsurance related embedded derivative is expected to continue to exist after the disposal of FGL and is therefore not eliminated to appropriately reflect the continuing operations and balances held for sale. It is embedded in the funds withheld receivables with a corresponding asset in business held for sale on the Consolidated Balance Sheets and the related gains or losses are reported in net investment gains with corresponding income (loss) from discontinued operations on the Consolidated

Statements of Operations.

Concentration of Securities Included in Funds Withheld Receivables

As of September 30, 2016 and 2015, Front Street's most significant exposure related to the securities underlying the funds withheld receivables was to the financial sector and the energy, mining and metals industries.

As of September 30, 2016 and 2015, the carrying value of the fixed maturity securities in the financial sector was \$232.8, or 14.1%, and \$269.7, or 15.8%, respectively, of Front Street's funds withheld receivables. At September 30, 2016 and 2015, the holdings in this sector included investments in 81 and 107 different issuers, respectively, with the top ten investments accounting for 48.0% and 41.0%, respectively, of the total holdings in this sector.

As of September 30, 2016 and 2015, the carrying value of the fixed maturity securities in the energy, mining and metals industries was \$188.6, or 11.4%, and \$236.6, or 13.8%, respectively, of Front Street's funds withheld receivables. At September 30, 2016 and 2015, the holdings in these industries included investments in 74 and 98 different issuers, respectively, with the top ten investments accounting for 43.4% and 39.7%, respectively, of the total holdings in these industries.

There were no holdings in a single issuer included in the funds withheld receivables that exceeded 10% of the Company's stockholders' equity as of September 30, 2016 and 2015.

Concentrations of Financial and Capital Markets Risk

The Company is exposed to financial and capital markets risk, including changes in interest rates and credit spreads which can have an adverse effect on the Company's results of operations, financial condition and liquidity. The Company expects to continue to face challenges and uncertainties that could adversely affect its results of operations and financial condition.

The Company's exposure to such financial and capital markets risk relates primarily to the market price and cash flow variability associated with changes in interest rates. A rise in interest rates, in the absence of other countervailing changes, will increase the net unrealized loss position of Front Street's fund withheld receivables and, if long-term interest rates rise dramatically within a six to twelve month time period, certain of the Front Street's reinsured products may be exposed to disintermediation risk. Disintermediation risk refers to the risk that policyholders may surrender their contracts in a rising interest rate environment, requiring Front Street to liquidate assets in an unrealized loss position. This risk is mitigated to some extent by surrender charge protection provided by the products reinsured by Front Street.

Insurance Counterparty Risk

Through Front Street, the Company is exposed to insurance counterparty risk, which is the potential for Front Street to incur losses due to a client, retrocessionaire, or partner becoming distressed or insolvent. This includes run-on-the-bank risk and collection risk. The run-on-the-bank risk is that a client's in force block incurs substantial surrenders and/or lapses due to credit impairment, reputation damage or other market changes affecting the counterparty. Substantially higher than expected surrenders and/or lapses could result in inadequate in force business to recover cash paid out for acquisition costs. The collection risk for clients and retrocessionaires includes their inability to satisfy a reinsurance agreement because the right of offset is disallowed by the receivership court; the reinsurance contract is rejected by the receiver, resulting in a premature termination of the contract; and/or the security supporting the transaction becomes unavailable to Front Street. Front Street has never experienced a material default in connection with retrocession arrangements, nor has it experienced any material difficulty in collecting claims recoverable from retrocessionaires; however, no assurance can be given as to the future performance of such retrocessionaires or as to the recoverability of any such claims.

(9) Receivables, net

"Receivables, net" in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets consist of the following:

September 30, 2016 2015 \$529.4 \$542.8 Trade accounts receivable Less: Allowance for doubtful trade accounts receivable 46.8 44.0 Total trade accounts receivable, net 482.6 498.8 Other receivables 73.7 115.1 Total receivables, net \$556.3 \$613.9

The allowance for uncollectible receivables as of September 30, 2016 and 2015 was \$46.8 and \$44.0, respectively.

The following is an analysis of the allowance for doubtful trade accounts receivable:

	Balance at	Charged to		Other	Balance at
Period	Beginning of	Costs and	Deductions	Other	Balance at End of Period
	Damiad	Evenence		Adjustments	End of Period
	Period	Expenses			
Fiscal 2016	\$ 44.0	\$ 15.6	\$ (12.0)	\$ (0.8)	\$ 46.8

Fiscal 2015 48.6	6.0	(6.3) (4.3) 44.0
Fiscal 2014 37.4	7.4	(2.4) 6.2	48.6

Spectrum Brands has entered into various factoring agreements and early pay programs with its customers to sell its trade receivables under non-recourse agreements in exchange for cash proceeds. A loss on sales is recognized for any discount and factoring fees associated with the transfer. Spectrum Brands utilizes factoring arrangements as an integral part of their financing for working capital. These transactions are treated as a sale and are accounted for as a reduction in trade receivables because the agreements transfer effective control over and risk related to the receivables to buyers. In some instances, Spectrum Brands may continue to service the transferred receivable after the factoring has occurred, but in most cases Spectrum Brands does not

service any factored accounts, and any servicing of the trade receivable does not constitute significant continuing involvement or preclude the recognition of a sale. Spectrum Brands does not carry any material servicing assets or liabilities. Cash proceeds from these arrangements are reflected as operating activities. The aggregate gross amount factored under these facilities was \$2,055.0, \$1,938.0 and \$1,575.0 for Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The cost of factoring such trade receivables was \$10.1, \$6.5 and \$9.7 for Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014 and reported in "Selling, acquisition, operating and general expenses" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. The Company has a broad range of customers including many large retail outlet chains, one of which accounts for a significant percentage of its sales volume. This major customer represented approximately 15.2%, 14.7% and 15.7% of the Company's "Net consumer and other product sales" during Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. This customer represents approximately 13.1% of the Company's "Receivables, net" in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 30, 2016 and 2015.

Approximately 36.1%, 37.7% and 40.2% of the Company's "Net consumer and other product sales" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations during Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively, occurred outside of the U.S. These sales and related receivables are subject to varying degrees of credit, currency, and political and economic risk. The Company monitors these risks and makes appropriate provisions for collectibility based on an assessment of the risks present.

(10) Inventories, net

"Inventories, net" in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets consist of the following:

September 30, 2016 2015 Raw materials \$127.5 \$132.4 Work-in-process 43.6 37.9 Finished goods 569.5 610.5 Total inventories, net \$740.6 \$780.8

(11) Property, Plant and Equipment, net

Property, plant and equipment, net in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets consist of the following:

	Septem	ber 30,
	2016	2015
Land, buildings and improvements	\$196.9	\$192.2
Machinery, equipment and other	553.1	495.1
Capitalized leases	130.0	97.3
Construction in progress	57.7	51.8
Properties, plant and equipment at cost	937.7	836.4
Less: Accumulated depreciation	394.3	326.9
Total properties, plant and equipment, net	\$543.4	\$509.5

(12) Goodwill and Intangibles, net

A summary of the changes in the carrying amounts of goodwill and intangible assets are as follows:

		Intangible	Assets		
	Goodwill	Indefinite	Definite	Total	
	Goodwiii	Lived	Lived	Total	
Balance at September 30, 2014	\$1,524.8	\$1,215.9	\$917.2	\$2,133.1	
Acquisitions (Note 3)	1,054.7	341.5	184.9	526.4	
Impairments (Note 2)	(28.3)	(31.9)	_	(31.9)
Deconsolidation in connection to bankruptcy of a subsidiary	(16.2)	(9.9)	_	(9.9)
Periodic amortization		_	(87.8)	(87.8)
Effect of translation	(47.6)	(25.3)	(24.3)	(49.6)
Balance at September 30, 2015	2,487.4	1,490.3	990.0	2,480.3	
Adjustments	3.3	1.0	3.2	4.2	
Impairments (Note 2)	(10.7)	(4.7)	_	(4.7)
Periodic amortization	_	_	(93.9)	(93.9)
Effect of translation	(1.6)	(13.1)	(0.3)	(13.4)
Balance at September 30, 2016	\$2,478.4	\$1,473.5	\$899.0	\$2,372.5	

During Fiscal 2016 and 2015, the Company recorded additions to goodwill and intangible assets related to the acquisitions of AAG, Salix, European IAMS and Eukanuba, Tell, Liquid Fence, FOH and CorAmerica. See Note 3, Acquisitions, for further detail.

During Fiscal 2015, FOHG Holdings, LLC, FOH and their subsidiaries (together, "FOHG") filed for bankruptcy. Prior to the bankruptcy, three of the Company's consolidated subsidiaries were lenders to FOHG. Following the completion of the bankruptcy of FOHG, such entities ceased to be subsidiaries of HRG and the Company deconsolidated FOHG from the Consolidated Financial Statements. The Company recorded a \$38.5 gain on the deconsolidation, reported in "Gain on deconsolidation of subsidiary" on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations and \$16.2 of impairments related to certain loans between FOHG and subsidiaries of the Company. On June 3, 2015, following receipt of court approval, FOHG sold its brand and inventory to a third party licensing company, with the majority of the proceeds used to repay a portion of the loans made by subsidiaries of the Company. The deconsolidation of FOHG also resulted in a decrease of goodwill and intangibles associated with FOHG of \$16.2 and \$9.9, respectively. See Note 2, Significant Accounting Policies and Practices and Recent Accounting Pronouncements, for further detail. Definite Lived Intangible Assets

Amortizable intangible assets as of September 30, 2016 and 2015 consist of the following:

	September 30, 2016				September 30, 2015				
	Cost	Accumulate	ccumulated Net nortization		Cost	Accumulated		Not	
	Cost	Amortizatio	n	net	Cost	Accumulated Amortization		INCL	
Customer relationships	\$984.8	\$ (302.9)	\$681.9	\$985.2	\$ (247.4)	\$737.8	
Technology assets	237.2	(96.7)	140.5	238.6	(78.1)	160.5	
Trade names	165.7	(89.1)	76.6	165.4	(73.7)	91.7	
	\$1,387.7	\$ (488.7)	\$899.0	\$1,389.2	\$ (399.2)	\$990.0	

Amortization expense for the years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014 was \$93.9, \$87.8 and \$81.7, respectively. Excluding the impact of any future acquisitions or change in foreign currency, the Company estimates annual amortization expense of amortizable intangible assets for the next five fiscal years will be as follows:

	Estimated
Fiscal Year	Amortization
	Expense
2017	\$ 91.9
2018	85.7
2019	85.4
2020	85.2

2021 81.9

(13) Other Assets

"Other assets" in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets consist of the following:

Asset-based Loans

As of September 30, 2016 and 2015, the Company's portfolio of asset-based loans receivable originated by Salus and its co-lender Front Street consisted of the following:

September

	30,	
	2016	2015
Asset-based loans, net of deferred fees, by major industry:		
Apparel	\$18.8	\$66.0
Manufacturing	10.9	32.7
Jewelry	7.2	36.9
Electronics	3.1	45.9
Other	6.0	93.1
Total asset-based loans	46.0	274.6
Less: Allowance for credit losses	11.0	47.9
Total asset-based loans, net	\$35.0	\$226.7

The Company establishes its allowance for credit losses through a provision for credit losses based on Salus' evaluation of the credit quality of its loan portfolio. The following table presents the activity in its allowance for credit losses for Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014:

Fiscal 2016 2015 2014 Allowance for credit losses: Balance at beginning of year \$47.9 \$5.5 \$4.0 Provision for credit losses 12.8 93.5 1.5 Charge-offs (52.6)(51.1)Recoveries 2.9 Balance at end of year \$11.0 \$47.9 \$5.5

Credit Quality Indicators

Salus monitors credit quality as indicated by various factors and utilizes such information in its evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for credit losses. As of September 30, 2016 and 2015, loans with a net carrying value of \$30.1 and \$79.8, respectively, were considered delinquent by Salus and placed on non-accrual status. It is Salus' policy to discontinue accruing interest on its loans when there is a reasonable doubt as to collectability in the normal course of business. Nonaccrual loans are considered impaired for reporting purposes and are individually evaluated for impairment.

Salus has assessed the adequacy of its allowance for credit losses and believes the level of allowance for credit losses to be adequate to mitigate inherent losses in the portfolio. During Fiscal 2014, there were no outstanding loans that had been individually considered impaired.

During Fiscal 2015, the bankruptcy court overseeing the Chapter 11 proceedings of RadioShack approved the sale of 1,743 of the company's stores to General Wireless Inc., an affiliate of Standard General LP. Salus was the lender under RadioShack's \$250.0 term loan placed in December 2013 with a net exposure to Salus and Front Street of \$93.0 and \$7.0, respectively, after giving effect to a \$50.0 participation by FGL and a non-qualifying participation of \$100.0 held by a third party. During Fiscal 2015, the \$100.0 held by a third party was repaid in full because this third party

had the right of first payment in the case of a bankruptcy under an intercreditor agreement with Salus. During Fiscal 2015, the Company recognized charge-offs of \$51.1, excluding any charge-offs related to FGL's participations which are included in "(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations, and an additional net increase in the provision of credit losses of \$19.2 related to the loan with RadioShack.

During Fiscal 2016, Salus and Front Street received a partial repayment on the loan to RadioShack of \$45.4, excluding \$22.7 repayment on FGL's participation on the loan. At September 30, 2016, the Company expects an additional \$3.0 of future recoveries of the loan to RadioShack, excluding \$1.5 related to FGL's participation on the loan that is included in "Assets of business held for sale" in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. As a result of the higher than expected recovery rates during Fiscal 2016, the Company reversed \$18.0 of previously recorded allowance for credit losses, excluding \$9.0 of realized gains by FGL recorded in "(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. During Fiscal 2016, Salus recorded provision of credit losses of \$30.8 related to delinquent loans unrelated to RadioShack where the underlying collateral was underperforming.

Internal Risk Rating

	Pass	S _l	pecial lention	Su	bstandard	D	oubtful	Total
September 30, 2016	\$	\$	1.1	\$	14.8	\$	30.1	\$46.0
September 30, 2015	\$69.0	\$	32.4	\$	74.0	\$	99.2	\$274.6

Concentrations of Credit Risk and Major Customers

The loans in the asset-based portfolio are collateralized with accounts receivable, inventory or other assets such as real estate or intellectual property. Salus is exposed to credit risk to the extent that the carrying value of the loans exceeds the realizable value of such underlying collateral. Inventory, accounts receivable and other assets represented 52.0%, 12.0% and 36.0%, respectively, of eligible collateral underlying the asset-based loans portfolio at September 30, 2016. The largest concentration to one single borrower represented 29.6% of the outstanding asset-based loans.

Concentrations of Asset-based Loans

As of September 30, 2016 and 2015, the Company's most significant investment in one industry was the Company's asset-based loan in the apparel industry with a carrying value of \$18.8 and \$66.0, respectively, or 40.9% and 24.0%, respectively of the Company's asset-based loans. No investment in a single issuer exceeded 10% of the Company's stockholders' equity as of September 30, 2016 and 2015.

Other investments

The Company's consolidated other investments included in "Other assets" at September 30, 2015, can be summarized as follows:

1010	September 30, 2015				
	Cost or Amort Cost	Gross Unrealized ized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Carrying Value
Corporate fixed-maturity securities, available-for-sale	\$14.1	\$ —	\$ -	-\$ 14.1	\$ 14.1
Equity securities - held for trading	18.7	14.1		32.8	32.8
Other invested assets	5.3			5.3	5.3
Total other investments	\$38.1	\$ 14.1	\$ -	-\$ 52.2	\$ 52.2

(14) Accounts Payable and Other Current Liabilities

"Accounts payable and other current liabilities" in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets consist of the following:

	September 30		
	2016	2015	
Accounts payable	\$583.5	\$623.9	
Accrued expenses and other	172.9	196.7	
Wages and benefits	146.5	123.9	
Accrued interest	68.3	93.4	
Income taxes payable	16.0	16.0	
Restructuring and related charges	2.6	8.1	

Total accounts payable and other current liabilities \$989.8 \$1,062.0

Table of Contents

(15) Debt

The Company's consolidated debt consists of the following:

	_		September 2015	30,	
	Amount	Rate	Amount	Rate	Interest Rate
HRG 7.875% Senior Secured Notes, due July 15, 2019 7.75% Senior Unsecured Notes, due January 15, 2022 Spectrum Brands	\$864.4 890.0		\$864.4 890.0		Fixed rate Fixed rate
Term Loan, due June 23, 2022	1,005.5	3.6%	1,226.9		Variable rate, see below
CAD Term Loan, due June 23, 2022	54.9	4.6%	55.7	4.4 %	Variable rate, see below
Euro Term Loan, due June 23, 2022	63.0	3.5%	255.8	3.5 %	Variable rate, see below
6.375% Notes, due November 15, 2020 6.625% Notes, due November 15, 2022 6.125% Notes, due December 15, 2024 5.75% Notes, due July 15, 2025	129.7 570.0 250.0 1,000.0	6.6 % 6.1 %	520.0 570.0 250.0 1,000.0	6.6 % 6.1 %	Fixed rate Fixed rate Fixed rate Fixed rate
4.00% Notes, due October 1, 2026	477.0	4.0%	_	_ %	Fixed rate
Revolver Facility, expiring June 23, 2020		— %		— %	below
Other notes and obligations Obligations under capitalized leases HGI Energy	16.8 114.7	9.8% 5.5%			Variable rate Various
9.0% HGI Energy Note to FGL*, due February 14, 2021 Salus	_	— %	50.0	9.0 %	Fixed rate
Unaffiliated long-term debt of consolidated variable-interest entity	39.7	%	40.4		Variable rate, see below
Long-term debt of consolidated variable-interest entity with FGL*	63.0	— %	274.0	3.9 %	Variable rate, see below
Unaffiliated secured borrowings under non-qualifying loan participations	2.0	— %	8.8		Fixed rate
Secured borrowings under non-qualifying loan participations with FGL*	_	— %	4.2	4.5 %	Variable rate, see below
Promissory note to FGL* Total	 5,540.7	— %	2.5 6,112.1	5.3 %	Fixed rate
Original issuance discounts on debt, net of premiums Unamortized debt issue costs Total debt	(22.8) (87.0) 5,430.9		(25.7) (101.8) 5,984.6		
Less current maturities and short-term debt	166.0		45.1		
Non-current portion of debt	\$5,264.9	loted D	\$5,939.5	.4	in the table above

^{*} The debt balances included in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets and in the table above reflect transactions between the business held for sale and businesses held for use that are expected to continue to exist after the close of the FGL Merger. Such transactions are not eliminated in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in order to appropriately reflect the continuing operations and balances held for sale.

Aggregate scheduled maturities of debt and capital lease obligations as of September 30, 2016 are as follows:

Fiscal Year Capital HRG Consolidated lease debt -

Edgar Filing: HRG GROUP, INC. - Form 10-K

	obligations	Parent Only	
2017	\$ 10.0	\$—	\$ 166.0
2018	9.5		24.8
2019	8.8	864.4	884.6
2020	8.5		19.9
2021	10.0	_	124.0
Thereafter	67.9	890.0	4,321.4
Long-term debt	\$ 114.7	\$1,754.4	\$ 5,540.7

HRG

7.875% Notes

As of September 30, 2016 and 2015, the Company had an outstanding balance of \$864.4 of 7.875% senior secured notes due 2019 (the "7.875% Notes"). Interest on the 7.875% Notes is payable semiannually, in January and July.

Until January 15, 2018, the Company may redeem some or all of the 7.875% Notes at certain fixed redemption prices expressed as percentages of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest. At any time on or after January 15, 2018, the Company may redeem some or all of the 7.875% Notes at 100% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest.

The indenture governing the 7.875% Notes contains covenants limiting, among other things, and subject to certain qualifications and exceptions, the Company's ability, and, in certain cases, the ability of the Company's subsidiaries, to incur additional indebtedness; create liens; engage in sale-leaseback transactions; pay dividends or make distributions in respect of capital stock; make certain restricted payments; sell assets; engage in transactions with affiliates; or consolidate or merge with, or sell substantially all of the Company's assets to, another person. The Company is also required to maintain compliance with certain financial tests, including minimum liquidity and collateral coverage ratios that are based on the fair market value of the collateral, including the Company's equity interests in Spectrum Brands and its other subsidiaries such as HGI Funding, LLC. At September 30, 2016, the Company was in compliance with all covenants under the indenture governing the 7.875% Notes.

7.75% Notes

As of September 30, 2016 and 2015, the Company had an outstanding balance of \$890.0 of 7.75% senior notes due 2022 (the "7.75% Notes"). Interest on the 7.75% Notes is payable semiannually, in January and July.

The Company has the option to redeem the 7.75% Notes prior to January 15, 2017 at a redemption price equal to 100.0% of the principal amount plus a make-whole premium and accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the date of redemption. At any time on or after January 15, 2017, the Company may redeem some or all of the 7.75% Notes at certain fixed redemption prices expressed as percentages of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest. At any time prior to January 15, 2017, the Company may redeem up to 35% of the original aggregate principal amount of the 7.75% Notes with net cash proceeds received by the Company from certain equity offerings at a price equal to 107.75% of the principal amount of the 7.75% Notes redeemed, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the date of redemption, provided that redemption occurs within 90 days of the closing date of such equity offering, and at least 65% of the aggregate principal amount of the 7.75% Notes remains outstanding immediately thereafter.

Spectrum Brands Term Loans and Revolver Facility

On June 23, 2015, Spectrum Brands, Inc., a subsidiary of Spectrum Brands ("SBI") entered into term loan facilities pursuant to a Senior Credit Agreement consisting of a \$1,450.0 U.S. dollar denominated term loan facility due June 23, 2022 (the "USD Term Loan"), a \$75.0 CAD term loan due June 23, 2022 ("CAD Term Loan") and a €300.0 Euro denominated term loan facility due June 23, 2022 ("Euro Term Loan" and together with "USD Term Loan" and "CAD Term Loan", the "Term Loans") and entered into a \$500.0 Revolver Facility due June 23, 2020 (the "Revolver Facility"). The proceeds from the Term Loans and draws on the Revolver were used to repay SBI's then-existing senior term credit facility, repay SBI's outstanding 6.75% senior unsecured notes due 2020 (the "6.75% Notes"), repay and replace SBI's then-existing asset based revolving loan facility, and to pay fees and expenses in connection with the refinancing and for general corporate purposes.

The Term Loans and Revolver are subject to variable interest rates, (i) the USD Term Loan is subject to either adjusted International Exchange London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR"), subject to a 0.75% floor, plus 2.75% to 3.0% per annum, or base rate plus 1.75% to 2.0% per annum, (ii) the CAD Term Loan is subject to either Canadian Dollar Offered Rate, subject to a 0.75% floor plus 3.5% per annum, or base rate plus 2.5% per annum, (iii) the Euro Term Loan is subject to either Euro Interbank Offered Rate, subject to a 0.75% floor, plus 2.75% per annum, with no base rate option available and (iv) the Revolver is subject to either adjusted LIBOR plus 2.75% to 3.0% per annum, or base rate plus 1.75% to 2.0% per annum. On October 6, 2016, subsequent to Fiscal 2016, SBI amended the Term Loans reducing the interest rate. The USD Term Loans are subject to either adjusted LIBOR subject to 0.75% floor, plus 2.5% per annum, or base rate plus 1.5% per annum effective the date of the amendment.

Subject to certain mandatory prepayment events, the Term Loans are subject to repayment according to scheduled amortizations, with the final payments of all amounts outstanding, plus accrued and unpaid interest, due at maturity. The Senior Credit Agreement contains customary affirmative and negative covenants, including, but not limited to,

restrictions on SBI and its restricted subsidiaries' ability to incur indebtedness, create liens, make investments, pay

dividends or make certain other distributions, and merge or consolidate or sell assets, in each case subject to certain exceptions set forth in the Senior Credit Agreement. Additionally, the Senior Credit Agreement, solely with respect to the Revolver Facility, contains a financial covenant on the maximum net total leverage ratio that is tested on the last day of each fiscal quarter. SBI was in compliance with all covenants as of September 30, 2016. Pursuant to a guarantee agreement, SB/RH Holdings, LLC ("SB/RH Holdings") and the material wholly-owned domestic subsidiaries of SBI have guaranteed SBI's obligations under the Senior Credit Agreement and related loan documents. Pursuant to a security agreement, SBI and such subsidiary guarantors have pledged substantially all of their respective assets to secure such obligations and, in addition, SB/RH Holdings has pledged the capital stock of SBI to secure such obligations. The Senior Credit Agreement also provides for customary events of default including payment defaults and cross-defaults to other material indebtedness.

The Term Loans were issued net of a \$5.1 discount, which is amortized with a corresponding charge to interest expense over the remaining life of the loans. SBI incurred \$18.5 of debt issuance costs of which \$8.1 was capitalized as debt issuance costs and the remainder of \$10.4 was recognized as interest expense during Fiscal 2015. SBI recognized accelerated amortization of portions of the unamortized discount and unamortized debt issuance costs related to the then-existing term loans of \$7.7.

In connection with the Revolver Facility, SBI incurred \$5.7 of fees that were capitalized as debt issuance costs and are being amortized over the remaining life of the Revolver. SBI recorded accelerated amortization of portions of the unamortized debt issuance costs related to the refinancing of the previous revolver facility totaling \$1.1 as an increase to interest expense during Fiscal 2016. As of September 30, 2016, SBI had aggregate borrowing availability of \$466.2, net of outstanding letters of credit of \$24.6 and a \$9.2 allocated to a foreign subsidiary of Spectrum Brands. 6.375% Notes and 6.625% Notes

On December 17, 2012, in connection with the acquisition of Hardware & Home Improvement ("HHI") Business, Spectrum Brands assumed \$520.0 aggregate principal amount of 6.375% Notes at par value, due November 15, 2020, and \$570.0 aggregate principal amount of 6.625% Notes at par value, due November 15, 2022 (the "6.625% Notes"). The 6.375% Notes and 6.625% Notes are unsecured and guaranteed by SB/RH Holdings, as well as by existing and future domestic restricted subsidiaries.

Spectrum Brands may redeem all or a part of the 6.375% Notes and the 6.625% Notes, upon not less than 30 or more than 60 days notice, at specified redemption prices. Further, the indenture governing the 6.375% Notes and the 6.625% Notes (the "2020/22 Indenture") requires Spectrum Brands to make an offer, in cash, to repurchase all or a portion of the applicable outstanding notes for a specified redemption price, including a redemption premium, upon the occurrence of a change of control of Spectrum Brands, as defined in such indenture.

The 2020/22 Indenture contains customary covenants that limit, among other things, the incurrence of additional indebtedness, payment of dividends on or redemption or repurchase of equity interests, the making of certain investments, expansion into unrelated businesses, creation of liens on assets, merger or consolidation with another company, transfer or sale of all or substantially all assets, and transactions with affiliates.

In addition, the 2020/22 Indenture provides for customary events of default, including failure to make required payments, failure to comply with certain agreements or covenants, failure to make payments when due or on acceleration of certain other indebtedness, and certain events of bankruptcy and insolvency. Events of default under the 2020/22 Indenture arising from certain events of bankruptcy or insolvency will automatically cause the acceleration of the amounts due under the 6.375% Notes and the 6.625% Notes. If any other event of default under the 2020/22 Indenture occurs and is continuing, the trustee for the 2020/22 Indenture or the registered holders of at least 25% in the then aggregate outstanding principal amount of the 6.375% Notes, or the 6.625% Notes, may declare the acceleration of the amounts due under those notes.

Spectrum Brands recorded \$12.9 and \$14.1 of fees in connection with the offering of the 6.375% Notes and the 6.625% Notes, respectively and which were capitalized as debt issuance costs and amortized over the remaining lives of the 6.375% Notes and 6.625% Notes, respectively. In connection with the issuance of the 4.00% Notes previously discussed, Spectrum Brands repurchased \$390.3 aggregate principal amount of the 6.375% Notes in a cash tender offer. In connection with the tender, Spectrum Brands recognized \$6.5 of fees and expenses and a \$15.6 tender premium as interest expense; and wrote off \$5.8 of previously capitalized debt issuance costs as a non-cash charge to interest expense during Fiscal 2016. Pursuant to the 2020/22 Indenture, the remaining outstanding aggregate principal of \$129.7 was subsequently redeemed on October 20, 2016 with a make whole premium of \$4.6 charged to interest expense subsequent to the Fiscal 2016.

6.125% Notes

On December 4, 2014, SBI issued \$250.0 aggregate principal amount of 6.125% Notes at par value, due December 15, 2024 (the "6.125% Notes"). The 6.125% Notes are guaranteed by SB/RH Holdings, as well as by SBI's existing and future domestic subsidiaries.

SBI may redeem all or a part of the 6.125% Notes, at any time on or after December 15, 2019, at specified redemption prices. Prior to December 15, 2019, SBI may redeem the notes at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount plus a "make-whole" premium. SBI is also entitled to redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of

the notes before December 15, 2017 with an amount of cash equal to the net proceeds that SBI raises in equity offerings at specified redemption prices. Further, the indenture governing the 6.125% Notes (the "2024 Indenture") requires SBI to make an offer, in cash, to repurchase all or a portion of the applicable outstanding notes for a specified redemption price, including a redemption premium, upon the occurrence of a change of control of SBI, as defined in the 2024 Indenture.

The 2024 Indenture contains customary covenants that limit, among other things, the incurrence of additional indebtedness, payment of dividends on or redemption or repurchase of equity interests, the making of certain investments, expansion into unrelated businesses, creation of liens on assets, merger or consolidation with another company, transfer or sale of all or substantially all assets, and transactions with affiliates.

In addition, the 2024 Indenture provides for customary events of default, including failure to make required payments, failure to comply with certain agreements or covenants, failure to make payments when due or on acceleration of certain other indebtedness, and certain events of bankruptcy and insolvency. Events of default under the 2024 Indenture arising from certain

Table of Contents

events of bankruptcy or insolvency will automatically cause the acceleration of the amounts due under the 6.125% Notes. If any other event of default under the 2024 Indenture occurs and is continuing, the trustee for the 2024 Indenture or the registered holders of at least 25% in the then aggregate outstanding principal amount of the 6.125% Notes, may declare the acceleration of the amounts due under those notes.

Spectrum Brands recorded \$4.6 of fees in connection with the offering of the 6.125% Notes, which have been capitalized as debt issuance costs and are being amortized over the remaining life of the 6.125% Notes. 5.75% Notes

On May 20, 2015, in connection with the acquisition of the AAG Business, SBI issued \$1,000.0 aggregate principal amount of 5.75% senior notes due July 15, 2025 (the "5.75% Notes") at par value. The 5.75% Notes are guaranteed by SB/RH Holdings, as well as by SBI's existing and future domestic subsidiaries.

SBI may redeem all or a part of the 5.75% Notes, at any time on or after July 15, 2020, at specified redemption prices. In addition, prior to July 15, 2020, SBI may redeem the notes at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount plus a "make-whole" premium. SBI is also entitled to redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the notes before July 15, 2018 with an amount of cash equal to the net proceeds that SBI raises in equity offerings at specified redemption prices. Further, the indenture governing the 5.75% Notes (the "2025 Indenture") requires SBI to make an offer, in cash, to repurchase all or a portion of the applicable outstanding notes for a specified redemption price, including a redemption premium, upon the occurrence of a change of control of SBI, as defined in the 2025 Indenture.

The 2025 Indenture contains customary covenants that limit, among other things, the incurrence of additional indebtedness, payment of dividends on or redemption or repurchase of equity interests, the making of certain investments, expansion into unrelated businesses, creation of liens on assets, merger or consolidation with another company, transfer or sale of all or substantially all assets, and transactions with affiliates.

In addition, the 2025 Indenture provides for customary events of default, including failure to make required payments, failure to comply with certain agreements or covenants, failure to make payments when due or on acceleration of certain other indebtedness, and certain events of bankruptcy and insolvency. Events of default under the 2025 Indenture arising from certain events of bankruptcy or insolvency will automatically cause the acceleration of the amounts due under the 5.75% Notes. If any other event of default under the 2025 Indenture occurs and is continuing, the trustee for the 2025 Indenture or the registered holders of at least 25% in the then aggregate outstanding principal amount of the 5.75% Notes, may declare the acceleration of the amounts due under those notes.

During Fiscal 2015, Spectrum Brands recorded \$19.7 of fees in connection with the offering of the 5.75% Notes, which have been capitalized as debt issuance costs and are being amortized over the remaining life of the 5.75% Notes.

4.00% Notes

On September 20, 2016, SBI issued €425.0 aggregate principal amount of 4.00% Notes. The 4.00% Notes are guaranteed by SB/RH Holdings as well as by SBI's existing and future domestic subsidiaries.

SBI may redeem all or a part of the 4.00% Notes, at any time on or after October 1, 2021 at specified redemption prices. In addition, prior to October 1, 2021, SBI may redeem the notes at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amounts plus a "make-whole" premium. SBI is also entitled to redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the notes before October 1, 2019 with an amount of cash equal to the net proceeds that SBI raises in equity offerings at specified redemption prices. Further, the indenture governing the 4.00% Notes (the "2026 Indenture") requires SBI to make an offer, in cash, to repurchase all or a portion of the applicable outstanding notes for a specified redemption price, including a redemption premium, upon the occurrence of a change of control of SBI, as defined in the 2026 Indenture.

The 2026 Indenture contains customary covenants that limit, among other things, the incurrence of additional indebtedness, payment of dividends on or redemption or repurchase of equity interests, the making of certain investments, expansion into unrelated businesses, creation of liens on assets, merger or consolidation with another company, transfer or sale of all or substantially all assets, and transactions with affiliates.

In addition, the 2026 Indenture provides for customary events of default, including failure to make required payments, failure to comply with certain agreements or covenants, failure to make payments when due or on acceleration of

certain other indebtedness, and certain events of bankruptcy and insolvency. Events of default under the 2026 Indenture arising from certain events of bankruptcy or insolvency will automatically cause the acceleration of the amounts due under the 4.00% Notes. If any other event of default under the 2026 Indenture occurs and is continuing, the trustee for the 2026 Indenture or the registered holders of at least 25% in the then aggregate outstanding principal amount of the 4.00% Notes, may declare the acceleration of the amounts due under those notes. Spectrum Brands recorded \$7.7 of fees in connection with the offering of the 4.00% Notes during Fiscal 2016, which

have been capitalized as debt issuance costs and are being amortized over the remaining life of the 4.00% Notes.

HGI Energy

In February 2013, in connection with the Company's acquisition of an interest in Compass, HGI Energy entered into note purchase agreements, one of which was with FGL for \$50.0 notional aggregate principal amount due February 14, 2021 (the "HGI Energy Note to FGL"). The HGI Energy Note to FGL earned interest at 9.0% per annum, payable semi-annually in arrears on January 1 and July 1. Following the Compass Sale, the HGI Energy Note to FGL was canceled and replaced with \$46.0 notional aggregate amount of new notes of HGI Energy which were then transferred from FGL to a reinsurance funds withheld account, for which Front Street bears the economic risk. HGI Energy recorded \$4.0 (approximately \$0.02 per share) gain on the restructuring of the HGI Energy Note to FGL which was included in "Other income (expense), net" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. The corresponding loss of \$4.0 recorded by FGL was included in "(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. The transfer of the new notes to the reinsurance funds withheld account resulted in the elimination upon the consolidation of the funds withheld receivables and debt balances as Front Street is a business held for use.

Salus

Salus acted as co-lender under some of the asset-based loans that it originated, and such loans were structured to meet the definition of a "participating interest" as defined under ASC 860-10, Transfers and Servicing. Salus is no longer originating new loans. For loans originated with co-lenders that have terms that result in such a co-lender not having a qualifying "participating interest," Salus recognizes the whole, undivided loan. Salus also reflects a secured borrowing owing to the co-lender representing their share in the undivided whole loan. As of September 30, 2016 and 2015, Salus had \$2.0 and \$8.8 respectively, of such secured borrowings to unaffiliated co-lenders outstanding related to non-qualifying "participating interests." As of September 30, 2016, Salus had no secured borrowings under non-qualifying loan participation with FGL and as of September 30, 2015, the balance was \$4.2. In February 2013, September 2013 and February 2015, Salus completed a CLO securitization of up to \$578.5 notional aggregate principal amount. At September 30, 2016 and 2015, the outstanding notional aggregate principal amount of \$39.7 and \$40.4, respectively, was taken up by unaffiliated entities and consisted entirely of subordinated debt in both periods, and \$63.0 and \$274.0, respectively, was taken up by FGL and also included in "Assets of business held for sale" in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. The obligations of the securitization is secured by the assets of the VIE, primarily asset-based loan receivables, and at September 30, 2016 all of the senior secured tranches has been paid in full. The reduction in senior secured and subordinated debt was financed by cash on hand and the realization of the underlying receivables or collateral. The subordinated tranches carry residual interest subject to maintenance of certain covenants. Due to losses incurred in the CLO, at September 30, 2016 and September 30,

In February 2015, Salus executed a \$2.5 senior secured promissory note in favor of FGL in recognition of certain amounts due to FGL. The note was originally due on May 29, 2015 with fixed interest of 5.3% to be paid semi-annually. The note was repaid in full during Fiscal 2016.

2015, the CLO was not accruing interest on the subordinated debt.

(16) Shareholders' Equity

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

The cumulative amounts of the components of accumulated other comprehensive income reflected in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity, as of September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014, were as follows:

Cumulative components at September 30,	Unrealized Investmen Gains, net	t Other-th	an- 'y	Unrealized Gains (Lo — Cash F	sse	Actuarial Adjustmos to Pensic Plans		Cumulati ^S Translatio Adjustme	on	Total S
2016:										
Gross amounts (after reclassification adjustments)	\$ 940.5	\$ (2.4)	\$ 7.0		\$ (87.7)	\$ (164.2)	\$693.2
Intangible assets adjustments	(258.6)	0.4		_				_		(258.2)
Tax effects	(237.1)	0.2		(3.8)	12.1		3.5		(225.1)
Noncontrolling interest	(86.0)	_		(1.7)	30.4		68.3		11.0
	\$ 358.8	\$ (1.8))	\$ 1.5		\$ (45.2))	\$ (92.4)	\$220.9
Cumulative components at September 30, 2015:										
Gross amounts (after reclassification adjustments)	\$ 147.2	\$ (1.0)	\$ (3.0)	\$ (48.4)	\$ (155.7)	\$(60.9)
Intangible assets adjustments	(0.3)	0.4		_		_		_		0.1
Tax effects	(51.4)	0.2		(0.9))	1.3		3.5		(47.3)
Noncontrolling interest	(17.4)			1.3		18.6		64.9		67.4
	\$ 78.1	\$ (0.4)	\$ (2.6)	\$ (28.5))	\$ (87.3)	\$(40.7)
Cumulative components at September 30, 2014:										
Gross amounts (after reclassification adjustments)	\$ 762.2	\$ (1.0)	\$ 13.1		\$ (36.2)	\$ (42.8)	\$695.3
Intangible assets adjustments	(220.0)	0.4								(219.6)
Tax effects	(190.1)	0.2		(3.9)	0.8		3.5		(189.5)
Noncontrolling interest	(68.5)			(3.8)	13.6		16.1		(42.6)
	\$ 283.6	\$ (0.4)	\$ 5.4		\$ (21.8))	\$ (23.2)	\$243.6

Restricted Net Assets of Subsidiaries

HRG's equity in restricted net assets of consolidated subsidiaries was approximately \$2,656.4 as of September 30, 2016, representing 416.3% of HRG's consolidated shareholders' equity as of September 30, 2016 and consisted of net assets of FS Holdco II Ltd., Spectrum Brands and HGI Energy (inclusive of assets held for sale), less noncontrolling interest, which were restricted as to transfer to HRG in the form of cash dividends, loans or advances under regulatory or debt covenant restrictions.

Stock Repurchase Program

In May 2014, the Company's Board of Directors authorized a stock repurchase program for an aggregate of up to \$100.0 of common stock. The repurchase program authorizes purchases to be made from time to time in one or more open market or private transactions. The manner of purchase, the number of shares to be purchased and the timing of purchases are be based on the price of HRG's common stock, general business and market conditions and applicable legal requirements, and is subject to the discretion of HRG's management. The program does not require HRG to purchase any specific number of shares or any shares at all, and may be suspended, discontinued or re-instituted at any time without prior notice.

A summary of the stock repurchase activity under a \$100.0 stock repurchase program authorized by HRG's Board of Directors in Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014 is summarized as follows (share amounts in thousands):

	Shares	Weighted-Average	Amount
	repurchased Price per Share		Repurchased
Cumulative balance at September 30, 2016	6,900	\$ 12.71	\$ 87.7
Cumulative balance at September 30, 2015	6,900	\$ 12.71	\$ 87.7
Cumulative balance at September 30, 2014	5,197	\$ 12.62	\$ 65.5

The purchase price for the shares of the Company's stock repurchased is reflected as a reduction to shareholders' equity. Upon repurchase, the Company retires the stock and records the excess of the cost of the treasury stock over its par value entirely to additional paid-in capital.

(17) Employee Benefit Obligations Defined Benefit Plans HRG

HRG has a noncontributory defined benefit pension plan (the "HRG Pension Plan") covering certain former U.S. employees. During 2006, the HRG Pension Plan was frozen which caused all existing participants to become fully vested in their benefits.

Additionally, HRG has an unfunded supplemental pension plan (the "Supplemental Plan") which provides supplemental retirement payments to certain former senior executives of HRG. The amounts of such payments equal the difference between the amounts received under the HRG Pension Plan and the amounts that would otherwise be received if HRG Pension Plan payments were not reduced as the result of the limitations upon compensation and benefits imposed by Federal law. Effective December 1994, the Supplemental Plan was frozen.

Spectrum Brands

Spectrum Brands has various defined benefit pension plans (the "Spectrum Brands Pension Plans") covering some of its employees in the U.S. and certain employees in other countries, primarily the United Kingdom and Germany. The Spectrum Brands Pension Plans generally provide benefits of stated amounts for each year of service. Spectrum Brands funds its U.S. pension plans in accordance with the requirements of the defined benefit pension plans and, where applicable, in amounts sufficient to satisfy the minimum funding requirements of applicable laws. Additionally, in compliance with Spectrum Brands' funding policy, annual contributions to non-U.S. defined benefit plans are equal to the actuarial recommendations or statutory requirements in the respective countries.

Spectrum Brands also sponsors or participates in a number of other non-U.S. pension arrangements, including various retirement and termination benefit plans, some of which are covered by local law or coordinated with government-sponsored plans, which are not significant in the aggregate and therefore are not included in the information presented below. Spectrum Brands also has various nonqualified deferred compensation agreements with certain of its employees. Under certain of these agreements, Spectrum Brands has agreed to pay certain amounts annually for the first 15 years subsequent to retirement or to a designated beneficiary upon death. It is management's intent that life insurance contracts owned by Spectrum Brands will fund these agreements. Under the remaining agreements, Spectrum Brands has agreed to pay such deferred amounts in up to 15 annual installments beginning on a date specified by the employee, subsequent to retirement or disability, or to a designated beneficiary upon death.

The following tables provide additional information on the Company's pension plans as of September 30, 2016 and 2015, which principally relate to Spectrum Brands:

	U.S. Plans		Non U.S. Plans		
	2016	2015	2016	2015	
Change in benefit obligation					
Projected benefit obligation, beginning of year	\$93.2	\$91.0	\$184.4	\$196.2	
Obligations assumed from acquisitions	_	_	_	0.6	
Transfer of obligation	_	_	_	(1.8)	
Service cost	0.6	0.8	2.6	2.6	
Interest cost	3.7	3.7	5.7	6.2	
Actuarial loss	6.8	3.1	36.0	10.6	
Curtailments	_		_	(0.9)	
Benefits paid	(5.6)	(5.4)	(6.1)	(11.8)	
Foreign currency exchange rate changes			(12.0)	(17.3)	
Projected benefit obligation, end of year	\$98.7	\$93.2	\$210.6	\$184.4	
Change in plan assets					
Fair value of plan assets, beginning of year	\$72.6	\$78.0	\$116.9	\$126.5	
Actual return on plan assets	6.5	(1.0)	8.9	3.6	
Employer contributions	4.2	1.0	6.6	7.8	
Benefits paid	(5.6)	(5.4)	(6.1)	(11.8)	
Foreign currency exchange rate changes			(11.3)	(9.2)	
Fair value of plan assets, end of year	\$77.7	\$72.6	\$115.0	\$116.9	
Accrued Benefit Cost / Funded Status	\$(21.0)	\$(20.6)	\$(95.6)	\$(67.5)	
Weighted average assumptions:					
Discount rate	2.8% to	3.4% to	1.0% to	1.8% to	
Discount rate	3.5%	4.3%	13.5%	13.8%	
Expected return on plan assets	7.0%	7.0% to	1.0% to	3.5% to	
Expected feturii on pian assets	7.070	7.3%	3.7%	5.3%	
Rate of compensation increase	N/A	N/A	2.3% to	2.3% to	
Nate of compensation increase	11/71	11//1	7.0%	5.5%	

The net underfunded status as of September 30, 2016 and 2015 of \$116.6 and \$88.1, respectively, is recognized in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets within "Employee benefit obligations." Included in AOCI as of September 30, 2016 and 2015 were unrecognized net losses of \$45.2, net of tax expense of \$12.1 and noncontrolling interest of \$30.4, and \$28.5, net of tax expense of \$1.3 and noncontrolling interest of \$18.6, respectively, which have not yet been recognized as components of net periodic pension cost. The net loss in AOCI expected to be recognized during Fiscal 2017 is \$3.1.

The following table contains the components of net periodic benefit costs during Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014:

-	U.S. Plans			Non U.S. Plans			
	Fiscal			Fiscal			
	2016	2015	2014	2016	2015	2014	
Components of net periodic cost:							
Service cost	\$0.6	\$0.8	\$0.5	\$2.6	\$ 2.6	\$ 3.0	
Interest cost	3.7	3.7	3.8	5.7	6.2	7.4	
Expected return on assets	(5.2)	(5.6)	(5.2)	(4.2)	(5.2)	(5.8)	
Curtailment gain	_	_		0.1	0.7	(0.1)	
Recognized net actuarial loss	0.7	0.2	0.1	0.8	1.3	1.4	
Net periodic cost	\$(0.2)	\$(0.9)	\$(0.8)	\$5.0	\$ 5.6	\$ 5.9	
Weighted average assumptions:							
Discount rate							

Edgar Filing: HRG GROUP, INC. - Form 10-K

	3.4%	3.5%	3.6%	1.8%	2.0%	2.3%
	to	to	to	to	to	to
	4.3%	4.2%	4.7%	13.8%	6 13.5%	12.5%
	7.0%	7.3%	7.3%	1.8%	2.0%	4.0%
Expected return on plan assets	to	to	to	to	to	to
	7.3%	7.5%	7.8%	4.5%	5.3%	5.8%
				2.3%	2.3%	2.3%
Rate of compensation increase	N/A	N/A	N/A	to	to	to
				5.5%	5.5%	5.5%

The discount rate is used to calculate the projected benefit obligation. The discount rate used is based on the rate of return on government bonds as well as current market conditions of the respective countries where the plans are established. The expected return on plan assets is based on the Company's expectation of the long-term average rate of return of the capital market in which the plans invest. The expected return reflects the target asset allocations and considers the historical returns earned for each asset category.

The following benefit payments were expected to be paid as of September 30, 2016:

Fiscal Year	U.S. Plans	Non U.S. Plans
2017	\$ 5.2	\$ 5.4
2018	5.3	5.7
2019	5.4	6.4
2020	5.5	6.8
2021	5.6	7.0
2022 to 2026	27.5	40.0

The Company has established formal investment policies for the assets associated with these plans. Policy objectives include maximizing long-term return at acceptable risk levels, diversifying among asset classes, if appropriate, and among investment managers, as well as establishing relevant risk parameters within each asset class. Specific asset class targets are based on the results of periodic asset/liability studies. The investment policies permit variances from the targets within certain parameters. The plan assets currently do not include holdings of common stock of HRG or its subsidiaries.

Below is a summary allocation of all pension plan assets as of September 30, 2016 and 2015:

U.S. F	Dlone	Non U	J.S.
U.S. I	Talls	Plans	
2016	2015	2016	2015

Asset Type

Equity securities 61 % 62 % — % 6 % Fixed income securities 36 % 35 % 23 % 25 % Other 3 % 3 % 77 % 69 % Total 100% 100% 100% 100%

The fair value of pension plan assets by asset category as of September 30, 2016 and 2015 were as follows:

Septer	nber 30,	2016	
Level	Level	Level	Total
1	2.	3	Total

Defined Benefit Plan Assets:

Equity Securities

Equity Securities				
U.S. equity securities	\$22.2	\$11.8	\$	-\$34.0
Foreign equity securities	10.4	2.3	_	12.7
Debt Securities				
U.S. bonds	19.6	7.1	_	26.7
Foreign bonds	1.9	24.1	_	26.0
Real estate	1.7	5.8	_	7.5
Life insurance contracts		37.0	_	37.0
Other		35.1	_	35.1
Foreign cash & cash equivalents	13.7	_	_	13.7
Total defined benefit plan assets	\$69.5	\$123.2	\$	-\$ 192.7

	September 30, 2015					
	Level	Level	Leve	el Total		
	1	2	3	Total		
Defined Benefit Plan Assets:						
Equity Securities						
U.S. equity securities	\$18.6	\$13.0	\$	-\$31.6		
Foreign equity securities	10.5	8.4	_	18.9		
Debt Securities						
U.S. bonds	18.2	6.8	—	25.0		
Foreign bonds	3.0	15.1	_	18.1		
Foreign government bonds		11.2	_	11.2		
Real estate	1.2	6.0	—	7.2		
Life insurance contracts		35.5	_	35.5		
Other		33.9	_	33.9		
Foreign cash & cash equivalents	8.1	_	_	8.1		
Total defined benefit plan assets	\$59.6	\$129.9	\$	-\$189.5		

Defined Contribution Plans

HRG, Spectrum Brands and Salus sponsor defined contributions plans in which eligible participants may defer a fixed amount or a percentage of their eligible compensation, subject to limitations. Each of HRG, Spectrum Brands and Salus make discretionary matching contributions of eligible compensation. Spectrum Brands also sponsors defined contribution pension plans for employees of certain foreign subsidiaries. Contributions are discretionary and evaluated annually. Aggregate contributions charged to operations for the defined contribution plans, including discretionary amounts, for Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014 were \$12.1, \$11.7 and \$12.5, respectively.

(18) Stock-Based Compensation

The Company recognized consolidated stock compensation expense of \$78.0, \$72.6 and \$73.7 during Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Stock compensation expense is principally included in "Selling, acquisition, operating and general expenses" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

A summary of stock options outstanding as of September 30, 2016 and related activity during the year then ended are as follows (option amounts in thousands):

LIDC

	HKG		
Stock Option Awards	Option	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Stock options outstanding at September 30, 2015	4,770	\$ 9.25	\$ 3.70
Granted	28	13.93	5.07
Exercised	(567)	7.82	3.05
Stock options outstanding at September 30, 2016	4,231	9.48	3.80
Stock options vested and exercisable at September 30, 2016	3,273	8.56	3.42
Stock options outstanding and expected to vest	4,231	9.48	3.80

HRG

Table of Contents

A summary of restricted stock and restricted stock units outstanding as of September 30, 2016 and related activity during the year then ended, under HRG and Spectrum Brands are as follows (share amounts in thousands):

		11110		
			Weight	ed
			Average	e
Restricted Stock Awards		Shares	Grant	
			Date Fa	ir
			Value	
Nonvested restricted stock outstanding at September 30, 2	015	4,283	\$ 11.74	
Granted		99	13.93	
Exercised / Released		(2,407)	11.01	
Nonvested restricted stock outstanding at September 30, 2	016	1,975	12.74	
	HR	G	Spect	rum
	1110	U	Brand	ls
		Weighte	d	Weighted
		Average		Average
Restricted Stock Units	Uni	t G rant	Units	Grant
		Date Fai	r	Date Fair
		Value		Value
Restricted stock units outstanding at September 30, 2015	42	\$ 12.33	608	\$ 87.50
Granted	6	13.93	590	94.88
Exercised / Released	(6)	13.93	(549)	86.97
Forfeited	_	_	(72)	92.26
Restricted stock units outstanding at September 30, 2016	42	12.33	577	94.97

A summary of warrants outstanding as of September 30, 2016 and related activity during the year then ended, under HRG's incentive plan are as follows (share amounts in thousands):

	UNU		
Warrants	Units	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Warrants outstanding at September 30, 2015	1,800	\$ 13.13	\$ 3.22
Exercised / Released	(600)	13.13	3.22
Warrants outstanding at September 30, 2016	1,200	13.13	3.22
Warrants vested and exercisable at September 30, 2016			_
Warrants outstanding and expected to vest	1,200	13.13	3.22
HRG			

On September 15, 2011, the Company's stockholders approved the HRG Group, Inc. 2011 Omnibus Equity Award Plan (formerly, Harbinger Group Inc. 2011 Omnibus Equity Award Plan, as amended (the "2011 HRG Plan")). The 2011 HRG Plan provides for the issuance of stock options or stock appreciation rights ("SARs") for up to 17 million shares of common stock. Such authorization was increased by 7 million shares upon the approval of an amendment to the 2011 Plan by HRG's shareholders at the annual meeting held on May 30, 2015. Further, at that meeting, HRG's shareholders approved the adoption of the Harbinger Group Inc. 2014 Warrant Award Plan, authorizing the issuance of 3 million warrants on HRG common stock to HRG's former Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Philip Falcone. The 2011 HRG Plan, as amended, prohibits granting stock options with exercise prices and SARs with grant prices lower than the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant, except in connection with the issuance or assumption of awards in connection with certain mergers, consolidations, acquisitions of property or stock or reorganizations. As of September 30, 2016, 9,065 thousand shares were available for issuance under the 2011 HRG

Plan.

During Fiscal 2016, HRG granted stock option awards, restricted stock awards and restricted stock unit awards representing approximately 28 thousand, 99 thousand and 6 thousand shares, respectively. All of these grants are time based, and vest either immediately, or over a period of up to 3 years. The total fair value of the stock grants during Fiscal 2016 on their respective grant dates was approximately \$1.6. During Fiscal 2016, stock option awards, restricted stock awards and restricted stock unit awards with a total fair value of \$31.7 vested. The total intrinsic value of share options exercised during Fiscal 2016 was \$3.3, for which HRG received cash of \$4.4 in settlement. During Fiscal 2015, HRG granted stock option awards, restricted stock awards and restricted stock unit awards representing approximately 977 thousand, 1,885 thousand and 48 thousand shares, respectively. All of these grants are time based, and vest either immediately, or over a period of up to 3 years. The total fair value of the stock grants during Fiscal 2015 on their respective grant dates was approximately \$30.8. During Fiscal 2015, stock option awards and restricted stock awards with a total fair value

of \$32.0 vested. The total intrinsic value of share options exercised during Fiscal 2015 was \$4.0, for which HRG received cash of \$4.1 in settlement.

During Fiscal 2014, HRG granted stock option awards, restricted stock awards and restricted stock units representing approximately 1,356 thousand, 3,325 thousand and 7 thousand shares, respectively. All of these grants are time based, and vest over a period of up to 3 years. The total fair value of the stock grants during Fiscal 2014 on their respective grant dates was approximately \$46.7. During Fiscal 2014, stock option awards, restricted stock awards and restricted stock units with a total fair value of \$15.2 vested. The total intrinsic value of share options exercised during Fiscal 2014 was \$3.6, for which HRG received cash of \$2.8 in settlement.

In March 2014, the Company awarded warrants to HRG's former Chief Executive Officer, Philip Falcone, representing the right to purchase approximately 3.0 million shares of HRG's common stock, at an exercise price of \$13.13 per share. A portion of the warrants, representing 600 thousand shares, vested immediately upon approval of the grant, and the remainder would vest over a period of 4 years. The estimated grant date fair value of this award was \$9.6. As of September 30, 2016, there was approximately \$4.7 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested share-based compensation agreements previously granted, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 0.94 years.

The following assumptions were used in the determination of these grant date fair values using the Black-Scholes option pricing model:

The weighted-average remaining contractual term of outstanding stock option awards and warrants at September 30, 2016, was 6.70 years.

Spectrum Brands

On October 21, 2010, Spectrum Brands' board of directors adopted the Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. 2011 Omnibus Equity Award Plan (the "2011 Plan"). During Fiscal 2014, the 2011 Plan was amended to increase the shares issuable to 5,626 thousand shares of common stock of Spectrum Brands, net of cancellations.

Spectrum Brands granted restricted stock units representing approximately 590 thousand shares during Fiscal 2016. Of these grants, 423 thousand restricted stock units are performance and time-based and vest immediately or within 12 months, and the remaining 167 thousand restricted stock units vest within a 2 year period. The total market value of the restricted shares on the date of the grant was approximately \$56.0.

Spectrum Brands granted restricted stock units representing approximately 573 thousand shares during Fiscal 2015. Of these grants, 119 thousand restricted stock units vested immediately or within 12 months and 160 thousand restricted stock units are time-based and vest over a period of 1 year. The remaining 294 thousand restricted stock units are performance-based and vest over a period of up to 2 years. The total market value of the restricted shares on the date of the grant was approximately \$52.9.

Spectrum Brands granted restricted stock units representing approximately 669 thousand shares during Fiscal 2014. Of these grants, 203 thousand restricted stock units vested immediately or within 12 months and 143 thousand restricted stock units are time-based and vest over a period of 1 year. The remaining 323 thousand restricted stock units are performance-based and vest over 2 years. The total market value of the restricted shares on the date of the grant was approximately \$50.5.

(19) Income Taxes

Income tax expense (benefit) was calculated based upon the following components of income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes:

Fiscal 2016 2015 2014

Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes:

United States	\$31.7	\$(497.1)	\$(100.0)
Outside the United States	200.2	190.0	204.0
Total income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	\$231.9	\$(307.1)	\$104.0

The components of income tax expense (benefit) were as follows:

	Fiscal		
	2016	2015	2014
Current:			
Federal	\$(16.3)	\$(14.7)	\$13.4
Foreign	60.2	40.4	46.6
State	2.4	4.5	6.2
Total current	46.3	30.2	66.2
Deferred:			
Federal	(6.5)	(54.1)	41.3
Foreign	(1.1)	11.2	(8.3)
State	2.8	(3.5)	(9.6)
Total deferred	(4.8)	(46.4)	23.4
Income tax expense (benefit)	\$41.5	\$(16.2)	\$89.6

The differences between income taxes expected at the U.S. Federal statutory income tax rate of 35.0% and reported income tax expense (benefit) are summarized as follows:

	Fiscal			
	2016	2015		2014
Expected income tax expense at Federal statutory rate	\$81.2	\$(107.5	5)	\$36.4
State and local income taxes	12.3	(5.1)	1.5
Valuation allowance for deferred tax assets	(42.3)	190.8		(31.8)
Preferred stock equity conversion feature	_			4.4
Residual tax on foreign earnings	19.7	24.8		90.9
Foreign rate differential	(38.9)	(29.0))	(23.1)
Foreign tax law changes	(3.7)			(7.7)
Impact of IRC Section 9100 relief	(16.4)			
Share based compensation adjustments	(3.4)			
Benefit from adjustment to tax basis in assets	(8.4)			
Provision to return adjustment	4.9			
Permanent items	12.9	14.4		7.9
Exempt foreign income		(4.7)	(5.7)
Unrecognized tax benefits	33.0	(1.5)	2.2
State tax law and rate changes		(54.5)	
NOL adjustments	_	9.2		
Gain on deconsolidation		(23.3))	
Non-deductible goodwill impairment		9.9		
Purchase accounting benefit	_	(22.8))	_
Outside basis difference	(5.1)	(16.2)	_
Other	(4.3)	(0.7))	14.6
Reported income tax expense (benefit)	\$41.5	\$(16.2)	\$89.6
Effective tax rate	17.9 %	5.3	%	86.2 %

For Fiscal 2016, the Company's effective tax rate of 17.9% differed from the expected U.S. statutory tax rate of 35.0%. The Company's tax rate was reduced by the change in judgement in the realizability on a portion of Spectrum Brands' U.S. net operating loss ("NOL") carryforwards that were previously recorded with valuation allowance. This reduction was offset by an increase in valuation allowance needed for current year losses from the Corporate and Other segment in the U.S. that are not more-likely-than-not to be realized. The effective tax rate for Fiscal 2016 also included the tax effects related to the adoption of ASU 2016-09 which resulted in the recognition of excess tax benefits in the Company's provision for income taxes rather than paid-in capital of \$25.6, and \$5.9 of excess tax benefits that were recognized in (Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax as the excess tax benefits increased net operating

loss carryforwards that are expected to offset the tax effects of the FGL Merger. See Note 2, Significant Accounting Policies and Practices and Recent Accounting Pronouncements, for the adoption of ASU 2016-09. For Fiscal 2015, the Company's effective tax rate of 5.3% differed from the expected U.S. statutory tax rate of 35.0%. This difference was primarily driven by pretax losses in certain foreign and U.S. entities and jurisdictions, book valuations and

impairments and bad debt expense in the Company's Insurance and Corporate and Other segments in the U.S. for which we concluded that a majority of the tax benefits are not more-likely-than-not to be realized, resulting in the recording of valuation allowances. Partially offsetting these items in Fiscal 2015 were: (i) income earned outside the U.S. that is subject to statutory tax rates lower than 35.0%; and (ii) recognition of a \$22.8 income tax benefit from the reversal of a portion of Spectrum Brands' U.S. valuation allowance on deferred tax assets in connection with the acquisition of AAG. As a result, Spectrum Brands determined that a portion of its pre-existing deferred tax assets are more-likely-than-not to be realized by the combined entity and a portion of the historical valuation allowance was no longer required. In addition, the Company recognized a non-recurring net income tax benefit of \$12.3 attributable to the tax impact related to the impairment of certain FOH indefinite lived intangible assets for which a deferred tax liability was previously recorded. Due to the indefinite life of these assets for book purposes, the related deferred tax liability was not regarded as a source of taxable income to support the realization of deferred tax assets. For Fiscal 2014, the Company's effective tax rate of 86.2% differed from the expected U.S. statutory tax rate of 35.0%. This difference was primarily driven by pretax losses in the U.S. and some foreign jurisdictions for which the Company concluded that the tax benefits are not more-likely-than-not realizable, resulting in valuation allowances, that were partially offset by income earned outside the U.S. that is subject to statutory rates lower than 35.0%. The following table summarizes the components of deferred income tax assets and liabilities:

September 30.

	september 50,	
	2016	2015
Deferred tax assets:		
Employee benefits	\$101.6	\$84.2
Property, plant and equipment	8.9	4.1
Inventories and receivables	32.6	35.3
Marketing and promotional accruals	17.6	14.4
Net operating loss, credit and capital loss carry forwards	992.3	871.4
Prepaid royalty	6.0	6.3
Unrealized losses on mark-to-market securities	16.4	19.9
Insurance reserves and claim related adjustments	23.3	43.3
Insurance receivables		21.2
Outside basis difference	51.1	217.3
Intangibles	3.7	6.1
Investments	_	13.1
Other	57.3	106.2
Total deferred tax assets	1,310.8	1,442.8
Less: Valuation allowance	512.1	894.2
Net deferred tax assets	798.7	548.6
Deferred tax liabilities:	(20.1	(15.1
Property, plant and equipment	(20.1)	
Outside basis differences on held for sale assets	(367.8)	
Intangibles	(813.4)	
Investments	(39.3)	
Insurance reserves and claim related adjustments	(5.0)	
Redemption of long term debt	(10.2)	
Other	(46.3)	
Total deferred tax liabilities	,	(1,066.4)
Net deferred tax liability	\$(503.4)	\$(517.8)

In accordance with ASC Topic 740, the Company establishes valuation allowances for deferred tax assets that, in its judgment, are not more-likely-than-not realizable. These judgments are based on projections of future income, including tax-planning strategies, by individual tax jurisdiction. Changes in industry and economic conditions and the

competitive environment may impact the accuracy of these projections. In accordance with ASC Topic 740, during each reporting period, the Company assesses the likelihood that its deferred tax assets will be realized and determines if adjustments to its valuation allowances are appropriate. As a result of this assessment, for Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014, the Company had a net charge (release) of valuation allowance to earnings totaling \$(42.3), \$190.8 and \$(31.8), respectively, as more fully described below.

HRG

At September 30, 2016, a partial valuation allowance was reversed on certain deferred tax assets related to NOLs and capital loss carryforwards that are expected to be realized to offset the deferred tax liability recognized as a result of classifying HRG's ownership interest in FGL as held for sale. See Note 4, Divestitures, for additional information. Management concluded that the remaining net deferred tax asset balance primarily related to NOLs was not more-likely-than-not to be realized and a valuation

allowance against the remaining deferred tax assets at September 30, 2016 was appropriate. HRG's valuation allowance at September 30, 2016 and 2015 totaled \$266.4 and \$594.5, respectively. This resulted from the Company's conclusion that tax benefits on its NOLs are not more-likely-than-not realizable. At September 30, 2016 and 2015, HRG had approximately \$1,170.5 and \$815.3, respectively, of gross U.S. Federal NOL carryforwards, which, if unused, will expire in years 2028 through 2036. HRG had approximately \$322.4 and \$77.8 of gross U.S. federal capital loss carryforwards at September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, which, if unused, will expire in the tax years ended December 31, 2016 through 2021. The increase in capital loss carryforwards in Fiscal 2016 was due to the Compass Sale. At September 30, 2016 and 2015, HRG had approximately \$93.9 and \$109.8, respectively, of tax benefits related to U.S. state NOL carryforwards at September 30, 2016, which, if unused, will expire in the tax years ended December 31, 2028 through 2036.

During Fiscal 2016, HRG decreased its net valuation allowance for deferred tax assets by \$331.6, of which \$373.8 was primarily related to the reversal of valuation allowance against certain U.S. federal net deferred tax assets as a result of recognizing a deferred tax liability on HRG's investment in FGL and was included in Net (loss) income from discontinued operations. Partially offset by an increase of \$145.3, which was allocated to accumulated other comprehensive income consistent with the source of taxable income available for realization. See Note 4, Divestitures for discussion of the FGL Merger. Included in the net decrease in valuation allowance was an increase of \$89.5 which resulted from the tax effect related to current year losses from the Corporate and Other segment. During Fiscal 2015, HRG increased its valuation allowance for deferred tax assets by \$309.0, of which \$248.6 was related to an increase in valuation allowance against U.S. net deferred taxes and \$60.4 was related to an increase in valuation allowance against state net deferred taxes.

On September 30, 2013, HRG triggered a change of ownership, as defined under Internal Revenue Code (the "IRC") Section 382 which limits the utilization of HRG's U.S. federal and state NOLs and other tax attributes. The amount of the limitation is based on a number of factors, including the value of HRG's stock (as defined for tax purposes) on the date of the ownership change, its net unrealized gain position on that date (as defined for tax purposes), the occurrence of realized gains in years subsequent to the ownership change, and the effects of subsequent changes in ownership, if any. Such factors, including the recognition of unrealized gains, may not be relied upon when assessing the realizability of HRG's deferred tax assets on its U.S. federal and state net operating losses. The majority of NOL, capital loss and tax credit carryforwards of HRG was historically subject to valuation allowances, as the Company concluded that all or a portion of the related tax benefits are not more-likely-than-not to be realized. Utilization of a portion of the NOL, capital loss and tax credit carryforwards of HRG is subject to limitations under Sections 382 and 383 of IRC. Such limitations resulted from ownership changes of more than 50 percentage points over a three-year period. When consummated, the FGL Merger is expected to result in a significant amount of tax attribute carryforwards to be realized. As a result, in Fiscal 2016, we reversed a significant portion of the Company's valuation allowance previously recorded against tax attribute carryforwards that are expected to be realized against the tax effects of the FGL Merger. HRG has considered the impact of the 2013 Section 382 ownership change and the related limitations in assessing its need for a valuation allowance. There has been no further ownership change since the September 30, 2013 ownership change.

Spectrum Brands

During the fourth quarter of Fiscal 2015, Spectrum Brands recognized \$23.3 of deferred tax assets related to its investment in one of its foreign subsidiaries because it was expected to reverse in the foreseeable future. The deferred tax asset reversed during Fiscal 2016. Spectrum Brands also recorded \$14.4 reduction in its NOL deferred tax assets, with a corresponding reduction in the valuation allowance, to reflect losses used as a result of prior year adjustments. To the extent necessary, Spectrum Brands intends to utilize earnings of foreign subsidiaries in order to support management's plans to voluntarily accelerate pay down of U.S. debt, fund distributions to shareholders, fund U.S. acquisitions and satisfy ongoing U.S. operational cash flow requirements. As a result, current and certain prior period earnings of Spectrum Brands' non-U.S. subsidiaries are generally not considered to be permanently reinvested, except in jurisdictions where repatriation is either precluded or restricted by law. Spectrum Brands annually estimates the available earnings, permanent reinvestment classification and the availability of and management's intent to use alternative mechanisms for repatriation for each jurisdiction in which Spectrum Brands does business. Accordingly,

Spectrum Brands is providing residual U.S. and foreign deferred taxes on these earnings to the extent they cannot be repatriated in a tax-free manner. Spectrum Brands has provided residual taxes on \$102.0 of distributions from foreign earnings for Fiscal 2016 with \$93.6 of earnings not yet taxed in the U.S. resulting in an increase in income tax expense of \$36.7. The residual domestic taxes from foreign earnings are recognized as a reduction to NOL and credit carryforwards deferred tax assets and taxes on unremitted foreign earnings are recognized as a deferred tax liability. Spectrum Brands has provided residual taxes on \$37.5 of distributions from foreign earnings for Fiscal 2015 with no earnings not yet taxed in the U.S. resulting in a decrease in income tax expense of \$0.3. Remaining undistributed earnings of Spectrum Brands' foreign operations are \$219.4 at September 30, 2016, and are intended to remain permanently invested. Accordingly, no residual income taxes have been provided on those earnings. If at some future date these earnings cease to be permanently invested, Spectrum Brands may be subject to U.S. income taxes and foreign withholding and other taxes on such amounts, which cannot be reasonably estimated at this time.

At September 30, 2016, Spectrum Brands had U.S. federal NOL carryforwards of \$758.9 with a federal tax benefit of \$265.6 and tax benefits related to state NOLs of \$60.6 and capital loss carryforwards of \$19.8 with a federal and state tax benefit of \$7.6. Spectrum Brands has an additional \$4.3 of federal and state NOLs for which benefits will be recorded to additional paid-

in capital when those carryforwards are used. These NOLs expire through years ending in 2036. As of September 30, 2016, Spectrum Brands had foreign NOLs of \$136.3 and tax benefits of \$38.4, which will expire beginning in Fiscal 2017. Certain of the foreign NOLs have indefinite carryforward periods. Spectrum Brands is subject to an annual limitation on use of its NOL carryforwards that arose prior to its emergence from bankruptcy in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009. Spectrum Brands has had multiple changes of ownership, as defined under IRC Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 as amended, that limits the utilization of Spectrum Brands' U.S. federal and state net operating losses and other tax attributes. The annual limitation is based on a number of factors, including the value of the Spectrum Brands' stock (as defined for tax purposes) on the date of the ownership change, its net unrealized gain position on that date, the occurrence of realized gains in years subsequent to the ownership change, and the effects of subsequent ownership changes (as defined for tax purposes), if any. In addition, separate return year limitations apply to limit Spectrum Brands' utilization of the acquired Russell Hobbs U.S. federal and state NOLs to future income of the Russell Hobbs subgroup. Due to these limitations, Spectrum Brands estimates, as of September 30, 2016, that \$460.4 of U.S. federal NOLs with a federal tax benefit of \$161.1 and \$16.7 of the tax benefit related to state NOLs will expire unused even if Spectrum Brands generates sufficient income to otherwise use all of its NOLs. Spectrum Brands also projects, as of September 30, 2016, that \$35.4 of tax benefits related to its foreign NOLs will not be used. Spectrum Brands has provided a full valuation allowance against these deferred tax assets.

A valuation allowance is recorded when it is more-likely-than-not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of the deferred tax assets depends on the ability of Spectrum Brands to generate sufficient taxable income of the appropriate character in the future and in the appropriate taxing jurisdictions. Spectrum Brands has earned pretax profits in the U.S. each of the last three years. Large, profitable U.S. businesses were acquired in Fiscal 2015 and Fiscal 2013, and Spectrum Brands debt levels and blended interest rates have decreased over time. The combination of U.S. operating results and the changes in Spectrum Brands U.S. operating profits led Spectrum Brands to conclude during Fiscal 2016 that it is more-likely-than-not its U.S. deferred tax assets will be used to reduce taxable income, except for tax attributes subject to ownership change limitations, capital losses, and certain state operating losses and credits that will expire unused.

Spectrum Brands released \$111.1 of domestic valuation allowance during Fiscal 2016. Approximately \$25.1 of the domestic valuation allowance released by Spectrum Brands resulted from additional deferred tax assets created by the adoption of ASU No. 2016-09, effective as of October 1, 2015. In December 2015, the Company received a ruling from the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") which resulted in \$87.8 of U.S. NOLs being restored and a release of \$16.2 of domestic valuation allowance from additional deferred tax assets created by the December 2015 IRS ruling. Spectrum Brands recorded tax expense of \$3.1 related to additional foreign valuation allowance during Fiscal 2016. As of September 30, 2016, Spectrum Brands' valuation allowance was \$245.7, of which \$203.7 was related to U.S. net deferred tax assets and \$42.0 is related to foreign net deferred tax assets. As of September 30, 2015, Spectrum brands' valuation allowance was \$305.4, of which \$268.7 was related to the U.S. net deferred tax assets and \$36.7 was related to foreign net deferred tax assets. During Fiscal 2016, Spectrum Brands decreased its valuation allowance for deferred tax assets by \$59.7, of which \$65.0 related to a decrease in valuation allowance against U.S. net deferred tax assets and \$5.3 related to an increase in the valuation allowance against foreign net deferred tax assets. During Fiscal 2015, Spectrum Brands decreased its valuation allowance for deferred tax assets by \$27.7, of which \$30.4 was related to a decrease in the valuation allowance against U.S. net deferred tax assets and \$2.7 was related to an increase in the valuation allowance against foreign net deferred tax assets. As a result of the AAG acquisition, Spectrum Brands reversed \$22.8 of U.S. valuation allowance during Fiscal 2015. The reversal was attributable to \$22.8 of net deferred tax liabilities recorded on the AAG acquisition balance sheet which offset other U.S. net deferred tax assets. During Fiscal 2015, Spectrum Brands recorded valuation allowances of \$17.0 against the deferred tax assets of various Latin America entities as it is more-likely-than-not that Spectrum Brands will not obtain tax benefits from these assets. During Fiscal 2014, Spectrum Brands decreased its valuation allowance for deferred tax assets by \$121.5, of which \$122.6 related to a decrease in the valuation allowance against U.S. net deferred tax assets and \$1.1 related to an increase in the valuation allowance against foreign net deferred tax assets. As a result of a one-time internal restructuring and debt refinancing activity, Spectrum Brands reversed \$62.6 of U.S. valuation allowance during Fiscal 2014.

Uncertain Tax Positions

The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits ("UTBs") at September 30, 2016 and 2015 were \$47.9 and \$16.1, respectively. If recognized in the future, \$47.9 of UTBs would impact the effective tax rate. Consistent with the Company's accounting policy election, when applicable the Company records interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions in income tax expense. At September 30, 2016 and 2015, the Company's accrued balances of interest and penalties on uncertain tax positions totaled \$3.2 and \$2.8, respectively. For Fiscal 2016 and 2015, interest and penalties increased income tax expense by \$0.4 and \$0.9, respectively.

HRG files U.S. federal consolidated and state and local combined and separate income tax returns. HRG's consolidated and combined income tax returns do not include Spectrum Brands, Front Street, or FGL (life insurance subsidiaries), each of which files their own consolidated federal, and combined and separate state and local income tax returns. Spectrum Brands, Front Street and FGL life insurance subsidiaries are consolidated with the Company only for financial reporting.

The Company believes its UTBs for uncertain tax positions are adequate, consistent with the principles of ASC Topic 740. The Company regularly assesses the likelihood of additional tax assessments by jurisdiction and, if necessary, adjusts its UTBs based on new information or developments.

The following table summarizes changes to the Company's UTB reserves, excluding related interest and penalties:

	Fiscal		
	2016	2015	2014
Unrecognized tax benefits at beginning of year	\$16.1	\$12.6	\$13.8
Gross increase — tax positions in prior period	29.9	4.7	2.7
Gross decrease — tax positions in prior period	(2.3)	(1.9)	(1.4)
Gross increase — tax positions in current period	4.8	1.8	0.8
Settlements	(0.6)	(0.8)	(2.5)
Lapse of statutes of limitations		(0.3)	(0.8)
Unrecognized tax benefits at end of year	\$47.9	\$16.1	\$12.6

The IRS is currently conducting an examination of HRG's 2013 federal consolidated tax return. In addition, the New York State and New York City tax returns for the 2011 through 2013 tax years are under audit. As of September 30, 2016, the Company is not aware of any significant audit matters related to the U.S federal and state examinations and expects to receive a final closing agreement from both the IRS and New York State within the next 12 months. Spectrum Brands files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and various state, local and foreign jurisdictions and is subject to ongoing examination by the various taxing authorities. Spectrum Brand's major taxing jurisdictions are the U.S., United Kingdom and Germany. In the U.S., federal tax filings for years prior to and including Spectrum Brands' fiscal year ended September 30, 2012 are closed. However, the federal NOLs from Spectrum Brands' fiscal years ended September 30, 2012 and prior are subject to IRS examination until the year that such NOL carryforwards are utilized and those years are closed for audit.

The increase in UTB related to Spectrum Brands for Fiscal 2016 includes a \$25.5 expense to record a tax contingency reserve for a tax exposure in Germany. During the year, a local court ruled against Spectrum Brands' characterization of certain assets as amortizable under Germany tax law. Spectrum Brands has appealed this ruling to the German Federal Court.

Filings in various U.S. state and local jurisdictions are also subject to audit and to date, no significant audit matters have arisen.

At September 30, 2016, previously filed income tax returns for certain of the Company's legal entities in various jurisdictions were undergoing income tax audits. The Company cannot predict the ultimate outcome of these examinations; however, depending on the timing and final terms of actual settlements with the taxing authorities, it is reasonably possible that during the next twelve months some portion of the previously unrecognized tax benefits could be recognized.

(20) Restructuring and Related Charges

During Fiscal 2016, Spectrum Brands implemented a series of initiatives in the global auto care reporting unit to consolidate certain operations and reduce operating costs (the "GAC Business Rationalization Initiatives"). These initiatives include headcount reductions and the exit of certain facilities. Total costs associated with these initiatives are expected to be approximately \$20.0, of which \$5.3 has been incurred to date, the balance is anticipated to be incurred through September 30, 2017.

During Fiscal 2014, Spectrum Brands implemented a series of initiatives throughout the HHI reporting unit to reduce operating costs and exit low margin business outside the U.S. (the "HHI Business Rationalization Initiatives"). These initiatives include headcount reductions, the exit of certain facilities and the sale of a portion of the Hardware & Home Improvement Canadian operations. Total costs associated with these initiatives of \$16.6 has been incurred to date, and completed as of September 30, 2016.

During Fiscal 2013, Spectrum Brands implemented a series of initiatives to reduce operating costs and to evaluate opportunities to improve its capital structure (the "Global Expense Rationalization Initiatives"). Total costs associated with these initiatives of \$47.0 has been incurred to date, and completed as of September 30, 2016.

Spectrum Brands has entered or may enter into small, less significant initiatives and restructuring activities to reduce costs and improve margins throughout the organization (the "Other Restructuring Activities"). Individually these activities are not substantial, and occur over a shorter time period (less than 12 months).

The following tables summarize restructuring and related charges incurred during the Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014 where those charges are classified in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations:

Table of Contents

	Fiscal		
Initiatives:	2016	2015	2014
Global Expense Rationalization	\$5.2	\$17.1	\$13.4
HHI Business Rationalization Initiatives	1.8	10.3	4.5
GAC Business Rationalization Initiatives	5.3		—
Other restructuring activities	2.9	1.3	5.0
Total restructuring and related charges	\$15.2	\$28.7	\$22.9
Reported as:			
Cost of consumer products and other goods sold	\$0.5	\$2.1	\$3.7
Selling, acquisition, operating and general expenses	14.7	26.6	19.2
The following table summarizes restructuring and rel	ated ch	arges f	or the Fi

The following table summarizes restructuring and related charges for the Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014, and cumulative costs on restructuring initiatives as of September 30, 2016, by cost type:

1000			
2016	2015	2014	Charges Since
2010	2010	201.	Inception
			meephon
\$4.3	\$7.0	\$11.2	\$ 32.4
10.9	21.7	11.7	39.4
\$15.2	\$28.7	\$22.9	\$ 71.8
	\$4.3 10.9	\$4.3 \$7.0 10.9 21.7	2016 2015 2014 \$4.3 \$7.0 \$11.2 10.9 21.7 11.7 \$15.2 \$28.7 \$22.9

Fiscal

(21) Earnings Per Share

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share ("EPS") (share amounts in thousands):

Net income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to controlling interest Net (loss) income from discontinued operations attributable to controlling interest Net loss attributable to controlling interest	Fiscal 2016 2015 2014 \$44.6 \$(313.3) \$(158.3) (243.4) (243.5) 74.4 \$(198.8) \$(556.8) \$(83.9)
Participating common shares at the end of period	198,814 197,106 196,878
Net loss attributable to common shares - basic and diluted	\$(198.8) \$(556.8) \$(83.9)
Weighted-average common shares outstanding - basic Dilutive effect of unvested restricted stock and restricted stock units Dilutive effect of stock options Weighted-average shares outstanding - diluted	198,374 198,142 162,941 1,914 — — 1,300 — — 201,588 198,142 162,941
Net loss per common share attributable to controlling interest: Basic income (loss) from continuing operations Basic (loss) income from discontinued operations Basic	\$0.23 \$(1.58) \$(0.97) (1.23) (1.23) 0.46 \$(1.00) \$(2.81) \$(0.51)
Diluted income (loss) from continuing operations Diluted (loss) income from discontinued operations Diluted	\$0.22 \$(1.58) \$(0.97) (1.21) (1.23) 0.46 \$(0.99) \$(2.81) \$(0.51)

The number of shares of common stock outstanding used in calculating the weighted average thereof reflects the actual number of HRG common stock outstanding, excluding unvested restricted stock.

The following were excluded from the calculation of "Diluted net loss per common share attributable to controlling interest" because the as-converted effect of the unvested restricted stock and stock units, stock options and warrants would have been anti-dilutive (share amounts in thousands):

	Fiscal		
	2016	2015	2014
Preferred stock			37,990
Unvested restricted stock and restricted stock units	_	2,667	2,580
Stock options		1,334	1,330
Anti-dilutive warrants	1,200	1,800	3,000

(22) Commitments and Contingencies

Legal and Environmental Matters

The Company has aggregate accruals for its legal, environmental and regulatory matters of approximately \$8.2 at September 30, 2016, of which \$3.8 related to accruals of business held for sale. These accruals relate primarily to the matters described below. In addition, the Company and its subsidiaries are involved in other litigation and claims arising out of their prior businesses and arising in the ordinary course out of their current businesses, which include, among other things, indemnification and other claims and litigations involving HRG's and its subsidiaries' business practices, transactions, workers compensation matters, environmental matters, and personal injury claims. However, based on currently available information, including legal defenses available to the Company, and given the aforementioned accruals and related insurance coverage, the Company does not believe that the outcome of these legal, environmental and regulatory matters will have a material effect on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

HRG

HRG was named as a nominal defendant, and members of its Board were named as defendants in a purported class and derivative action filed in March 2014 by Haverhill Retirement System (the "Haverhill Plaintiff") in the Delaware Court of Chancery (the "Court"). Harbinger Capital Partners LLC and certain of its affiliated funds ("HCP") and Leucadia National Corporation ("Leucadia"), each a stockholder of HRG, were also named as defendants in the complaint. The complaint alleged, among other things, that the defendants breached their fiduciary duties in connection with transactions involving Leucadia. On January 7, 2016, the Court approved a stipulation under which the Haverhill Plaintiff agreed to dismiss the action. HRG has paid the Haverhill Plaintiff's counsel \$0.2 in attorney's fees and expenses and the Haverhill Plaintiff dismissed its action against the defendants.

HRG was named as a nominal defendant, and members of its Board were named as defendants, in a derivative action filed in December 2010 by Alan R. Kahn (the "Kahn Plaintiff") in the Court. HCP was also named as a defendant. The Kahn Plaintiff alleged that HRG's acquisition of HCP's shares of Spectrum Brands in exchange for shares of common stock of HRG from HRG was financially unfair to HRG and its public stockholders, and sought unspecified damages and the rescission of the transaction. On November 24, 2015, the parties filed a Stipulation of Settlement with the Court ("Kahn Settlement"). The Kahn Settlement was approved by the court on February 4, 2016, and the Kahn Plaintiff' action was dismissed. Pursuant to the terms of the Kahn Settlement, HCP and the Company's insurer paid a total of \$3.8 into a settlement fund that were, net of distribution and notice costs and the fee and expense award to plaintiff's counsel, distributed to stockholders of HRG other than stockholders affiliated with HCP, the members of HRG's Board at the time of the challenged transaction and certain other persons. HRG will not contribute any payment to the Kahn Settlement fund.

Also see discussion below of Ludwick Litigation involving HRG, Front Street and FGL.

Spectrum Brands

Spectrum Brands is a defendant in various litigation matters generally arising out of the ordinary course of business. Spectrum Brands does not believe that any of the matters or proceedings presently pending will have a material adverse effect on its results of operations, financial condition, liquidity or cash flows.

At September 30, 2016 and 2015, Spectrum Brands has provided for the estimated costs of \$4.4, respectively, associated with environmental remediation activities at some of its current and former manufacturing sites. Spectrum

Brands believes that any additional liability in excess of the amounts provided that may result from resolution of these matters, will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition, results of operations or cash flows of Spectrum Brands. Spectrum Brands is subject to various federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations. Spectrum Brands believes it is in substantial compliance with all such environmental laws that are applicable to its operations.

FGL (business held for sale)

FGL is involved in various pending or threatened legal proceedings, including purported class actions, arising in the ordinary course of business. In some instances, these proceedings include claims for unspecified or substantial punitive damages and similar types of relief in addition to amounts for alleged contractual liability or requests for equitable relief. In the opinion of FGL's management and in light of existing insurance and other potential indemnification, reinsurance and established accruals, such litigation is not expected to have a material adverse effect on FGL's financial position, although it is possible that the results of operations and cash flows could be materially affected by an unfavorable outcome in any one period.

FGL is assessed amounts by the state guaranty funds to cover losses to policyholders of insolvent or rehabilitated insurance companies. Those mandatory assessments may be partially recovered through a reduction in future premium taxes in certain states. At September 30, 2016, FGL has accrued \$3.0 for guaranty fund assessments which is expected to be offset by estimated future premium tax deductions of \$2.8.

FGL has received inquiries from a number of state regulatory authorities regarding its use of the U.S. Social Security Administration's Death Master File (the "Death Master File") and compliance with state claims practices regulation. Legislation requiring insurance companies to use the Death Master File to identify potential claims has been enacted in a number of states. As a result of these legislative and regulatory developments, in May 2012, FGL undertook an initiative to use the Death Master File and other publicly available databases to identify persons potentially entitled to benefits under life insurance policies, annuities and retained asset accounts. In addition, FGL has received audit and examination notices from several state agencies responsible for escheatment and unclaimed property regulation in those states and in some cases has challenged the audits including litigation against the Controller for the State of California which is subject to a stay. FGL believes its current accrual will cover the reasonably estimated liability arising out of these developments, however costs that cannot be reasonably estimated as of the date of this filing are possible as a result of ongoing regulatory developments and other future requirements related to these matters. On April 4, 2014, the Plaintiff, FGL Insurance and the other two defendants signed a Settlement Agreement, pursuant to which FGL Insurance has agreed to pay a total of \$5.3 to settle the claims of a nationwide class consisting, with certain exclusions, of all persons who own or owned an OM Financial/FGL Insurance indexed universal life insurance policy issued from January 1, 2007 through March 31, 2014, inclusive. As part of the settlement, FGL Insurance agreed to certification of the nationwide class for settlement purposes only. An amended Settlement Agreement was filed with the Court on June 5, 2014.

On July 5, 2013, Plaintiff Eddie L. Cressy filed a putative class complaint captioned Cressy v. Fidelity Guaranty [sic] Life Insurance Company, et. al. ("Cressy") in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles (the "LA Court"), Case No. BC-514340. The complaint was filed after the Plaintiff was unable to maintain an action in federal court. The complaint asserts, inter alia, that the Plaintiff and members of the putative class relied on defendants' advice in purchasing allegedly unsuitable equity-indexed insurance policies.

On January 2, 2015, the Court entered the Final Judgment in Cressy, certifying the class for settlement purposes, and approving the class settlement. On August 10, 2015, FGL tendered \$1.3 to the Settlement Administrator for a claim review fund. FGL implemented an interest enhancement feature for certain policies as part of the class settlement, which enhancement began on October 12, 2015. On October 21, 2016, the parties filed a joint motion to amend the January 2, 2015 final order and judgement, to extend the deadline for settlement completion from October 24, 2016 to December 1, 2016.

At September 30, 2016, FGL estimated the total cost for the settlement, legal fees and other costs related to this class action would be \$9.2 with a liability for the unpaid portion of the estimate of \$0.4. FGL has incurred and paid \$5.5 related to legal fees and other costs and \$3.3 related to settlement costs as of September 30, 2016. Based on the information currently available, FGL does not expect the actual cost for settlement, legal fees and other related costs to differ materially from the amount accrued. FGL had been seeking indemnification from OMGUK under the First Amended and Restated Stock Purchase Agreement, dated February 17, 2011 between FGL (formerly, Harbinger F&G, LLC) and OMGUK related to the settlement and the costs and fees in defending the Cressy litigation in both the federal and state courts. The settlement, legal fees and other costs related to this class action and the amount recovered from OMGUK are presented net in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations in the caption "Benefits"

and other changes in policy reserves." During Fiscal 2015, FGL, the Company and OMGUK reached a global settlement which resolved all prior outstanding claims, resulting in FGL receiving \$3.6 in settlement of its outstanding recoverable related to the Cressy litigation.

On January 7, 2015, a putative class action complaint ("Ludwick Litigation") was filed in the United States District Court, Western District of Missouri, captioned Dale R. Ludwick, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated ("Plaintiff Ludwick") v. Harbinger Group Inc. (HRG's former corporate name), FGL Insurance, Raven Reinsurance Company ("Raven Re"), and Front Street Cayman (the "Ludwick Defendants"). The complaint alleged violations of the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act ("RICO"), requested injunctive and declaratory relief, sought unspecified compensatory damages for the putative class in an amount not specified, treble damages, and other relief, and claims Plaintiff Ludwick overpaid for her annuity. On April 13, 2015, the Defendants filed a joint motion to dismiss the complaint. On February 12, 2016, the District Court granted the Defendants' joint motion to dismiss. On March 3, 2016, Plaintiff Ludwick filed a notice of appeal. As of September 30, 2016, HRG and FGL did not have sufficient information to determine whether FGL is exposed to any losses that

would be either probable or reasonably estimable beyond an expense contingency estimate of \$1.6, which was accrued during Fiscal 2016.

Salus

On March 17, 2015, Salus, in its capacity as agent for certain secured lenders of RadioShack under a \$250.0 term loan, filed an adversary complaint in the RadioShack bankruptcy cases pending in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware against certain other secured asset-based lenders (including Standard General L.P., its affiliates and certain hedge fund lenders) of RadioShack (the "ABL Lenders") under a \$585.0 term and revolving loan facility. The adversary complaint sought (i) a determination that the liens securing the term loan provided by Salus to RadioShack have priority over the ABL Lenders' liens with respect to the termed out portion of the ABL Lenders' loans to RadioShack and (ii) disgorgement of payments received from RadioShack by the ABL Lenders in connection with the termed out loans. The ABL Lenders moved to dismiss the adversary complaint, and on May 11, 2016, the bankruptcy court issued a memorandum opinion and related order (the "Memorandum Opinion and Order") granting such motion to dismiss with prejudice. On May 24, 2016, Salus filed a notice of appeal of the Memorandum Opinion and Order. On June 8, 2016, Salus entered into a stipulation with the defendant ABL Lenders regarding distribution of the encumbered cash to Salus and on June 10, 2016 the bankruptcy court entered the order approving such stipulation. In accordance with the stipulation, Salus dismissed the appeal, with prejudice, on June 15, 2016. Guarantees

Throughout its history, the Company has entered into indemnifications in the ordinary course of business with customers, suppliers, service providers, business partners and, in certain instances, when it sold businesses. Additionally, the Company has indemnified its directors and officers who are, or were, serving at the request of the Company in such capacities. Although the specific terms or number of such arrangements is not precisely known due to the extensive history of past operations, costs incurred to settle claims related to these indemnifications have not been material to the Company's financial statements. The Company has no reason to believe that future costs to settle claims related to its former operations will have a material impact on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Lease Commitments

The Company's minimum rent payments under operating leases are recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. Future annual minimum rental commitments under non-cancelable operating leases, principally pertaining to land, buildings and equipment, principally relating to Spectrum Brands, net of contractual third-party sublease, are as follows:

	Operating	Operating
	Leases of	Leases of
Fiscal Year	Business	Business
	Held for	Held for
	Use	Sale
2017	\$ 44.3	\$ 1.9
2018	34.3	1.8
2019	25.0	1.8
2020	19.5	1.9
2021	13.8	1.0
Thereafter	20.2	_
Total minimum lease payments	\$ 157.1	\$ 8.4

The Company's total rent expense was \$49.7, \$40.9 and \$44.7 during Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. As of September 30, 2016, the Company issued unused letters of credit totaling \$2.3, related to property leases of the Company.

Unfunded Asset Based Lending Commitments

Salus and FGL have unfunded investment commitments as of September 30, 2016 based upon the timing of when investments are executed compared to when the actual investments are funded, as some investments require that funding occur over a period of months or years.

Through Salus, the Company enters into commitments to extend credit to meet the financing needs of its asset based lending customers upon satisfaction of certain conditions. At September 30, 2016, the notional amount of unfunded, legally binding lending commitments was approximately \$6.2, which all expire in 1 year or less. FGL has unfunded investment commitments of \$103.0 as of September 30, 2016.

(23) Insurance Subsidiary — Financial Information

FGL's insurance subsidiaries file financial statements with state insurance regulatory authorities and the National Association of Insurance Commissioners ("NAIC") that are prepared in accordance with Statutory Accounting Principles ("SAP") prescribed or permitted by such authorities, which may vary materially from U.S. GAAP. Prescribed SAP includes the Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual of the NAIC as well as state laws, regulations and administrative rules. Permitted SAP encompasses all accounting practices not so prescribed. The principal differences between statutory financial statements and financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP are that statutory financial statements do not reflect value of business acquired and deferred acquisition cost, some bond portfolios may be carried at amortized cost, assets and liabilities are presented net of reinsurance, contractholder liabilities are generally valued using more conservative assumptions and certain assets are non-admitted. Accordingly, statutory operating results and statutory capital and surplus may differ substantially from amounts reported in the U.S. GAAP basis financial statements for comparable items.

FGL's principal insurance subsidiaries' statutory financial statements are based on a December 31 year end. Statutory net income and statutory capital and surplus of FGL's wholly owned insurance subsidiaries were as follows:

Subsidiary (state of domicile)(a)
FGL FGL NY
Insurance Insurance

(IA) (b) (NY)

Statutory Net Income (Loss):

Year ended December 31, 2015 \$(52.9) \$ (1.2) Year ended December 31, 2014 104.6 1.9 Year ended December 31, 2013 118.2 1.3

Statutory Capital and Surplus:

December 31, 2015 \$1,239.0 \$ 59.5 December 31, 2014 1,211.6 61.2

- (a) FGL NY Insurance is a subsidiary of FGL Insurance, and the columns should not be added together.
- (b) FGL Insurance Company re-domesticated to Iowa effective November 1, 2013. Prior to November 1, 2013, the Company was domiciled in the state of Maryland.

The amount of statutory capital and surplus necessary to satisfy the applicable regulatory requirements is less than FGL Insurance's and FGL NY Insurance's respective statutory capital and surplus.

Life insurance companies are subject to certain Risk-Based Capital ("RBC") requirements as specified by the NAIC. The RBC is used to evaluate the adequacy of capital and surplus maintained by an insurance company in relation to risks associated with: (i) asset risk, (ii) insurance risk, (iii) interest rate risk and (iv) business risk. FGL monitors the RBC of its insurance subsidiaries. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, each of FGL's insurance subsidiaries had exceeded the minimum RBC requirements.

FGL's insurance subsidiaries are restricted by state laws and regulations as to the amount of dividends they may pay to their parent without regulatory approval in any year, the purpose of which is to protect affected insurance policyholders, depositors or investors. Any dividends in excess of limits are deemed "extraordinary" and require approval. Based on statutory results as of December 31, 2015, in accordance with applicable dividend restrictions, FGL's subsidiaries could pay "ordinary" dividends of \$123.9 to Fidelity & Guaranty Life Holdings, Inc. ("FGH") in 2016 less any dividends paid during the immediately preceding 12 month period. FGL did not declare or pay any dividends to FGH during the 12 month period ended September 30, 2016. Therefore, FGL Insurance is able to declare an ordinary dividend up to \$123.9 with respect to its 2015 statutory results, subject to management's discretion.

On November 1, 2013, FGL Insurance re-domesticated from Maryland to Iowa. After re-domestication, FGL Insurance elected to apply Iowa-prescribed accounting practices that permit Iowa-domiciled insurers to report equity call options used to economically hedge FIA index credits at amortized cost for statutory accounting purposes and to calculate FIA statutory reserves such that index credit returns will be included in the reserve only after crediting to the

annuity contract. This resulted in an increase in statutory capital and surplus of \$46.8 at December 31, 2015 and a decrease of \$5.0 at December 31, 2014. Also, the Iowa Insurance Division granted FGL Insurance a permitted statutory accounting practice to reclassify its negative unassigned surplus balance of \$805.8 to additional paid in capital as of April 6, 2011, the date the Company acquired FGL Insurance, which had the effect of setting FGL Insurance's statutory unassigned surplus to zero as of this date. The prescribed and permitted statutory accounting practice has no impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements which are prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

FGL Insurance's statutory carrying value of Raven Re reflects the effect of permitted practices Raven Re received to treat the available amount of a letter of credit as an admitted asset which increased Raven Re's statutory capital and surplus by \$220.0 and \$245.0 at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Raven Re is also permitted to follow Iowa prescribed statutory accounting practice for its reserves on reinsurance assumed from FGL Insurance which increased Raven Re's statutory capital and surplus by \$4.1 and \$17.3 at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Without such permitted statutory accounting

practices Raven Re's statutory capital and surplus would be negative \$13.7 and \$75.1 as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively and its risk-based capital would fall below the minimum regulatory requirements. The letter of credit facility was collateralized by NAIC 1 rated fixed maturity securities. If the permitted practice was revoked, the letter of credit could be replaced by the collateral assets with Nomura's consent. FGL Insurance's statutory carrying value of Raven Re at December 31, 2015 and 2014 was \$210.3 and \$187.3, respectively.

As of December 31, 2015, FGL NY Insurance did not follow any prescribed or permitted statutory accounting practices that differ from the NAIC's statutory accounting practices.

Securities held on deposit with various state regulatory authorities had a fair value of \$18,074.6 and \$16,012.3 at September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. FGL Insurance is domesticated in Iowa and under Iowa regulations, insurance companies are required to hold securities on deposit in an amount no less than the FGL Insurance's legal reserve as prescribed by Iowa regulations.

In November 2012, the Company had entered a reciprocal services agreement (the "Services Agreement") with HCP,

(24) Related Party Transactions

which was at that time the beneficial owner of more than 10% of the outstanding shares of common stock of HRG, with respect to the provision of services that may include providing office space and operational support and each party making available their respective employees to provide services as reasonably requested by the other party, subject to any limitations contained in applicable employment agreements and the terms of the Services Agreement. The Services Agreement was terminated effective as of March 1, 2015. The Company recognized \$3.3 and \$5.7 of expenses under these Service Agreement with respect to Fiscal 2015 and 2014, respectively. In December 2013, FGL completed an initial public offering of 9.8 million shares of common stock, and the underwriters exercised their option to purchase from the Company an additional 1.5 million shares of common stock, at a price of \$17.00 per share. Jefferies LLC ("Jefferies"), one of the participating underwriters, is a wholly owned subsidiary of Leucadia, which through subsidiaries beneficially owns more than 10% of the Company's outstanding shares of Common Stock. The underwriters in FGL's completed initial public offering received aggregate discounts and commissions paid by FGL of \$12.9, a portion of which was paid to Jefferies as a participating underwriter. On November 25, 2014, the Company and Mr. Falcone, who was at that time through HCP the beneficial owner of more than 10% of the outstanding shares of common stock of HRG, entered into a Separation and General Release Agreement (the "Separation Agreement") pursuant to which, in connection with his resignation from HRG, Mr. Falcone was paid \$20.5 as a one-time payment, \$16.5, which constituted the unpaid portion of Mr. Falcone's Fiscal 2014 annual bonus (in cash, rather than a combination of cash and equity) and \$3.3 which constituted a pro-rata bonus for fiscal year 2015 (in cash, rather than a combination of cash and equity) for service through December 1, 2014 based on anticipated results. Mr. Falcone's warrant was amended to provide for their continued vesting, in accordance with their prior vesting schedule, as if Mr. Falcone remained employed with the Company through each applicable vesting date. In exchange, Mr. Falcone executed a general release of claims in favor of the Company and agreed to various restrictive covenants, including covenants relating to non-competition, non-solicitation, non-disparagement, confidentiality, and further cooperation. The Separation Agreement further provides, among other things, that for a period of two years from the date of Mr. Falcone's departure, without the approval of a majority of the directors on the Board, Mr. Falcone may not, and may not cause his affiliates, to (i) enter into or seek to enter into a business combination involving the Company, (ii) seek representation or control of the Board or affairs of the Company, (iii)

Pursuant to a March 2014 transaction by and among funds affiliated with HCP and Leucadia, HCP sold 23 million shares HRG of Common Stock. In connection with such transaction, on March 18, 2014, HRG entered into a Letter Agreement with Leucadia (the "Letter Agreement"), which, among other things, provided Leucadia with the right to designate two directors to HRG's board and imposed certain limitations on Leucadia's ability to purchase HRG securities and take other actions with respect to HRG. The terms of the Letter Agreement, including the provisions described above, expired on March 18, 2016. In addition, on March 18, 2014, under the terms of an existing

purchase or acquire additional securities of the Company, (iv) make certain proposals or solicit such proxies, or (v) have any discussions or enter into any arrangements with, or assist any other person in connection with any of the

registration rights agreement, HCP transferred a portion of their rights under the registration rights agreement to Leucadia, which was documented through a Registration Rights Acknowledgment by and among HRG, HCP and Leucadia.

During Fiscal 2015, Jefferies acted as an initial purchaser for the Company's issuance of senior notes. In HRG's offering of 7.875% Notes and 7.75% Notes, Jefferies received \$0.7 and \$0.3, respectively, in discounts and commissions as a participating initial purchaser.

In May 2015, Spectrum Brands, made an offering of \$1,000.0 of its 5.75% Notes, whereby Jefferies received aggregate discounts and commissions paid by Spectrum Brands of approximately \$2.6 as a participating initial purchaser. Jefferies also received aggregate discounts and commissions of approximately \$1.5 as a participating underwriter in Spectrum Brands' \$575.0 offering of common stock in May 2015. In addition, Jefferies was one of the financing institutions that committed to provide "back stop" bridge facilities in an aggregate amount of \$1,500.0 in connection with the financing of the AAG Acquisition and received aggregate fees paid by Spectrum Brands of approximately \$2.1. In Fiscal 2016, Jefferies acted as one of the initial purchasers

Table of Contents

of Spectrum Brands' offering of €425.0 of its 4.00% Notes due 2026, for which Jefferies received \$0.3 in discounts, commissions and reimbursements of expenses.

On September 25, 2015, CorAmerica, assigned its interests under certain purchase agreements regarding outlet center developments to entities and accounts related to Fortress Investment Group LLC ("Fortress"), which, through affiliates, has acquired interests greater than 10% ownership in the Company as of September 30, 2016. The aggregate consideration for such assignment included a \$0.4 fee.

On October 7, 2015, FGL, entered into an Engagement Letter with Jefferies pursuant to which Jefferies agreed (on a non-exclusive basis) to provide financial advisory services to FGL in connection with a transaction involving a merger or other similar transaction with respect to at least a majority of the capital stock of FGL. HRG was also a party to the Engagement Letter. Under the Engagement Letter, Jefferies is entitled to receive a fee which represents a percentage of the value of the transaction, plus reimbursement for all reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred by Jefferies in connection with their engagement. FGL has also agreed to indemnify Jefferies for certain liabilities in connection with their engagement. HRG is required to reimburse FGL for compensation paid by FGL to Jefferies under certain circumstances. Specifically, if compensation to Jefferies becomes payable in respect of a transaction that involves a disposition of shares of FGL held by HRG (and not other stockholders of FGL), HRG will reimburse FGL for the full amount of such compensation. If compensation to Jefferies becomes payable in respect of a transaction that involves a disposition of shares of FGL held by HRG and a disposition of not more than 50% of the shares of FGL held by stockholders of FGL other than HRG).

On October 9, 2015, HGI Funding entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement, by and among HGI Funding, HC2 Holdings, Inc. ("HC2") and the purchasers party thereto, whereby HGI Funding sold its remaining equity interest in

On October 9, 2015, HGI Funding entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement, by and among HGI Funding, HC2 Holdings, Inc. ("HC2") and the purchasers party thereto, whereby HGI Funding sold its remaining equity interest in HC2 for an aggregate purchase price of \$35.1. Jefferies agreed to purchase 1.2 million shares in the transaction at a purchase price of \$7.50. In addition, Mr. Falcone purchased through an HCP fund 540 thousand shares in the transaction at a purchase price of \$7.50 per share.

On October 23, 2015, Front Street Cayman sold bonds issued by Phoenix Life Insurance Company and received approximately \$14.0 in aggregate proceeds from the sale. Jefferies acted as the principal in the transaction. FGL has invested in CLO securities issued by Fortress Credit Opportunities III CLO LP ("FCO III") and also invested in securities issued by Fortress Credit BSL Limited ("Fortress BSL"). The parent of both FCO III and Fortress BSL is Fortress, which has acquired interests greater than 10% ownership in HRG as of September 30, 2016. Such CLOs had an aggregate total carrying value of \$203.2 and \$182.6 as of September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, of which \$18.0 and \$18.2, respectively, was included in the funds withheld receivables portfolio of Front Street. The Company's net investment income from such securities was \$11.0, \$9.5 and \$1.6 for the Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively, of which \$1.1, \$1.4 and \$0.2, respectively, was included in investment income, and the remaining \$9.9, \$8.1 and \$1.4, respectively, was included in income from discontinued operations. In addition, in Fiscal 2014 FGL earned \$1.2 and \$1.1 on securities issued by Jefferies and Leucadia, respectively, which were subsequently sold recognizing gains of \$5.8 and \$1.6, respectively. Such income and gains were classified as discontinued operations in the the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

(25) Segment and Geographic Data

The Company follows the accounting guidance which establishes standards for reporting information about operating segments in interim and annual financial statements. The Company's reportable business segments are organized in a manner that reflects how HRG's management views those business activities. As discussed in Note 1, Basis of Presentation and Nature of Operations, as a result of the disposition of its interests in Compass and CorAmerica, and the continuing runoff of the results of its asset management businesses, the Company elected to realign its reportable segments to reflect these changes. Accordingly, the Company currently reports its business in two reporting segments: (i) Consumer Products and (ii) Insurance. The results of continuing businesses formerly reported in the energy and asset management segments are now reported within the Corporate and Other segment. Refer to Note 26, Consolidating Financial Information, for disclosure of total assets for each segment.

The following schedules present the Company's segment information for Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014:

	Fiscal	2015	2014
D	2016	2015	2014
Revenues:	¢ 5, 020, 7	¢ 4 600 4	¢ 4 420 1
Consumer Products	\$5,039.7	\$4,690.4	\$4,429.1
Insurance	150.4	,	144.3
Intersegment elimination (a)	16.4	54.7	7.8
Consolidated segment revenues	5,206.5	4,646.3	4,581.2
Corporate and Other	8.9	63.4	53.5
Total revenues	\$5,215.4	\$4,709.7	\$4,634.7
Depreciation and amortization			
Consumer Products	\$183.0	\$170.0	\$157.7
Corporate	0.7	1.0	0.5
Consolidated depreciation and amortization	\$183.7	\$171.0	\$158.2
Operating income (loss):			
Consumer Products	\$656.3	\$474.1	\$481.9
Insurance			40.1
Intersegment elimination (a,b)	68.1		32.5
Total segment operating income	712.0	342.5	554.5
Corporate and Other eliminations			(127.1)
Consolidated operating income	628.0	45.8	427.4
Interest expense			(298.8)
Loss from the change in the fair value of the equity conversion feature of preferred	((10210)	
stock			(12.7)
Gain on deconsolidation of subsidiary	_	38.5	_
Other income (expense), net	1.0	10.2	(11.9)
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	231.9	(307.1)	104.0
Income tax expense (benefit)	41.5	(16.2)	89.6
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	190.4	(290.9)	14.4
(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax	(224.3)	(221.5)	87.3
Net (loss) income	(33.9	(512.4)	101.7
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	164.9	44.4	112.0
Net loss attributable to controlling interest	\$(198.8)	\$(556.8)	\$(10.3)
(a) The Intersegment eliminations primarily represent the reversel and reclassification			

(a) The Intersegment eliminations primarily represent the reversal and reclassification of impairments recorded in the Company's Insurance segment on affiliated investments, as well as usual intercompany transactions for the period. For Fiscal 2015, the Insurance segment eliminations include the reversal of intercompany asset impairments of \$57.1.

(b) For its stand-alone reporting purposes, Front Street elected, since inception, to apply the fair value option to account for its funds withheld receivables, non-funds withheld assets and future policyholder benefits reserves related to its assumed reinsurance. For Company's consolidated reporting, the results from Front Street's assumed reinsurance business with FGL is reported on FGL's historical basis. Accordingly, in order to align Company's consolidated reporting, we have recorded a net intersegment adjustment to operating income (loss) of \$59.7, \$(115.4) and \$45.1 for Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Upon completion of the FGL Merger, the Company's consolidated results will reflect all reinsurance business on the fair value option.

Table of Contents

Fiscal

2016 2015 2014

Capital expenditures:

Consumer Products \$95.2 \$89.1 \$73.4 Corporate 1.5 0.2 2.1 Consolidated capital expenditures \$95.4 \$90.6 \$75.5

> September 30, 2016 2015

Total long-lived assets:

Consumer Products \$542.1 \$507.1 Corporate assets 1.3 2.4 Consolidated total long-lived assets \$543.4 \$509.5

	Fiscal		
	2016	2015	2014
Net change in cash due to continuing operating activities			
Consumer Products	\$615.0	\$444.9	\$432.7
Insurance	(12.3)	(51.2)	(18.3)
Intersegment transactions	142.6	91.1	231.6
Net change in cash due to segment operating activities	745.3	484.8	646.0
Net change in cash due to corporate and other operating activities	(187.1)	(184.5)	(169.1)
Consolidated net change in cash due to continuing operating activities	\$558.2	\$300.3	\$476.9

The Company's geographic data disclosures are as follows:

Net consumer and other product sales to external customers:

Fiscal

2016 2015 2014 \$3,217.9 \$2,950.6 \$2,660.8 1,821.8 1,782.5 1,788.4

\$5,039.7 \$4,733.1 \$4,449.2

Consolidated net consumer and other product sales to external customers

Long-lived assets:

Outside the United States

Outside the United States

United States

United States

September 30, 2016 2015 \$323.4 \$313.5 220.0 196.0 Consolidated long-lived assets \$543.4 \$509.5

(26) Consolidating Financial Information

The following schedules present the Company's accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets information at September 30, 2016 and 2015, and accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations information for Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014. These schedules present the individual segments of the Company and their contribution to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Amounts presented will not necessarily be the same as those in the individual financial statements of the Company's subsidiaries due to adjustments for purchase accounting, income taxes and noncontrolling interests. In addition, some of the Company's subsidiaries use a classified balance sheet which also leads to differences in amounts reported for certain line items.

The Corporate and Other column primarily reflects the parent company's investment in its subsidiaries, invested cash portfolio and corporate long term debt, and the results of Salus and HGI Energy, as well as CorAmerica and FOH from their respective acquisition dates through the dates CorAmerica and FOH were deconsolidated. The elimination adjustments are for intercompany assets and liabilities, adjustments to align segment accounting policies with the consolidated basis, interest and dividends, the parent company's investment in capital stocks of subsidiaries, and various reclasses of debit or credit balances to the amounts in consolidation. Purchase accounting adjustments have

been pushed down to the appropriate subsidiary.

HRG Group, Inc. - Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets Information

September 30, 2016 Assets:	Consumer Products		Corporate	Discontinued Operations	Eliminations and adjustments	Total
Investments in subsidiaries and affiliates	\$—	\$3.4	\$2,405.3	\$ <i>—</i>	\$ (2,408.7)	\$ —
Affiliated loans and receivables	—	20.3	0.2			
Cash and cash equivalents	275.3	32.1	189.9		_	497.3
Funds withheld receivables	_	1,725.0	_	_	(74.6)	1,650.4
Receivables, net	538.2	17.4	0.7	_	_	556.3
Inventories, net	740.6		_		_	740.6
Deferred tax assets	18.3	8.6			15.7	42.6
Property, plant and equipment, net	542.1		1.3			543.4
Goodwill	2,478.4			_	_	2,478.4
Intangibles, net	2,372.5			_		2,372.5
Other assets	103.7	18.1	34.6		16.2	172.6
Assets of business held for sale	_			26,738.7		26,738.7
Total assets	\$7,069.1	\$1,824.9	\$2,632.0	\$ 26,738.7	\$ (2,471.9)	\$35,792.8
Liabilities and Equity:						
Insurance reserves	\$—	\$1,685.9	\$	\$ <i>—</i>	\$65.4	\$1,751.3
Debt	3,620.2	Ψ1,005.7 —	1,747.7	Ψ —	63.0	5,430.9
Accounts payable and other current liabilities	931.6	6.1	51.6		0.5	989.8
Employee benefit obligations	120.2	_	5.2	_	—	125.4
Deferred tax liabilities	532.7		13.3	_	_	546.0
Other liabilities	20.4	3.5	8.3		(0.2)	32.0
Affiliated debt and payables		0.2	171.2		(171.4)	_
Liabilities of business held for sale	_		_	25,100.2		25,100.2
Total liabilities	5,225.1	1,695.7	1,997.3	25,100.2	(42.7)	33,975.6
Total shareholders' equity	1,040.4	129.2	638.1	1,259.6	(2,429.2)	638.1
Noncontrolling interests	803.6		(3.4)	378.9		1,179.1
Total shareholders' equity	1,844.0	129.2	634.7	1,638.5	(2,429.2)	1,817.2
Total liabilities and equity	\$7,069.1	\$1,824.9	\$2,632.0	\$ 26,738.7	\$ (2,471.9)	\$35,792.8
F-79						

September 30, 2015 Assets:	Consumer Products	Insurance		Discontinued Operations	Elimination and adjustments	s Total
Investment in subsidiaries and affiliates	\$ —	\$10.6	\$2,152.9	\$ <i>—</i>	\$ (2,163.5	
Affiliated loans and receivables	φ—	31.1	1.2	φ — —) _
Cash and cash equivalents	247.9	18.0	395.3		(32.3	661.2
Funds withheld receivables		1,743.8		_	(33.7	1,710.1
Receivables, net	586.6	25.6	1.5		0.2	613.9
Inventories, net	780.8					780.8
Deferred tax assets	9.3	23.6	9.6		14.2	56.7
Property, plant and equipment, net	507.1	_	2.4			509.5
Goodwill	2,476.7	_	10.7	_	_	2,487.4
Intangibles, net	2,480.3	_	_	_		2,480.3
Other assets	105.1	31.1	260.3		15.5	412.0
Assets of business held for sale	_	_	_	24,976.5		24,976.5
Assets of discontinued operations	_	_	_	343.1		343.1
Total assets	\$7,193.8	\$1,883.8	\$2,833.9	\$ 25,319.6	\$ (2,199.6	\$35,031.5
Liabilities and Equity:						
Insurance reserves	\$ <i>—</i>	\$1,731.9	\$ —	\$ <i>—</i>	\$ 124.1	\$1,856.0
Debt	3,905.9	_	1,748.0		330.7	5,984.6
Accounts payable and other current liabilities	993.0	5.4	61.4		2.2	1,062.0
Employee benefit obligations	88.1	_	4.8			92.9
Deferred tax liabilities	572.6		1.9	_	_	574.5
Other liabilities	27.3	7.1	13.5	_	8.4	56.3
Affiliated debt and payables	_	_	415.3		(415.3	· —
Liabilities of business held for sale	_	_	_	23,418.5		23,418.5
Liabilities of discontinued operations	_	_	_	398.6		398.6
Total liabilities	5,586.9	1,744.4	2,244.9	23,817.1	50.1	33,443.4
Total shareholders' equity	900.4	139.4	586.7	1,209.9	(2,249.7	586.7
Noncontrolling interests	706.5		2.3	292.6		1,001.4
Total shareholders' equity	1,606.9	139.4	589.0	1,502.5	(2,249.7	1,588.1
Total liabilities and equity	\$7,193.8	\$1,883.8	\$2,833.9	\$ 25,319.6	\$ (2,199.6	\$35,031.5
F-80						

HRG Group, Inc. - Condensed Consolidating Statements of Operations Information

Fiscal 2016	Consumer Products	•	Corporat		Elimination and adjustment	Total
Revenues:		Φ.	Φ.		4	* * • * • *
Net consumer and other product sales	\$5,039.7	\$ <i>-</i>	\$ <u> </u>	\$ —	\$ —	\$5,039.7
Net investment income	_	2.4	8.0		56.6	67.0
Net investment gains (losses)		148.0			(46.9)	
Insurance and investment product fees and other	_	_	0.9	_	6.7	7.6
Total revenues	5,039.7	150.4	8.9		16.4	5,215.4
Operating costs and expenses:						
Cost of consumer products and other goods sold	3,119.8	_	_	_	_	3,119.8
Benefits and other changes in policy reserves		153.2			(50.2)	103.0
Selling, acquisition, operating and general expenses	1,165.0	9.6	69.4		(0.4)	1,243.6
Impairments and bad debt expense	4.7		23.5		(1.1)	27.1
Amortization of intangibles	93.9			_	_	93.9
Total operating costs and expenses	4,383.4	162.8	92.9	_	(51.7)	4,587.4
Operating income	656.3	(12.4) (84.0) —	68.1	628.0
Equity in net income of subsidiaries	_	_	43.0		(43.0)	_
Interest expense	(250.0)		(143.1) —	(4.0)	(397.1)
Affiliated interest expense	_	_	(12.2) —	12.2	
Other income (expense), net	(8.6)		11.1		(1.5)	1.0
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	397.7	(12.4) (185.2) —	31.8	231.9
Income tax expense (benefit)	40.0	(2.4) 18.9		(15.0)	41.5
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	357.7	(10.0)) (204.1) —	46.8	190.4
(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax	_	_	_	(224.3)	_	(224.3)
Net (loss) income	357.7	(10.0)) (204.1) (224.3	46.8	(33.9)
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	151.1	_	(5.3) 19.1	_	164.9
Net loss attributable to controlling interest	\$206.6	\$ (10.0) \$(198.8)	\$ (243.4)	\$ 46.8	\$(198.8)
F-81						

Fiscal 2015	Consumer Products	Insurance	Corporate and Other	Discontinue Operations	Eliminatio and adjustmer			
Revenues:								
Net consumer and other product sales	\$4,690.4	\$ —	\$42.7	\$ —	\$ —		\$4,733.1	L
Net investment income		8.9	20.6		54.8		84.3	
Net investment gains (losses)		(107.7)	_		(6.3)	(114.0)
Insurance and investment product fees and other			0.1		6.2		6.3	
Total revenues	4,690.4	(98.8)	63.4		54.7		4,709.7	
Operating costs and expenses:								
Cost of consumer products and other goods sold	3,020.0		30.9				3,050.9	
Benefits and other changes in policy reserves		(43.5)	_		114.1		70.6	
Selling, acquisition, operating and general expenses	1,108.5	13.4	181.1	_	(1.7)	1,301.3	
Impairments and bad debt expense	_	_	148.1		5.2		153.3	
Amortization of intangibles	87.8	_	_		_		87.8	
Total operating costs and expenses	4,216.3	(30.1)	360.1		117.6		4,663.9	
Operating income	474.1	(68.7)	(296.7)	· —	(62.9)	45.8	
Equity in net loss of subsidiaries		_	(232.4)	· —	232.4			
Interest expense	(271.9)		(124.2)	· —	(5.5)	(401.6)
Affiliated interest expense			(29.6	· —	29.6			
Gain on deconsolidation of subsidiary			38.5				38.5	
Other income (expense), net	(8.9)		29.8	_	(10.7)	10.2	
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	193.3	(68.7)	(614.6)	· —	182.9		(307.1)
Income tax expense (benefit)	43.9	(24.0)	(17.5)	· —	(18.6)	(16.2)
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	149.4		(597.1)	· —	201.5	_	`)
(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net		,	()	(221 5				
of tax				(221.5)			(221.5)
Net (loss) income	149.4	(44.7)	(597.1)	(221.5)	201.5		(512.4)
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling	<i>(</i> 0.7	,	,				•	_
interest	62.7		(40.3)	22.0	_		44.4	
Net loss attributable to controlling interest	\$86.7	\$ (44.7)	\$(556.8)	\$ (243.5)	\$ 201.5		\$(556.8)
F-82								

Table of Contents

Fiscal 2014 Revenues:	Consumer Products	Insuranc	Corporate eand Other	Discontinue Operations	Elimination and adjustmen		1 otai	
Net consumer and other product sales Net investment income Net investment gains (losses) Insurance and investment product fees and other Total revenues	\$4,429.1 — — — 4,429.1	\$ — 9.3 135.0 — 144.3	\$ 20.1 33.4 — 53.5	\$ — — — —	\$ — 47.4 (45.7 6.1 7.8)	\$4,449.2 90.1 89.3 6.1 4,634.7	2
Operating costs and expenses: Cost of consumer products and other goods sold Benefits and other changes in policy reserves Selling, acquisition, operating and general expenses	2,860.3 — 1,005.2		15.3 — 163.0	_ _ _	— (25.9 1.4)	2,875.6 66.9 1,181.0	
Impairments and bad debt expense Amortization of intangibles Total operating costs and expenses Operating income Equity in net income of subsidiaries Interest expense	81.7 3,947.2 481.9 — (202.1)		2.3 — 180.6 (127.1) 251.6 (89.7)	_	(0.2 — (24.7 32.5 (251.6 (7.0)	2.1 81.7 4,207.3 427.4 — (298.8)
Affiliated interest expense Loss from the change in the fair value of the equity conversion feature of preferred stock Other income (expense), net			(15.6) (12.7) (5.4)		15.6 — (0.2)	(12.7) (11.9))
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes Income tax expense (benefit) Net income (loss) from continuing operations	273.5 59.0 214.5	40.1 15.7 24.4	1.1 1.2 (0.1)	_ _ _	(210.7 13.7 (224.4		104.0 89.6 14.4	
(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax Net (loss) income Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling	214.5 88.9	 24.4 	— (0.1) 10.2	87.3 87.3 12.9	— (224.4 —)	87.3 101.7 112.0	
interest Net loss attributable to controlling interest Less: Preferred stock dividends, accretion and loss on conversion	125.6	24.4	(10.3) 73.6	74.4 —	(224.4)	(10.3 73.6)
Net loss attributable to common and participating preferred stockholders	\$125.6	\$ 24.4	\$ (83.9)	\$ 74.4	\$ (224.4)	\$(83.9)

(27) Quarterly Results (1	Unaudited)
---------------------------	------------

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Quarter I September 2016			*			March 31, 2016 2015			December 31, 2015 2014			
Net consumer and other product sales	\$1,249.7				\$1,249.	.7	\$1,209.		5	\$1,218.	8	\$1,088.8	8
Total revenues	1,308.6	1,281.5	1,43	7.4	1,202.9)	1,260.0	1,117.8		1,209.4		1,107.5	
Net consumer and other product gross profit	485.7	467.5	530.	7	458.4		462.8	379.5		440.7		376.8	
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	(9.6) (95.0) 141.	5	(24.7)	56.5	(85.1)	2.0		(86.1)
(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax	45.8	(32.1) (234	.5)	(16.7)	(40.6) (152.4)	5.0		(20.3)
Net (loss) income	36.2	(127.1) (93.0))	(41.4)	15.9	(237.5)	7.0		(106.4)
Net loss attributable to controlling interest	(7.3) (143.1) (132	.9)	(75.6)	(24.7) (228.3)	(33.9)	(109.8)
Net loss per common share attributable to controlling interest:													
Basic income (loss) from continuing operations	\$(0.24) \$(0.53) \$0.5	2	\$(0.21)	\$0.09	\$(0.40)	\$(0.15)	\$(0.44)
Basic (loss) income from discontinued operations	0.20	(0.20) (1.19)	(0.17)	(0.22) (0.76)	(0.02)	(0.12)
Basic Basic	\$(0.04) \$(0.73) \$(0.0	67)	\$(0.38)	\$(0.13) \$(1.16)	\$(0.17)	\$(0.56)
Diluted income (loss) from continuing operations	\$(0.24) \$(0.53) \$0.5	1	\$(0.21)	\$0.09	\$(0.40)	\$(0.15)	\$(0.44)
Diluted (loss) income from discontinued operations	0.20	(0.20) (1.17	7)	(0.17)	(0.22) (0.76)	(0.02)	(0.12)
Diluted As previously discussed in N	•) \$(0.73) \$(0.0		\$(0.38		\$(0.13) \$(1.16		\$(0.17		•)

As previously discussed in Note 1, Basis of Presentation and Nature of Operations, and Note 4, Divestitures, during the fourth quarter of Fiscal 2016, the Company completed the sale of its equity interests in Compass. As a result, Compass was presented as discontinued operations in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. The impact of the adoption of classifying the results of operations of Compass as discontinued operations and to the Company's previously reported quarterly results for the quarters ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, March 31, 2016 and 2015, and December 31, 2016 and 2015 is presented below.

	Quarter E	nded June	30, 2016	Quarter Ended June 30, 2015				
	Reported	Adjustmo	ent As Revised	Reported	Adjustmen	nt As Revised		
Net consumer and other product sales	\$1,361.6	\$ —	\$1,361.6	\$1,249.7	\$ —	\$1,249.7	7	
Total revenues	1,447.1	(9.7) 1,437.4	1,227.2	(24.3)	1,202.9		
Net consumer and other product gross profit	530.7	_	530.7	458.4	_	458.4		
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	115.4	26.1	141.5	(144.3)	119.6	(24.7)	
(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax	(208.4)	(26.1) (234.5	102.9	(119.6)	(16.7)	
Net (loss) income	(93.0)		(93.0	(41.4)		(41.4)	
Net loss attributable to controlling interest	(132.9)		(132.9	(75.6)	· —	(75.6)	

Net loss per common share attributable to controlling interest:

Basic income (loss) from continuing operations Basic (loss) income from discontinued operations Basic	\$0.39 (1.06 \$(0.67	\$ 0.13) (0.13) \$ —)	\$0.52 (1.19 \$(0.67)	\$(0.82 0.44 \$(0.38) \$ 0.61 (0.61) \$ —)	\$(0.21 (0.17 \$(0.38)
Diluted income (loss) from continuing operations	\$0.38	\$ 0.13		\$0.51		\$(0.82) \$ 0.61		\$(0.21)
Diluted (loss) income from discontinued operations	(1.04) (0.13)	(1.17)	0.44	(0.61)	(0.17)
Diluted	\$(0.66) \$ —		\$(0.66)	\$(0.38) \$ —		\$(0.38)

Table of Contents									
	_				Quarter Ended March 31, 2015 Reported Adjustment As Revised				
	Reported	l (a)	Reviso	ed	Reported	Adjustm	nen	t Revised	I
Net consumer and other product sales Total revenues Net consumer and other product gross profit Net income (loss) from continuing operations	\$1,209.6 1,269.5 462.8 11.6		\$1,20°) 1,260. 462.8 56.5	9.6	\$1,086.5 1,143.8 379.5			\$1,086 1,117.8 379.5 (85.1	5
(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net	(13.1) (27.5) (40.6)	5.8	(158.2)	(152.4)
of tax Net (loss) income Net loss attributable to controlling interest	(1.5 (34.8) 17.4	15.9 (24.7)	(237.5 (228.3) —) —		(237.5 (228.3)
Net loss per common share attributable to controlling interest:									
Basic income (loss) from continuing operations Basic (loss) income from discontinued operations Basic	(0.08) \$ 0.19) (0.14) \$ 0.05	\$0.09) (0.22 \$(0.13		0.04) \$ 0.80 (0.80) \$ —)	\$(0.40 (0.76 \$(1.16)
Diluted income (loss) from continuing operations	\$(0.10) \$ 0.19	\$0.09		\$(1.20) \$ 0.80		\$(0.40)
Diluted (loss) income from discontinued operations	(0.08) (0.14) (0.22)	0.04	(0.80)	(0.76)
Diluted	\$(0.18) \$ 0.05	\$(0.13	3)	\$(1.16) \$ —		\$(1.16)
Pronouncements, the Company adopted ASU 201 effective as if adopted the first day of the fiscal ye 2015, there was an additional \$4.3 of unrecognize previously recognized. The Company did not recognize incremental deferred tax assets were also fully off allowance as of December 31, 2015. The impact of reported quarterly results for the quarter ended Ma operations, Net (loss) income, Net loss attributable continuing operations per share of \$17.4, \$17.4, \$	ar, Octobe d deferred and an adju- set by a co- of the adop- arch 31, 20 e to contro 10.1, and \$	er 1, 2015 tax asset stment for rrespond tion of A 016 was in lling inte 60.05, res	. For the the statistical attributable in the adopting increases SU 2016-09 increases to rest, and Bases attributes the statistical attributes attributes the statistical attributes the statistical attributes attributes the statistical attributes attrib	ree in e in Net asic nd i	months endo excess taxof ASU 20 the deferred the Compaincome (leand Dilutes reflected	ded Decent x benefits 16-09 as t ed tax asse any's prevoss) from ed income	thathe to the correct of the correct	er 31, at were no aluation asly attinuing ass) from above.	
	Reported	A dinet	As			Adjustm	an	As	
Net consumer and other product sales Total revenues Net consumer and other product gross profit Net income (loss) from continuing operations (Loss) income from discontinued operations, net	\$1,218.8 1,226.2 440.7 42.6	\$ — (16.8 — (40.6	\$1,21) 1,209. 440.7) 2.0	8.8	\$1,088.8 1,141.8 376.8 (123.4	\$ — (34.3 —) 37.3)	\$1,088. 1,107.5 376.8 (86.1	8
of tax	•) 40.6	5.0		17.0	(37.3)	(20.3)
Net (loss) income Net loss attributable to controlling interest	7.0 (33.9	_) _	7.0 (33.9)	(106.4 (109.8) —) —		(106.4 (109.8)
Net loss per common share attributable to controlling interest:									
Basic income (loss) from continuing operations	\$0.06	\$ (0.21) \$(0.15	5)	\$(0.63	\$ 0.19		\$(0.44)

(0.02)

0.21

0.07

(0.19)

Basic (loss) income from discontinued operations (0.23

) (0.12

Basic	\$(0.17) \$ —	\$(0.17) \$(0.56) \$ —		\$(0.56)
Diluted income (loss) from continuing operations Diluted (loss) income from discontinued operations Diluted	(0.23	\$ (0.21) 0.21) \$ —	(0.02) \$(0.63) 0.07) \$(0.56	(0.19)	\$(0.44 (0.12 \$(0.56))
F-85								

SCHEDULE I HRG GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Summary of Investments — Other than Investments in Related Parties September 30, 2016

(In millions)

	Amortized Cost (a)	t Fair Value	which shown in the balance sheet
Fixed maturities:			
Bonds:			
United States Government and government agencies and authorities	\$ 72.8	\$74.0	\$ 74.0
States, municipalities and political subdivisions	61.6	66.4	66.4
Foreign governments	2.6	2.8	2.8
Public utilities	146.8	145.5	145.5
All other corporate bonds	881.7	887.8	887.8
Mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized	357.5	350.4	350.4
Total fixed maturities	1,523.0	1,526.9	1,526.9
Equity securities:			
Nonredeemable preferred stock	8.8	8.2	8.2
Policy loans	8.5	8.5	8.5
Short-term investments	95.5	95.5	95.5
Other invested assets		_	_
Derivative investments	9.8	11.3	11.3
Total investments included in funds withheld receivables (b)	\$ 1,645.6	\$1,650.4	\$ 1,650.4

Represents (i) original cost reduced by repayments and other-than-temporary impairments and adjusted for amortization of premiums and accrual of discounts for fixed maturity securities, (ii) original cost reduced by

F-86

Amount at

⁽a) other-than-temporary impairments for equity securities, (iii) original cost for derivative investments, and (iv) unpaid principal balance reduced by an allowance for credit losses for commercial-mortgage and asset-based loans.

⁽b) This represents the underlying investments in Front Street's funds withheld receivables portfolio. See accompanying Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

SCHEDULE II

Condensed Financial Information of the Registrant

HRG GROUP, INC. (Registrant Only)

BALANCE SHEETS

(In millions)

	September 30,		
	2016	2015	
ASSETS			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$170.9	\$297.2	
Receivables, net	0.1	0.8	
Total current assets	171.0	298.0	
Investments in consolidated subsidiaries (a)	1,870.1	2,040.8	
Advances to consolidated subsidiaries	9.6	9.5	
Deferred tax assets	351.2	2.0	
Properties, net	1.1	1.3	
Other assets	0.6	1.1	
Total assets	\$2,403.6	\$2,352.7	
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY			
Accounts payable	\$0.2	\$1.6	
Accrued and other current liabilities	48.2	51.8	
Total current liabilities	48.4	53.4	
Long-term debt	1,711.2	1,705.1	
Employee benefit obligations	5.2	4.7	
Deferred tax liabilities		2.0	
Other liabilities	0.7	0.8	
Total liabilities	1,765.5	1,766.0	
Shareholders' equity:			
Common stock	2.0	2.0	
Additional paid-in capital	1,447.1	1,458.5	
Accumulated deficit	(1,031.9)	(833.1)	
Accumulated other comprehensive income	220.9	(40.7)	
Total shareholders' equity	638.1	586.7	
Total liabilities and equity	\$2,403.6	\$2,352.7	
() T 1 1 01 050 (, C , 1 00 001)	1 , 1 , .	1 0	

⁽a) Includes \$1,259.6 at September 30, 2016 related to the Company's investment in FGL and \$1,209.9 at September 30, 2015 related to the Company's investments in FGL and Compass, which were classified as business held for sale and business in discontinued operations, respectively.

See accompanying Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

Table of Contents

SCHEDULE II

(continued)

HRG GROUP, INC. (Registrant Only) STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (In millions)

	Fiscal 2016	2015	2014
Revenues	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Cost of revenues	_	_	_
Gross profit		_	_
Operating expenses:			
General and administrative	50.7	100.2	111.1
Acquisition related charges	0.2	0.4	4.2
Total operating expenses	50.9	100.6	115.3
Operating loss	(50.9)	(100.6)	(115.3)
Other income (expense):			
Equity in net (loss) income of subsidiaries (a)	(214.8)	(357.2)	207.7
Interest expense	(143.1)	(124.2)	(89.7)
Loss from the change in the fair value of the equity conversion feature of preferred stock	_	_	(12.7)
Gain on contingent purchase price reduction	_	8.5	0.5
Other, net	2.0	15.2	0.1
Loss before income taxes	(406.8)	(558.3)	(9.4)
Income tax (benefit) expense (b)	(208.0)	(1.5)	0.9
Net (loss)	(198.8)	(556.8)	(10.3)
Less: Preferred stock dividends and accretion and loss on conversion			73.6
Net loss attributable to common and participating preferred stockholders	\$(198.8)	\$(556.8)	\$(83.9)
		~	_

⁽a) Includes \$(243.4), \$(243.5), and \$74.4 for Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively, related to the Company's investments in FGL and Compass, which were classified as discontinued operations.

See accompanying Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

⁽b) Includes income tax benefit of \$(206.2) for Fiscal 2016 related to classifying the Company's ownership interest in FGL as held for sale

Table of Contents

SCHEDULE II

(continued)

HRG GROUP, INC. (Registrant Only) STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (In millions)

(III IIIIIIIOIIS)	Fiscal 2016	2015	2014
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net loss	\$(198.8	\$ (556.3	8) \$(10.3)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:			
Equity in net income of subsidiaries	214.8	357.2	(207.7)
Dividends from subsidiaries	63.5	65.7	118.0
Depreciation of properties	0.3	0.5	0.2
Stock-based compensation	13.7	25.0	27.0
Amortization of debt issuance costs	2.7	4.4	3.5
Amortization of debt discount	3.3	1.8	1.5
Deferred income taxes	(205.8) —	_
Loss from the change in the fair value of the equity conversion feature of preferred stock		_	12.7
Gain on contingent purchase price reduction	_	(8.5) (0.5)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts payable and accrued and other current liabilities	(5.4) (35.4) 43.5
Other operating activities	1.8	64.4	(0.7)
Net change in cash due to operating activities	(109.9)) (81.7) (12.8)
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Capital contributions to consolidated subsidiaries	(2.9) (406.4) (115.5)
Capital expenditures	(0.1) (1.2) (0.3)
Net change in cash due to investing activities	(3.0) (407.6) (115.8)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Dividends paid on preferred stock			(28.6)
Proceeds from senior secured notes		409.6	400.0
Debt issuance costs		(6.8) (10.4)
Common stock repurchased	—	(22.1) (65.6)
Share based award tax withholding payments	(17.8) (18.3) (6.5)
Other financing activities	4.4	4.1	2.8
Net change in cash due to financing activities	(13.4	366.5	291.7
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	(126.3) (122.8) 163.1
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	297.2	420.0	256.9
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$170.9	\$297.2	\$420.0
See accompanying Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.			

Table of Contents

SCHEDULE III HRG GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Supplementary Insurance Information (In millions)

	As of or for the year ended September 30,				
	2016	2015	2014		
Life Insurance (single segment):					
Future policy benefits, losses, claims and loss expenses	\$1,751.3	\$1,856.0	\$1,418.4		
Net investment income	63.5	68.2	61.7		
Benefits, claims, losses and settlement expenses	(103.0)	(70.6)	(66.9)		
Other operating expenses	(9.6)	(13.4)	(11.4)		
San ananymanying Danart of Indonandant Dagistared Dul	hlia Aggant	ting Eirm			

See accompanying Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

Table of Contents

SCHEDULE IV HRG GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Reinsurance

(In millions)

Fiscal 2016	Gross Amou	ıınt	Ceded to of companies	her		med from companies	Net An	nount	Percenta of amou assumed	nt
Life insurance in force	\$	_	\$		-\$ 24	44.5	\$ 244.	5	100.0	%
Premiums and other considerations:										
Traditional life insurance premiums	\$	_	\$		-\$ 5.	7	\$ 5.7		100.0	%
Annuity product charges					96.3		96.3		100.0	%
Total premiums and other considerations	\$	—	\$	_	-\$ 10	02.0	\$ 102.	0	100.0	%
Fiscal 2015	Gross Amou	ıınt	Ceded to of companies	her		med from companies	Net An	nount	Percenta of amou assumed	nt
Life insurance in force	\$	—	\$	_	-\$ 25	55.5	\$ 255.	5	100.0	%
Premiums and other considerations:										
Traditional life insurance premiums	\$	—	\$	_	-\$ 3.	9	\$ 3.9		100.0	%
Annuity product charges					94.2		94.2		100.0	%
Total premiums and other considerations	\$	_	\$		-\$ 98	3.1	\$ 98.1		100.0	%
Fiscal 2014	Gross Amo	unt	Ceded to o companies			umed from er companie	Net s Amou	ınt O	ercentage f amount ssumed to	
Life insurance in force	\$	_	-\$	_	- \$	_	\$ —	_	_	%
Premiums and other considerations:										
Traditional life insurance premiums	\$		-\$	_	- \$	0.9	\$ 0.9) 1	0.00	%
Annuity product charges			_		5.3		5.3	1	0.00	%
Total premiums and other considerations	\$		-\$	_	- \$	6.2	\$ 6.2	2 1	0.00	%
See accompanying Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.										

HRG GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF CERTAIN SUBSIDIARIES INCLUDED PURSUANT TO RULE 3-16 OF REGULATION S-X

	Page
Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>S-2</u>
FS Holdco II Ltd and Subsidiaries Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>S-57</u>
HGI Funding LLC Financial Statements	<u>S-103</u>

S-1

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULE

This report is a combined report of Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. ("SBH)" and SB/RH Holdings, LLC ("SB/RH"). The notes to the consolidated financial statements include consolidated SBH footnotes and certain footnotes related to SB/RH.

	Page
Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	<u>S-3</u>
Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. Consolidated Financial Statements	
Consolidated Statements of Financial Position	<u>S-6</u>
Consolidated Statements of Income	<u>S-7</u>
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	<u>S-8</u>
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity	<u>S-9</u>
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	<u>S-10</u>
SB/RH Holdings, LLC Consolidated Financial Statements	
Consolidated Statements of Financial Position	<u>S-11</u>
Consolidated Statements of Income	<u>S-12</u>
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	<u>S-13</u>
Consolidated Statements of Shareholder's Equity	<u>S-14</u>
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	<u>S-15</u>
Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. and SB/RH Holdings, LLC Combined	
Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>S-16</u>

S-2

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm The Board of Directors and Shareholders Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of financial position of Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of September 30, 2016 and 2015, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended September 30, 2016. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. and subsidiaries as of September 30, 2016 and 2015, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended September 30, 2016, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2016, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated November 17, 2016 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ KPMG LLP Milwaukee, Wisconsin November 17, 2016

S-3

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm The Board of Directors and Shareholders Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc.:

We have audited Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2016, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. and subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2016, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. and subsidiaries as of September 30, 2016 and 2015, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended September 30, 2016, and our report dated November 17, 2016 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

/s/ KPMG LLP Milwaukee, Wisconsin November 17, 2016

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm The Board of Directors and Shareholder SB/RH Holdings, LLC:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of financial position of SB/RH Holdings, LLC and subsidiaries (the Company) as of September 30, 2016 and 2015, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, shareholder's equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended September 30, 2016. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion. In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of SB/RH Holdings, LLC and subsidiaries as of September 30, 2016 and 2015, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended September 30, 2016, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

/s/ KPMG LLP Milwaukee, Wisconsin November 17, 2016

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.		
Consolidated Statements of Financial Position		
September 30, 2016 and 2015		
(in millions, except per share figures)		
	2016	2015
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$275.3	\$247.9
Trade receivables, net	482.6	498.8
Other receivables	55.6	87.9
Inventories	740.6	780.8
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	78.8	72.1
Total current assets	1,632.9	1,687.5
Property, plant and equipment, net	542.1	507.1
Deferred charges and other	43.2	42.2
Goodwill	2,478.4	2,476.7
Intangible assets, net	2,372.5	2,480.3
Total assets	\$7,069.1	\$7,193.8
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Current portion of long-term debt	164.0	33.8
Accounts payable	580.1	620.6
Accrued wages and salaries	122.9	96.5
Accrued interest	39.3	63.3
Other current liabilities	189.3	212.7
Total current liabilities	1,095.6	1,026.9
Long-term debt, net of current portion	3,456.2	3,872.1
Deferred income taxes	532.7	572.5
Other long-term liabilities	140.6	115.5
Total liabilities	5,225.1	5,587.0
Commitments and contingencies (Note 18)		
Shareholders' equity:		
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value: Authorized - 200.0 shares; Issued - 61.5 and 61.1 shares	0.6	0.6
respectively; Outstanding - 59.4 and 59.4 shares, respectively.		0.0
Additional paid-in capital	2,073.6	2,033.6
Accumulated earnings (deficit)	63.6	(205.5)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax	(229.4)	(200.1)
Treasury stock, at cost	(108.3)	(65.5)
Total shareholders' equity	1,800.1	1,563.1
Noncontrolling interest	43.9	43.7
Total equity	1,844.0	1,606.8
Total liabilities and equity	\$7,069.1	\$7,193.8
See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.		

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Consolidated Statements of Income

Years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014

(in millions, except per share figures)

	2016	2015	2014							
Net sales	\$5,039.7	\$4,690.4	\$4,429.1							
Cost of goods sold	3,119.3	3,018.0	2,856.5							
Restructuring and related charges	0.5	2.1	3.7							
Gross profit	1,919.9	1,670.3	1,568.9							
Selling	776.6	720.7	678.2							
General and administrative	372.3	338.8	321.6							
Research and development	58.7	51.3	47.9							
Acquisition and integration related charges	36.7	58.8	20.1							
Restructuring and related charges	14.7	26.6	19.2							
Write-off from impairment of intangible assets	4.7									
Total operating expenses	1,263.7	1,196.2	1,087.0							
Operating income	656.2	474.1	481.9							
Interest expense	250.0	271.9	202.1							
Other non-operating expense, net	8.6	8.9	6.3							
Income from operations before income taxes	397.6	193.3	273.5							
Income tax expense	40.0	43.9	59.0							
Net income	357.6	149.4	214.5							
Net income attributable to non-controlling interest	0.5	0.5	0.4							
Net income attributable to controlling interest	\$357.1	\$148.9	\$214.1							
Earnings Per Share										
Basic earnings per share	\$6.02	\$2.68	\$4.07							
Diluted earnings per share	5.99	2.66	4.02							
Dividends per share	1.47	1.27	1.15							
Weighted Average Shares Outstanding										
Basic	59.3	55.6	52.6							
Diluted	59.6	55.9	53.3							
See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.										

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income Years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014 (in millions)

	2016	2015	2014
Net income	\$357.6	\$149.4	\$214.5
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax:			
Foreign currency translation loss, net tax of \$2.3, \$0.0 and \$0.0, respectively	(8.5)	(113.0)	(32.6)
Unrealized gain (loss) on hedging activity, net tax of \$2.9, \$3.0, and \$4.2, respectively	7.1	(13.2)	11.5
Defined benefit pension loss, net tax of \$(10.8), \$0.5 and \$(1.6), respectively	(28.2)	(11.0)	(3.6)
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax	(29.6)	(137.2)	(24.7)
Comprehensive income	328.0	12.2	189.8
Comprehensive (loss) income attributable to non-controlling interest	(0.3)	(0.2)	0.4
Comprehensive income attributable to controlling interest	\$328.3	\$12.4	\$189.4
See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.			

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity Years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014 (in millions)

(III IIIIIIIOIIS)						Accumula	ate	d							
			Additiona	l Accumul	lat		ııc	u		Total		Non-			
	Comr Stock		Paid-in Earnings		Comprehensi Te easury			y Shareholderscontrolling otal				n g Total			
			n C apital	(Deficit)		Loss		Stock		Equity		Interes	st	Equity	
Balances as of	52.2	\$ 0.5	\$1,410.7	\$ (435.9)	\$ (38.5)	\$(39.8)	\$897.0		\$ 43.1		\$940.1	
September 30, 2013 Net income	_	_		214.1		_	ĺ	_	ĺ	214.1		0.4		214.5	
Other comprehensive				_		(24.6)			(24.6)	(0.1)	(24.7)
loss, net of tax Restricted stock issued						(24.0	,			(24.0	,	(0.1	,	(24.7	,
and related tax	0.6	_	(25.0) —		_		_		(25.0)	_		(25.0)
withholdings			·							`	ĺ			`	
Share based compensation	_	_	47.7	_		_		_		47.7		_		47.7	
Treasury stock	(0.1)							(4.5	`	(4.5	`			(15	`
purchases	(0.1)	_				_		(4.3	,			_		(4.5)
Dividend declared Balances at September	_	_	_	(61.3)	_		_		(61.3)			(61.3)
30, 2014	52.7	0.5	1,433.4	(283.1)	(63.1)	(44.3)	1,043.4		43.4		1,086.8	
Net income	_	_	_	148.9		_		_		148.9		0.5		149.4	
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax	_		_	_		(137.0)	_		(137.0)	(0.2)	(137.2)
Common stock issuance	6.5	0.1	585.9	_		_		_		586.0				586.0	
Restricted stock issued	0.4		/1 ~ 4							/1.5. A	`			/1 5 .4	,
and related tax withholdings	0.4		(15.4) —		_		_		(15.4)			(15.4)
Share based			29.7							29.7				29.7	
compensation			29.1			_		_		29.1				29.1	
Treasury stock purchases	(0.2)	_				_		(21.2)	(21.2)			(21.2)
Dividend declared			_	(71.3)	_		_		(71.3)			(71.3)
Balances at September	59.4	0.6	2,033.6	(205.5)	(200.1)	(65.5)	1,563.1		43.7		1,606.8	
30, 2015 Net income				357.1		_		_		357.1		0.5		357.6	
Other comprehensive						(29.3)	_		(29.3)	(0.3)	(29.6)
loss, net of tax Restricted stock issued						(2).3	,			(2).3	,	(0.5	,	(2).0	,
and related tax	0.4		0.4	_		_		_		0.4				0.4	
withholdings															
Share based		_	39.6	_		_		_		39.6				39.6	
compensation Treasury stock															
purchases	(0.4)	_				_		(42.8)	(42.8)			(42.8)
Dividend declared	—	_	_	(88.0)	_		_		(88.0)	—		(88.0)

Balances at September 30, 2016 59.4 \$ 0.6 \$ 2,073.6 \$ 63.6 \$ (229.4) \$ (108.3) \$ 1,800.1 \$ 43.9 \$ 1,844.0 See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014 $\,$

(in millions)

(III IIIIIIIOIIS)	2016 2015 2014
Cash flows from operating activities	2010 2013 2014
Net income	\$357.6 \$149.4 \$214.5
1,00 1110 1110	\$337.0 \$149.4 \$214.3
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:	93.9 87.8 81.7
Amortization of intangible assets	
Depreciation	89.1 82.2 75.9
Share based compensation	64.4 47.6 46.8
Non-cash inventory adjustment from acquisitions	— 21.7 —
Non-cash restructuring and related charges	5.6 19.1 9.2
Write off for impairment of intangible assets	4.7 — —
Amortization of debt issuance costs	11.6 12.6 12.8
Write-off of debt issuance costs on retired debt	5.8 11.2 6.4
Non-cash debt accretion	2.3 3.0 3.1
Write-off of unamortized discount on retired debt	<u> </u>
Deferred tax (benefit) expense	(25.5) (4.6) 1.9
Net changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions:	
Receivables	48.5 93.4 32.5
Inventories	40.2 (54.5) 10.6
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(7.5) (3.1) (0.6)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(40.5) 48.7 (36.5)
Other	(35.2) (71.9) (28.4)
Net cash provided by operating activities	615.0 444.3 432.7
Cash flows from investing activities	
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(95.2) (89.1) (73.3)
Business acquisitions, net of cash acquired	— (1,191.) (27.6)
Proceeds from sales of property, plant and equipment	1.0 1.4 9.2
Other investing activities	(4.2) (0.9) (1.8)
Net cash used by investing activities	(98.4) (1,279.7) (93.5)
Cash flows from financing activities	
Proceeds from issuance of debt	485.0 3,281.4 524.2
Payment of debt	(819.5) (2,793.1) (770.9)
Payment of debt issuance costs	(9.3) (38.1) (5.4)
Payment of cash dividends	(87.2) (70.7) (61.9)
Treasury stock purchases	(42.8) (21.2) (4.5)
Payment of contingent consideration	(3.2) — —
Share based tax withholding payments, net of proceeds upon vesting	(10.8) (2.6) (25.0)
Net proceeds from issuance of common stock	— 562.7 —
Net cash (used) provided by financing activities	(487.8) 918.4 (343.5)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents due to Venezuela	(2.5)
devaluation	— (2.5) —
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(1.4) (27.2) (8.3)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	27.4 53.3 (12.6)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	247.9 194.6 207.2
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$275.3 \$247.9 \$194.6
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
T.I.	

Cash paid for interest	\$253.9	\$250.3	\$178.7
Cash paid for taxes	35.4	54.4	80.7
Non cash investing activities			
Acquisition of property, plant and equipment through capital leases	37.6	4.1	34.4
Non cash financing activities			
Issuance of shares through stock compensation plan	47.9	49.8	40.0
Assumption of AAG debt		540.0	
See accompany notes to the consolidated financial statements.			

SB/RH Holdings, LLC
Consolidated Statements of Financial Position
September 30, 2016 and 2015
(in millions)

(III IIIIIIIOIIS)	2016	2015
•	2016	2015
Assets		
Current assets:	4.27 0.0	\$2.47 .0
Cash and cash equivalents	\$270.8	\$247.9
Trade receivables, net	482.6	498.8
Other receivables	55.6	87.9
Inventories	740.6	780.8
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	78.8	72.1
Total current assets	1,628.4	1,687.5
Property, plant and equipment, net	542.1	507.1
Deferred charges and other	32.1	42.1
Goodwill	2,478.4	2,476.7
Intangible assets, net	2,372.5	2,480.3
Total assets	7,053.5	7,193.7
Liabilities and Shareholder's Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Current portion of long-term debt	164.0	68.5
Accounts payable	580.1	620.6
Accrued wages and salaries	122.9	96.5
Accrued interest	39.3	63.3
Other current liabilities	188.3	211.9
Total current liabilities	1,094.6	1,060.8
Long-term debt, net of current portion	3,456.2	3,872.1
Deferred income taxes	532.7	572.5
Other long-term liabilities	140.6	115.5
Total liabilities	5,224.1	5,620.9
Commitments and contingencies (Note 18)		
Shareholder's equity:		
Other capital	2,000.9	1,969.9
Accumulated earnings (deficit)	8.1	(246.7)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax	(229.4)	(200.1)
Total shareholder's equity	1,779.6	1,523.1
Noncontrolling interest	49.8	49.7
Total equity	1,829.4	
Total liabilities and equity	\$7,053.5	
See accompanying notes to the consolidated finance		
I 2 2		

SB/RH Holdings, LLC Consolidated Statements of Income Years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014 (in millions)

	2016	2015	2014						
Net sales	\$5,039.7	\$4,690.4	\$4,429.1						
Cost of goods sold	3,119.3	3,018.0	2,856.5						
Restructuring and related charges	0.5	2.1	3.7						
Gross profit	1,919.9	1,670.3	1,568.9						
Selling	776.6	720.7	678.2						
General and administrative	366.6	332.4	319.0						
Research and development	58.7	51.3	47.9						
Acquisition and integration related charges	36.7	58.8	20.1						
Restructuring and related charges	14.7	26.6	19.2						
Write-off from impairment of intangible assets	4.7								
Total operating expenses	1,258.0	1,189.8	1,084.4						
Operating income	661.9	480.5	484.5						
Interest expense	250.0	271.9	202.1						
Other non-operating expense, net	8.6	8.9	6.3						
Income from operations before income taxes	403.3	199.7	276.1						
Income tax expense	51.0	43.9	59.0						
Net income	352.3	155.8	217.1						
Net income attributable to non-controlling interest	0.4	0.4	0.3						
Net income attributable to controlling interest	\$351.9	\$155.4	\$216.8						
See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements									

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

SB/RH Holdings, LLC

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income Years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014 (in millions)

	2016	2015	2014
Net income	\$352.3	\$155.8	\$217.1
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax:			
Foreign currency translation loss, net tax of \$2.3, \$0.0, and \$0.0 respectively	(8.5)	(113.0)	(32.5)
Unrealized gain (loss) on hedging activity, net tax of \$2.9, \$3.0, and \$4.2, respectively	7.1	(13.2)	11.5
Defined benefit pension loss, net tax of \$(10.8), \$0.5 and \$(1.6), respectively	(28.2)	(11.0)	(3.6)
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax	(29.6)	(137.2)	(24.6)
Comprehensive income	322.7	18.6	192.5
Comprehensive (loss) income attributable to non-controlling interest	(0.3)	(0.2)	0.4
Comprehensive income attributable to controlling interest	\$323.0	\$18.8	\$192.1
See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements			

Table of Contents

SB/RH Holdings, LLC Consolidated Statements of Shareholder's Equity Years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014 (in millions)

(III IIIIIIIOIIS)											
		A1	4	Accumulate	ed	T-4-1		NT			
	041	Accumula	tec			Total		Non-	ı	_	
	Other	Earnings		Comprehen	IS1V	e narenola	er	scontroll	ınş	_	
	Capital	(Deficit)		(Loss)		Equity		Interest		Total Equity	
Balances at September 30, 2013	\$1,393.1	\$ (469.9)	\$ (38.5)	\$ 884.7		\$ 49.2		\$933.9	
Net income	_	216.8			-	216.8		0.3		217.1	
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax	_	_		(24.6)	(24.6)			(24.6)
Restricted stock issued and related tax withholdings	(25.0)	_		_		(25.0)			(25.0)
Share based compensation	45.7			_		45.7				45.7	
Dividends declared	_	(76.9)	_		(76.9)			(76.9)
Balances at September 30, 2014	1,413.8	(330.0)	(63.1)			49.5		1,070.2	
Net income	_	155.4	_	_		155.4		0.4		155.8	
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax	_	_		(137.0)	(137.0)	(0.2)	(137.2)
Contribution from parent	570.6	_		_	_	570.6	_	_		570.6	
Restricted stock issued and related tax	(29.4					(20.4	`			(20.4	`
withholdings	(38.4)	_				(38.4)			(38.4)
Share based compensation	23.9	_				23.9				23.9	
Dividends declared	_	(72.1)			(72.1)			(72.1)
Balances at September 30, 2015	1,969.9	(246.7)	(200.1)	1,523.1		49.7		1,572.8	
Net income	_	351.9				351.9		0.4		352.3	
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax	_	_		(29.3)	(29.3)	(0.3))	(29.6)
Contribution from parent	5.6	_				5.6		_		5.6	
Restricted stock issued and related tax	(9.1)	_				(9.1	`			(9.1)
withholdings	(9.1)					(9.1	,			(9.1	,
Share based compensation	34.5	_		_		34.5				34.5	
Dividends declared		(97.1)			(97.1)			(97.1)
Balances at September 30, 2016	\$2,000.9	\$ 8.1		\$ (229.4)	\$ 1,779.6		\$ 49.8		\$1,829.4	1
See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.											

Table of Contents

SB/RH Holdings, LLC

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows				
Years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014				
(in millions)				
	2016	2015	2014	
Cash flows from operating activities				
Net income	\$352.3	\$155.8	\$217.1	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:	,			
Amortization of intangible assets	93.9	87.8	81.7	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Depreciation	89.1	82.2	75.9	
Share based compensation	59.3	41.8	44.9	
Non-cash inventory adjustment from acquisitions		21.7		
Non-cash restructuring and related charges	5.6	19.1	9.2	
Write off for impairment of intangible assets	4.7			
Amortization of debt issuance costs	11.6	12.6	12.8	
Write-off of debt issuance costs on retired debt	5.8	11.2	6.4	
Non-cash debt accretion	2.3	3.0	3.1	
	2.3			
Write-off of unamortized discount on retired debt	<u> </u>	1.7	2.8	
Deferred tax (benefit) expense	(14.5)	(4.6)	1.9	
Net changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions				
Receivables	48.5	93.4	32.5	
Inventories	40.2	(54.5)	10.6	
Prepaid expenses and other	(7.5)	(3.1)	0.7	
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		48.7	(35.9))
Other	(49.2)		(29.0)	
Net cash provided by operating activities	601.6	441.8	434.7	
	001.0	11 1.0	7.77.7	
Cash flows from investing activities	(05.0	(00.1)	(72.2.)	
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(95.2)		(73.3)	
Business acquisitions, net of cash acquired	_		(27.6))
Proceeds from sales of property, plant and equipment	1.0	1.4	9.2	
Other investing activities	(4.2)	(0.9)	(1.8))
Net cash used by investing activities	(98.4)	(1,279.7)	(93.5)	j
Cash flows from financing activities				
Proceeds from issuance of debt	498.9	3,320.3	540.1	
Payment of debt		(2,813.2)		
Payment of debt issuance costs		(38.1)		
Payment of cash dividends to parent				
	(97.2)	(72.1)	(77.0)	1
Payment of contingent consideration	(3.2)	<u> </u>		
Share based tax withholding payments, net of proceeds upon vesting	_		(25.0))
Capital contribution from parent		528.3		
Net cash (used) provided by financing activities	(478.9)	922.6	(338.2))
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents due to Venezuela		(2.5.)		
devaluation		(2.5)		
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(1.4)	(27.2)	(8.3))
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	22.9	55.0	(5.3)	
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	247.9	192.9	198.2	
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$270.8	\$247.9	\$192.9	
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information				
Cash paid for interest	\$253.9	\$250.3	\$178.7	

Cash paid for taxes	35.4	54.4	80.7
Non cash investing activities			
Acquisition of property, plant and equipment through capital leases	37.6	49.8	40.0
Assumption of AAG debt	_	540.0	
See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.			

This report is a combined report of Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. ("SBH") and SB/RH Holdings, LLC ("SB/RH") (collectively, the "Company"). The notes to the consolidated financial statements that follow include both consolidated SBH and SB/RH Notes, unless otherwise indicated below.

NOTE 1 - DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation, is a diversified global branded consumer products company. SBH's common stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange (the "NYSE") under the symbol "SPB." SB/RH Holdings, LLC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of SBH. SB/RH along with its wholly-owned subsidiary Spectrum Brands, Inc. ("SBI") issued certain debt guaranteed by domestic subsidiaries of the Company. See Note 10 "Debt" of Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more information pertaining to debt. The Company manufactures, markets and/or distributes its products in approximately 160 countries in the North America ("NA"), Europe, Middle East & Africa ("EMEA"), Latin America ("LATAM") and Asia-Pacific ("APAC") regions through a variety of trade channels, including retailers, wholesalers and distributors, original equipment manufacturers ("OEMs"), construction companies and hearing aid professionals. We enjoy strong name recognition in our regions under our various brands and patented technologies. Our diversified global branded consumer products have positions in seven major product categories: consumer batteries, small appliances, personal care, hardware and home improvement, pet supplies, home and garden and auto care. We manage the businesses in five vertically integrated, product-focused segments: (i) Global Batteries & Appliances ("GBA"), (ii) Global Pet Supplies ("PET"), (iii) Home and Garden ("H&G"), (iv) Hardware & Home Improvement ("HHI") and (v) Global Auto Care ("GAC"). Global and geographic strategic initiatives and financial objectives are determined at the corporate level. Each segment is responsible for implementing defined strategic initiatives and achieving certain financial objectives and has a general manager responsible for sales and marketing initiatives and the financial results for all product lines within that segment. See Note 19, "Segment Information" of Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more information pertaining to segments. The following table summarizes the respective product types, brands, and regions for each of the reporting segments:

	Products	Brands	Regions
GBA	Consumer batteries: Alkaline, zinc carbon, and NiMH rechargeable batteries; hearing aid and other specialty battery products; battery powered portable lighting products. Small appliances: Small kitchen and home appliances. Personal care: Electric shaving and grooming products, hair care appliances and accessories.	Consumer batteries: Rayovac® , VARTA®. Small appliances: Black & Decker®, George Foreman®, Russell Hobbs®, Juiceman®, Breadman®, Farberware® and Toastmaster®. Personal care: Remington®.	NA EMEA LATAM APAC
нні	Hardware: Hinges, security hardware, screen and storm door products, garage door hardware, window hardware and floor protection. Security: Residential locksets and door hardware including knobs, levers, deadbolts, handlesets and electronics. Commercial doors, locks, and hardware. Plumbing: Kitchen, bath and shower faucets and plumbing products.	Hardware: National Hardware®, Stanley® and FANAL®. Security: Kwikset®, Weiser®, Baldwin®, EZSET® and Tell®. Plumbing: Pfister®.	NA EMEA LATAM APAC
PET	Companion Animal: Dog, cat and small animal food and treats; clean-up and training aid products and accessories; pet health and grooming products.	Companion Animal: 8-in-1®, Dingo®, Nature's Miracle®, Wild Harvest®, Littermaid®, Jungle®, Excel®, FURminator®, IAMS®, Eukanuba®, Healthy-Hide®, ProSense®, Perfect Coat®,	NA EMEA LATAM APAC

supplies.

Aquatics: Aquariums and aquatic health eCOTRITION®, Birdola® and Digest-eeze®.

Aquatics: Tetra®, Marineland®, Whisper® and Instant

Ocean®.

Controls: Outdoor insect and weed control solutions, animal repellents. Household: Household insecticides and

Controls: Spectracide®, Garden Safe®, Liquid Fence®,

and EcoLogic®.

NA LATAM

pest controls.

H&G

GAC

Household: Hot Shot®, Black Flag®, Real Kill®, Ultra Kill®, The Ant Trap® (TAT), and Rid-a-Bug®.

Repellents: Cutter® and Repel®.

Repellents: Personal use pesticides and insect repellent products.

Appearance: Protectants, wipes, tire and

wheel care products, glass cleaners, leather care products, air fresheners and

washes.

Performance: Automotive fuel and oil additives, and functional fluids.

A/C Recharge: Do-it-yourself air conditioner recharge products,

refrigerant and oil recharge kits, sealants

and accessories.

NA Appearance: Armor All®. **EMEA** Performance: STP®. LATAM A/C Recharge: A/C PRO®. **APAC**

NOTE 2 - SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND PRACTICES

Principles of Consolidation and Fiscal Year End

The consolidated financial statements include the financial statements of the Company and its majority owned subsidiaries and have been prepared in accordance with Accounting Principles Generally Accepted in the United States ("GAAP"). All intercompany transactions have been eliminated.

The Company's fiscal year ends on September 30. Throughout the year, the Company reports its results using fiscal quarters whereby each three month quarterly reporting period is approximately thirteen weeks in length and ends on a Sunday. The exceptions are the first quarter, which begins on October 1, and the fourth quarter, which ends on September 30. For the year ended September 30, 2016, the fiscal quarters were comprised of the three months ended January 3, 2016, April 3, 2016, July 3, 2016 and September 30, 2016.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid temporary instruments purchased with original maturities of three months or less from date of purchase to be cash equivalents.

Receivables

Trade accounts receivable are carried at net realizable value. The Company extends credit to its customers based upon an evaluation of the customer's financial condition and credit history, but generally does not require collateral. The Company monitors its customers' credit and financial condition based on changing economic conditions and will make adjustments to credit policies as required. Provisions for losses on uncollectible trade receivables are determined based on ongoing evaluations of the Company's receivables, principally on the basis of historical collection experience and evaluations of the risks of nonpayment or return for a given customer. See Note 6, "Receivables" for further detail. Inventories

The Company's inventories are valued at the lower of cost or net realizable value. Cost of inventories is determined using the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method. See Note 7, "Inventory" for further detail.

Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment are recorded at cost. Depreciation is calculated on the straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Plant and equipment held under capital leases are amortized on a straight-line basis over the shorter of the lease term or estimated useful life of the asset; such amortization is included in depreciation expense. The Company uses accelerated depreciation methods for income tax purposes. Useful lives for property, plant and equipment are as follows:

Asset Type Range
Buildings and improvements 20 - 40years

Machinery and equipment 2 - 15years

Expenditures which substantially increase value or extend useful lives are capitalized. Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to operations as incurred. The Company records gains and losses on the disposition or retirement of property, plant and equipment based on the net book value and any proceeds received.

Long-lived fixed assets held and used are reviewed for impairment when events or changes in business circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be fully recoverable. Circumstances such as the discontinuation of a product or product line, a sudden or consistent decline in the sales forecast for a product, changes in technology or in the way an asset is being used, a history of operating or cash flow losses or an adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate, among others, may trigger an impairment review. If such indicators are present, the Company performs undiscounted cash flow analyses to determine if impairment exists. The asset value would be deemed impaired if the undiscounted cash flows generated did not exceed the carrying value of the asset. If impairment is determined to exist, any related impairment loss is calculated based on fair value. There were no triggering events identified during the year that necessitated an impairment test over property, plant and equipment. Assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell. See Note 8, "Property, plant and equipment" for further detail.

Goodwill

Goodwill reflects the excess of acquisition cost over the aggregate fair value assigned to identifiable net assets acquired. Goodwill is not amortized, but instead is assessed for impairment at least annually and as triggering events or indicators of potential impairment are identified. Goodwill has been assigned to reporting units for purposes of impairment testing based upon the relative fair value of the asset to each reporting unit; our reporting units are consistent with our segments. See Note 19, "Segment Information" for further discussion. The Company performs its annual impairment test in the fourth quarter of its fiscal year.

Impairment of goodwill is evaluated using a two-step approach. In the first step, the fair value of each reporting unit is compared to its carrying value, including goodwill. In estimating the fair value of our reporting units, we use a discounted cash flow methodology, which requires us to estimate future revenues, expenses, and capital expenditures and make assumptions about our weighted average cost of capital and perpetuity growth rate, among other variables. We test the aggregate estimated fair value of our reporting units by comparison to our total market capitalization, including both equity and debt capital. If the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value, step two is performed. For step two, the implied fair value of goodwill is calculated by deducting the fair value of all tangible and intangible net assets, including unrecognized intangible assets, of the reporting unit from the fair value of the reporting unit. If the implied fair value

of goodwill is less than its carrying value, an impairment loss would be recognized equal to that excess. The fair values of the GBA, HHI, PET, H&G and GAC reporting units exceeded their carrying values by 157%, 110%, 58%, 326%, and 12%, respectively. As a result, a step two analysis was not required and there were no reporting units that were deemed at risk of impairment.

See Note 9 "Goodwill and Intangible Assets" for further detail.

Intangible Assets

Intangible assets are recorded at cost or at estimated fair value if acquired in a business combination. Customer lists, proprietary technology and certain trade name intangibles are amortized, using the straight-line method, over their estimated useful lives. The range and weighted average useful lives for definite-lived intangibles assets are as follows:

A seat Type	Range	Weighted
Asset Type	Kange	Average
Customer relationships	2 - 20 years	18.5 years
Technology assets	5 - 18 years	11.2 years
Tradenames	5 - 13 years	11.4 years

Definite-lived intangible assets held and used are reviewed for impairment when events or changes in business circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. If indicators of potential impairment are identified, the Company performs undiscounted cash flow analysis to determine if impairment exists. The asset value would be deemed impaired if the undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the asset did not exceed its carrying value. If impairment is determined to exist, any related impairment loss is calculated based on fair value. There were no triggering events identified during the years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014 that necessitated an impairment test of definite-lived intangible assets.

Certain trade name intangible assets have an indefinite life and are not amortized; but instead are assessed for impairment at least annually and as triggering events or indicators of potential impairment are identified. The Company performs its annual impairment test in the fourth quarter of its fiscal year. Impairment of indefinite lived intangible assets is assessed by comparing the estimated fair value of the identified trade names to their carrying value to determine if potential impairment exists. If the fair value is less than the carrying value, an impairment loss is recorded for the excess. The fair value of indefinite-lived intangible assets is determined using an income approach, the relief-from-royalty methodology, which requires us to make estimates and assumptions about future revenues, royalty rates, and the discount rate, among others. During the year ended September 30, 2016, the Company recognized \$4.7 million impairment on indefinite life intangible asset due to the reduction in value of certain tradenames in response to changes in management's strategy. There was no impairment loss on indefinite-lived intangible assets for the years ended September 30, 2015 or 2014.

See Note 9, "Goodwill and Intangible Assets" for further detail.

Debt Issuance Costs

Debt issuance costs are deferred and amortized to interest expense using the effective interest method over the lives of the related debt agreements. Debt issuance costs were \$56.9 million and \$65.1 million as of September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, and are included in Long Term Debt, Net of Current Portion in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position. Amortization of debt issuance costs is recognized as Interest Expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Financial Instruments

Derivative financial instruments are used by the Company principally in the management of its interest rate, foreign currency exchange rate and raw material price exposures. The Company does not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes. Derivative assets and liabilities are reported at fair value in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position. When hedge accounting is elected at inception, the Company formally designates the financial instrument as a hedge of a specific underlying exposure and documents both the risk management objectives and strategies for undertaking the hedge. Depending on the nature of derivatives designated as hedging instruments, changes in fair value are either offset against the change in fair value of the hedged assets or liability through earnings, or recognized in equity through other comprehensive income until the hedged item is recognized. Any ineffective portion of a financial instrument's change in fair value is recognized in earnings. For derivatives that do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment, the change in the fair value is recognized in earnings.

See Note 12, "Derivatives" for further detail.

Treasury Stock

Treasury stock purchases are stated at cost and presented as a separate reduction of equity.

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue from product sales generally upon delivery to the customer, or at the shipping point in situations where the customer picks up the product or where delivery terms so stipulate. This represents the point at which title and risks and rewards of ownership of the product are passed, provided that there are no uncertainties regarding customer acceptance, there is persuasive evidence that an arrangement exists, the price to the buyer is fixed or determinable and ability to collect is deemed reasonably assured. The provision for customer returns is based on historical sales and returns and other relevant information. The Company estimates and accrues the cost

of returns, which are treated as a reduction of Net Sales.

The Company enters into promotional arrangements, primarily with retail customers, that entitle such retailers to earn rebates from the Company. These arrangements require the Company to estimate and accrue the costs of these programs, which are treated as a reduction of Net Sales.

The Company enters into promotional arrangements that target the ultimate consumer. The costs associated with such arrangements are treated as either a reduction in Net Sales or an increase in Cost of Goods Sold, based on the type of promotional program. The Company monitors its commitments under all promotion arrangements and uses various measures, including past experience, to estimate the earned, but unpaid, promotional costs. The terms of the Company's customer-related promotional arrangements and programs are tailored to each customer and documented through written contracts, correspondence or other communications with the individual customers.

The Company also enters into various arrangements, primarily with retail customers, which require the Company to make upfront cash payments in order to secure the right to distribute through such customers. The Company capitalizes these payments provided the payments are supported by a time or volume based arrangement with the retailer, and amortizes the associated payment over the appropriate time or volume-based term of the arrangement. Capitalized payments are reported in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position as Deferred Charges and Other Assets and related amortization is treated as a reduction in Net Sales.

Shipping and Handling Costs

Shipping and handling costs include costs incurred with third-party carriers to transport products to customers and salaries and overhead costs related to activities to prepare the Company's products for shipment at the Company's distribution facilities. Shipping and handling costs was \$294.7 million, \$272.9 million and \$260.3 million during the years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Shipping and handling costs are included in Selling Expenses in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Advertising Costs

Advertising costs include agency fees and other costs to create advertisements, as well as costs paid to third parties to print or broadcast the Company's advertisements and are expensed as incurred. The Company incurred advertising costs of \$39.8 million, \$35.0 million and \$21.4 million during the years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Advertising costs are included in Selling Expenses in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Income. Research and Development Costs

Research and development costs are charged to expense in the period they are incurred.

Environmental Expenditures

Environmental expenditures that relate to current operations or to conditions caused by past operations are expensed or capitalized as appropriate. The Company determines its liability for environmental matters on a site-by-site basis and records a liability at the time when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and such liability can be reasonably estimated. The estimated liability is not reduced for possible recoveries from insurance carriers. Estimated environmental remediation expenditures are included in the determination of the net realizable value recorded for assets held for sale.

Restructuring and Related Charges

Restructuring charges include, but are not limited to, the costs of one-time termination benefits such as severance costs and retention bonuses, and contract termination costs consisting primarily of lease termination costs. Related charges, as defined by the Company, include, but are not limited to, other costs directly associated with exit and relocation activities, including impairment of property and other assets, departmental costs of full-time incremental employees, and any other items related to the exit or relocation activities. Costs for such activities are estimated by management after evaluating detailed analyses of the costs to be incurred.

Liabilities from restructuring and related charges are recorded for estimated costs of facility closures, significant organizational adjustments and measures undertaken by management to exit certain activities. Costs for such activities are estimated by management after evaluating detailed analyses of the costs to be incurred. Such liabilities could include amounts for items such as severance costs and related benefits, impairment of property and equipment and other current or long term assets, lease termination payments and any other items directly related to the exit activities. Restructuring and related charges associated with manufacturing and related initiatives are recorded in Cost of Goods Sold. Restructuring and related charges reflected in Cost of Goods Sold include, but are not limited to, termination and

related costs associated with manufacturing employees, asset impairments relating to manufacturing initiatives and other costs directly related to the manufacturing component of a restructuring initiative. Restructuring and related charges associated with administrative functions are recorded in operating expenses, such as initiatives impacting sales, marketing, distribution or other non-manufacturing related functions. Restructuring and related charges reflected in operating expenses include, but are not limited to, termination and related costs, any asset impairments relating to the administrative functions and other costs directly related to the administrative components of the restructuring initiatives implemented. See Note 4, "Restructuring and Related Charges" for further detail.

Acquisition and Integration Related Charges

Acquisition and integration related charges include, but are not limited to, transaction costs such as banking, legal, accounting and other professional fees directly related to both consummated acquisitions and acquisition targets, termination and related costs for transitional and certain other employees, integration related professional fees and other post business combination expenses associated with integration activity. See Note 3, "Acquisitions" for further detail.

Income Taxes

Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. The Company recognizes the effect of income tax positions only if those positions are more likely than not of being sustained. Recognized income tax positions are measured at the largest amount that is greater than 50% likely of being realized. Changes in recognition or measurement are reflected in income tax expense in the period in which the change in judgment occurs. Accrued interest expense and penalties related to uncertain tax positions are recorded in Income tax expense.

See Note 14, "Income Taxes" for further detail.

Foreign Currency Translation

Local currencies are considered the functional currencies for most of the Company's operations outside the United States. Assets and liabilities of the Company's foreign subsidiaries are translated at the rate of exchange existing at year-end, with revenues, expenses and cash flows translated at the average of the monthly exchange rates. Adjustments resulting from translation of the financial statements are recorded as a component of equity in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income ("AOCI"), including the effects of exchange rate changes on intercompany balances of a long-term investment nature. See Note 17, "Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income" for further detail. Foreign currency transaction gains and losses for transactions denominated in a currency other than the functional currency are reported in Other Non-Operating Expense, Net in the Consolidated Statements of Income in the period they occur. Exchange losses on foreign currency transactions were \$10.2 million, \$9.6 million, and \$6.8 million for the years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Newly Adopted Accounting Standards

In March 2016, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2016-09, Compensation-Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting. The ASU provides for changes to the accounting for share-based payment awards issued to employees; primarily income taxes upon award vest or settlement, cash flow presentations of excess tax benefits and employee withheld taxes paid, as well as an entity forfeiture policy election. The ASU is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim period within those annual periods. Early adoption is permitted for any interim or annual period. The Company has elected to early adopt, effective as if adopted the first day of the fiscal year, October 1, 2015.

Under the new guidance, all excess tax benefits ("windfalls") and deficiencies ("shortfalls") related to employee stock compensation will be recognized within income tax expense. Under prior guidance, windfalls were recognized to additional paid-in capital and shortfalls were only recognized in the extent they exceed the pool of windfall tax benefits. As of September 30, 2015, there was \$22.2 million of unrecognized deferred tax assets attributable to excess tax benefits that were not previously recognized as they did not reduce income taxes payable. The cumulative adjustment for the adoption did not have an impact on net equity as the incremental deferred tax assets are fully reserved by an incremental valuation allowance as of September 30, 2015. The adoption of the new standard impacted our previously reported quarterly results for the recognition of excess tax benefits in our provision for income taxes rather than paid in capital.

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-03, Interest - Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs. This ASU requires debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability to

be presented on a balance sheet as a direct deduction from the debt liability, similar to the presentation of debt discounts. Current guidance generally requires entities to capitalize costs paid to third parties that are directly related to issuing debt and that otherwise wouldn't be incurred, and present those amounts separately as deferred charges. During the year ended September 30, 2016, the Company retrospectively applied the adoption of this ASU, resulting in a reclassification of \$65.1 million of debt issuance costs as of September 30, 2015.

In November 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-17, Income Taxes (Topic 740) - Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Taxes. The ASU simplifies the presentation of deferred tax assets and liabilities to be classified as noncurrent on a balance sheet. Current guidance requires an entity to separate deferred income tax assets and liabilities into current and noncurrent amounts. The new guidance requires all deferred tax assets and liabilities to be presented as noncurrent as the separate current classification results in little to no benefit to users of the financial statements because the classification does not generally align with the time period in which the recognized deferred tax amounts are expected to be recovered or settled. During the year ended September 30, 2016, the Company retrospectively applied

the adoption of this ASU, resulting in a reclassification of \$44.7 million of current deferred tax assets and \$4.6 million of current deferred tax liabilities as of September 30, 2015.

The following is a summary of the reclassifications from the retrospective adoption of ASU 2015-03 and ASU 2015-17 discussed above, as of September 30, 2015 for SBH and SB/RH, respectively:

	SBH		SB/RH	
Statement of Financial Position (in	As Reclassification Reported	As	As Paglassification	As
millions)	Reported	Reclassified	Reported	Reclassified
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	\$116.8 \$ (44.7)	\$ 72.1	\$116.8 \$ (44.7)	\$ 72.1
Deferred charges and other	101.7 (59.5)	42.2	101.6 (59.5)	42.1
Other current liabilities	(217.3) 4.6	(212.7)	(216.5) 4.6	(211.9)
Long-term debt, net of current portion	(3,937.265.1	(3,872.1)	(3,937.2)5.1	(3,872.1)
Deferred taxes (noncurrent liability)	(607.0) 34.5	(572.5)	(607.0) 34.5	(572.5)

In September 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-16, Business Combinations (Topic 805): Simplifying the Accounting for Measurement-Period Adjustments. The ASU simplifies the presentation of provisional amounts recognized in a business combination during the measurement period (one year from the date of acquisition). Current guidance requires retrospective adjustment of prior periods; the new guidance eliminates this requirement. The Company applied the adoption of this ASU effective the first day of the year ending September 30, 2016 and all subsequent measurement period adjustments are recorded in the period identified, resulting in the recognition of adjustments to goodwill from the Armored AutoGroup ("AAG") acquisition. See Note 9 "Goodwill and Intangible Assets", for adjustments to goodwill.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606), which supersedes the revenue recognition requirements in ASC 605, Revenue Recognition. This ASU requires revenue recognition to depict the transfer of goods and services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The new revenue recognition model requires identifying the contract and performance obligations, determining the transaction price, allocating the transaction price to performance obligations and recognizing the revenue upon satisfaction of performance obligations. This ASU also requires additional disclosure about the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from customer contracts, including significant judgments and changes in judgments, and assets recognized from costs incurred to obtain or fulfill a contract. This ASU can be applied either retrospectively to each prior reporting period presented or retrospectively with the cumulative effect of initially applying the updates recognized at the date of the initial application along with additional disclosures. In August 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-14, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606) Deferral of the Effective Date, which amends the previously issued ASU to provide for a one year deferral from the original effective date. As a result, the ASU will become effective for us beginning in the first quarter of our fiscal year ending September 30, 2019, with early application only being available to us beginning in the first quarter of our fiscal year ending September 30, 2018. We are assessing the impact this pronouncement will have on the consolidated financial statements of the Company and have not determined the materiality or method of adoption.

In February 2016, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2016-02, Leases (Topic 842), which supersedes the lease requirements in ASC 840, Leases. This ASU requires lessees to recognize lease assets and liabilities on the balance sheet, as well as disclosing key information about leasing arrangements. Although the new ASU requires both operating and finance leases to be disclosed on the balance sheet, a distinction between the two types still exists as the economics of leases can vary. The ASU can be applied using a modified retrospective approach, with a number of optional practical expedients relating to the identification and classification of leases that commenced before the effective date, along with the ability to use hindsight in the evaluation of lease decisions, that entities may elect to apply. As a result, the ASU will become effective for us beginning in the first quarter of our fiscal year ending September 30, 2020, with early adoption applicable. We are assessing the impact this pronouncement will have on the consolidated financial statements of the Company and have not determined the materiality or method of adoption.

NOTE 3 - ACQUISITIONS

The Company accounts for acquisitions by applying the acquisition method of accounting. The acquisition method of accounting requires, among other things, that the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a business combination be measured at their fair values as of the closing date of the acquisition.

Armored AutoGroup

On May 21, 2015, the Company completed the acquisition of AAG, a consumer products company consisting primarily of Armor All® branded automotive aftermarket appearance products, STP® branded performance chemicals and the A/C PRO® branded do-it-yourself automotive air conditioner recharge products. The results of AAG's operations since May 21, 2015 are included in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Income, and reported as a separate reporting segment under GAC for the years ended September 30, 2016 and 2015.

The Company has recorded an allocation of the purchase price to the Company's tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their fair values as of the May 21, 2015 acquisition date.

Measurement period adjustments were recorded subsequent to the acquisition date in the period identified. The excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net tangible assets and identifiable intangible assets was recorded as goodwill, which includes value associated with the assembled workforce, including an experienced research team. The calculation of purchase price and purchase price allocation, including measurement period adjustments, is as follows:

(in millions)	Purchase
(in millions)	Price
Cash consideration	\$ 929.3

(in millions)	Purchase Price Allocation
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 30.9
Receivables	156.5
Inventories	82.5
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	8.2
Property, plant and equipment, net	37.6
Goodwill	975.4
Intangible assets	418.0
Deferred charges and other	16.5
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(119.2)
Long-term debt	(540.0)
Other long term liabilities	(137.1)
Net assets acquired	\$ 929.3

The purchase price allocation resulted in goodwill of \$975.4 million of which \$4.9 million is deductible for tax purposes. Due to expected synergies in sales of legacy Spectrum branded products through new distribution channels, the Company has allocated \$38.9 million of the acquired goodwill to its GBA segment. The remaining \$936.5 million of goodwill is allocated to the GAC segment. The values allocated to intangible assets and the weighted average useful lives are as follows:

(in millions)	Carrying	Weighted Average Useful Life (Years)
(III IIIIIIIOIIS)	Amount	Weighted Average Osciul Effe (Tears)
Tradenames	\$ 295.0	Indefinite
Technology	41.0	10
Licensing agreements	19.0	10
Customer relationships	63.0	15
Total intangibles acquired	\$418.0	

The Company performed a valuation of the acquired inventories; property, plant and equipment; tradenames; technologies; licensing agreements; and customer relationships. The following is a summary of significant inputs to the valuation:

Inventories - The replacement cost approach was applied to estimate the fair value of the raw materials and unbranded finished goods inventory. Branded finished goods were valued based on the comparative sales method, which estimates the expected sales price of the finished goods inventory, reduced for all costs expected to be incurred in its completion or disposition and a profit on those costs.

Property, plant and equipment - The market approach was used to estimate the fair value of land. The direct cost approach was used to estimate the fair value of property, plant and equipment.

Tradenames - The Company valued indefinite-lived trade names using an income approach, the relief-from-royalty method. Under this method, the asset value was determined by estimating the hypothetical royalties that would have to be paid if the trade names were not owned. Royalty rates were selected based on consideration of several factors, including prior transactions, related trademarks and trade names, other similar trademark licensing and transaction

agreements and the relative profitability and perceived contribution of the trade names.

Technology - The Company valued technology using an income approach, the relief-from-royalty method. Under this method, the asset value was determined by estimating the hypothetical royalties that would have to be paid if the technology was not owned. Royalty rates were selected based on consideration of several factors, including prior transactions, related licensing agreements and the importance of the technology and profit levels, among other considerations. The Company anticipates using these technologies through the legal life of the underlying patents; therefore, the expected useful life of these technologies is based on the remaining life of the underlying patents.

Licensing Agreements - The Company valued licensing agreements using the income approach. Under this method, the asset value was determined by estimating the revenue stream over the implied life of the agreements.

Customer relationships - The Company valued customer relationships using an income approach, the multi-period excess earnings method. In determining the fair value of the customer relationships, the multi-period excess earnings approach values the intangible asset at the present value of the incremental after-tax cash flows attributable only to the customer relationship after deducting contributory asset charges. The incremental after-tax cash flows attributable to the subject intangible asset are then discounted to their present value. Only expected sales from current customers were used, which are estimated using annual expected growth rates of 2.0% to 12.1%. The Company assumed a customer retention rate of approximately 95.0%, which is supported by historical retention rates. Income taxes were estimated at 38% and amounts were discounted using a rate of 9.5%.

The following unaudited pro forma combined financial information presents the Company's pro forma results for the years ended September 30, 2015 and 2014 had the results of AAG been combined as of October 1, 2013:

2015 2014
(in millions) (Unaudited) (Unaudited)
Pro forma net sales \$4,966.2 \$4,872.4
Pro forma net income 217.3 235.5

The 2015 unaudited pro forma combined financial results exclude (1) a non-recurring interest expense of \$35.7 million related to the extinguishment of AAG debt recognized in connection with the acquisition, (2) \$47.3 million of acquisition and integration related charges incurred as a result of the acquisition (3) \$18.8 million of non-recurring expense related to the fair value adjustment to acquisition date inventory and (4) \$10.4 million of accelerated share based compensation costs incurred as a result of the acquisition.

Salix

On January 16, 2015, the Company completed the acquisition of Salix, a vertically integrated producer and distributor of natural rawhide dog chews, treats and snacks. The results of Salix's operations are included in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Income, and as part of the PET segment.

The Company has recorded an allocation of the purchase price to the Company's tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their fair values as of the January 16, 2015 acquisition date. The excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net tangible assets and identifiable intangible assets was recorded as goodwill, which includes value associated with the assembled workforce, including an experienced research team. The calculation of the purchase price and purchase price allocation is as follows:

(in millions)	Purchase
(in millions)	Price
Cash consideration	\$ 146.8
Contingent consideration	1.5
Total purchase price	\$ 148.3

	Dunalagas
	Purchase
(in millions)	Price
	Allocation
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 0.5
Receivables	10.7
Inventories	17.0
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	2.5
Property, plant and equipment, net	1.2
Goodwill	71.5
Intangible assets	55.5
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(8.5)
Other long term liabilities	(2.1)
Net assets acquired	\$ 148.3

The purchase price allocation resulted in goodwill of \$71.5 million of which \$24.7 million is deductible for tax purposes. Goodwill was allocated to the PET segment. The values allocated to intangible assets and the weighted average useful lives are as follows:

(in millions)	Carrying Amount	Weighted Average Useful Life (Years)
Tradenames		Indefinite
Definite-lived tradenames	1.0	13
Technology	2.1	17
Customer relationships	35.4	13
Total intangibles acquired	\$ 55.5	

The Company performed a valuation of the acquired inventories, property, plant and equipment, tradenames, customer relationships and non-compete agreement. A summary of the significant inputs to the valuation is as follows:

Inventories - The replacement cost approach was applied to estimate the fair value of the raw materials and unbranded finished goods inventory. Branded finished goods were valued based on the comparative sales method, which estimates the expected sales price of the finished goods inventory, reduced for all costs expected to be incurred in its

completion or disposition and a profit on those costs.

Property, plant and equipment - The cost approach was utilized to estimate the fair value of approximately 98% of the property, plant and equipment. The sales comparison approach was used to estimate the fair value of the remaining

2% of the property, plant and equipment.

Tradenames - The Company valued indefinite-lived trade names using an income approach, the relief-from-royalty method. Under this method, the asset value was determined by estimating the hypothetical royalties that would have to be paid if the trade names were not owned. Royalty rates were selected based on consideration of several factors, including prior transactions, related trademarks and trade names, other similar trademark licensing and transaction agreements and the relative profitability and perceived contribution of the trade names.

Technology - The Company valued technology using an income approach, the relief-from-royalty method. Under this method, the asset value was determined by estimating the hypothetical royalties that would have to be paid if the technology was not owned. Royalty rates were selected based on consideration of several factors, including prior transactions, related licensing agreements and the importance of the technology and profit levels, among other considerations. The Company anticipates using these technologies through the legal life of the underlying patents; therefore, the expected useful life of these technologies is based on the remaining life of the underlying patents. Customer relationships - The Company valued customer relationships using an income approach, the multi-period excess earnings method. In determining the fair value of the customer relationships, the multi-period excess earnings approach values the intangible asset at the present value of the incremental after-tax cash flows attributable only to the customer relationship after deducting contributory asset charges. The incremental after-tax cash flows attributable to the subject intangible asset are then discounted to their present value. Only expected sales from current customers were used, which are estimated using annual expected growth rates of 0% to 12.1%. The Company assumed a customer retention rate of approximately 92.5%, which is supported by historical retention rates. Income taxes were estimated at 38% and amounts were discounted using a rate of 12% to 13%.

Non-compete agreement - The Company valued the non-compete agreement using the income approach that compares the prospective cash flows with and without the non-compete agreement in place. The value of the non-compete agreement is the difference between the discounted cash flows of the business under each of these two alternative scenarios, considering both tax expenditure and tax amortization benefits.

The Salix acquisition was not considered individually significant to the consolidated results of the Company and therefore pro forma results are not presented.

European IAMS and Eukanuba

On December 31, 2014, the Company completed the acquisition of Procter & Gamble's European IAMS and Eukanuba pet food business, including its brands for dogs and cats. The results of European IAMS and Eukanuba's operations are included in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Income, and as part of the PET segment.

The Company has recorded an allocation of the purchase price to the Company's tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their fair values as of the December 31, 2014 acquisition date. The excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net tangible assets and identifiable intangible assets was recorded as goodwill, which includes value associated with the assembled workforce, including an experienced research team. The calculation of the purchase price and purchase price allocation is as follows:

(in millions)	Purchase
(in millions)	Price
Cash consideration	\$ 115.7

(in millions)	Purchase Price Allocation
Inventories	\$ 16.3
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	2.9
Property, plant and equipment, net	58.3
Goodwill	4.0
Intangible assets	39.6
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(2.7)
Other long term liabilities	(2.7)
Net assets acquired	\$ 115.7

The purchase price allocation resulted in goodwill of \$4.0 million which is not deductible for tax purposes. Goodwill was allocated to the PET segment. The values allocated to intangible assets and the weighted average useful lives are as follows:

(in millions)	Carrying Amount	Weighted Average Useful Life (Years)
Tradenames	\$ 25.5	Indefinite
Technology	3.6	8
Customer relationships	10.5	15
Total intangibles acquired	\$ 39.6	

The Company performed a valuation of the acquired inventories, property, plant and equipment, tradenames, technology and customer relationships. The following is a summary of significant inputs to the valuation:

Inventories - The replacement cost approach was applied to estimate the fair value of the raw materials inventory.

Work-in-process and finished goods inventory were valued at estimated selling price less the sum of costs of disposal and a reasonable profit on the value added in the completion and disposal effort.

Property, plant and equipment - The market approach was used to estimate the fair value of land. The direct cost approach was used to estimate the fair value of property, plant and equipment.

Tradenames - The Company valued indefinite-lived trade names using an income approach, the relief-from-royalty method. Under this method, the asset value was determined by estimating the hypothetical royalties that would have to be paid if the trade names were not owned. Royalty rates were selected based on consideration of several factors, including prior transactions, related trademarks and trade names, other similar trademark licensing and transaction agreements and the relative profitability and perceived contribution of the trade names.

Technology - The Company valued technology using an income approach, the relief-from-royalty method. Under this method, the asset value was determined by estimating the hypothetical royalties that would have to be paid if the technology was not owned. Royalty rates were selected based on consideration of several factors, including prior transactions, related licensing agreements and the importance of the technology and profit levels, among other considerations. The Company anticipates using these technologies through the legal life of the underlying patents; therefore, the expected useful life of these technologies is based on the remaining life of the underlying patents. Customer relationships - The Company valued customer relationships using an income approach, the multi-period excess earnings method. In determining the fair value of the customer relationships, the multi-period excess earnings

approach values the intangible asset at the present value of the incremental after-tax cash flows attributable only to the customer relationship after deducting contributory asset charges. The incremental after-tax cash flows attributable to the subject intangible asset are then discounted to their present value. Only expected sales from current customers were used, which are estimated using annual expected growth rates of 0% to 5.6%. The Company assumed a customer retention rate of approximately 90% to 100%, which was supported by historical retention rates. Income taxes were estimated at 25% and amounts were discounted using a rate of 12.5%.

The European IAMS and Eukanuba acquisition was not considered individually significant to the consolidated results of the Company and therefore pro forma results are not presented.

Tell Manufacturing

On October 1, 2014, the Company completed the acquisition of Tell, a manufacturer and distributor of commercial doors, locks, and hardware. The results of Tell's operations are included in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Income, and as part of the HHI segment.

The Company has recorded an allocation of the purchase price to the Company's tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their fair values as of the October 1, 2014 acquisition date. The excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net tangible assets and identifiable intangible assets was recorded as goodwill, which includes value associated with the assembled workforce, including an experienced research team. The calculation of the purchase price and purchase price allocation is as follows:

(in millions)	Purchase
(in millions)	Price
Cash consideration	\$ 30.3

	Purchase
(in millions)	Price
	Allocation
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1.1
Receivables	6.0
Inventories	7.2
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	0.6
Property, plant and equipment, net	1.5
Goodwill	7.1
Intangible assets	12.5
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(5.7)
Net assets acquired	\$ 30.3

The purchase price allocation resulted in goodwill of \$7.1 million which is deductible for tax purposes. Goodwill was allocated to the HHI segment. The values allocated to intangible assets and the weighted average useful lives are as follows:

Carrying Weighted Average Useful Life (Years) (in millions) Amount

\$ 4.0 Tradenames Indefinite

Customer relationships 8.5 13 Total intangibles acquired \$ 12.5

The Company performed a valuation of the acquired inventories, property, plant and equipment, tradenames and customer relationships. The following is a summary of significant inputs to the valuation:

Inventories - The replacement cost approach was applied to estimate the fair value of the raw materials inventory. Finished goods were valued at estimated selling price less the sum of costs of disposal and a reasonable profit on the value added in the completion and disposal effort.

Property, plant and equipment - The cost approach was used to estimate the fair value of approximately 97% of the property, plant and equipment. The sales comparison approach was utilized to estimate the fair value of the remaining 3% of the property, plant and equipment.

Tradenames - The Company valued indefinite-lived trade names using an income approach, the relief-from-royalty method. Under this method, the asset value was determined by estimating the hypothetical royalties that would have to be paid if the trade name was not owned. Royalty rates were selected based on consideration of several factors, including prior transactions of Tell, related trademarks and trade names, other similar trademark licensing and transaction agreements and the relative profitability and perceived contribution of the trade names.

Customer relationships - The Company valued customer relationships using an income approach, the multi-period excess earnings method. In determining the fair value of the customer relationships, the multi-period excess earnings approach values the intangible asset at the present value of the incremental after-tax cash flows attributable only to the customer relationship after deducting contributory asset charges. The incremental after-tax cash flows attributable to

the subject intangible asset are then discounted to their present value. Only expected sales from current customers were used, which are estimated using annual expected growth rates of 2.5% to 7.1%. The Company assumed a customer retention rate of approximately 90%, which was supported by historical retention rates. Income taxes were estimated at 38% and amounts were discounted using a rate of 20%.

The Tell Manufacturing acquisition was not considered individually significant to the consolidated results of the Company and therefore pro forma results are not presented.

Acquisition and Integration Costs

The following table summarizes acquisition and integration related charges incurred by the Company during the years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014:

(in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Armored AutoGroup	\$14.6	\$21.8	\$ —
HHI Business	13.3	12.0	11.0
European IAMS and Eukanuba	3.5	9.3	
Salix	2.1	10.7	
Other	3.2	5.0	9.1
Total acquisition and integration related charges	\$36.7	\$58.8	\$20.1

NOTE 4 - RESTRUCTURING AND RELATED CHARGES

GAC Business Rationalization Initiatives - During the third quarter of the year ended September 30, 2016, the Company implemented a series of initiatives in the GAC segment to consolidate certain operations and reduce operating costs. These initiatives included headcount reductions and the exit of certain facilities. Total costs associated with these initiatives are expected to be approximately \$20 million, of which \$5.3 million has been incurred to date, the balance is anticipated to be incurred through September 30, 2017.

HHI Business Rationalization Initiatives - During the fourth quarter of the year ended September 30, 2014, the Company implemented a series of initiatives throughout the HHI segment to reduce operating costs and exit low margin business outside the U.S. These initiatives included headcount reductions, the exit of certain facilities and the sale of a portion of the global HHI operations. Total costs associated with these initiatives of \$16.6 million has been incurred to date, and completed as of September 30, 2016.

Global Expense Rationalization Initiatives - During the third quarter of the year ended September 30, 2013, the Company implemented a series of initiatives throughout the Company to reduce operating costs. These initiatives consisted of headcount reductions in the GBA and PET, and within Corporate. Total costs associated with these initiatives of \$47.0 million has been incurred to date, and completed as of September 30, 2016.

Other Restructuring Activities - The Company has entered or may enter into small, less significant initiatives and restructuring activities to reduce costs and improve margins throughout the organization. Individually these activities are not substantial, and occur over a shorter time period (less than 12 months). Total costs associated with these initiatives are expected to be approximately \$6 million, of which \$2.9 million has been incurred to date.

The following summarizes restructuring and related charges for the years ended September 30, 2016, 2015, and 2014:

(in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Global expense rationalization initiatives	\$5.2	\$17.1	\$13.4
HHI business rationalization initiatives	1.8	10.3	4.5
GAC business rationalization initiatives	5.3		
Other restructuring activities	2.9	1.3	5.0
Total restructuring and related charges	\$15.2	\$28.7	\$22.9
Reported as:			
Cost of goods sold	\$0.5	\$2.1	\$3.7

The following summarizes restructuring and related charges for the years ended September 30, 2016, 2015, and 2014, and cumulative costs on restructuring initiatives as of September 30, 2016, by cost type:

14.7 26.6 19.2

(in millions)	Termination	Other	Total	
(III IIIIIIOIIS)	Benefits	Costs		
For the year ended September 30, 2016	\$ 4.3	\$10.9	\$15.2	
For the year ended September 30, 2015	7.0	21.7	28.7	
For the year ended September 30, 2014	11.2	11.7	22.9	
Cumulative costs through September 30, 2016	32.4	39.4	71.8	

Operating expense

The following is a rollforward of the accrual related to all restructuring and related activities, included within Other Current Liabilities, by cost type, for the years ended September 30, 2016, 2015, and 2014:

(in millions)	Termination	Other	Total	
(III IIIIIIOIIS)	Benefits	Costs	Total	
Accrual balance at September 30, 2014	\$ 9.9	\$1.6	\$11.5	
Provisions	5.1	3.9	9.0	
Cash expenditures	(9.5)	(1.7)	(11.2)	
Non Cash Items	(1.2)	0.1	(1.1)	
Accrual balance at September 30, 2015	4.3	3.9	8.2	
Provisions	4.3	10.9	15.2	
Cash expenditures	(6.9)	(13.6)	(20.5)	
Non-cash items	(0.1)	(0.2)	(0.3)	
Accrual balance at September 30, 2016	\$ 1.6	\$1.0	\$2.6	

The following summarizes restructuring and related charges by segment for the years ended September 30, 2016, 2015, and 2014, cumulative costs on restructuring initiatives as of September 30, 2016 and future expected costs to be incurred by segment:

(in millions)	GBA	PET	HHI	GAC	Corporate	Total
For the year ended September 30, 2016	\$0.8	\$4.6	\$4.5	\$5.3	\$ -	-\$15.2
For the year ended September 30, 2015	8.5	9.5	10.3	_	0.4	28.7
For the year ended September 30, 2014	11.2	3.0	8.2	_	0.5	22.9
Cumulative costs through September 30, 2016	30.0	15.1	19.3	5.3	2.1	71.8
Future costs to be incurred	1.0	1.8	0.1	14.6	0.1	17.6

NOTE 5 - FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The fair values of the Company's financial assets and liabilities are defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. Fair value measurements are classified using a fair value hierarchy that is based upon the observability of inputs used in measuring fair value. Observable inputs (highest level) reflect market data obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs (lowest level) reflect internally developed assumptions about hypothetical transactions in the absence of market data. Fair value measurements are classified under the following hierarchy:

Level 1 - Unadjusted quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets.

Level 2 - Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active; and model-derived valuations whose inputs are observable or whose significant value drivers are observable.

Level 3 - Significant inputs to the valuation model are unobservable.

The Company utilizes valuation techniques that attempt to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. The Company's derivatives are valued on a recurring basis using internal models, which are based on market observable inputs including interest rate curves and both forward and spot prices for currencies and commodities, which are generally based on quoted or observed market prices (Level 2). The fair value of certain derivative financial instruments is estimated using pricing models based on contracts with similar terms and risks. Modeling techniques assume market correlation and volatility, such as using prices of one delivery point to calculate the price of the contract's different delivery point. The nominal value of interest rate transactions is discounted using applicable forward interest rate curves. In addition, by applying a credit reserve which is calculated based on credit default swaps or published default probabilities for the actual and potential asset value, the fair value of the Company's derivative financial instrument assets reflects the risk that the counterparties to these contracts may default on the obligations. Likewise, by assessing the requirements of a reserve for non-performance which is calculated based on the probability of default by the Company, the Company adjusts its derivative contract liabilities to reflect the price at which a potential market participant would be willing to assume the Company's liabilities. The Company has not changed the valuation techniques used in measuring the fair value of any financial assets and liabilities during the year.

The fair values of derivative instruments as of September 30, 2016 and 2015 are as follows. See Note 12, "Derivatives" for additional detail:

2016 2015 Carrying Carrying

(in millions) Amourair Value Amouratir Value Derivative Assets \$8.7 \$ 8.7 \$6.0 \$6.0 Derivative Liabilities 3.2 3.2 9.8 9.8

The carrying values of cash and cash equivalents, receivables, accounts payable and short term debt approximate fair value based on the short-term nature of these assets and liabilities. The carrying values of goodwill, intangible assets and other long-lived assets are tested annually or more frequently if an event occurs that indicates an impairment loss may have been incurred, using fair value measurements with unobservable inputs (Level 3).

The carrying values and estimated fair values for debt as of September 30, 2016 and 2015 are as follows:

2016 2015	
Carrying Carrying	
(in millions) Amount Fair Value Amount 1	Fair Value
Total debt - SBH \$3,620.2 \$3,865.1 \$3,905.9 \$	\$ 4,085.8
Total debt - SB/RH 3,620.2 3,865.1 3,940.6	4,120.5

The fair value measurements of the Company's debt represent non-active market exchange-traded securities which are valued at quoted input prices that are directly observable or indirectly observable through corroboration with observable market data (Level 2).

NOTE 6 - RECEIVABLES

The allowance for uncollectible receivables as of September 30, 2016 and 2015 was \$46.8 million and \$44.0 million, respectively. The following is a rollforward of the allowance for the years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014:

	Beginning	Charged		Other	Ending
	Degiiiiiig	to		Other	Lilding
(in millions)	Balance	Profit & Loss	Deductions	Adjustments	Balance
September 30, 2016	\$ 44.0	\$ 15.6	\$ (12.0)	\$ (0.8)	\$ 46.8
September 30, 2015	48.6	6.0	(6.3)	(4.3)	44.0
September 30, 2014	37.4	7.4	(2.4)	6.2	48.6

The Company has a broad range of customers including many large retail outlet chains, one of which accounts for a significant percentage of its sales volume. This major customer represented 15%, 15% and 16% of the Company's Net Sales during years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. This major customer also represented 15% and 16% of the Company's Trade Receivables as of September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

We have entered into various factoring agreements and early pay programs with our customers to sell our trade receivables under non-recourse agreements in exchange for cash proceeds. A loss on sales is recognized for any discount and factoring fees associated with the transfer. We utilize factoring arrangements as an integral part of our financing for working capital. These transactions are treated as a sale and are accounted for as a reduction in trade receivables because the agreements transfer effective control over and risk related to the receivables to buyers. In some instances, we may continue to service the transferred receivable after the factoring has occurred, but in most cases we do not service any factored accounts, and any servicing of the trade receivable does not constitute significant continuing involvement or preclude the recognition of a sale. We do not carry any material servicing assets or liabilities. Cash proceeds from these arrangements are reflected as operating activities. The aggregate gross amount factored under these facilities was \$2,055.0 million, \$1,938.0 million and \$1,575.0 million for the years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The cost of factoring such trade receivables was \$10.1 million, \$6.5 million and \$9.7 million for the years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014 and reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Income as General and Administrative Expense.

NOTE 7 - INVENTORY

Inventories as of September 30, 2016 and 2015 consist of the following:

(in millions) 2016 2015 Raw materials \$127.5 \$132.4 Work-in-process 43.6 37.9 Finished goods 569.5 610.5 \$740.6 \$780.8

NOTE 8 - PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Property, plant and equipment as of September 30, 2016 and 2015 consist of the following:

(in millions)	2016	2015
Land, buildings and improvements	\$195.8	\$190.9
Machinery, equipment and other	550.6	491.9
Capitalized leases	130.0	97.3
Construction in progress	57.7	51.8
Property, plant and equipment	934.1	831.9
Accumulated depreciation	(392.0)	(324.8)
Property, plant and equipment, net	\$542.1	\$507.1

NOTE 9 - GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Goodwill, by segment, consists of the following:

(in millions)	GBA	HHI	PET	H&G	GAC	Total
As of September 30, 2014	\$327.4	\$709.8	\$235.9	\$196.5	\$ —	\$1,469.6
AAG acquisition	38.9	_	_	_	933.2	972.1
European IAMS and Eukanuba acquisition	_	_	4.0		_	4.0
Salix acquisition		_	71.5		_	71.5
Tell Manufacturing acquisition	_	7.1	_		_	7.1
Foreign currency impact	(17.8)	(17.4)	(11.8)	_	(0.6)	(47.6)
As of September 30, 2015	348.5	699.5	299.6	196.5	932.6	2,476.7
Adjustments					3.3	3.3
Foreign currency impact	(3.4)	3.3	0.2		(1.7)	(1.6)
As of September 30, 2016	\$345.1	\$702.8	\$299.8	\$196.5	\$934.2	\$2,478.4

The carrying value and accumulated amortization for intangible assets subject to amortization are as follows:

	2016				2015			
(in millions)	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulate Amortization	d n	Net	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	d n	Net
Customer relationships	\$984.8	\$ (302.9)	\$681.9	\$985.2	\$ (247.4)	\$737.8
Technology assets	237.2	(96.7)	140.5	238.6	(78.1)	160.5
Tradenames	165.7	(89.1)	76.6	165.4	(73.7)	91.7
Total	\$1,387.7	\$ (488.7)	\$899.0	\$1,389.2	\$ (399.2)	\$990.0

Certain trade names intangible assets have an indefinite life and are not amortized. The balance of trade names not subject to amortization was \$1,473.5 million and \$1,490.3 million as of September 30, 2016 and 2015. During the year ended September 30, 2016, the Company recognized \$4.7 million impairment on indefinite life intangible assets due to the reduction in value over certain tradenames in response to changes in management's strategy. There was no impairment loss on indefinite-lived trade names for the years ended September 30, 2015 or 2014.

Amortization expense from intangible assets for the years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014 was \$93.9 million, \$87.8 million and \$81.7 million, respectively. Excluding the impact of any future acquisitions or changes in foreign currency, the Company anticipates the annual amortization expense of intangible assets for the next five fiscal years will be as follows:

(in millions) Amortization

\	,	
2017	\$ 91.9	
2018	85.7	
2019	85.4	
2020	85.2	
2021	81.9	

NOTE 10 - DEBT Debt as of September 30, 2016 and 2015 consists of the following:

_	SBH				SB/RH			
	2016		2015		2016		2015	
(in millions)	Amount	Rate	Amount	Rate	Amount	Rate	Amount	Rate
Term Loan, variable rate, due June 23, 2022	\$1,005.5	3.6%	\$1,226.9	3.9 %	\$1,005.5	3.6%	\$1,226.9	3.8%
CAD Term Loan, variable rate, due June 23, 2022	54.9	4.6%	55.7	4.4 %	54.9	4.6%	55.7	4.4%
Euro Term Loan, variable rate, due June 23, 2022	63.0	3.5%	255.8	3.5 %	63.0	3.5%	255.8	3.5%
4.00% Notes, due October 1, 2026	477.0	4.0%	_	_ %	477.0	4 %	_	— %
5.75% Notes, due July 15, 2025	1,000.0	5.8%	1,000.0	5.8 %	1,000.0	5.8%	1,000.0	5.8%
6.125% Notes, due December 15, 2024	250.0	6.1%	250.0	6.1 %	250.0	6.4%	250.0	6.1%
6.375% Notes, due November 15, 2020	129.7	6.4%	520.0	6.4 %	129.7	6.6%	520.0	6.4%
6.625% Notes, due November 15, 2022	570.0	6.6%	570.0	6.6 %	570.0	— %	570.0	6.6%
Revolver Facility, variable rate, expiring June 23, 2020	_	%	_	_ %	_	9.8%	_	— %
Other notes and obligations	16.8	9.8%	11.2	10.8%	16.8	5.5%	45.9	4.9%
Obligations under capital leases	114.7	5.5%	88.2	5.7 %	114.7	5.5%	88.2	5.7%
Total debt	3,681.6		3,977.8		3,681.6		4,012.5	
Unamortized discount on debt	(4.5)		(6.8)	(4.5)		(6.8)	ı
Debt issuance costs	(56.9)		(65.1)	(56.9)		(65.1)	
Less current portion	(164.0)		(33.8)	(164.0)		(68.5)	1
Long-term debt, net of current portion	\$3,456.2		\$3,872.1		\$3,456.2		\$3,872.1	

Debt of SB/RH also includes a loan from SBH of \$34.7 million as of September 30, 2015. There was no intercompany debt owed by SB/RH as of September 30, 2016.

The Company's aggregate scheduled maturities of debt and capital lease obligations are as follows:

	SBH			SB/RH		
	Capital			Capital		
(in millions)	Lease	Debt	Total	Lease	Debt	Total
	Obligat	tions		Obligat	tions	
2017	\$10.0	\$154.0	\$164.0	\$10.0	\$154.0	\$164.0
2018	9.5	15.3	24.8	9.5	15.3	24.8
2019	8.8	11.4	20.2	8.8	11.4	20.2
2020	8.5	11.4	19.9	8.5	11.4	19.9
2021	10.0	11.3	21.3	10.0	11.3	21.3
Thereafter	67.9	3,363.5	3,431.4	67.9	3,363.5	3,431.4

Long-term debt \$114.7 \$3,566.9 \$3,681.6 \$114.7 \$3,566.9 \$3,681.6

Term Loans and Revolver Facility

On June 23, 2015, SBI entered into term loan facilities pursuant to a Senior Credit Agreement consisting of (i) a \$1,450 million USD Term Loan due June 23, 2022, (ii) a \$75 million CAD Term Loan due June 23, 2022 and (iii) a €300 million Euro Term Loan due June 23, 2022, (collectively, "Term Loans") and (iv) entered into a \$500 million Revolver Facility due June 23, 2020 (the "Revolver"). The proceeds from the Term Loans and draws on the Revolver were used to repay SBI's then-existing senior term credit facility, repay SBI's outstanding 6.75% senior unsecured notes due 2020, repay and replace SBI's then-existing asset based revolving loan facility, and to pay fees and expenses in connection with the refinancing and for general corporate purposes.

The Term Loans and Revolver are subject to variable interest rates, (i) the USD Term Loan is subject to either adjusted LIBOR (International Exchange London Interbank Offered Rate), subject to a 0.75% floor, plus 2.75% to 3.0% per annum, or base rate plus 1.75% to 2.0% per annum, (ii) the CAD Term Loan is subject to either CDOR (Canadian Dollar Offered Rate), subject to a 0.75% floor plus 3.5% per annum, or base rate plus 2.5% per annum, (iii)

the Euro Term Loan is subject to either EURIBOR (Euro Interbank Offered Rate), subject to a 0.75% floor, plus 2.75% per annum, with no base rate option available and (iv) the Revolver is subject to either adjusted LIBOR plus 2.75% to 3.0% per annum, or base rate plus 1.75% to 2.0% per annum. On October 6, 2016, subsequent to the year ended September 30, 2016, SBI amended the Term Loans reducing the interest rate. The USD Term Loans are subject to either adjusted LIBOR subject to 0.75% floor, plus 2.5% per annum, or base rate plus 1.5% per annum effective the date of the amendment.

Subject to certain mandatory prepayment events, the Term Loans are subject to repayment according to scheduled amortizations, with the final payments of all amounts outstanding, plus accrued and unpaid interest, due at maturity. The Senior Credit Agreement contains customary affirmative and negative covenants, including, but not limited to, restrictions on SBI and its restricted subsidiaries' ability to

incur indebtedness, create liens, make investments, pay dividends or make certain other distributions, and merge or consolidate or sell assets, in each case subject to certain exceptions set forth in the Senior Credit Agreement. Additionally, the Senior Credit Agreement, solely with respect to the Revolver Facility, contains a financial covenant on the maximum net total leverage ratio that is tested on the last day of each fiscal quarter. The Company was in compliance with all covenants as of September 30, 2016.

Pursuant to a guarantee agreement, SB/RH and the material wholly-owned domestic subsidiaries of SBI have guaranteed SBI's obligations under the Senior Credit Agreement and related loan documents. Pursuant to a security agreement, SBI and such subsidiary guarantors have pledged substantially all of their respective assets to secure such obligations and, in addition, SB/RH has pledged the capital stock of SBI to secure such obligations. The Senior Credit Agreement also provides for customary events of default including payment defaults and cross-defaults to other material indebtedness.

The Term Loans were issued net of a \$5.1 million discount, which is amortized with a corresponding charge to interest expense over the remaining life of the loans. The Company incurred \$18.5 million of debt issuance costs of which \$8.1 million was capitalized as debt issuance costs and the remainder of \$10.4 million was recognized as interest expense during the year ended September 30, 2015. The Company recognized accelerated amortization of portions of the unamortized discount and unamortized debt issuance costs related to the then-existing Term Loans of \$7.7 million.

In connection with the new Revolver Facility, the Company incurred \$5.7 million of fees that were capitalized as debt issuance costs and are being amortized over the remaining life of the Revolver Facility. The Company recorded accelerated amortization of portions of the unamortized debt issuance costs related to the refinancing of the previous revolver facility totaling \$1.1 million as an increase to interest expense during the year ended September 30, 2015. As of September 30, 2016, the Company had aggregate borrowing availability of \$466.2 million, net of outstanding letters of credit of \$24.6 million and a \$9.2 million amount allocated to a foreign subsidiary.

On September 20, 2016, SBI issued €425 million aggregate principal amount of 4.00% Notes at par value, due October 1, 2026. The 4.00% Notes are guaranteed by SB/RH as well as by SBI's existing and future domestic subsidiaries. SBI may redeem all or a part of the 4.00% Notes, at any time on or after October 1, 2021 at specified redemption prices. In addition, prior to October 1, 2021, SBI may redeem the notes at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amounts plus a "make-whole" premium. SBI is also entitled to redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the notes before October 1, 2019 with an amount of cash equal to the net proceeds that SBI raises in equity offerings at specified redemption prices. Further, the indenture governing the 4.00% Notes (the "2026 Indenture") requires SBI to make an offer, in cash, to repurchase all or a portion of the applicable outstanding notes for a specified redemption price, including a redemption premium, upon the occurrence of a change of control of SBI, as defined in the 2026 Indenture.

The 2026 Indenture contains customary covenants that limit, among other things, the incurrence of additional indebtedness, payment of dividends on or redemption or repurchase of equity interests, the making of certain investments, expansion into unrelated businesses, creation of liens on assets, merger or consolidation with another company, transfer or sale of all or substantially all assets, and transactions with affiliates.

In addition, the 2026 Indenture provides for customary events of default, including failure to make required payments, failure to comply with certain agreements or covenants, failure to make payments when due or on acceleration of certain other indebtedness, and certain events of bankruptcy and insolvency. Events of default under the 2026 Indenture arising from certain events of bankruptcy or insolvency will automatically cause the acceleration of the amounts due under the 4.00% Notes. If any other event of default under the 2026 Indenture occurs and is continuing, the trustee for the 2026 Indenture or the registered holders of at least 25% in the then aggregate outstanding principal amount of the 4.00% Notes, may declare the acceleration of the amounts due under those notes.

The Company recorded \$7.7 million of fees in connection with the offering of the 4.00% Notes during the year ended September 30, 2016, which have been capitalized as debt issuance costs and are being amortized over the remaining life of the 4.00% Notes.

5.75% Notes

On May 20, 2015, in connection with the acquisition of the AAG Business, SBI issued \$1,000 million aggregate principal amount of 5.75% Notes at par value, due July 15, 2025 (the "5.75% Notes"). The 5.75% Notes are guaranteed by SB/RH as well as by SBI's existing and future domestic subsidiaries.

SBI may redeem all or a part of the 5.75% Notes, at any time on or after July 15, 2020, at specified redemption prices. In addition, prior to July 15, 2020, SBI may redeem the notes at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount plus a "make-whole" premium. SBI is also entitled to redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the notes before July 15, 2018 with an amount of cash equal to the net proceeds that SBI raises in equity offerings at specified redemption prices. Further, the indenture governing the 5.75% Notes (the "2025 Indenture") requires SBI to make an offer, in cash, to repurchase all or a portion of the applicable outstanding notes for a specified redemption price, including a redemption premium, upon the occurrence of a change of control of SBI, as defined in the 2025 Indenture.

The 2025 Indenture contains customary covenants that limit, among other things, the incurrence of additional indebtedness, payment of dividends on or redemption or repurchase of equity interests, the making of certain investments, expansion into unrelated businesses, creation of liens on assets, merger or consolidation with another company, transfer or sale of all or substantially all assets, and transactions with affiliates.

In addition, the 2025 Indenture provides for customary events of default, including failure to make required payments, failure to comply with certain agreements or covenants, failure to make payments when due or on acceleration of certain other indebtedness, and certain events of bankruptcy and insolvency. Events of default under the 2025 Indenture arising from certain events of bankruptcy or insolvency will automatically cause the acceleration of the amounts due under the 5.75% Notes. If any other event of default under the 2025 Indenture occurs and is continuing, the trustee for the 2025 Indenture or the registered holders of at least 25% in the then aggregate outstanding principal amount of the 5.75% Notes, may declare the acceleration of the amounts due under those notes.

The Company recorded \$19.7 million of fees in connection with the offering of the 5.75% Notes during the year ended September 30, 2015, which have been capitalized as debt issuance costs and are being amortized over the remaining life of the 5.75% Notes.

6.125% Notes

On December 4, 2014, SBI issued \$250 million aggregate principal amount of 6.125% Notes at par value, due December 15, 2024 (the"6.125% Notes"). The 6.125% Notes are guaranteed SB/RH, as well as by SBI's existing and future domestic subsidiaries.

SBI may redeem all or a part of the 6.125% Notes, at any time on or after December 15, 2019, at specified redemption prices. Prior to December 15, 2019, SBI may redeem the notes at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount plus a "make-whole" premium. SBI is also entitled to redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the notes before December 15, 2017 with an amount of cash equal to the net proceeds that SBI raises in equity offerings at specified redemption prices. Further, the indenture governing the 6.125% Notes (the "2024 Indenture") requires SBI to make an offer, in cash, to repurchase all or a portion of the applicable outstanding notes for a specified redemption price, including a redemption premium, upon the occurrence of a change of control of SBI, as defined in the 2024 Indenture.

The 2024 Indenture contains customary covenants that limit, among other things, the incurrence of additional indebtedness, payment of dividends on or redemption or repurchase of equity interests, the making of certain investments, expansion into unrelated businesses, creation of liens on assets, merger or consolidation with another company, transfer or sale of all or substantially all assets, and transactions with affiliates.

In addition, the 2024 Indenture provides for customary events of default, including failure to make required payments, failure to comply with certain agreements or covenants, failure to make payments when due or on acceleration of certain other indebtedness, and certain events of bankruptcy and insolvency. Events of default under the 2024 Indenture arising from certain events of bankruptcy or insolvency will automatically cause the acceleration of the amounts due under the 6.125% Notes. If any other event of default under the 2024 Indenture occurs and is continuing, the trustee for the 2024 Indenture or the registered holders of at least 25% in the then aggregate outstanding principal amount of the 6.125% Notes, may declare the acceleration of the amounts due under those notes.

The Company recorded \$4.6 million of fees in connection with the offering of the 6.125% Notes, which have been capitalized as debt issuance costs and are being amortized over the remaining life of the 6.125% Notes. 6.375% Notes and 6.625% Notes

On December 17, 2012, in connection with the acquisition of HHI Business, the Company assumed \$520 million aggregate principal amount of 6.375% Notes at par value, due November 15, 2020 (the "6.375% Notes"), and \$570 million aggregate principal amount of 6.625% Notes at par value, due November 15, 2022 (the "6.625% Notes"). The 6.375% Notes and 6.625% Notes are unsecured and guaranteed by SB/RH, as well as by existing and future domestic restricted subsidiaries.

The Company may redeem all or a part of the 6.375% Notes and the 6.625% Notes, upon not less than 30 or more than 60 days notice, at specified redemption prices. Further, the indenture governing the 6.375% Notes and the 6.625% Notes (the "2020/22 Indenture") requires the Company to make an offer, in cash, to repurchase all or a portion of the applicable outstanding notes for a specified redemption price, including a redemption premium, upon the occurrence of a change of control of the Company, as defined in such indenture.

The 2020/22 Indenture contains customary covenants that limit, among other things, the incurrence of additional indebtedness, payment of dividends on or redemption or repurchase of equity interests, the making of certain investments, expansion into unrelated businesses, creation of liens on assets, merger or consolidation with another company, transfer or sale of all or substantially all assets, and transactions with affiliates.

In addition, the 2020/22 Indenture provides for customary events of default, including failure to make required payments, failure to comply with certain agreements or covenants, failure to make payments when due or on acceleration of certain other indebtedness, and certain events of bankruptcy and insolvency. Events of default under the 2020/22 Indenture arising from certain events of bankruptcy or insolvency will automatically cause the acceleration of the amounts due under the 6.375% Notes and the 6.625% Notes. If any other event of default under the 2020/22 Indenture occurs and is continuing, the trustee for the 2020/22 Indenture or the registered holders of at least 25% in the then aggregate outstanding principal amount of the 6.375% Notes, or the 6.625% Notes, may declare the acceleration of the amounts due under those notes.

The Company recorded \$12.9 million and \$14.1 million of fees in connection with the offering of the 6.375% Notes and the 6.625% Notes, which were capitalized as debt issuance costs and amortized over the remaining lives of the 6.375% Notes and 6.625% Notes, respectively. In connection with the issuance of the 4.00% Notes previously discussed, the Company repurchased \$390.3 million aggregate principal amount of the 6.375% Notes in a cash tender offer. In connection with the tender, the Company recognized \$6.5 million of fees and expenses and a \$15.6 million tender premium as interest expense; and wrote off \$5.8 million of previously capitalized debt issuance costs as a non-cash charge to interest expense during the year ended September 30, 2016. Pursuant to the 2020/22 Indenture, the remaining

outstanding aggregate principal of \$129.7 million was subsequently redeemed on October 20, 2016 with a make whole premium of \$4.6 million charged to interest expense subsequent to the year ended September 30, 2016. NOTE 11 - LEASES

The Company has leases primarily pertaining to land, buildings and equipment that expire at various times through December 2031. The Company's minimum rent payments under operating leases are recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the leases. Future minimum rental commitments under non-cancelable operating leases are as follows:

(in millions)	Amount
2017	\$42.3
2018	32.7
2019	23.4
2020	18.0
2021	12.3
Thereafter	18.4
Total minimum lease payments	\$ 147.1

Rent expense was \$46.8 million, \$36.3 million and \$40.8 million for the years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

NOTE 12 - DERIVATIVES

Derivative financial instruments are used by the Company principally in the management of its interest rate, foreign currency exchange rate and raw material price exposures. The Company does not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading purposes. For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges, the gain or loss on the effective portion of the derivative is reported as a component of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income ("AOCI") and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. Gains and losses on derivatives representing either hedge ineffectiveness or hedge components excluded from the assessment of effectiveness are recognized in current earnings. Cash Flow Hedges

Interest Rate Swaps. The Company uses interest rate swaps to manage its interest rate risk. The swaps are designated as cash flow hedges with the changes in fair value recorded in AOCI and as a derivative asset or liability, as applicable. The swaps settle periodically in arrears with the related amounts for the current settlement period payable to, or receivable from, the counter-parties included in accrued liabilities or receivables, respectively, and recognized in earnings as an adjustment to Interest Expense from the underlying debt to which the swap is designated. As of September 30, 2016 and 2015, the Company had a series of U.S. dollar denominated interest rate swaps outstanding which effectively fix the interest on variable rate debt, exclusive of lender spreads, at 1.36% for a notional principal amount of \$300.0 million through April 2017. The derivative net losses estimated to be reclassified from AOCI into earnings over the next 12 months is \$0.7 million, net of tax. The Company's interest rate swap derivative financial instruments at September 30, 2016 and 2015 are as follows:

	2016		2015	
(in millions)	Notional	Remaining Years	Notional	Remaining
	Amount	Kemaning Tears	Amount	Years
Interest rate swaps - fixed	\$ 300.0	0.5	\$ 300.0	1.5

Commodity Swaps. The Company is exposed to risk from fluctuating prices for raw materials, specifically zinc and brass used in its manufacturing processes. The Company hedges a portion of the risk associated with the purchase of these materials through the use of commodity swaps. The hedge contracts are designated as cash flow hedges with the fair value changes recorded in AOCI and as a hedge asset or liability, as applicable. The unrecognized changes in fair value of the hedge contracts are reclassified from AOCI into earnings when the hedged purchase of raw materials also affects earnings. The swaps effectively fix the floating price on a specified quantity of raw materials through a specified date. At September 30, 2016, the Company had a series of zinc and brass swap contracts outstanding through December 2017. The derivative net gains estimated to be reclassified from AOCI into earnings over the next 12 months is \$1.9 million, net of tax. The Company had the following commodity swap contracts outstanding as of September 30, 2016 and 2015:

	2016		2015	
(in millions, except notional)	Notional	Contract Value	Notional	Contract Value
Zinc swap contracts	6.7 Tons	\$ 12.8	10.8 Tons	\$ 22.2
Brass swap contracts	1.0 Tons	4.0	1.8 Tons	8.5

Foreign exchange contracts. The Company periodically enters into forward foreign exchange contracts to hedge the risk from forecasted foreign currency denominated third party and intercompany sales or payments. These obligations generally require the Company to exchange foreign currencies for U.S. Dollars, Euros, Pounds Sterling, Australian Dollars, Canadian Dollars or Japanese Yen. These foreign exchange contracts are cash flow hedges of fluctuating foreign exchange rates related to sales of product or raw material purchases. Until the sale or purchase is recognized, the fair value of the related hedge is recorded in AOCI and as a hedge asset or liability, as applicable.

At the time the sale or purchase is recognized, the fair value of the related hedge is reclassified as an adjustment to Net Sales or purchase price variance in Cost of Goods Sold on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income. At September 30, 2016, the Company had a series of foreign exchange derivative contracts outstanding through December 2017. The derivative net gains estimated to be reclassified from AOCI into earnings over the next 12 months is \$3.3 million, net of tax. At September 30, 2016 and 2015, the Company had foreign exchange derivative contracts designated as cash flow hedges with a notional value of \$224.8 million and \$300.6 million, respectively. Net Investment Hedge

On September 20, 2016, SBI issued €425 million aggregate principal amount of 4.00% Notes. See Note 10, "Debt" for further detail. The 4.00% Notes are denominated in Euros and have been designated as a net investment hedge of the translation of the Company's net investments in Euro denominated subsidiaries at the time of issuance. As a result, the translation of the Euro denominated debt is recognized as AOCI with any ineffective portion recognized as foreign currency translation gains or losses on the statement of income when the aggregate principal exceeds the net investment in its Euro denominated subsidiaries. Net gains or losses from the net investment hedge are reclassified from AOCI into earnings upon a liquidation event or deconsolidation of Euro denominated subsidiaries. As of September 30, 2016, the hedge was fully effective and no ineffective portion was recognized in earnings. Derivative Contracts Not Designated As Hedges for Accounting Purposes

Foreign exchange contracts. The Company periodically enters into forward and swap foreign exchange contracts to economically hedge the risk from third party and intercompany payments resulting from existing obligations. These obligations generally require the Company to exchange foreign currencies for U.S. Dollars, Canadian Dollars, Euros, Pounds Sterling, Taiwanese Dollars, Hong Kong Dollars or Australian Dollars. These foreign exchange contracts are economic hedges of a related liability or asset recorded in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Financial Position. The gain or loss on the derivative hedge contracts is recorded in earnings as an offset to the change in value of the related liability or asset at each period end. At September 30, 2016, the Company had a series of forward exchange contracts outstanding through October 2016. At September 30, 2016 and 2015, the Company had \$131.4 million and \$126.8 million, respectively, of notional value for such foreign exchange derivative contracts outstanding. Commodity Swaps, The Company periodically enters into commodity swap contracts to economically hedge the risk from fluctuating prices for raw materials, specifically the pass-through of market prices for silver used in manufacturing purchased watch batteries. The Company hedges a portion of the risk associated with these materials through the use of commodity swaps. The swap contracts are designated as economic hedges with the unrealized gain or loss recorded in earnings and as an asset or liability at each period end. The unrecognized changes in fair value of the hedge contracts are adjusted through earnings when the realized gains or losses affect earnings upon settlement of the hedges. The swaps effectively fix the floating price on a specified quantity of silver through a specified date. At September 30, 2016, the Company had a series of commodity swaps outstanding through August 2017. The Company had the following outstanding commodity swap contracts outstanding as of September 30, 2016 and 2015:

	2016		2015	
(in millions, except notional)	Notional	Contract Value	Notional	Contract Value
Silver	31.0 troy oz.	\$ 0.6	25.0 troy oz.	\$ 0.4

Fair Value of Derivative Instruments

The fair value of the Company's outstanding derivative instruments in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position are as follows:

(in millions)	Line Item	2016	2015
Derivative Assets			
Commodity swaps - designated as hedge	Receivables-Other	\$2.9	\$ —
Commodity swaps - designated as hedge	Deferred charges and other	_	_
Foreign exchange contracts - designated as hedge	Receivables-Other	5.5	5.2
Foreign exchange contracts - designated as hedge	Deferred charges and other	0.1	0.4
Foreign exchange contracts - not designated as hedge	Receivables-Other	0.2	0.4
Total Derivative Assets		\$8.7	\$6.0
Derivative Liabilities			
Interest rate swaps - designated as hedge	Other current liabilities	\$0.7	\$1.4
Interest rate swaps - designated as hedge	Accrued interest	0.4	0.4
Interest rate swaps - designated as hedge	Other long-term liabilities	_	0.8
Commodity swaps - designated as hedge	Accounts payable	0.1	4.7
Commodity swaps - designated as hedge	Other long-term liabilities	_	0.8
Commodity swaps - not designated as hedge	Accounts payable	_	0.1
Foreign exchange contracts - designated as hedge	Accounts payable	1.7	1.5
Foreign exchange contracts - designated as hedge	Other long-term liabilities	0.1	_
Foreign exchange contracts - not designated as hedge	Accounts payable	0.2	0.1
Total Derivative Liabilities		\$3.2	\$9.8

The Company is exposed to the risk of default by the counterparties with which it transacts and generally does not require collateral or other security to support financial instruments subject to credit risk. The Company monitors counterparty credit risk on an individual basis by periodically assessing each such counterparty's credit rating exposure. The maximum loss due to credit risk equals the fair value of the gross asset derivatives that are concentrated with certain domestic and foreign financial institution counterparties. The Company considers these exposures when measuring its credit reserve on its derivative assets, which was less than \$0.1 million for the years ended September 30, 2016 and 2015.

The Company's standard contracts do not contain credit risk related contingent features whereby the Company would be required to post additional cash collateral as a result of a credit event. However, the Company is typically required to post collateral in the normal course of business to offset its liability positions. As of September 30, 2015, there was \$3.5 million of posted cash collateral related to such liability positions. As of September 30, 2016, there was no cash collateral outstanding. In addition, as of September 30, 2016 and 2015, the Company had no posted standby letters of credit related to such liability positions. The cash collateral is included in Other Receivables within the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position

The following table summarizes the impact of the effective and ineffective portions of designated hedges and the gain (loss) recognized in the Consolidated Statement of Income for the years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014:

Effective Portion							
	Gain (Loss)	Reclassified to Earn	Ineffective portion				
For the year ended September 30, 2016 (in millions)	in OCI	Line Item	Gain (Loss)	Line Item	Gain (Loss		
Interest rate swaps	\$(0.4)	Interest expense	(1.9)	Interest expense	\$	_	
Commodity swaps	4.5	Cost of goods sold	(3.7)	Cost of goods sold	_		
Net investment hedge	0.6	Net sales		Net sales			
Foreign exchange contracts	(0.4)	Cost of goods sold	(0.2)	Cost of goods sold			
Foreign exchange contracts	6.8		6.9				
Total	\$11.1		\$1.1		\$		
	Effecti	ve Portion					
	Gain						
	(Loss)	Reclassified to Earn	nings	Ineffective portion			
For the year ended September 30, 2015 (in millions)	in OCI	Line Item	Gain (Loss)	Line Item	Gain (Loss		
Interest rate swaps	\$(3.4)	Interest expense	\$(1.9)	Interest expense	\$		
Commodity swaps	(7.2)	Cost of goods sold	(0.7)	Cost of goods sold	_		
Foreign exchange contracts	0.1	Net sales	0.1	Net sales	_		
Foreign exchange contracts	21.8	Cost of goods sold	30.0	Cost of goods sold	_		
Total	\$11.3		\$27.5		\$		
	Effecti	ve Portion					
For the year ended September 30, 2014 (in millions)	Gain	Reclassified to Earn	nings	Ineffective portion			
Total water areas	(Loss)	T. 4 4	¢(0,0)	-	¢.		
Interest rate swaps		Interest expense		Interest expense	\$	_	
Commodity swaps	1.9 0.1	Cost of goods sold Net sales	0.8	Cost of goods sold Net sales	_		
Foreign exchange contracts	12.7						
Foreign exchange contracts		Cost of goods sold		Cost of goods sold	Φ		
Total	\$13.1		\$(2.5)		\$	_	

The unrealized loss on derivative contracts in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss expected to be recognized during the year ended September 30, 2017 is \$5.9 million.

The following table summarizes the gain (loss) associated with derivative contracts not designated as hedges in the Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014.

(in millions)	Line Item	2016	2015	2014
Commodity swaps	Cost of goods sold	\$ —	\$0.1	\$(0.1)
Foreign exchange contracts	Other non-operating expenses, net	3.1	(2.5)	3.1
Total		\$3.1	\$(2.6)	\$3.0

NOTE 13 - EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

Pension Benefits

The Company has various defined benefit pension plans covering some of its employees in the United States and certain employees in other countries, primarily the United Kingdom and Germany. Plans generally provide benefits of stated amounts for each year of service. The Company funds its U.S. pension plans in accordance with the requirements of the defined benefit pension plans and, where applicable, in amounts sufficient to satisfy the minimum funding requirements of applicable laws. Additionally, in compliance with the Company's funding policy, annual contributions to non-U.S. defined benefit plans are equal to the actuarial recommendations or statutory requirements in the respective countries. The Company also sponsors or participates in a number of other non-U.S. pension

arrangements, including various retirement and termination benefit plans, some of which are covered by local law or coordinated with government-sponsored plans, which are not significant in the aggregate and therefore are not included in the information presented below. The Company also has various nonqualified deferred compensation agreements with certain of its employees. Under certain of these agreements, the Company has agreed to pay certain amounts annually for the first 15 years subsequent to retirement or to a designated beneficiary upon death. It is management's intent that life insurance contracts owned by the Company will fund these agreements. Under the remaining agreements, the Company has agreed to pay such deferred amounts in up to 15 annual installments beginning on a date specified by the employee, subsequent to retirement or disability, or to a designated beneficiary upon death.

The following tables provide additional information on the Company's pension plans as of September 30, 2016 and 2015:

	U.S. Pla	ıns	Non U.S. Plans		
(in millions)	2016	2015	2016	2015	
Changes in benefit obligation:					
Benefit obligation, beginning of year	\$73.9	\$70.9	\$184.4	\$196.2	
Obligations assumed from acquisitions	_		_	0.6	
Transfer of obligation	_	_		(1.8)	
Service cost	0.2	0.4	2.6	2.6	
Interest cost	3.0	2.9	5.7	6.2	
Actuarial (gain) loss	6.2	3.3	36.0	10.6	
Curtailments	_		_	(0.9)	
Benefits paid	(3.8)	(3.6)	(6.1)	(11.8)	
Foreign currency exchange rate changes	_		(12.0)	(17.3)	
Benefit obligation, end of year	\$79.5	\$73.9	\$210.6	\$184.4	
Changes in plan assets:					
Fair value of plan assets, beginning of year	\$58.2	\$62.4	\$116.9	\$126.5	
Actual return on plan assets	5.3	(1.2)	8.9	3.6	
Employer contributions	4.1	0.6	6.6	7.8	
Benefits paid	(3.8)	(3.6)	(6.1)	(11.8)	
Foreign currency exchange rate changes	_	_	(11.3)	(9.2)	
Fair value of plan assets, end of year	\$63.8	\$58.2	\$115.0	\$116.9	
Funded Status	\$(15.7)	\$(15.7)	\$(95.6)	\$(67.5)	
Amounts recognized in statement of financial position					
Other accrued expenses	\$0.5	\$0.6	\$2.3	\$2.2	
Other long-term liabilities	15.2	15.1	93.3	65.3	
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(20.0)	(20.4)	(64.2)	(23.4)	
Weighted average assumptions					
Discount rate	3.50%	4.25%	1.00 -	1.75 -	
Discount rate	3.30%	4.23%	13.50%	13.81%	
Expected raturn on plan assats	7.00%	7 25%	1.00 -	3.50 -	
Expected return on plan assets	7.00%	7.25%	3.70%	5.26%	
Pata of compansation increases	N/A	N/A	2.25 -	2.25 -	
Rate of compensation increase	1 N/A	1 N/A	7.00%	5.50%	

Amounts reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss associated with employee benefit plan costs and recognized on the Company's Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014 were as follows:

(in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Cost of goods sold	\$1.4	\$0.6	\$0.6
Selling expenses	0.3	0.3	0.3
General and administrative expenses	0.7	0.5	0.5
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income	\$2.4	\$1.4	\$1.4

The net loss in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss expected to be recognized during the year ended September 30, 2017 is \$5.3 million.

The following table contains the components of net periodic benefit cost for the years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014:

	U.S Pl	ans		Non U.S. Plans			
(in millions)	2016	2015	2014	2016	2015	2014	
Service cost	\$0.2	\$0.4	\$0.2	\$2.6	\$ 2.6	\$ 3.0	
Interest cost	3.0	2.9	3.0	5.7	6.2	7.4	
Expected return on assets	(4.3)	(4.5)	(4.1)	(4.2)	(5.2)	(5.8)
Curtailment		_	_	0.1	0.7	(0.1))
Recognized net actuarial loss	0.6	0.2	0.1	0.8	1.3	1.4	
Net periodic benefit cost	\$(0.5)	\$(1.0)	\$(0.8)	\$5.0	\$ 5.6	\$ 5.9	
Weighted average assumptions							
Discount rate	4.25%	1 1507	1 6501	1.75 -	2.00 -	2.25 -	
Discount rate	4.23%	4.13%	4.05%	13.81	% 13.50%	12.50	%
Expected return on plan assets	7 25%	7 50%	77501	1.75 -	2.00 -	4.00 -	
Expected feturii on pian assets	1.25%	7.50%	1.13%	4.53%	5.26%	5.76%	,
Pote of compansation increase	NT/A	N/A	N/A	2.25 -	2.25 -	2.25 -	
Rate of compensation increase	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	,

The discount rate is used to calculate the projected benefit obligation. The discount rate used is based on the rate of return on government bonds as well as current market conditions of the respective countries where the plans are established. The expected return on plan assets is based on the Company's expectation of the long-term average rate of return of the capital market in which the plans invest. The expected return reflects the target asset allocations and considers the historical returns earned for each asset category.

The Company has established formal investment policies for the assets associated with these plans. Policy objectives include maximizing long-term return at acceptable risk levels, diversifying among asset classes, if appropriate, and among investment managers, as well as establishing relevant risk parameters within each asset class. Specific asset class targets are based on the results of periodic asset/liability studies. The investment policies permit variances from the targets within certain parameters. The plan assets currently do not include holdings of the Company's common stock.

Below is a summary allocation of all pension plan assets as of September 30, 2016 and 2015:

	US Plans			Non U.S. Plans				
Asset Type	201	6	201	5			201	5
Equity Securities	62	%	63	%	0	%	6	%
Fixed Income Securities	35	%	35	%	23	%	25	%
Other	3	%	2	%	77	%	69	%
Total	100)%	100	%	100	%	100	%

The fair value of pension plan assets by asset category as of September 30, 2016 and 2015 are as follows:

As of September 30, 2016 (in millions)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Equity Securities				
U.S. equity securities	\$22.2	\$6.3	\$ -	\$28.5
Foreign equity securities	10.4		_	10.4
Debt Securities				
U.S. bonds	19.6	1.7	_	21.3
Foreign bonds	1.9	24.1	_	26.0
Real estate	1.7	5.8	_	7.5
Life insurance contracts		37.0	_	37.0
Other		34.4	_	34.4
Foreign cash & cash equivalents	13.7		_	13.7
Total plan assets	\$69.5	\$109.3	\$ -	\$178.8
As of September 30, 2015 (in millions)	Level	Level	Level	Total
As of September 30, 2015 (in millions)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Equity Securities			3	Total
Equity Securities U.S. equity securities	1 \$18.6	2	3	\$25.7
Equity Securities U.S. equity securities Foreign equity securities	1	2	3	
Equity Securities U.S. equity securities	1 \$18.6	2\$7.1	3	\$25.7
Equity Securities U.S. equity securities Foreign equity securities	1 \$18.6	2\$7.1	3	\$25.7
Equity Securities U.S. equity securities Foreign equity securities Debt Securities	1 \$18.6 10.5	2 \$7.1 6.2	3	-\$25.7 16.7
Equity Securities U.S. equity securities Foreign equity securities Debt Securities U.S. bonds Foreign bonds Foreign government bonds	1 \$18.6 10.5 18.2	2 \$7.1 6.2 1.3	3	\$25.7 16.7 19.5
Equity Securities U.S. equity securities Foreign equity securities Debt Securities U.S. bonds Foreign bonds	1 \$18.6 10.5 18.2	2 \$7.1 6.2 1.3 15.1 11.2 6.0	3	\$25.7 16.7 19.5 18.1
Equity Securities U.S. equity securities Foreign equity securities Debt Securities U.S. bonds Foreign bonds Foreign government bonds	1 \$18.6 10.5 18.2 3.0	2 \$7.1 6.2 1.3 15.1 11.2	3	\$25.7 16.7 19.5 18.1 11.2
Equity Securities U.S. equity securities Foreign equity securities Debt Securities U.S. bonds Foreign bonds Foreign government bonds Real estate	1 \$18.6 10.5 18.2 3.0 — 1.2	2 \$7.1 6.2 1.3 15.1 11.2 6.0	3	\$25.7 16.7 19.5 18.1 11.2 7.2
Equity Securities U.S. equity securities Foreign equity securities Debt Securities U.S. bonds Foreign bonds Foreign government bonds Real estate Life insurance contracts	1 \$18.6 10.5 18.2 3.0 — 1.2	2 \$7.1 6.2 1.3 15.1 11.2 6.0 35.5	3	\$25.7 16.7 19.5 18.1 11.2 7.2 35.5

The following benefit payments are expected to be paid:

(in millions)	US Plans	Non U.S. Plans
2017	\$ 3.7	\$ 5.4
2018	3.8	5.7
2019	4.0	6.4
2020	4.1	6.8
2021	4.2	7.0
2022-2026	21.2	40.0

Defined Contribution Plans

The Company sponsors a defined contribution pension plan for its domestic salaried employees, which allows participants to make contributions by salary reduction pursuant to Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. The Company also sponsors defined contribution pension plans for employees of certain foreign subsidiaries. Company contributions charged to operations, including discretionary amounts, for the years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014 were \$11.8 million, \$11.2 million, and \$12.3 million.

NOTE 14 - INCOME TAXES

Income tax expense was calculated based upon the following components of income (loss) from operations before income taxes for the years ended September 30, 2016, 2015, and 2014:

•	SBH			SB/RH		
(in millions)	2016	2015	2014	2016	2015	2014
United States	\$197.8	\$3.4	\$80.7	\$203.5	\$9.8	\$83.3
Outside the United States	199.8	189.9	192.8	199.8	189.9	192.8
Income (loss) from operations before income taxes	\$397.6	\$193.3	\$273.5	\$403.3	\$199.7	\$276.1

The components of income tax expense for the years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014 are as follows:

	SBH			SB/RH		
(in millions)	2016	2015	2014	2016	2015	2014
Current tax expense:						
U.S. Federal	\$1.6	\$3.6	\$6.2	\$1.6	\$3.6	\$6.2
Foreign	59.7	40.4	46.6	59.7	40.4	46.6
State and local	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.5	4.3
Total current tax expense	65.5	48.5	57.1	65.5	48.5	57.1
Deferred tax (benefit) expense:						
U.S. Federal	(27.2)	(12.3)	19.7	(16.7)	(12.3)	19.7
Foreign	(1.1)	11.2	(8.2)	(1.1)	11.2	(8.2)
State and local	2.8	(3.5)	(9.6)	3.3	(3.5)	(9.6)
Total deferred tax expense	(25.5)	(4.6)	1.9	(14.5)	(4.6)	1.9
Income tax expense	\$40.0	\$43.9	\$59.0	\$51.0	\$43.9	\$59.0

The following reconciles the total income tax expense, based on the U.S. Federal statutory income tax rate of 35%, with the Company's recognized income tax expense:

	SBH			SB/RH		
(in millions)	2016	2015	2014	2016	2015	2014
U.S. Statutory federal income tax expense	\$139.2	\$67.6	\$95.7	\$141.2	\$69.9	\$96.6
Permanent items	9.1	5.2	4.6	9.1	5.2	4.6
Foreign statutory rate vs. U.S. statutory rate	(38.9)	(33.8)	(28.7)	(38.9)	(33.8)	(28.7)
State income taxes, net of federal effect	4.6	1.7	5.4	4.7	1.7	5.4
Residual tax on foreign earnings	19.7	24.8	90.9	19.7	24.8	90.9
Investment in foreign subsidiary	_	(23.3)	_	_	(23.3)	_
Purchase accounting benefit		(22.8)	_	_	(22.8)	_
Benefit from adjustment to tax basis in assets	(8.4)	_	_	(8.4)		_
Change in valuation allowance	(91.3)	2.6	(115.6)	(82.7)	0.5	(116.5)
Unrecognized tax expense (benefit)	34.6	(1.2)	0.5	34.6	(1.2)	0.5
Foreign tax law changes	(3.7)	_	(7.6)	(3.7)		(7.6)
Share based compensation adjustments	(2.8)	2.3	1.4	(2.8)	2.3	1.4
Impact of IRC Section 9100 relief	(16.4)	_	_	(16.4)		_
Adjustment to prior year NOLs	_	14.4	_	_	14.4	_
Return to provision adjustments and other, net	(5.7)	6.4	12.4	(5.4)	6.2	12.4
Income tax expense	\$40.0	\$43.9	\$59.0	\$51.0	\$43.9	\$59.0

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities as of September 30, 2016 and 2015 are as follows:

	SBH		SB/RH	
(in millions)	2016	2015	2016	2015
Deferred tax assets				
Employee benefits	\$86.3	\$63.2	\$83.5	\$60.9
Restructuring	2.2	4.1	2.2	4.1
Inventories and receivables	32.6	35.2	32.6	35.2
Marketing and promotional accruals	17.6	14.4	17.6	14.4
Prepaid royalty	6.0	6.3	6.0	6.3
Property, plant and equipment	8.4	11.6	8.4	11.6
Unrealized losses	4.2	2.7	4.2	2.7
Intangibles	3.7	6.1	3.7	6.1
Investment in non-US subsidiaries	_	23.3	_	23.3
Net operating loss and credit carry forwards	402.8	447.7	394.9	441.6
Other	24.1	32.8	23.8	32.6
Total deferred tax assets	587.9	647.4	576.9	638.8
Deferred tax liabilities				
Property, plant and equipment	20.1	27.1	20.1	27.1
Unrealized gains	5.1	18.6	5.1	18.6
Intangibles	813.4	840.8	813.4	840.8
Taxes on unremitted foreign earnings	2.7	2.4	2.7	2.4
Other	15.3	16.3	15.3	16.3
Total deferred tax liabilities	856.6	905.2	856.6	905.2
Net deferred tax liabilities	(268.7)	(257.8)	(279.7)	(266.4)
Valuation allowance	(245.7)	(305.4)	(245.7)	(296.8)
Net deferred tax liabilities, net valuation allowance	\$(514.4)	\$(563.2)	\$(525.4)	\$(563.2)
Reported as:				
Deferred charges and other	\$18.3	\$9.3	\$7.3	\$9.3
Deferred taxes (noncurrent liability)	(532.7)	(572.5)	(532.7)	(572.5)

During the fourth quarter of the year ended September 30, 2015, the Company recognized \$23.3 million of deferred tax assets related to its investment in one of its foreign subsidiaries because it was expected to reverse in the foreseeable future. The deferred tax asset reversed during the year ended September 30, 2016. The Company also recorded a \$14.4 million reduction in its net operating loss deferred tax assets, with a corresponding reduction in the valuation allowance, to reflect losses used as a result of prior year adjustments.

To the extent necessary, the Company intends to utilize earnings of foreign subsidiaries in order to support management's plans to voluntarily accelerate pay down of U.S. debt, fund distributions to shareholders, fund U.S. acquisitions and satisfy ongoing U.S. operational cash flow requirements. As a result, current and certain prior period earnings of the Company's non-U.S. subsidiaries are generally not considered to be permanently reinvested, except in jurisdictions where repatriation is either precluded or restricted by law. The Company annually estimates the available earnings, permanent reinvestment classification and the availability of and management's intent to use alternative mechanisms for repatriation for each jurisdiction in which the Company does business. Accordingly, the Company is providing residual U.S. and foreign deferred taxes on these earnings to the extent they cannot be repatriated in a tax-free manner.

The Company has provided residual taxes on \$102.0 million of distributions from foreign earnings for the year ended September 30, 2016 with \$93.6 million of earnings not yet taxed in the U.S. resulting in an increase in income tax expense of \$36.7 million. The residual domestic taxes from foreign earnings are recognized as a reduction to net operating loss and credit carryforwards deferred tax assets and taxes on unremitted foreign earnings are recognized as a deferred tax liability. The Company has provided residual taxes on \$37.5 million of distributions from foreign earnings for the year ended September 30, 2015 with no earnings not yet taxed in the U.S. resulting in a decrease in

income tax expense of \$0.3 million. Remaining undistributed earnings of the Company's foreign operations are \$219.4 million at September 30, 2016, and are intended to remain permanently invested. Accordingly, no residual income taxes have been provided on those earnings. If at some future date these earnings cease to be permanently invested, the Company may be subject to U.S. income taxes and foreign withholding and other taxes on such amounts, which cannot be reasonably estimated at this time.

As of September 30, 2016, the Company has U.S. federal net operating loss carryforwards ("NOLs") of \$758.9 million with a federal tax benefit of \$265.6 million and tax benefits related to state NOLs of \$60.6 million and capital loss carryforwards of \$19.8 million with a federal and state tax benefit of \$7.6 million. The Company has an additional \$4.3 million of federal and state NOLs for which benefits will be recorded to Additional Paid-in Capital when these carryforwards are used. These NOLs expire through years ending in 2036. As of September 30, 2016, the Company has foreign NOLs of \$136.3 million and tax benefits of \$38.4 million, which will expire beginning

in the Company's fiscal year ending September 30, 2017. Certain of the foreign NOLs have indefinite carryforward periods. The Company is subject to an annual limitation on the use of its NOLs that arose prior to its emergence from bankruptcy in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009. The Company has had multiple changes of ownership, as defined under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, that subject the Company's U.S. federal and state NOLs and other tax attributes to certain limitations. The annual limitation is based on a number of factors including the value of the Company's stock (as defined for tax purposes) on the date of the ownership change, its net unrealized gain position on that date, the occurrence of realized gains in years subsequent to the ownership change and the effects of subsequent ownership changes (as defined for tax purposes), if any. In addition, separate return year limitations apply to limit the Company's utilization of the acquired Russell Hobbs U.S. federal and state NOLs to future income of the Russell Hobbs subgroup. Due to these limitations, the Company estimates, as of September 30, 2016, that \$460.4 million of the total U.S. federal NOLs with a federal tax benefit of \$161.1 million and \$16.7 million of the tax benefit related to state NOLs will expire unused even if the Company generates sufficient income to otherwise use all of its NOLs. The Company also projects, as of September 30, 2015, that \$35.4 million of tax benefits related to foreign NOLs will not be used. The Company has provided a full valuation allowance against these deferred tax assets.

A valuation allowance is recorded when it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of the deferred tax assets depends on the ability of the Company to generate sufficient taxable income of the appropriate character in the future and in the appropriate taxing jurisdictions. The Company has earned pretax profits in the US each of the last three years. Large, profitable US businesses were acquired in years ended September 30, 2015 and 2013, and the Company's debt levels and blended interest rates have decreased over time. The combination of US operating results and the changes in the Company's US operating profile led the Company to conclude during the year ended September 30, 2016 that it is more likely than not its U.S. deferred tax assets will be used to reduce taxable income, except for tax attributes subject to ownership change limitations, capital losses, and certain state operating losses and credits that will expire unused.

The Company released \$111.1 million of domestic valuation allowance during the year ended September 30, 2016. Approximately \$25.1 million of the domestic valuation allowance release results from additional deferred tax assets created by the adoption of ASU No. 2016-09, effective as of October 1, 2015. In December 2015, the Company received a ruling from the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") which resulted in \$87.8 million of U.S. net operating losses being restored and a release of \$16.2 million of domestic valuation allowance from additional deferred tax assets created by the IRS ruling. The Company recorded tax expense of \$3.1 million related to additional foreign valuation allowance during the year ended September 30, 2016.

As of September 30, 2016, the valuation allowance was \$245.7 million, of which \$203.7 million is related to U.S. net deferred tax assets and \$42.0 million is related to foreign net deferred tax assets. As of September 30, 2015, the valuation allowance was \$305.4 million, of which \$268.7 million is related to U.S. net deferred tax assets and \$36.7 million is related to foreign net deferred tax assets. During the year ended September 30, 2016, the Company decreased its valuation allowance for deferred tax assets by \$59.7 million, of which \$65.0 million is related to a decrease in valuation allowance against U.S. net deferred tax assets and \$5.3 million related to an increase in the valuation allowance against foreign net deferred tax assets. During the year ended September 30, 2015, the Company recorded valuation allowances of \$17.0 million against the deferred tax assets of various Latin America entities as it is more likely than not that the Company will not obtain tax benefits from these assets. During the year ended September 30, 2015, the Company decreased its valuation allowance for deferred tax assets by \$27.7 million, of which \$30.4 million related to a decrease in valuation allowance against U.S. net deferred tax assets and \$2.7 million related to an increase in the valuation allowance against foreign net deferred tax assets. As a result of the AAG acquisition, the Company reversed \$22.8 million of U.S. valuation allowance during the year ended September 30, 2015. The reversal was attributable to \$22.8 million of net deferred tax liabilities recorded on the AAG acquisition balance sheet which offset other U.S net deferred tax assets. During the year ended September 30, 2015, the Company recorded valuation allowances of \$17.0 million against the deferred tax assets of various Latin America entities as it is more likely than not that the Company will not obtain tax benefits from these assets. During the year ended September 30, 2014, the Company decreased its valuation allowance for deferred tax assets by \$121.5 million, of which \$122.6 million related to a decrease in the valuation allowance against U.S. net deferred tax assets and \$1.1 million related to an increase in

the valuation allowance against foreign net deferred tax assets. As a result of the one time internal restructuring and debt refinancing activities, the Company reversed \$62.6 million of U.S. valuation allowance during the year ended September 30, 2014.

The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits at September 30, 2016 and 2015 are \$47.4 million and \$14.1 million, respectively. If recognized in the future, \$47.4 million of the unrecognized tax benefits as of September 30, 2016 will impact the effective tax rate. The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions in income tax expense. As of September 30, 2016 and 2015 the Company had \$3.2 million and \$2.8 million, respectively, of accrued interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions. The impact on income tax expense related to interest and penalties for the years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014 was a net increase of \$0.4 million, \$0.9 million and \$1.1 million, respectively. The following table summarizes the changes to the amount of unrecognized tax benefits for the years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014:

```
(in millions)
                                              2016
                                                     2015
                                                            2014
Unrecognized tax benefits, beginning of year
                                              $14.1 $11.3 $13.8
Gross increase - tax positions in prior period
                                              29.9
                                                     4.1
                                                             1.5
Gross decrease - tax positions in prior period
                                              (0.4)(1.9)(1.4)
Gross increase - tax positions in current period
                                              4.4
                                                     1.8
                                                            0.7
Settlements
                                              (0.6)(0.9)(2.5)
Lapse of statutes of limitations
                                                     (0.3)(0.8)
Unrecognized tax benefits, end of year
                                              $47.4 $14.1 $11.3
```

The increase in unrecognized tax benefits for the year ended September 30, 2016 includes a \$25.5 million expense to record a tax contingency reserve for a tax exposure in Germany. During the year, a local court ruled against the Company's characterization of certain assets as amortizable under Germany tax law. We have appealed this ruling to the German Federal Court.

The Company files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and various state, local and foreign jurisdictions and is subject to ongoing examination by the various taxing authorities. The Company's major taxing jurisdictions are the U.S., United Kingdom and Germany. In the U.S., federal tax filings for years prior to and including the Company's fiscal year ended September 30, 2012 are closed. However, the federal NOLs from the Company's fiscal years ended September 30, 2012 and prior are subject to Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") examination until the year that such net operating loss carryforwards are utilized and those years are closed for audit. Filings in various U.S. state and local jurisdictions are also subject to audit and to date no significant audit matters have arisen. As of September 30, 2016, certain of the Company's legal entities are undergoing income tax audits. The Company cannot predict the ultimate outcome of the examinations; however, it is reasonably possible that during the next twelve months some portion of previously unrecognized tax benefits could be recognized.

NOTE 15 - RELATED PARTIES

The Company is subject to a stockholder agreement, dated February 9, 2010 ("Stockholder Agreement"), with its majority shareholder, HRG Group, Inc. ("HRG"), which provides certain protective provisions in favor of minority stockholders and provides certain rights and imposes certain obligations on HRG and its affiliates, including: for so long as the HRG and their affiliates beneficially own 40% or more of the outstanding voting securities of the Company, HRG and the Company will cooperate to ensure, to the greatest extent possible, the continuation of the structure of the Company's board of directors as described in the Stockholder Agreement;

HRG will not effect any transfer of equity securities of the Company to any person that would result in such person and its affiliates owning 40% or more of the outstanding voting securities of the Company, unless specified conditions are met; and

HRG will be granted certain access and informational rights with respect to the Company and its subsidiaries. Certain provisions of the Stockholder Agreement terminate on the date on which the HRG no longer constitutes a Significant Stockholder (as defined in the Stockholder Agreement). The Stockholder Agreement terminates when any person, including HRG, acquires 90% or more of the outstanding voting securities of the Company.

HRG and the Company also entered into a registration rights agreement, dated as of February 9, 2010 (the "Registration Rights Agreement"), pursuant to which HRG and its affiliates have, among other things and subject to the terms and conditions set forth therein, certain demand and so-called "piggy back" registration rights with respect to their shares of the Company's common stock.

Jefferies LLC ("Jefferies"), a wholly owned subsidiary of Leucadia National Corporation, which through subsidiaries beneficially owns more than 10% of the outstanding common stock of HRG, which in turn owns 58% of the

Company's outstanding common stock. For the year ended September 30, 2016, Jefferies acted as one of the initial purchasers for SBI's offering of €425 million of its 4.00% Notes due 2026, for which Jefferies received \$0.3 million in discounts, commissions and reimbursements of expenses. For the year ended September 30, 2015, Jefferies acted as (i) one of the initial purchasers for SBI's offering of \$1.0 billion of its 5.75% Notes due 2025, for which Jefferies received \$2.6 million in discounts, commissions and reimbursements of expenses, (ii) one of the underwriters for the Company's \$575 million offering of common stock in May 2015, for which Jefferies received \$1.5 million in discounts, commissions and reimbursements of expenses, and (iii) one of the financing institutions that committed to provide "back stop" bridge facilities in an aggregate amount of \$1.5 billion in connection with the financing of the AAG acquisition, for which Jefferies received \$2.1 million in fees and reimbursements of expenses.

NOTE 16 - SHARE BASED COMPENSATION

On October 21, 2010, the Board adopted the Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. 2011 Omnibus Equity Award Plan (the "Equity Plan"). During the year ended September 30, 2014, the Equity Plan was amended to increase the number of shares issuable under the Equity Plan to 5,626 shares of common stock of the Company, net of cancellations. The Company measures the compensation expense of its stock-based compensation awards, which consist of restricted stock units ("RSUs"), based on the fair value of the awards at the date of grant, and recognizes these costs on a straight line basis over the requisite service period of the awards. The fair value of the RSUs is determined based on the market price of the Company's shares of common stock on the grant date.

During the year ended September 30, 2016, the Company granted 0.6 million RSUs, which include 0.4 million units that vested immediately or within 12 months, and 0.2 million units that are performance-based and vest within a two-year period. During the year ended September 30, 2015, the Company granted 0.6 million RSUs, which include 0.1 million units that vested immediately or within 12 months, 0.2 unit million units that vest over a one year period, and 0.3 million units that are performance-based and vest within a two-year period. During the year ended September 30, 2014, the Company granted 0.7 million RSUs, which include 0.3 million units that vested immediately or within 12 months, 0.1 million units that vest over a one year period and 0.3 million units that are performance-based and vest within a two year period. Share based compensation expense recognized by SBH during the years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014 was \$64.4 million, \$47.6 million, and \$46.8 million, respectively.

Share based compensation expense recognized by SB/RH during the years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014 was \$59.3 million, \$41.8 million and \$44.9 million, respectively. Share based compensation expense is recognized as General and Administrative Expenses on the Consolidated Statements of Income. The remaining unamortized compensation cost related to non-vested RSUs at September 30, 2016 is \$18.1 million and \$16.7 million for the SBH and SB/RH, respectively. The following is a summary of the RSU activity for the years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014:

	SBH			RH			
		Weighted			Weighted		
		Average			Average		
		Grant	Fair		Grant	Fair	
		Date	Value		Date	Value	
(in millions, except per share data)	Share	Fair Value	at Grant Date	Share	Fair Value	at Grant Date	
At September 30, 2013	1.1	\$ 39.11	\$43.7	1.1	\$ 39.12	\$43.1	
Granted	0.7	75.50	50.5	0.6	75.82	48.6	
Forfeited	_	69.33	(0.4)		69.33	(0.4)	
Vested	(1.0)	39.69	(37.8)	(0.9)	39.34	(36.7)	
At September 30, 2014	0.8	67.66	56.0	0.8	67.90	54.6	
Granted	0.6	92.51	52.9	0.5	93.12	42.3	
Forfeited	(0.1)	85.16	(5.3)	(0.1)	85.16	(5.3)	
Vested	(0.7)	69.00	(50.4)	(0.7)	68.98	(49.5)	
At September 30, 2015	0.6	87.50	53.2	0.5	87.71	42.1	
Granted	0.6	94.88	56.0	0.6	95.00	54.1	
Forfeited	(0.1)	92.26	(6.6)	(0.1)	92.26	(6.6)	
Vested	(0.5)	86.97	(47.8)	(0.5)	86.78	(44.3)	
At September 30, 2016	0.6	94.97	\$54.8	0.5	96.92	\$45.3	

NOTE 17 - ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The changes in the components of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes, was as follows:

	Foreign Currency		Hedgir	ıg	Employ Benefit	ee		
(in millions)	Translatio	on	Activit	y	Plans		Total	
Year Ended September 30, 2014								
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, as of September 30, 2013	\$ (7.0	-	-)	\$ (29.2)
Other comprehensive (loss) income before reclassification	(32.6)	13.1		(6.6)	(26.1)
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income			2.6		1.4		4.0	
Other comprehensive (loss) income	(32.6)	\$ 15.7		\$ (5.2)	(22.1))
Deferred tax effect			(4.2)	2.9		(1.3)
Deferred tax valuation allowance					(1.3)	(1.3))
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax	(32.6)	11.5		(3.6)	(24.7)
Other comprehensive loss attributable to non-controlling interest	(0.1))	_				(0.1))
Other comprehensive (loss) income attributable to controlling interest	(32.5)	11.5		(3.6)	(24.6)
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income, as of September 30, 2014	(39.5)	\$ 9.2		\$ (32.8)	(63.1)
Year Ended September 30, 2015								
Other comprehensive (loss) income before reclassification	(113.0)	11.3		(12.9))	(114.6)
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	_		(27.5)	1.4		(26.1)
Other comprehensive loss	(113.0)	(16.2)	(11.5)	(140.7)
Deferred tax effect	_		5.2		3.9		9.1	
Deferred tax valuation allowance	_		(2.2)	(3.4)	(5.6)
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax	(113.0)	(13.2)	(11.0))	(137.2)
Other comprehensive loss attributable to non-controlling interest	(0.2)			_		(0.2))
Other comprehensive loss attributable to controlling interest	(112.8)	(13.2)	(11.0))	(137.0)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, as of September 30, 2015	(152.3)	(4.0)	(43.8)	(200.1)
Year Ended September 30, 2016								
Other comprehensive (loss) income before reclassification	(6.2)	11.1		(41.4)	(36.5)
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)			(1.1)	2.4		1.3	
Other comprehensive (loss) income	(6.2)	10.0		(39.0)	(35.2)
Deferred tax effect	(2.3)	(2.8)	10.9		5.8	
Deferred tax valuation allowance			(0.1))	(0.1)	(0.2))
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax	(8.5))	7.1		(28.2)	(29.6)
Other comprehensive loss attributable to non-controlling interest	(0.3)	_				(0.3))
Other comprehensive (loss) income attributable to controlling interest	(8.2)	7.1		(28.2)	(29.3)
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income, as of September 30, 2016	\$ (160.5)	\$ 3.1		\$ (72.0)	\$(229.4	1)

See Note 12, "Derivatives" for further detail on the Company's derivative hedging activity. See Note 13, "Employee Benefit Plans" for further detail over the Company's defined benefit plans.

NOTE 18 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company is a defendant in various litigation matters generally arising out of the ordinary course of business. The Company does not believe that any of the matters or proceedings presently pending will have a material adverse effect on its results of operations, financial condition, liquidity or cash flows.

The Company has provided for the estimated costs of \$4.4 million, as of September 30, 2016 and 2015, associated with environmental remediation activities at some of its current and former manufacturing sites. The Company believes that any additional liability in excess of the amounts provided that may result from resolution of these matters, will not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows of the Company.

NOTE 19 - SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company identifies its segments based upon the internal organization that is used by management for making operating decisions and assessing performance as the source of the Company's reportable segments. The Company manufactures, markets and/or distributes multiple product lines through various distribution networks, and in multiple geographic regions. The Company manages its business in five vertically integrated, product-focused reporting segments: (i) Global Batteries & Appliances, which consists of the Company's worldwide battery, electric personal care and small appliances businesses; (ii) Hardware & Home Improvement, which consists of the Company's worldwide hardware, home improvement and plumbing businesses; (iii) Global Pet Supplies, which consists of the Company's

worldwide pet supplies business; (iv) Home and Garden, which consists of the Company's home and garden and insect control businesses; and (v) Global Auto Care, and consists of the Company's automotive appearance and performance products. Global strategic initiatives and financial objectives for each reportable segment are determined at the corporate level. Each segment is responsible for implementing defined strategic initiatives and achieving certain financial objectives, and has a general manager responsible for the sales and marketing initiatives and financial results for product lines within the segment. Net sales attributable to foreign countries are determined based on the domiciled country of the customer.

Net sales relating to the segments for the years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014 are as follows:

	SBH			SB/RH		
Net sales to external customers (in millions)	2016	2015	2014	2016	2015	2014
Consumer batteries	\$840.7	\$829.5	\$957.8	\$840.7	\$829.5	\$957.8
Small appliances	656.0	734.6	730.8	656.0	734.6	730.8
Personal care	513.6	528.1	542.1	513.6	528.1	542.1
Global Batteries & Appliances	2,010.3	2,092.2	2,230.7	2,010.3	2,092.2	2,230.7
Hardware & Home Improvement	1,241.0	1,205.5	1,166.0	1,241.0	1,205.5	1,166.0
Global Pet Supplies	825.7	758.2	600.5	825.7	758.2	600.5
Home and Garden	509.0	474.0	431.9	509.0	474.0	431.9
Global Auto Care	453.7	160.5	_	453.7	160.5	_
Net sales	\$5,039.7	\$4,690.4	\$4,429.1	\$5,039.7	\$4,690.4	\$4,429.1

During the year ended September 30, 2016, the Company changed its performance metric to Adjusted EBITDA to better reflect how the Chief Operating Decision Maker is currently evaluating the business and making operating decisions. All amounts for prior periods have been recast to reflect current presentation. EBITDA is calculated by excluding the Company's income tax expense, interest expense, depreciation expense and amortization expense (from intangible assets) from net income. Adjusted EBITDA further excludes (i) share based compensation expense as it is a non-cash based compensation cost; (ii) acquisition and integration costs that consist of transaction costs from acquisition transactions during the period, or subsequent integration related project costs directly associated with the acquired business; (iii) restructuring and related costs, which consist of project costs associated with restructuring initiatives across the segments; (iv) non-cash purchase accounting inventory adjustments recognized in earnings subsequent to an acquisition; (v) non-cash asset impairments or write-offs realized; (vi) and other. During the year ended September 30, 2016, other adjustments consisted of costs associated with the onboarding of a key executive and the involuntary transfer of inventory. During the year ended September 30, 2015, other consisted of costs associated with the exiting of a key executive, coupled with onboarding a key executive, plus a non-recurring adjustment for the devaluation of cash and cash equivalents denominated in Venezuelan currency. During the year ended September 30, 2014, other consisted of costs associated with the exiting of a key executive.

Segment Adjusted EBITDA in relation to the Company's reportable segments for the years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014, is as follows:

	SBH			SB/RH		
Segment Adjusted EBITDA (in millions)	2016	2015	2014	2016	2015	2014
Global Batteries & Appliances	\$311.4	\$306.9	\$326.6	\$311.4	\$306.9	\$326.6
Hardware & Home Improvement	241.6	225.5	210.3	241.6	225.5	210.3
Global Pet Supplies	140.1	124.5	113.2	140.1	124.5	113.2
Home and Garden	138.3	124.5	101.8	138.3	124.5	101.8
Global Auto Care	153.4	47.3	_	153.4	47.3	
Total Segment Adjusted EBITDA	984.8	828.7	751.9	984.8	828.7	751.9
Depreciation and amortization	183.0	170.0	157.6	183.0	170.0	157.6
Share-based compensation	64.4	47.6	46.8	59.3	41.8	44.9
Corporate expenses	32.0	28.1	27.6	31.4	27.5	26.9
Purchase accounting inventory adjustment	_	21.7	_	_	21.7	
Write-off from impairment of intangible assets	4.7	_	_	4.7	_	
Acquisition and integration related charges	36.7	58.8	20.1	36.7	58.8	20.1

Edgar Filing: HRG GROUP, INC. - Form 10-K

Restructuring and related charges	15.2	28.7	22.9	15.2	28.7	22.9
Interest expense	250.0	271.9	202.1	250.0	271.9	202.1
Other	1.2	8.6	1.3	1.2	8.6	1.3
Income from operations before income taxes	\$397.6	\$193.3	\$273.5	\$403.3	\$199.7	\$276.1

Other financial information relating to the Company's segments is as follows for the years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014 and as of September 30, 2016 and 2015:

2013 and 2014 and as of september	1 50, 201	o ana	201.	٥.					
		SBH	[SB/RH		
Depreciation and amortization (in a	millions)	2016	5 2	2015	2	2014	2016	2015	2014
Global Batteries & Appliances		\$72.	2 5	\$71.0	0 5	\$73.1	\$72.2	\$71.0	\$73.1
Hardware & Home Improvement		35.4	2	39.4	4	40.4	35.4	39.4	40.4
Global Pet Supplies		42.7	2	39.7	3	31.5	42.7	39.7	31.5
Home and Garden		15.2		13.3	1	12.6	15.2	13.3	12.6
Global Auto Care		17.5	(6.6	-		17.5	6.6	_
Total segments		183.	0	170.0) 1	157.6	183.0	170.0	157.6
Corporate		_	-		-		_	_	_
Total depreciation and amortization	1	\$183	3.0	\$170	0.0	\$157.6	\$183.0	\$170.0	\$157.6
	SBH			S	B/R	RH			
Capital expenditures (in millions)	2016	2015	201	4 2	016	2015	2014		
Global Batteries & Appliances	\$49.6	\$48.9	\$40	0.3 \$	49.	6 \$48.9	9 \$40.3		
Hardware & Home Improvement	22.3	16.3	21.2	2 2	2.3	16.3	21.2		
Global Pet Supplies	14.4	10.4	5.3	1	4.4	10.4	5.3		
Home and Garden Business	6.9	12.3	6.5	6	.9	12.3	6.5		
Global Auto Care	2.0	1.2	_	2	0.	1.2			
Total segment capital expenditures	95.2	89.1	73	3 9	5.2	89.1	73.3		
Corporate				_	_				
Total capital expenditures	\$95.2	\$89.1	\$73	3.3 \$	95.	2 \$89.	1 \$73.3		
	SBH			SB	/RH	[
Segment total assets (in millions)	2016	2015	5	201	16	201:	5		
Global Batteries & Appliances	\$2,045.0	\$2,0	80.4	l \$2,	,045	.0 \$2,0	080.4		
Hardware & Home Improvement	1,594.7	1,619	9.9	1,5	94.7	7 1,61	9.9		
Global Pet Supplies	1,074.1	1,123	5.2	1,0	74.1	1,12	25.2		
Home and Garden	556.8	530.9	9	556	5.8	530	.9		
Global Auto Care	1,494.3	1,543	3.1	1,4	94.3	3 1,54	13.1		
Total segment assets	6,764.9	6,899	9.5	6,7	64.9	6,89	9.5		
Corporate	304.2	294.3	3	288	3.6	294	.2		
Total assets	\$7,069.1	\$7,1	93.8	3 \$7,	,053	.5 \$7,1	193.7		
Net sales for the years ended Septe	mber 30,	2016,	201	l5 an	d 20	014 and	l long-li	ved asse	t information as c
20, 2016 and 2015 by goographic							-		

of September 30, 2016 and 2015 by geographic area are as follows:

	SBH			SB/RH		
Net sales to external parties - Geographic Disclosure (in millions)	2016	2015	2014	2016	2015	2014
United States	\$3,217.9	\$2,907.9	\$2,640.7	\$3,217.9	\$2,907.9	\$2,640.7
Europe/MEA	1,090.7	1,049.8	970.4	1,090.7	1,049.8	970.4
Latin America	372.7	381.5	414.3	372.7	381.5	414.3
North America - Other	192.4	164.0	196.0	192.4	164.0	196.0
Asia-Pacific	166.0	187.2	207.7	166.0	187.2	207.7
Net sales	\$5,039.7	\$4,690.4	\$4,429.1	\$5,039.7	\$4,690.4	\$4,429.1

	SBH		SB/RH	
Long-lived assets - Geographic Disclosure (in millions)	2016	2015	2016	2015
United States	\$322.1	\$311.1	\$322.1	\$311.1
Europe/MEA	141.4	139.2	141.4	139.2
Latin America	33.6	14.6	33.6	14.6
North America - Other	3.5	2.4	3.5	2.4
Asia-Pacific	41.5	39.8	41.5	39.8
Total long-lived assets	\$542.1	\$507.1	\$542.1	\$507.1

NOTE 20 - EARNINGS PER SHARE - SBH

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income attributable to controlling interest by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings per share reflects the dilution that would occur if restricted stock units were converted into common shares that then shared in the net income of the entity available to common shareholders, as long as their effect is not antidilutive. In computing diluted earnings per share, basic earnings per share is adjusted for the assumed issuance of potentially diluted share-based awards, including restricted stock units. The Company uses the treasury stock method to reflect dilution of restricted stock units.

The reconciliation of the numerator and denominator of the basic and diluted earnings per share calculation and the anti-dilutive shares for the years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014, are as follows:

(in millions, except per share amounts)	2016	2015	2014
Numerator			
Net income attributable to controlling interest	\$357.1	\$148.9	\$214.1
Denominator			
Weighted average shares outstanding - basic	59.3	55.6	52.6
Dilutive shares	0.3	0.3	0.7
Weighted average shares outstanding - diluted	59.6	55.9	53.3
Earnings per share			
Basic earnings per share	\$6.02	\$2.68	\$4.07
Diluted earnings per share	\$5.99	\$2.66	\$4.02
Weighted average number of anti-dilutive shares excluded from denominator			
Restricted stock units	0.1	0.1	0.1

Performance based restricted stock units are considered anti-dilutive if the performance targets upon which the issuance of the shares is contingent have not been achieved and the respective performance period has not been completed as of the end of the current period.

NOTE 21 - GUARANTOR STATEMENTS - SB/RH

SBI (with SB/RH as a parent guarantor) (collectively, the "Parent"), with their domestic subsidiaries as subsidiary guarantors, has issued the 6.375% Notes and the 6.625% Notes under the 2020/22 Indenture, 6.125% Notes under the 2024 Indenture, the 5.75% Notes under the 2025 Indenture and the 4.00% Notes under the 2026 Indenture. See Note 10, "Debt" for further information on the 6.375% Notes, 6.625% Notes, 6.125% Notes, 5.75% Notes and 4.00% Notes. The following consolidating financial statements illustrate the components of the consolidated financial statements of SB/RH. Investments in subsidiaries are accounted for using the equity method for purposes of illustrating the consolidating presentation. The elimination entries presented herein eliminate investments in subsidiaries and intercompany balances and transactions.

Statement of Financial Position As of September 30, 2016 (in millions)	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Nonguarantor Subsidiaries		s Consolidated
Assets					
Current assets:	Φ00.6	Φ 2 1	ф 1 <i>C</i> О 1	¢.	ф 27 0 0
Cash and cash equivalents	\$98.6	\$ 3.1	\$ 169.1	\$ <i>—</i>	\$ 270.8
Trade receivables, net	179.5	68.7	234.4	(1.142.5	482.6
Intercompany receivables		909.1	233.4	` ')
Other receivables		5.5	56.3		55.6
Inventories	372.8	104.3	281.1	•	740.6
Prepaid expenses and other	42.8	4.4	32.1	. ,	78.8
Total current assets	693.7	1,095.1	1,006.4	(1,166.8	1,628.4
Property, plant and equipment, net	241.1	77.6	223.4	<u> </u>	542.1
Long-term intercompany receivables	365.4	187.3	13.7	` ,) — 22 1
Deferred charges and other	180.5	0.9	41.5	(190.8	32.1
Goodwill	912.1	1,154.5	411.8		2,478.4
Intangible assets, net	1,341.5	628.5	402.5		2,372.5
Investments in subsidiaries	3,497.8	1,258.1			· —
Total assets	\$7,232.1	\$ 4,402.0	\$ 2,096.4	\$ (6,677.0	\$ 7,053.5
Liabilities and Shareholder's Equity					
Current liabilities:					
Current portion of long-term debt	\$143.6	\$ 1.4	\$ 19.9	\$ (0.9	\$ 164.0
Accounts payable	257.5	58.4	264.2	_	580.1
Intercompany accounts payable	1,157.0	_		(1,157.0	
Accrued wages and salaries	63.9	6.6	52.4		122.9
Accrued interest	39.3				39.3
Other current liabilities	88.0	11.0	95.5	(6.2	188.3
Total current liabilities	1,749.3	77.4	432.0	(1,164.1	1,094.6
Long-term debt, net of current portion	3,402.5	20.5	33.2		3,456.2
Long-term intercompany debt	12.8	346.1	192.6	(551.5	· —
Deferred income taxes	189.0	459.2	80.3	(195.8	532.7
Other long-term liabilities	39.5	1.0	100.1	_	140.6
Total liabilities	5,393.1	904.2	838.2	(1,911.4	5,224.1
Shareholder' equity:					
Other capital	2,060.9	152.3	(954.0)	741.7	2,000.9
Accumulated (deficit) earnings	8.0	3,551.6	2,362.1		8.1
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	(229.9)	(206.1)		406.3	(229.4)
Total shareholder's equity	1,839.0	3,497.8	1,208.4		1,779.6
Non-controlling interest		_	49.8		49.8
Total equity	1,839.0	3,497.8	1,258.2	(4,765.6	1,829.4
Total liabilities and equity	\$7,232.1	\$ 4,402.0	\$ 2,096.4		\$ 7,053.5

Edgar Filing: HRG GROUP, INC. - Form 10-K

Statement of Financial Position As of September 30, 2015 (in millions)	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Nonguarantor Subsidiaries		s Consolidated
Assets					
Current assets: Cash and cash equivalents	\$13.0	\$ 8.6	\$ 226.3	\$	\$ 247.9
Trade receivables, net	175.8	94.9	228.1	φ—	498.8
Intercompany receivables	152.0	713.8	225.0	(1,090.8	+90.0) —
Other receivables	14.3	11.2	62.4	(1,090.6	87.9
Inventories	410.3	95.7	291.8	(17.0	780.8
Prepaid expenses and other	36.1	2.2	33.0	0.8	72.1
Total current assets	801.5	926.4	1,066.6		1,687.5
Property, plant and equipment, net	235.2	60.7	211.2	(1,107.0	507.1
Long-term intercompany receivables	2.8	357.7	15.4	(375.9) —
Deferred charges and other	154.8	14.1	35.3	`) 42.1
Goodwill	910.7	1,154.0	412.0	(102.1	2,476.7
Intangible assets, net	1,402.4	646.6	431.3		2,480.3
Investments in subsidiaries	3,150.1	1,095.9		(4,243.1) —
Total assets	6,657.5	4,255.4	2,168.9		7,193.7
Liabilities and Shareholder's Equity	0,037.3	1,233.1	2,100.)	(3,000.1	, 1,175.7
Current liabilities:					
Current portion of long-term debt	53.4		15.1		68.5
Accounts payable	281.1	45.9	293.6		620.6
Intercompany accounts payable	449.4		28.5	(477.9) —
Accrued wages and salaries	40.3	10.0	46.2	_	96.5
Accrued interest	63.2	_	0.1		63.3
Other current liabilities	84.5	21.5	106.0	(0.1	211.9
Total current liabilities	971.9	77.4	489.5	`	1,060.8
Long-term debt, net of current portion	3,848.8	_	23.3		3,872.1
Long-term intercompany debt	16.8	578.7	392.6	(988.1) —
Deferred income taxes	202.1	440.5	94.2	`	572.5
Other long-term liabilities	33.3	8.8	73.4		115.5
Total liabilities	5,072.9	1,105.4	1,073.0	(1,630.4	5,620.9
Shareholder's equity:	,	,	,		
Other capital	1,981.7	1,129.2	34.7	(1,175.7	1,969.9
Accumulated (deficit) earnings		2,139.8	1,176.1		(246.7)
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	(200.2)	(175.1)		346.2	(200.1)
Total shareholder's equity	1,534.8	3,093.9	1,039.8	(4,145.4	1,523.1
Non-controlling interest	49.8	56.1	56.1		49.7
Total equity	1,584.6	3,150.0	1,095.9		1,572.8
Total liabilities and equity	\$6,657.5	\$ 4,255.4	\$ 2,168.9		\$ 7,193.7

Statement of Income Year ended September 30, 2016 (in millions)	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Nonguarantor Subsidiaries		ons Consolidated
Net sales	\$2,466.2	\$ 1,461.2	\$ 2,621.0) \$ 5,039.7
Cost of goods sold	1,671.2	1,011.6	1,943.1	(1,506.6) 3,119.3
Restructuring and related charges			0.5		0.5
Gross profit	795.0	449.6	677.4	(2.1) 1,919.9
Selling	317.9	119.9	340.3	(1.5) 776.6
General and administrative	229.8	76.0	60.9	(0.1) 366.6
Research and development	37.2	6.4	15.1		58.7
Acquisition and integration related charges	21.5	3.2	12.0		36.7
Restructuring and related charges	4.9	5.7	4.1		14.7
Write-off from impairment of intangible assets	4.7			_	4.7
Total operating expense	616.0	211.2	432.4	(1.6) 1,258.0
Operating income (loss)	179.0	238.4	245.0	(0.5)) 661.9
Interest expense	214.0	19.9	16.1	_	250.0
Other non-operating (income) expense, net		. ,	9.0	577.1	8.6
Income from operations before income taxes	346.1	414.9	219.9	(577.6) 403.3
Income tax expense (benefit)		36.6	23.4	(2.8) 51.0
Net income (loss)	352.3	378.3	196.5	(574.8) 352.3
Net income attributable to non-controlling interest	_	_	0.4	_	0.4
Net income (loss) attributable to controlling	\$352.3	\$ 378.3	\$ 196.1	\$ (574.8) \$ 351.9
interest	Ψ332.3	ψ 376.3	ψ 1/0.1	ψ (374.6) ψ 331.7
Statement of Income		Guarantor	Nonguaranto		
Statement of Income Year ended September 30, 2015 (in millions)	Parent		Nonguaranto es Subsidiaries		ons Consolidated
	Parent \$2,385.1	Subsidiarie	-		ons Consolidated) \$ 4,690.4
Year ended September 30, 2015 (in millions)		Subsidiarie	es Subsidiaries	Eliminati	
Year ended September 30, 2015 (in millions) Net sales	\$2,385.1	Subsidiarie \$ 759.6	es Subsidiaries \$ 2,534.0	Elimination \$ (988.3) \$ 4,690.4
Year ended September 30, 2015 (in millions) Net sales Cost of goods sold	\$2,385.1	Subsidiarie \$ 759.6	es Subsidiaries \$ 2,534.0 1,845.5	Elimination \$ (988.3) \$ 4,690.4) 3,018.0
Year ended September 30, 2015 (in millions) Net sales Cost of goods sold Restructuring and related charges	\$2,385.1 1,657.0	Subsidiarie \$ 759.6 492.4	s Subsidiaries \$ 2,534.0 1,845.5 2.1	Elimination \$ (988.3 (976.9 —) \$ 4,690.4) 3,018.0 2.1
Year ended September 30, 2015 (in millions) Net sales Cost of goods sold Restructuring and related charges Gross profit	\$2,385.1 1,657.0 — 728.1	Subsidiarie \$ 759.6 492.4 — 267.2	es Subsidiaries \$ 2,534.0 1,845.5 2.1 686.4	Elimination \$ (988.3 (976.9 — (11.4) \$ 4,690.4) 3,018.0 2.1) 1,670.3
Year ended September 30, 2015 (in millions) Net sales Cost of goods sold Restructuring and related charges Gross profit Selling	\$2,385.1 1,657.0 — 728.1 291.4	Subsidiarie \$ 759.6 492.4 — 267.2 89.5	s Subsidiaries \$ 2,534.0 1,845.5 2.1 686.4 340.8	Elimination \$ (988.3 (976.9 — (11.4) \$ 4,690.4) 3,018.0 2.1) 1,670.3) 720.7
Year ended September 30, 2015 (in millions) Net sales Cost of goods sold Restructuring and related charges Gross profit Selling General and administrative	\$2,385.1 1,657.0 — 728.1 291.4 218.8	Subsidiarie \$ 759.6 492.4 — 267.2 89.5 40.4	s Subsidiaries \$ 2,534.0 1,845.5 2.1 686.4 340.8 73.2	Elimination \$ (988.3 (976.9 — (11.4) \$ 4,690.4) 3,018.0 2.1) 1,670.3) 720.7 332.4
Year ended September 30, 2015 (in millions) Net sales Cost of goods sold Restructuring and related charges Gross profit Selling General and administrative Research and development	\$2,385.1 1,657.0 — 728.1 291.4 218.8 33.4	Subsidiarie \$ 759.6 492.4 — 267.2 89.5 40.4 3.3	s Subsidiaries \$ 2,534.0 1,845.5 2.1 686.4 340.8 73.2 14.6	Elimination \$ (988.3 (976.9 — (11.4) \$ 4,690.4) 3,018.0 2.1) 1,670.3) 720.7 332.4 51.3
Year ended September 30, 2015 (in millions) Net sales Cost of goods sold Restructuring and related charges Gross profit Selling General and administrative Research and development Acquisition and integration related charges	\$2,385.1 1,657.0 — 728.1 291.4 218.8 33.4 40.8	Subsidiarie \$ 759.6 492.4 — 267.2 89.5 40.4 3.3 5.7	s Subsidiaries \$ 2,534.0 1,845.5 2.1 686.4 340.8 73.2 14.6 12.3	Elimination \$ (988.3) (976.9)) \$ 4,690.4) 3,018.0 2.1) 1,670.3) 720.7 332.4 51.3 58.8
Year ended September 30, 2015 (in millions) Net sales Cost of goods sold Restructuring and related charges Gross profit Selling General and administrative Research and development Acquisition and integration related charges Restructuring and related charges	\$2,385.1 1,657.0 — 728.1 291.4 218.8 33.4 40.8 34.0	Subsidiarie \$ 759.6 492.4 — 267.2 89.5 40.4 3.3 5.7 0.6	s Subsidiaries \$ 2,534.0 1,845.5 2.1 686.4 340.8 73.2 14.6 12.3 (8.0	Elimination) \$ 4,690.4) 3,018.0 2.1) 1,670.3) 720.7 332.4 51.3 58.8 26.6
Year ended September 30, 2015 (in millions) Net sales Cost of goods sold Restructuring and related charges Gross profit Selling General and administrative Research and development Acquisition and integration related charges Restructuring and related charges Total operating expense	\$2,385.1 1,657.0 — 728.1 291.4 218.8 33.4 40.8 34.0 618.4	Subsidiarie \$ 759.6 492.4 — 267.2 89.5 40.4 3.3 5.7 0.6 139.5	es Subsidiaries \$ 2,534.0 1,845.5 2.1 686.4 340.8 73.2 14.6 12.3 (8.0 432.9	Elimination \$ (988.3) (976.9) — (11.4) (1.0) — — — — — — — — —) \$ 4,690.4) 3,018.0 2.1) 1,670.3) 720.7 332.4 51.3 58.8 26.6) 1,189.8
Year ended September 30, 2015 (in millions) Net sales Cost of goods sold Restructuring and related charges Gross profit Selling General and administrative Research and development Acquisition and integration related charges Restructuring and related charges Total operating expense Operating income (loss)	\$2,385.1 1,657.0 — 728.1 291.4 218.8 33.4 40.8 34.0 618.4 109.7	Subsidiarie \$ 759.6 492.4 — 267.2 89.5 40.4 3.3 5.7 0.6 139.5 127.7	s Subsidiaries \$ 2,534.0 1,845.5 2.1 686.4 340.8 73.2 14.6 12.3 (8.0 432.9 253.5 29.6	Elimination \$ (988.3) (976.9) — (11.4) (1.0) — — — — — — — — —) \$ 4,690.4) 3,018.0 2.1) 1,670.3) 720.7 332.4 51.3 58.8 26.6) 1,189.8) 480.5
Year ended September 30, 2015 (in millions) Net sales Cost of goods sold Restructuring and related charges Gross profit Selling General and administrative Research and development Acquisition and integration related charges Restructuring and related charges Total operating expense Operating income (loss) Interest expense	\$2,385.1 1,657.0 — 728.1 291.4 218.8 33.4 40.8 34.0 618.4 109.7 235.4	Subsidiarie \$ 759.6 492.4 — 267.2 89.5 40.4 3.3 5.7 0.6 139.5 127.7 6.9	s Subsidiaries \$ 2,534.0 1,845.5 2.1 686.4 340.8 73.2 14.6 12.3 (8.0 432.9 253.5 29.6	Elimination) \$ 4,690.4) 3,018.0 2.1) 1,670.3) 720.7 332.4 51.3 58.8 26.6) 1,189.8) 480.5 271.9
Year ended September 30, 2015 (in millions) Net sales Cost of goods sold Restructuring and related charges Gross profit Selling General and administrative Research and development Acquisition and integration related charges Restructuring and related charges Total operating expense Operating income (loss) Interest expense Other non-operating (income) expense, net	\$2,385.1 1,657.0 — 728.1 291.4 218.8 33.4 40.8 34.0 618.4 109.7 235.4 (207.1	Subsidiarie \$ 759.6 492.4 — 267.2 89.5 40.4 3.3 5.7 0.6 139.5 127.7 6.9) (151.5	s Subsidiaries \$ 2,534.0 1,845.5 2.1 686.4 340.8 73.2 14.6 12.3 (8.0 432.9 253.5 29.6	Elimination \$ (988.3) (976.9) — (11.4) (1.0) — (1.0) — (1.0) (10.4) — 362.7) \$ 4,690.4) 3,018.0 2.1) 1,670.3) 720.7 332.4 51.3 58.8 26.6) 1,189.8) 480.5 271.9 8.9
Year ended September 30, 2015 (in millions) Net sales Cost of goods sold Restructuring and related charges Gross profit Selling General and administrative Research and development Acquisition and integration related charges Restructuring and related charges Total operating expense Operating income (loss) Interest expense Other non-operating (income) expense, net Income from operations before income taxes	\$2,385.1 1,657.0 — 728.1 291.4 218.8 33.4 40.8 34.0 618.4 109.7 235.4 (207.1 81.4	Subsidiarie \$ 759.6 492.4 — 267.2 89.5 40.4 3.3 5.7 0.6 139.5 127.7 6.9) (151.5 272.3	s Subsidiaries \$ 2,534.0 1,845.5 2.1 686.4 340.8 73.2 14.6 12.3 (8.0 432.9 253.5 29.6 4.8 219.1	Elimination \$ (988.3) (976.9) — (11.4) (1.0) — (1.0) (10.4) — 362.7 (373.1)) \$ 4,690.4) 3,018.0 2.1) 1,670.3) 720.7 332.4 51.3 58.8 26.6) 1,189.8) 480.5 271.9 8.9) 199.7
Year ended September 30, 2015 (in millions) Net sales Cost of goods sold Restructuring and related charges Gross profit Selling General and administrative Research and development Acquisition and integration related charges Restructuring and related charges Total operating expense Operating income (loss) Interest expense Other non-operating (income) expense, net Income from operations before income taxes Income tax (benefit) expense	\$2,385.1 1,657.0 — 728.1 291.4 218.8 33.4 40.8 34.0 618.4 109.7 235.4 (207.1 81.4 (74.4	Subsidiarie \$ 759.6 492.4 — 267.2 89.5 40.4 3.3 5.7 0.6 139.5 127.7 6.9) (151.5 272.3) 66.3	es Subsidiaries \$ 2,534.0 1,845.5 2.1 686.4 340.8 73.2 14.6 12.3 (8.0 432.9 253.5 29.6 4.8 219.1 52.9	Elimination \$ (988.3) (976.9) — (11.4) (1.0) — (1.0) (10.4) — 362.7 (373.1) (0.9)) \$ 4,690.4) 3,018.0 2.1) 1,670.3) 720.7 332.4 51.3 58.8 26.6) 1,189.8) 480.5 271.9 8.9) 199.7) 43.9

Statement of Income		Guarantor	Nonguaranto	r		
Year ended September 30, 2014 (in millions)	Parent	Subsidiaries	Subsidiaries		ons Consolidat	ted
Net sales	\$626.7	\$ 2,141.3	\$ 2,449.4	\$ (788.3) \$ 4,429.1	
Cost of goods sold	447.7	1,434.4	1,762.7	(788.3) 2,856.5	
Restructuring and related charges		2.6	1.1		3.7	
Gross profit	179.0	704.3	685.6	_	1,568.9	
Selling	76.6	268.5	333.8	(0.7) 678.2	
General and administrative	60.5	168.9	89.6	_	319.0	
Research and development	22.3	12.0	13.6		47.9	
Acquisition and integration related charges	11.7	8.3	0.1	_	20.1	
Restructuring and related charges	8.4	4.0	6.8	_	19.2	
Total operating expense	179.5	461.7	443.9	(0.7) 1,084.4	
Operating income (loss)	(0.5)	242.6	241.7	0.7	484.5	
Interest expense	172.2		30.0	_	202.1	
Other non-operating (income) expense, net	(213.8)	. ,	3.9	379.9	6.3	
Income from operations before income taxes	41.1	406.4	207.8	(379.2) 276.1	
Income tax expense (benefit)	(176.0)	194.6	40.1	0.3	59.0	
Net income (loss)	217.1	211.8	167.7	(379.5) 217.1	
Net income (loss) attributable to non-controlling						
interest	0.3	0.3	0.3	(0.6) 0.3	
Net income (loss) attributable to controlling interest	\$216.8	\$ 211.5	\$ 167.4	\$ (378.9) \$ 216.8	
Statement of Comprehensive Income	•		or Nonguaran		, .	
Year ended September 30, 2016 (in millions)	Parer		-		ions Consolida	ited
Net income (loss)	\$352		\$ 196.5	\$ (574.8		
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:		·	•			
Foreign currency translation (loss) gain	(8.5) (8.4) (6.0) 14.4	(8.5)
Unrealized gain (loss) on derivative instruments	7.1	3.2	3.2	(6.4	7.1	,
Defined benefit pension gain (loss)	(28.2) (25.3) 50.7	(28.2)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	`	30.6) (28.1	58.7	(29.6)
Comprehensive income (loss)	322.7		168.4	(516.1	322.7	,
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to						
non-controlling interest	_		(0.3) —	(0.3)
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to controlling	ng _{de a a a}	7 0 2477	ф 1 <i>6</i> 0.7	φ <i>(516.</i> 1	ν φ 202.0	
interest	\$322	.7 \$ 347.7	\$ 168.7	\$ (516.1) \$ 323.0	
Statement of Comprehensive Income		Guaranto	or Nonguaran	tor		
Year ended September 30, 2015 (in millions)	Parer		-		ions Consolida	ited
Net income (loss)	\$155	.8 \$ 206.0	\$ 166.2	\$ (372.2) \$ 155.8	
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:				`		
Foreign currency translation (loss) gain	(112.	8) (113.7) (113.7) 227.2	(113.0)
Unrealized (loss) gain on derivative instruments		(7.9	(7.9) 15.8	(13.2)
Defined benefit pension (loss) gain) (2.2) (2.2) 4.4	(11.0)
Other comprehensive (loss) income		0) (123.8) (123.8) 247.4	(137.2)
Comprehensive income (loss)	18.8	82.2	42.4	(124.8) 18.6	ŕ
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to	(0.2		\ (0.2			,
non-controlling interest	(0.2) (0.2) (0.2) 0.4	(0.2)
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to controllir	ng eac.	n	¢ 42.6	Φ (10F O) # 10.0	
interest	\$19.0) \$82.4	\$ 42.6	\$ (125.2) \$ 18.8	
0.50						

Statement of Comprehensive Income			Nonguarante			
Year ended September 30, 2014 (in millions)	Parent		iesSubsidiaries			ated
Net income (loss)	\$217.1	\$ 211.8	\$ 167.7	\$ (379.5)	\$ 217.1	
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:						
Foreign currency translation (loss) gain	, ,	*) (33.6		(32.5)
Unrealized gain (loss) on derivative instruments	11.5	11.4	11.7	,	11.5	
Defined benefit pension (loss) gain		,) (0.1		(3.6)
Other comprehensive (loss) income	(24.6)	(21.4) (22.0	43.4	(24.6)
Comprehensive income (loss)	192.5	190.4	145.7	(336.1)	192.5	
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to	0.4	0.3	0.3	(0.6)	0.4	
non-controlling interest	0.4	0.5	0.3	(0.0	0.4	
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to controlling	§ \$192.1	\$ 190.1	\$ 145.4	\$ (335.5)	\$ 192.1	
interest	\$192.1	\$ 190.1	р 143.4	\$ (333.3)	\$ 192.1	
Statement of Cash Flows		Guarantor	Nonguaranto	r		
Year ended September 30, 2016 (in millions)	Parent	Subsidiarie	s Subsidiaries	Eliminations	s Consolida	ited
Net cash (used) provided by operating activities	\$(374.4)	\$ 408.9	\$ (107.7)	\$ 674.8	\$ 601.6	
Cash flows from investing activities						
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(49.7)	(8.3)	(37.2)	_	(95.2)
Proceeds from sales of property, plant and equipment	0.1	_	0.9	_	1.0	
Other investing activities	(1.0)	(3.2)	_		(4.2)
Net cash used by investing activities	(50.6)	(11.5)	(36.3)	_	(98.4)
Cash flows from financing activities						
Proceeds from issuance of debt	498.9	_	_	_	498.9	
Payment of debt	(863.7)	_	(4.4)	_	(868.1)
Payment of debt issuance costs	(9.3)	_	_	_	(9.3)
Payment of cash dividends to parent	(97.2)	_	_	_	(97.2)
Payment of contingent consideration	(3.2)	_	_	_	(3.2)
Advances related to intercompany transactions	985.1	(402.9)	92.6	(674.8)		
Net cash provided (used) by financing activities	510.6	(402.9)	88.2	(674.8)	(478.9)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash			(1.4)		(1.4	`
equivalents	_	_	(1.4)	_	(1.4)
Net (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	85.6	(5.5)	(57.2)	_	22.9	
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	13.0	8.6	226.3	_	247.9	
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$98.6	\$ 3.1	\$ 169.1	\$ —	\$ 270.8	
S-54						

Statement of Cash Flows	Donant		Nonguarar		Canaali d	المداء
Year ended September 30, 2015 (in millions) Net cash (used) provided by operating activities	Parent			es Elimination \$2,774.9	\$ 441.8	ateu
Cash flows from investing activities	\$(143.3)	\$ (770.0	φ (1,410.6) \$ 2,774.9 —	р 441.0	
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(45.7)	(13.5	(29.9) —	(89.1)
Business acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(1,026.0)		(165.1) —	(1,191.1)
Proceeds from sales of property, plant and equipment	0.1		1.3	_	1.4	,
Other investing activities			(0.9) —	(0.9)
Net cash used by investing activities	(1,071.6)	(13.5	(194.6) —	(1,279.7)
Cash flows from financing activities	(-,-,-,	((,	(-,-,-,,	,
Proceeds from issuance of debt	3,320.3	_	_		3,320.3	
Payment of debt	(2,521.2)		(292.0) —	(2,813.2)
Payment of debt issuance costs	(38.1)			· —	(38.1)
Payment of cash dividends to parent	(72.1)				(72.1)
Share based tax withholding payments, net of proceeds	(2.6				(2.6	`
upon vesting	(2.6)			_	(2.6)
Advances related to intercompany transactions	8.7	781.7	1,984.5	(2,774.9) —	
Capital contribution from parent	528.3	_	_		528.3	
Net cash provided (used) by financing activities	1,223.3	781.7	1,692.5	(2,774.9) 922.6	
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash			(2.5	`	(2.5)
equivalents due to Venezuela devaluation	_	_	(2.3) —	(2.3)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash			(27.2) —	(27.2)
equivalents			(21.2) —	(21.2	,
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	8.2	(2.6)	49.4	_	55.0	
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	4.8	11.2	176.9		192.9	
C-1111111111	¢ 12 A	Φ 0 6	Φ 22.6.2	Α.		
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$13.0	\$ 8.6	\$ 226.3	\$ <i>-</i>	\$ 247.9	
Statement of Cash Flows	\$13.0	Guaranto	r Nonguara	antor		
Statement of Cash Flows Year ended September 30, 2014 (in millions)	Parent	Guaranto Subsidiar	r Nonguara iesSubsidiar	antor ries Eliminatio	onsConsolid	ated
Statement of Cash Flows Year ended September 30, 2014 (in millions) Net cash provided (used) by operating activities		Guaranto Subsidiar	r Nonguara	antor ries Eliminatio		ated
Statement of Cash Flows Year ended September 30, 2014 (in millions) Net cash provided (used) by operating activities Cash flows from investing activities	Parent \$616.6	Guaranto Subsidiar 5 \$ 114.4	r Nonguara ies Subsidiar \$ (269.4	antor ries Eliminatio	onsConsolid) \$ 434.7	ated
Statement of Cash Flows Year ended September 30, 2014 (in millions) Net cash provided (used) by operating activities Cash flows from investing activities Purchases of property, plant and equipment	Parent \$616.6	Guaranto Subsidiar 5 \$ 114.4) (26.4	r Nonguara iesSubsidiar	antor ries Eliminatio	onsConsolid) \$ 434.7 (73.3	ated
Statement of Cash Flows Year ended September 30, 2014 (in millions) Net cash provided (used) by operating activities Cash flows from investing activities Purchases of property, plant and equipment Business acquisitions, net of cash acquired	Parent \$616.6	Guaranto Subsidiar 5 \$ 114.4) (26.4 (27.6	r Nonguara ies Subsidiar \$ (269.4) (23.7) —	antor ries Eliminatio	onsConsolid) \$ 434.7 (73.3 (27.6	ated))
Statement of Cash Flows Year ended September 30, 2014 (in millions) Net cash provided (used) by operating activities Cash flows from investing activities Purchases of property, plant and equipment Business acquisitions, net of cash acquired Proceeds from sales of property, plant and equipment	Parent \$616.6	Guaranto Subsidiar 5 \$ 114.4) (26.4 (27.6 0.1	r Nonguara ies Subsidiar \$ (269.4) (23.7) — 9.0	antor ries Eliminatio	onsConsolid) \$ 434.7 (73.3 (27.6 9.2	ated))
Statement of Cash Flows Year ended September 30, 2014 (in millions) Net cash provided (used) by operating activities Cash flows from investing activities Purchases of property, plant and equipment Business acquisitions, net of cash acquired Proceeds from sales of property, plant and equipment Other investing activities	Parent \$616.6 (23.2 0.1	Guaranto Subsidiar 5 \$ 114.4) (26.4 (27.6 0.1 (1.8	r Nonguara ies Subsidiar \$ (269.4) (23.7) — 9.0) —	antor ries Eliminatio	onsConsolid) \$ 434.7 (73.3 (27.6 9.2 (1.8))
Statement of Cash Flows Year ended September 30, 2014 (in millions) Net cash provided (used) by operating activities Cash flows from investing activities Purchases of property, plant and equipment Business acquisitions, net of cash acquired Proceeds from sales of property, plant and equipment Other investing activities Net cash used by investing activities	Parent \$616.6 (23.2 0.1	Guaranto Subsidiar 5 \$ 114.4) (26.4 (27.6 0.1	r Nonguara ies Subsidiar \$ (269.4) (23.7) — 9.0	antor ries Eliminatio	onsConsolid) \$ 434.7 (73.3 (27.6 9.2)))
Statement of Cash Flows Year ended September 30, 2014 (in millions) Net cash provided (used) by operating activities Cash flows from investing activities Purchases of property, plant and equipment Business acquisitions, net of cash acquired Proceeds from sales of property, plant and equipment Other investing activities Net cash used by investing activities Cash flows from financing activities	Parent \$616.6 (23.2 0.1 (23.1	Guaranto Subsidiar 5 \$ 114.4) (26.4 (27.6 0.1 (1.8	r Nonguara ies Subsidiar \$ (269.4) (23.7) — 9.0) —) (14.7	antor ries Eliminatio	onsConsolid) \$ 434.7 (73.3 (27.6 9.2 (1.8 (93.5))))
Statement of Cash Flows Year ended September 30, 2014 (in millions) Net cash provided (used) by operating activities Cash flows from investing activities Purchases of property, plant and equipment Business acquisitions, net of cash acquired Proceeds from sales of property, plant and equipment Other investing activities Net cash used by investing activities Cash flows from financing activities Proceeds from issuance of debt	Parent \$616.6 (23.2 0.1 (23.1 230.7	Guaranto Subsidiar 5 \$ 114.4) (26.4 (27.6 0.1 (1.8) (55.7	r Nonguara ies Subsidiar \$ (269.4) (23.7) — 9.0) —) (14.7 309.4	antor ries Eliminatio	onsConsolid) \$ 434.7 (73.3 (27.6 9.2 (1.8 (93.5))))
Statement of Cash Flows Year ended September 30, 2014 (in millions) Net cash provided (used) by operating activities Cash flows from investing activities Purchases of property, plant and equipment Business acquisitions, net of cash acquired Proceeds from sales of property, plant and equipment Other investing activities Net cash used by investing activities Cash flows from financing activities Proceeds from issuance of debt Payment of debt	Parent \$616.6 (23.2 0.1 (23.1 230.7 (764.9	Guaranto Subsidiar 5 \$ 114.4) (26.4 (27.6 0.1 (1.8) (55.7	r Nonguara ies Subsidiar \$ (269.4) (23.7) — 9.0) —) (14.7 309.4 (6.0	antor ries Eliminatio	onsConsolid) \$ 434.7 (73.3 (27.6 9.2 (1.8 (93.5) 540.1 (770.9)))))
Statement of Cash Flows Year ended September 30, 2014 (in millions) Net cash provided (used) by operating activities Cash flows from investing activities Purchases of property, plant and equipment Business acquisitions, net of cash acquired Proceeds from sales of property, plant and equipment Other investing activities Net cash used by investing activities Cash flows from financing activities Proceeds from issuance of debt Payment of debt Payment of debt issuance costs	Parent \$616.6 (23.2 0.1 (23.1 230.7 (764.9 (0.5	Guaranto Subsidiar 5 \$ 114.4) (26.4 (27.6 0.1 (1.8) (55.7	r Nonguara ies Subsidiar \$ (269.4) (23.7) — 9.0) —) (14.7 309.4	antor ries Eliminatio	onsConsolid) \$ 434.7 (73.3 (27.6 9.2 (1.8 (93.5) 540.1 (770.9 (5.4)	ated)))))
Statement of Cash Flows Year ended September 30, 2014 (in millions) Net cash provided (used) by operating activities Cash flows from investing activities Purchases of property, plant and equipment Business acquisitions, net of cash acquired Proceeds from sales of property, plant and equipment Other investing activities Net cash used by investing activities Cash flows from financing activities Proceeds from issuance of debt Payment of debt Payment of debt issuance costs Payment of cash dividends to parent	Parent \$616.6 (23.2 0.1 (23.1 230.7 (764.9 (0.5) (77.0	Guaranto Subsidiar 5 \$ 114.4) (26.4 (27.6 0.1 (1.8) (55.7	r Nonguara ies Subsidiar \$ (269.4) (23.7) — 9.0) —) (14.7 309.4 (6.0	antor ries Eliminatio	onsConsolid) \$ 434.7 (73.3 (27.6 9.2 (1.8 (93.5) 540.1 (770.9))))))))
Statement of Cash Flows Year ended September 30, 2014 (in millions) Net cash provided (used) by operating activities Cash flows from investing activities Purchases of property, plant and equipment Business acquisitions, net of cash acquired Proceeds from sales of property, plant and equipment Other investing activities Net cash used by investing activities Cash flows from financing activities Proceeds from issuance of debt Payment of debt Payment of debt issuance costs Payment of cash dividends to parent Share based tax withholding payments, net of proceeds	Parent \$616.6 (23.2 0.1 (23.1 230.7 (764.9 (0.5) (77.0	Guaranto Subsidiar 5 \$ 114.4) (26.4 (27.6 0.1 (1.8) (55.7 —) —)	r Nonguara ies Subsidiar \$ (269.4) (23.7) — 9.0) —) (14.7 309.4 (6.0	antor ries Eliminatio	onsConsolid) \$ 434.7 (73.3 (27.6 9.2 (1.8 (93.5) 540.1 (770.9 (5.4)	ated))))))))
Statement of Cash Flows Year ended September 30, 2014 (in millions) Net cash provided (used) by operating activities Cash flows from investing activities Purchases of property, plant and equipment Business acquisitions, net of cash acquired Proceeds from sales of property, plant and equipment Other investing activities Net cash used by investing activities Cash flows from financing activities Proceeds from issuance of debt Payment of debt Payment of debt issuance costs Payment of cash dividends to parent Share based tax withholding payments, net of proceeds upon vesting	Parent \$616.6 (23.2 0.1 (23.1 230.7 (764.9 (0.5 (77.0 (25.0	Guaranto Subsidiar 5 \$ 114.4) (26.4 (27.6 0.1 (1.8) (55.7 —) —) —)	r Nonguara ies Subsidiar \$ (269.4) (23.7) — 9.0) —) (14.7 309.4 (6.0 (4.9 —	antor ries Eliminatio) \$ (26.9)) — — — —) — —) — —) — — — — — — —	onsConsolid) \$ 434.7 (73.3 (27.6 9.2 (1.8 (93.5) 540.1 (770.9 (5.4 (77.0)	ated))))))))
Statement of Cash Flows Year ended September 30, 2014 (in millions) Net cash provided (used) by operating activities Cash flows from investing activities Purchases of property, plant and equipment Business acquisitions, net of cash acquired Proceeds from sales of property, plant and equipment Other investing activities Net cash used by investing activities Cash flows from financing activities Proceeds from issuance of debt Payment of debt Payment of debt issuance costs Payment of cash dividends to parent Share based tax withholding payments, net of proceeds upon vesting Advances related to intercompany transactions	Parent \$616.6 (23.2 0.1 (23.1 230.7 (764.9 (0.5 (77.0 (25.0 44.1	Guaranto Subsidiar 5 \$ 114.4) (26.4 (27.6 0.1 (1.8) (55.7 —) —) —) (52.9	r Nonguara ies Subsidiar \$ (269.4)) (23.7)) — 9.0) —) (14.7) 309.4 (6.0) (4.9) — —) (18.1)	antor ries Eliminatio) \$ (26.9)) — — — —) — —) — —) — —) — — —) — — —) — — — —	onsConsolid) \$ 434.7 (73.3 (27.6 9.2 (1.8 (93.5) 540.1 (770.9 (5.4 (77.0 (25.0)	ated)))))))
Statement of Cash Flows Year ended September 30, 2014 (in millions) Net cash provided (used) by operating activities Cash flows from investing activities Purchases of property, plant and equipment Business acquisitions, net of cash acquired Proceeds from sales of property, plant and equipment Other investing activities Net cash used by investing activities Cash flows from financing activities Proceeds from issuance of debt Payment of debt Payment of debt issuance costs Payment of cash dividends to parent Share based tax withholding payments, net of proceeds upon vesting Advances related to intercompany transactions Net cash (used) provided by financing activities	Parent \$616.6 (23.2 0.1 (23.1 230.7 (764.9 (0.5 (77.0 (25.0 44.1	Guaranto Subsidiar 5 \$ 114.4) (26.4 (27.6 0.1 (1.8) (55.7 —) —) —)	r Nonguara ies Subsidiar \$ (269.4) (23.7) — 9.0) —) (14.7 309.4 (6.0 (4.9 —	antor ries Eliminatio) \$ (26.9)) — — — —) — —) — —) — — — — — — —	onsConsolid) \$ 434.7 (73.3 (27.6 9.2 (1.8 (93.5) 540.1 (770.9 (5.4 (77.0)	ated))))))))
Statement of Cash Flows Year ended September 30, 2014 (in millions) Net cash provided (used) by operating activities Cash flows from investing activities Purchases of property, plant and equipment Business acquisitions, net of cash acquired Proceeds from sales of property, plant and equipment Other investing activities Net cash used by investing activities Cash flows from financing activities Proceeds from issuance of debt Payment of debt Payment of debt issuance costs Payment of cash dividends to parent Share based tax withholding payments, net of proceeds upon vesting Advances related to intercompany transactions Net cash (used) provided by financing activities Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash	Parent \$616.6 (23.2 0.1 (23.1 230.7 (764.9 (0.5 (77.0 (25.0 44.1	Guaranto Subsidiar 5 \$ 114.4) (26.4 (27.6 0.1 (1.8) (55.7 —) —) —) (52.9	r Nonguara ies Subsidiar \$ (269.4)) (23.7)) — 9.0) —) (14.7) 309.4 (6.0) (4.9) — —) (18.1)	antor ries Eliminatio) \$ (26.9)) — — — —) — —) — —) — —) — — —) — — —) — — — —	onsConsolid) \$ 434.7 (73.3 (27.6 9.2 (1.8 (93.5) 540.1 (770.9 (5.4 (77.0 (25.0)	ated))))))))))
Statement of Cash Flows Year ended September 30, 2014 (in millions) Net cash provided (used) by operating activities Cash flows from investing activities Purchases of property, plant and equipment Business acquisitions, net of cash acquired Proceeds from sales of property, plant and equipment Other investing activities Net cash used by investing activities Cash flows from financing activities Proceeds from issuance of debt Payment of debt Payment of debt issuance costs Payment of cash dividends to parent Share based tax withholding payments, net of proceeds upon vesting Advances related to intercompany transactions Net cash (used) provided by financing activities Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	Parent \$616.6 (23.2 0.1 (23.1 230.7 (764.9 (0.5 (77.0 (25.0 44.1 (592.6	Guaranto Subsidiar 5 \$ 114.4) (26.4 (27.6 0.1 (1.8) (55.7 —) —) —) (52.9) (52.9 —	r Nonguara ies Subsidiar \$ (269.4)) (23.7)) — 9.0) —) (14.7) 309.4 (6.0 (4.9) — —) (18.1)) 280.4 (8.3)	antor ries Eliminatio) \$ (26.9)) — — — —) — —) — —) — —) — — —) — — —) — — — —	onsConsolid) \$ 434.7 (73.3 (27.6 9.2 (1.8 (93.5) 540.1 (770.9 (5.4 (77.0 (25.0) (338.2 (8.3)	ated))))))))))
Statement of Cash Flows Year ended September 30, 2014 (in millions) Net cash provided (used) by operating activities Cash flows from investing activities Purchases of property, plant and equipment Business acquisitions, net of cash acquired Proceeds from sales of property, plant and equipment Other investing activities Net cash used by investing activities Cash flows from financing activities Proceeds from issuance of debt Payment of debt Payment of debt issuance costs Payment of cash dividends to parent Share based tax withholding payments, net of proceeds upon vesting Advances related to intercompany transactions Net cash (used) provided by financing activities Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	Parent \$616.6 (23.2	Guaranto Subsidiar 5 \$ 114.4) (26.4 (27.6 0.1 (1.8) (55.7 —) —) —) (52.9 5.8	r Nonguara ies Subsidiar \$ (269.4)) (23.7)) — 9.0) —) (14.7) 309.4 (6.0) (4.9) — —) (18.1)) 280.4 (8.3) (12.0)	antor ries Eliminatio) \$ (26.9)) — — — —) — —) — —) — —) — — —) — — —) — — — —	onsConsolid) \$ 434.7 (73.3 (27.6 9.2 (1.8 (93.5) 540.1 (770.9 (5.4 (77.0 (25.0) (338.2 (8.3 (5.3)	ated)))))))))))
Statement of Cash Flows Year ended September 30, 2014 (in millions) Net cash provided (used) by operating activities Cash flows from investing activities Purchases of property, plant and equipment Business acquisitions, net of cash acquired Proceeds from sales of property, plant and equipment Other investing activities Net cash used by investing activities Cash flows from financing activities Proceeds from issuance of debt Payment of debt Payment of debt issuance costs Payment of cash dividends to parent Share based tax withholding payments, net of proceeds upon vesting Advances related to intercompany transactions Net cash (used) provided by financing activities Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	Parent \$616.6 (23.2 0.1 (23.1 230.7 (764.9 (0.5 (77.0 (25.0 44.1 (592.6	Guaranto Subsidiar 5 \$ 114.4) (26.4 (27.6 0.1 (1.8) (55.7 —) —) —) (52.9) (52.9 —	r Nonguara ies Subsidiar \$ (269.4)) (23.7)) — 9.0) —) (14.7) 309.4 (6.0 (4.9) — —) (18.1)) 280.4 (8.3)	antor ries Eliminatio) \$ (26.9)) — — — —) — —) — —) — —) — — —) — — —) — — — —	onsConsolid) \$ 434.7 (73.3 (27.6 9.2 (1.8 (93.5) 540.1 (770.9 (5.4 (77.0 (25.0) (338.2 (8.3)	ated)))))))))))

Quarter Ended

NOTE 22 -	QUARTERLY RESULTS	(UNAUDITED)

Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc.

Special Elanos Helange, me.	Z			
2016 (in millions, except per share)	Septembe	•	April 3,	January
2010 (in immons, except per share)	30, 2016	2016	2016	3, 2016
Net sales	\$1,249.8	\$1,361.5	\$1,209.6	\$1,218.8
Gross profit	485.8	530.6	462.8	440.7
Net income attributable to controlling interest	89.0	101.9	92.6	73.6
Basic earnings per share	\$1.50	\$1.72	\$1.56	\$1.24
Diluted earnings per share	\$1.49	\$1.71	\$1.55	\$1.24
Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc.	Quarter E	Ended		
2015 (in millions, except per share)	Septembe	erJune 28,	March	December
2013 (III IIIIIIIolis, except per share)	30, 2015	2015	29, 2015	28, 2014
Net sales	\$1,308.1	\$1,247.5	\$1,067.0	\$1,067.8
Gross profit	467.4	458.0	374.7	370.2
Net income attributable to controlling interest	26.4	44.9	27.8	49.8
Basic earnings per share	\$0.44	\$0.79	\$0.52	\$0.94
Diluted earnings per share	\$0.44	\$0.79	\$0.52	\$0.94
SB/RH Holdings, LLC	Quarter E	Ended		
2016 ('	Septembe	September July 3, April 3, Januar		
2016 (in millions)	30, 2016	2016	2016	3, 2016
Net sales	\$1,249.8	\$1,361.5	\$1,209.6	\$1,218.8
Gross profit	485.8	530.6	462.8	440.7
Net income attributable to controlling interest	88.9	105.1	82.5	75.4
SB/RH Holdings, LLC	Quarter E	Ended		
2015 (in m:illiana)	Septembe	eJune 28,	March	December
2015 (in millions)	30, 2015	2015	29, 2015	28, 2014
Net sales	\$1,308.1	\$1,247.5	\$1,067.0	\$1,067.8
Gross profit	467.4	458.0	374.7	370.2
Net income attributable to controlling interest	28.4	46.6	29.6	50.8

As previously discussed in Note 2, "Significant Accounting Policies and Practices", the Company adopted ASU No. 2016-09. The Company had elected to early adopt the ASU during the quarter ended July 3, 2016 effective as if adopted the first day of the fiscal year, October 1, 2015. The adoption of the new standard impacted our previously reported quarterly results for the recognition of excess tax benefits in our provision for income taxes rather than paid in capital. Due to the valuation allowance on deferred taxes, there was no impact to our quarterly results for the quarter ended January 3, 2016. The following summarizes the impact to the quarter ended April 3, 2016:

	Quarter Ended April 3, 2016				
SBH (in millions, except per share)	As Adjustment As Adjusted				
	Reported Adjusted				
Net income attributable to controlling interest	75.2 17.4 92.6				
Basic earnings per share	\$1.27 \$ 0.29 \$ 1.56				
Diluted earnings per share	\$1.26 \$ 0.29 \$ 1.55				
	Quarter Ended April 3,				
	2016				
CD/DII (in millione)	As Adimeter and As				
SB/RH (in millions)	As Adjustment As Adjusted				
Net income attributable to controlling interest	72.1 10.4 82.5				

Table of Contents

INDEX TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FS HOLDCO II LTD. TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Independent Auditors' Report	<u>S-58</u>
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2016 and 2015	<u>S-59</u>
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014	<u>S-60</u>
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014	<u>S-61</u>
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholder's Equity for the years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and	C 62
<u>2014</u>	<u>S-62</u>
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014	<u>S-63</u>
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>S-64</u>
Schedule I—Summary of Investments—Other than Investments in Related Parties	<u>S-97</u>
Schedule II—Condensed Financial Information of Parent Only	<u>S-98</u>
Schedule III—Supplementary Insurance Information	<u>S-101</u>
Schedule IV—Reinsurance	<u>S-102</u>

Table of Contents

Independent Auditors' Report The Board of Directors FS Holdco II Ltd.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated financial statements of FS Holdco II Ltd. and its subsidiaries, which comprise the balance sheets as of September 30, 2016 and 2015, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive (loss) income, shareholder's equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended September 30, 2016, and the related notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these consolidated financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of consolidated financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditors' judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly in all material respects, the financial position of FS Holdco II Ltd. and their subsidiaries, as of September 30, 2016 and 2015, and the results of their operations and its cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended September 30, 2016 in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Other Matter

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the consolidated financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information included in the Supplemental Schedule I - Summary of Investments - Other than Investments in Related Parties, Schedule II - Condensed Financial Information of Parent Only, Schedule III - Supplementary Insurance Information, and Schedule IV - Reinsurance is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the consolidated financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the consolidated financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the consolidated financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the consolidated financial statements or to the consolidated financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

/s/ KPMG LLP New York, New York

Table of Contents

FS HOLDCO II LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In millions, except share and per share amounts)

	September	30,
	2016	2015
ASSETS		
Related party loans	\$92.1	\$51.2
Cash and cash equivalents	49.3	114.7
Funds Withheld Receivables (Note 8)	1,650.4	1,710.1
Receivables, net	17.7	24.1
Deferred tax assets (Note 12)	24.3	47.5
Other Assets (Note 9)	68.1	284.5
Assets of business held for sale (Note 4)	26,738.7	24,976.5
Total assets	\$28,640.6	\$27,208.6
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY		
Insurance reserves	\$1,751.3	\$1,856.0
Long-term debt (Note 10)	99.5	323.6
Deferred tax liabilities (Note 12)	364.4	_
Other liabilities	19.3	41.5
Liabilities of business held for sale (Note 12)	25,100.2	23,418.5
Total liabilities	27,334.7	25,639.6
Commitments and contingencies (Note 13)		
FS Holdco II Ltd. shareholder's equity (Note 11):		
Common stock (\$0.01 par value, 1,000 shares authorized, 100 shares issued and outstanding at		
September 30, 2016 and 2015)	_	
Additional paid-in capital	498.4	500.0
Retained earnings	220.4	696.1
Accumulated other comprehensive income	211.6	77.8
Total FS Holdco II Ltd. shareholder's equity	930.4	1,273.9
Noncontrolling interest	375.5	295.1
Total shareholder's equity	1,305.9	1,569.0
Total liabilities and shareholder's equity	\$28,640.6	\$27,208.6
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements		

FS HOLDCO II LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (In millions)

	Year ended September 30,			
	2016	2015	2014	
Revenues:				
Net investment income	\$71.2	\$91.7	\$96.2	
Net investment gains (losses)	97.1	(114.0)	89.3	
Insurance and investment product fees and other	7.6	6.3	6.1	
Total revenues	175.9	(16.0)	191.6	
Benefits and expenses:				
Benefits and other changes in policy reserves	103.0	70.6	66.9	
Acquisition and operating expenses, net of deferrals	27.1	49.2	44.4	
Impairments and bad debt expense	22.4	93.1	2.1	
Total benefits and expenses	152.5	212.9	113.4	
Operating income (loss)	23.4	(228.9)	78.2	
Interest expense		(1.0)	(2.5)	
Other income (expense), net	1.7	(12.7)	(1.4)	
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	25.1	(242.6)	74.3	
Income tax expense (benefit)	3.2	(45.4)	30.0	
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	21.9	(197.2)	44.3	
(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax	(471.3)	147.1	155.0	
Net (loss) income	(449.4)	(50.1)	199.3	
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	13.7	3.8	26.8	
Net (loss) income attributable to controlling interest	\$(463.1)	\$(53.9)	\$172.5	
Amounts attributable to controlling interest:				
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	\$27.2	\$(177.9)	\$30.4	
Net (loss) income from discontinued operations	(490.3)	124.0	142.1	
Net (loss) income attributable to controlling interest	\$(463.1)	\$(53.9)	\$172.5	
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.				

Table of Contents

FS HOLDCO II LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE (LOSS) INCOME (In millions)

	Year ended September 30,
	2016 2015 2014
Net (loss) income	\$(449.4) \$(50.1) \$199.3
Other comprehensive income:	
Unrealized investment gains (losses):	
Changes in unrealized investment gains (losses) before reclassification adjustment	784.5 (643.8) 627.5
Net reclassification adjustment for losses (gains) included in net income	8.8 28.8 (101.0)
Changes in unrealized investment gains (losses) after reclassification adjustment	793.3 (615.0) 526.5
Adjustments to intangible assets	(258.3) 219.7 (156.8)
Changes in deferred income tax asset/liability	(331.0) 138.7 (129.0)
Net unrealized gains (losses) on investments	204.0 (256.6) 240.7
Changes in non-credit related other-than-temporary impairment	(1.4) — —
Net change to derive comprehensive income (loss) for the period	202.6 (256.6) 240.7
Comprehensive (loss) income	(246.8) (306.7) 440.0
Less: Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to the noncontrolling interest:	
Net income	13.7 3.8 26.8
Other comprehensive income (loss)	68.6 (50.6) 43.0
	82.3 (46.8) 69.8
Comprehensive (loss) income attributable to the controlling interest	\$(329.1) \$(259.9) \$370.2
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.	

FS HOLDCO II LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY (In millions)

	Comi	Addition non Paid-in Capital	ıa	l Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehent Income			er'	Noncontr S Interest	olli	inlgotal Equity	
Balances at September 30, 2013 Net income Unrealized investment gains, net Comprehensive income	<u> </u>	\$ 528.9 — —		\$657.5 172.5 —	\$ 111.0 — 197.7		\$ 1,297.4 172.5 197.7 370.2		\$ (0.3 26.8 43.0 69.8)	\$1,297.1 199.3 240.7 440.0	
Proceeds from initial public offering of subsidiary shares	_	(58.5)	_	(25.5)	(84.0)	256.6		172.6	
Stock compensation		2.3		_	_		2.3		0.8		3.1	
Capital contributions from HRG Group, Inc.		5.6		_	_		5.6				5.6	
Dividends				(65.4)			(65.4)			(65.4)
Noncontrolling interest in acquired subsidiary	_	_		_	_		_		12.5		12.5	
Dividend paid by subsidiary to noncontrolling interest	_	_		_	_		_		(2.9)	(2.9)
Balances at September 30, 2014		478.3		764.6	283.2		1,526.1		336.5		1,862.6	
Net loss	_			(53.9)	_		(53.9)	3.8		(50.1)
Unrealized investment losses, net	_				(206.0)	(206.0)	(50.6)	(256.6)
Comprehensive loss							(259.9)	(46.8)	(306.7)
Stock compensation	_	10.1					10.1		2.4		12.5	
Purchases of subsidiary stock	_	(24.4)		0.6		(23.8)	7.0		(16.8)
Capital contributions from HRG Group, Inc.		36.0		_	_		36.0		_		36.0	
Dividends				(14.6)			(14.6)			(14.6)
Dividend paid by subsidiary to noncontrolling interest		_			_		_		(4.0)	(4.0)
Balance at September 30, 2015	_	500.0		696.1	77.8		1,273.9		295.1		1,569.0	
Net (loss) income	_			(463.1)			(463.1)	13.7		(449.4)
Unrealized investment gains, net	_	_		_	134.0		134.0		68.6		202.6	
Comprehensive loss					_		(329.1)	82.3		(246.8)
Stock compensation	_	(0.5)	_	_		(0.5)	(0.1)	(0.6)
Purchases of subsidiary stock	_	(3.3)	_	(0.2))	(3.5)	1.6		(1.9)
Capital contributions from HRG	_	2.2					2.2		_		2.2	
Group, Inc.		2.2										
Dividends	_	_		(12.6)			(12.6)			(12.6)
Dividend paid by subsidiary to	_			_					(3.4)	(3.4)
noncontrolling interest									-	,		,
Balance at September 30, 2016		\$498.4		\$220.4	\$ 211.6		\$ 930.4		\$ 375.5		\$1,305.9)
See accompanying notes to consolidate	d finai	ncial state	m	ents.								

FS HOLDCO II LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (In millions)

	Fiscal
	2016 2015 2014
Cash flows from operating activities:	
Net (loss) income	\$(449.4) \$(50.1) \$199.3
(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax	(471.3) 147.1 155.0
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	21.9 (197.2) 44.3
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to operating cash flows from continuing	
operations:	
Depreciation of properties	0.3 0.4 0.3
Impairment of goodwill	10.7 — —
Loan provision and bad debt expense	12.8 93.1 2.3
Gain on deconsolidation of subsidiary	0.9 — —
Amortization of debt issuance costs	1.2 1.2 1.0
Deferred income taxes	26.6 (23.1) 20.4
Interest credited/index credits to contractholder account balances	36.0 32.3 52.7
Net recognized gains (losses) on investments and derivatives	(44.1) 146.7 (83.8)
Charges assessed to contractholders for mortality and administration	(1.1) (1.1) (1.2)
Non-cash restructuring and related charges	0.5 — —
Changes in operating assets and liabilities	26.8 (48.0) 103.4
Net change in cash due to continuing operating activities	92.5 4.3 139.4
Net change in cash due to discontinued operating activities	364.8 (6.3) 173.8
Net change in cash due to operating activities	457.3 (2.0) 313.2
Cash flows from investing activities:	,
Proceeds from investments, sold, matured or repaid	18.6 12.9 43.7
Cost of investments acquired	(5.2) (5.4) (18.3)
Net asset-based loan repayments (originations)	178.2 269.7 (127.7)
Acquisition, net of cash acquired	- (18.5) (0.3)
Related party loans and investments	10.1 18.7 —
Capital expenditures	- (0.2) (0.9)
Proceeds from sales of assets, net of cash surrendered	(1.0) — —
Net change in cash due to continuing investing activities	200.7 277.2 (103.5)
Net change in cash due to discontinued investing activities	(1,186.4) (983.9) (1,659.2)
Net change in cash due to investing activities	(985.7) (706.7) (1,762.7)
Cash flows from financing activities:	
Repayments of debt	(225.3) (243.1) —
Proceeds from other debt financing	—
Contractholder account deposits	5.9 78.9 23.0
Contractholder account withdrawals	(140.6) (140.8) (158.3)
Purchases of subsidiary stock, net	— (5.2) —
Capital contributions	2.2 36.0 5.2
Dividends paid	(0.8) (3.5) (13.9)
Net change in cash due to continuing financing activities	(358.6) (277.7) (135.5)
Net change in cash due to discontinued financing activities	1,183.6 915.2 857.3
Net change in cash due to financing activities	825.0 637.5 721.8
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(65.4) 3.8 (99.6)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	114.7 110.9 210.5

Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$49.3	\$114.7	\$110.9
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information			
Interest paid	\$6.7	\$23.9	\$22.9
Income taxes paid			
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.			

FS HOLDCO II LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in millions, except per share and unit figures)

(1) Basis of Presentation and Nature of Operations

FS Holdco II Ltd. ("FS Holdco" and, collectively with its subsidiaries, the "Company") is a Delaware-domesticated direct, wholly owned subsidiary of HRG Group, Inc. ("HRG"). HRG is a holding company that conducts its operations through its operating subsidiaries. HRG's shares of common stock trade on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") under the symbol "HRG."

FS Holdco is a holding company with holdings in primarily financial services related industries: (i) life insurance and reinsurance through Fidelity and Guaranty Life ("FGL") and Front Street Re (Delaware) Ltd. and its life and annuity reinsurance subsidiaries Front Street Re Cayman Ltd. ("Front Street Cayman") and Front Street Re Ltd. (collectively "Front Street"); and (ii) financial and asset management through Salus Capital Partners, LLC ("Salus").

The accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements of the Company included herein have been prepared in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles ("U.S. GAAP"). The financial statements reflect all adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair statement of such information. All such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. Although the Company believes that the disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading, certain information and footnote disclosures, including a description of significant accounting policies normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. Certain prior amounts have been reclassified or combined to conform to the current year presentation.

On November 8, 2015, Anbang Insurance Group Co., Ltd., a joint-stock insurance company established in the People's Republic of China ("Anbang"), AB Infinity Holding, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Anbang ("AB Infinity"), and AB Merger Sub, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of AB Infinity ("Merger Sub"), entered into a definitive merger agreement (which was amended on November 3, 2016, as amended the "FGL Merger Agreement" and such merger, the "FGL Merger") to acquire FGL for \$26.80 per share. As a result of the FGL Merger Agreement, the Company's ownership interest in FGL has been classified as held for sale in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets and FGL's operations were classified as discontinued operations in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations and the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and reported separately for all periods presented. See Note 4, Divestitures. Through Front Street and its Bermuda and Cayman-based subsidiaries, we engage in the business of life, annuity and long-term care reinsurance.

During Fiscal 2016, Salus and Front Street received a partial recovery on the loan to a significant borrower of Salus, RadioShack Corporation ("RadioShack"), of \$45.4, excluding \$22.7 repayment on FGL's participation on the loan. As a result of the aforementioned partial recovery, the Company also reversed \$18.0 of previously recorded allowance for bad debt, excluding \$9.0 of realized gains by FGL recorded in "(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

During Fiscal 2016, Salus determined to focus its efforts primarily on monitoring, servicing and collecting its existing loans and winding-down its business. Salus may, however, pursue other opportunities that it may consider strategically advantageous or complimentary to its efforts to collect its existing loans.

During the fourth quarter of Fiscal 2016, the Company sold all of its 51.0% interest in CorAmerica Capital, LLC, its former subsidiary engaged in the business of asset management ("CorAmerica"), to a third party for \$0.5. During Fiscal 2016, the Company also wound down the operations of Energy & Infrastructure Capital, LLC ("EIC"), its other asset manager. The sale of CorAmerica and the wind down of EIC did not represent a strategic shift for the Company.

(2) Significant Accounting Policies and Practices and Recent Accounting Pronouncements Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of FS Holdco and all other entities in which FS Holdco has a controlling financial interest and those variable interest entities ("VIEs") where the Company is the primary beneficiary. Intercompany accounts and transactions between businesses held for use have been eliminated. Results of operations of acquired companies are included from the dates of acquisition and for VIEs, from the dates that the

Company became the primary beneficiary. At September 30, 2016, the non-controlling interest component of total equity represents the 19.6% of FGL and the 1.3% of Salus not owned by FS Holdco.

VIE is an entity that lacks equity investors or whose equity investors do not have a controlling financial interest in the entity through their equity investments. The entity that has a controlling financial interest in a VIE is referred to as the primary beneficiary and consolidates the VIE. A corporation is deemed to have a controlling financial interest and is the primary beneficiary of a VIE if it has both the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance and an obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the VIE.

The Company, through its subsidiary, Salus, primarily uses VIEs for its securitization activities, in which Salus transfers whole loans into a trust or other vehicle such that the assets are legally isolated from the creditors of Salus. Assets held in a trust can only be used to settle obligations of the trust. The creditors of these trusts typically have no recourse to Salus except in accordance with the obligations under standard representations and warranties. When Salus is the servicer of whole loans held in a securitization trust, Salus has the power to direct the most significant activities of the trust. Salus consolidates a whole-loan securitization trust if it has the power to direct the most significant activities and also holds securities issued by the trust or has other contractual arrangements, other than standard representations and warranties, that could potentially be significant to the trust. See Note 6, Securitizations and Variable Interest Entities for additional information on the Company's investment in consolidated VIEs. Fiscal Year End

The Company's fiscal year ends on September 30 and the quarters end on the last calendar day of the months of December, March and June. References herein to Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014 refer to the fiscal years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations

The Company reports a business as held for sale when the criteria of Accounting Standard Codification ("ASC") Topic 360, Property, Plant and Equipment ("ASC 360") are met. A business classified as held for sale is recorded at the lower of its carrying amount or estimated fair value less cost to sell. If the carrying amount of the business exceeds its estimated fair value less cost to sell, a loss is recognized. Assets and liabilities related to a business classified as held for sale are segregated in the current and prior balance sheets in the period in which the business is classified as held for sale. Transactions between the business held for sale and businesses held for use that are expected to continue to exist after the disposal are not eliminated to appropriately reflect the continuing operations and balances held for sale. If a business is classified as held for sale after the balance sheet date but before the financial statements are issued or are available to be issued, the business continues to be classified as held and used in those financial statements when issued or when available to be issued.

The Company reports the results of operations of a business as discontinued operations if a disposal represents a strategic shift that has (or will have) a major effect on an entity's operations and financial results when the business is sold or classified as held for sale, in accordance with ASC 360 and Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2014-08, Presentation of Financial Statements (Topic 2015) and Property, Plant and Equipment (Topic 360): Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity ("ASU 2014-08"). The results of discontinued operations are reported in "(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations for current and prior periods commencing in the period in which the business meets the criteria of a discontinued operation, and include any gain or loss recognized on closing or adjustment of the carrying amount to fair value less cost to sell. Transactions between the business held for sale and businesses held for use that are expected to continue to exist after the disposal are not eliminated to appropriately reflect the continuing operations and balances held for sale.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid temporary instruments purchased with original maturities of three months or less from date of purchase to be cash equivalents.

Goodwill

Goodwill reflects the excess of acquisition cost over the aggregate fair value assigned to identifiable net assets acquired. Goodwill is not amortized, but instead is assessed for impairment at least annually or more frequently if an event or circumstance indicates that an impairment loss may have been incurred between annual impairment tests. Goodwill has been assigned to reporting units for purposes of impairment testing based upon the relative fair value of the asset to each reporting unit. The Company performs its annual impairment test in the fourth quarter of its fiscal

year.

During Fiscal 2016, the Company concluded that an interim impairment test of goodwill for its CorAmerica reporting unit was necessary. This conclusion was based on certain indicators of impairment, primarily related to an amendment, which became effective September 1, 2016, to an investment management agreement to which CorAmerica is a party. The amendment changed the asset management fee structure, which resulted in a significant decrease to the projected future revenues we expect from CorAmerica. In addition, the counterparty reduced its allocation of investments into commercial mortgage loans, which reduced the amount of new loan originations we expect from CorAmerica and reduced CorAmerica's projected future revenues.

As discussed above, during the third fiscal quarter of 2016, the Company determined that sufficient indicators of potential impairment existed to require an interim goodwill impairment analysis for the CorAmerica reporting unit. The Company estimated the fair value of the CorAmerica reporting unit using the income approach. Under the income approach, the Company calculated the fair value of the CorAmerica reporting unit based on the present value of estimated future cash flows. The Company's estimate of discounted cash flows for each reporting unit required significant judgment. Cash flow projections were based on management's estimates of revenue and operating margins, taking into consideration existing agreements, industry and market conditions. The discount rate used was based on the weighted-average cost of capital adjusted for the relevant risk associated with business-specific characteristics and the uncertainty related to CorAmerica's ability to execute on the projected cash flows. The evaluation was management's best estimate of projected fair values. Management's estimate of implied fair value of goodwill was zero and, consequently, resulted in a goodwill impairment charge of \$10.7. The goodwill impairment charge was reflected in "Impairments and bad debt expense" on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations for Fiscal 2016.

Investments

The Company's investments are reflected in "Other assets" in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets and consisted of investments in debt securities with amortized cost approximating fair value that were designated available-for-sale ("AFS") and carried at fair value with unrealized gains and losses included in "Accumulated other comprehensive income" ("AOCI"), net of deferred income taxes. Refer to Note 9, Other Assets, for further detail. Asset-based Loans

Allowance for Credit Losses

The Company's asset-based loans at September 30, 2016 and 2015 of \$35.0 and \$226.7, respectively, are reflected in "Other assets" in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. Originated asset-based loans that are intended to be held in the Company's portfolio are stated at the principal amount outstanding, adjusted for an allowance for credit losses. The delinquency status is based upon the contractual terms of the loans. At September 30, 2016, the Company had delinquent loans with a net carrying value of \$30.1.

The allowance for credit losses represents the Company's estimate of probable losses inherent in its lending activities and is initially established upon origination of a loan. The allowance for credit losses does not include amounts related to accrued interest receivable, as accrued interest receivable is reversed when a loan is placed on nonaccrual status. The adequacy of the allowance for credit losses on a combined loan basis is being constantly evaluated. The Company will charge loans off against its allowance for credit losses when it becomes evident that the Company will not fully collect the balance of the loan. The provision for credit losses related to the loan portfolio is charged to "Impairments and bad debt expense" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Included in the allowance for credit losses are reserves that are maintained to cover uncertainties that affect the Company's estimate of probable losses, including domestic and global economic uncertainty and large single name defaults. This collective allowance for credit losses is calculated using loss rates delineated by risk rating and loan type. Factors considered when assessing loss rates include the value of the underlying collateral, if applicable, the industry of the obligor, and the obligor's liquidity and other financial indicators along with certain qualitative factors. If necessary, a specific allowance is also established for loans if they are deemed to be individually impaired. A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that Company will be unable to collect all amounts due, including principal and/or interest, according to the contractual terms of the agreement. Once a loan has been identified as potentially impaired, management measures impairment based on the present value of payments expected to be received, discounted at the loans' original effective contractual interest rates, or discounted at the portfolio average contractual annual percentage rate. Impaired loans may also be measured based on observable market prices, or for loans that are solely dependent on the collateral for repayment, the estimated fair value of the collateral less estimated costs to sell. If the recorded investment in impaired loans exceeds this amount, a specific allowance is established as a component of the allowance for loan losses.

Credit Quality Indicators

The Company monitors credit quality as indicated by various factors and utilizes such information in its evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for credit losses. The Company is a non-bank asset-based lender, who uses a

bank-compatible risk rating scale as a guide as to the relative risk of the loan. This scale places primary reliance on a loan's cash-flow as a source of repayment, as compared to Company's primary reliance on the sale or liquidation of collateral. The Company's accounting and credit teams review all substandard loans for any potential impairment on a quarterly basis.

The likelihood of collectibility in accordance with the contractual terms of a loan is, in large part, dependent upon the assessed level of risk associated with the specific loan. Borrowers provide the Company with financial information, in accordance with the loan agreement. Additionally, the Company performs further credit due diligence, such as conducting site visits to the borrowers, as well as obtaining collateral appraisals as a measure of safeguard against decline in loans' collateral values. The Company internally risk rates loans based on individual criteria on at least a quarterly basis. The internal rating that is assigned to a loan provides a view as to the relative risk of each loan. The Company employs an internal risk rating scale to establish a

view of the credit quality of each loan. This scale is based on the credit classifications of assets as prescribed by industry standards for the banking industry. The internal risk rating scale is separated into the following groups:

Pass - Loans with standard, acceptable levels of credit risk. The Company scores these loans between 1 and 5;

Special mention - Loans that have potential weaknesses that deserve close attention, and which, if left uncorrected, may result in deterioration of the Company's credit position at some future date. The Company scores these loans as a 6:

Substandard - Loans that are inadequately protected by the current sound worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified must have a well defined weakness or weaknesses and are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Company will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. Although substandard loans in the aggregate may have a distinct potential for loss, an individual loan's loss potential does not have to be distinct for the asset to be rated substandard. The Company scores these loans as 7; and Doubtful - Loans that have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as Substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full improbable based on currently existing facts, conditions, and values. The Company scores these loans as an 8.

Derivative Financial Instruments

Derivative assets and liabilities are reported at fair value in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. When hedge accounting is elected at inception, the Company formally designates the financial instrument as a hedge of a specific underlying exposure and documents both the risk management objectives and strategies for undertaking the hedge. Depending on the nature of derivatives designated as hedging instruments, changes in fair value are either offset against the change in fair value of the hedged assets or liability through earnings or recognized in equity through other comprehensive income until the hedged item is recognized. Any ineffective portion of a financial instrument's change in fair value is recognized in earnings. For derivatives that do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment, the change in the fair value is recognized in earnings.

Front Street hedges certain portions of its economic exposure to product related equity market risk by entering into derivative transactions. Such derivative instruments are not designated as hedging instruments in accordance with hedge accounting with change in fair value recognized within "Net investment gains (losses)" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The Company purchases financial instruments and issues products that may contain embedded derivative instruments. If it is determined that the embedded derivative possesses economic characteristics that are not clearly and closely related to the economic characteristics of the host contract, and a separate instrument with the same terms would qualify as a derivative instrument, the embedded derivative is bifurcated from the host contract for measurement purposes. The embedded derivative is carried at fair value with changes in fair value reported in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Reinsurance

Front Street Re (Cayman) Ltd. ("Front Street Cayman") has entered into various reinsurance agreements on a funds withheld basis, meaning that funds are withheld by the ceding company from the coinsurance premium owed to Front Street Cayman as collateral for Front Street Cayman's payment obligations. Accordingly, the collateral assets remain under the ultimate ownership of the ceding company. Front Street Cayman manages the assets supporting reserves in accordance with the internal investment policy of the ceding companies and applicable law. Front Street Cayman has entered into such reinsurance agreements with FGL, as well as third parties as further described below. At the inception date of the reinsurance transactions, Front Street elected to apply the fair value option to account for its funds withheld receivables, non-funds withheld assets and future policyholder benefits reserves related to its assumed reinsurance. The results from Front Street's assumed reinsurance business with FGL is reported on FGL's historical basis. Upon completion of the FGL Merger, the Company's consolidated results will reflect all reinsurance business on the fair value option.

Reinsurance Agreements with FGL

On December 31, 2012, following regulatory approval, Front Street Cayman entered into a coinsurance agreement (the "Cayman Reinsurance Agreement") with FGL. Pursuant to the Cayman Reinsurance Agreement, Front Street Cayman reinsured a 10% quota share percentage of certain FGL annuity liabilities.

Effective September 17, 2014, FGL entered into a second reinsurance treaty (the "MYGA Treaty", and together with the Cayman Reinsurance Agreement, the "Reinsurance Agreements with FGL") with Front Street Cayman whereby FGL ceded 30% of any new business of its multi-year guaranteed deferred annuity ("MYGA") block of business on a funds withheld basis. This treaty was subsequently terminated as to new business effective April 30, 2015, but remains in effect for policies ceded to Front Street Cayman with an effective date between September 17, 2014 and April 30, 2015.

At September 30, 2016 and 2015, Front Street had \$978.8 and \$1,058.0, respectively, of funds withheld receivables and \$1,119.5 and \$1,226.8, respectively, of insurance reserves related to the Reinsurance Agreements with FGL. The funds withheld receivables portfolio related to the Reinsurance Agreements with FGL consists of investments in debt and equity securities that are carried at fair value with unrealized gains and losses included in AOCI, net of associated intangibles

"shadow adjustments" and deferred income taxes. The funds withheld receivables portfolio also includes cash, derivatives and accrued income.

The liabilities for contractholder funds for deferred annuities consist of contract account balances that accrue to the benefit of the contractholders, excluding surrender charges and other liabilities. The liabilities for fixed index annuities ("FIA") consist of the value of the host contract plus the value of the embedded derivative. The embedded derivative is carried at fair value in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets with changes in fair value reported in "Benefits and other changes in policy reserves" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. Liabilities for immediate annuities without life contingencies are recorded at the present value of future benefits. Liabilities for investment-type contracts are calculated by multiplying the benefit ratio by the cumulative assessments recorded from contract inception through the balance sheet date plus interest. If experience or assumption changes result in a new benefit ratio, the reserves are adjusted to reflect the changes.

The liabilities for future policy benefits and claim reserves life contingent pay-out annuity policies are computed using assumptions for investment yields, mortality and withdrawals based principally on generally accepted actuarial methods and assumptions at the time of contract issue. The investment yield assumptions for life contingent pay-out annuities range from 0.8% to 6.0%.

Reinsurance agreements with third parties

On December 16, 2013, Front Street Cayman closed a reinsurance treaty with Bankers Life Insurance Company. Under the terms of the treaty, Bankers Life Insurance Company ceded annuity business to Front Street Cayman, on a funds withheld basis. At September 30, 2016 and 2015, Front Street had \$125.8 and \$148.3, respectively, of funds withheld receivables and \$119.0 and \$145.7, respectively, of insurance reserves related to this transaction. On October 31, 2014, Front Street Cayman purchased Ability Re from Ability Re Holdings. The Ability Re acquisition consisted of long-term care reinsurance business. The acquired reinsurance agreements complement Front Street Cayman's existing in force long-duration insurance liabilities. At September 30, 2016 and 2015, Front Street had \$295.6 and \$357.5, respectively, of funds withheld receivables and \$271.5 and \$348.4, respectively, of insurance reserves related to this transaction.

During Fiscal 2015, Front Street Cayman also closed three additional reinsurance transactions with unaffiliated parties. At September 30, 2016 and 2015, Front Street had \$250.2 and \$146.3, respectively, of funds withheld receivables and \$241.3 and \$135.1, respectively, of insurance reserves related to these transactions. Front Street elected to apply the fair value option to account for its funds withheld receivables, non-funds withheld assets and future policyholder benefits reserve related to its assumed reinsurance with third parties. Front Street measures fair value of the funds withheld receivables based on the fair values of the securities in the underlying funds withheld portfolio held by the cedant. The non-funds withheld assets held by Front Street, backing the future policyholder benefits reserve, are measured at fair value. Policy loans included in the funds withheld receivables with third parties are measured at amortized cost, which approximates fair value.

Front Street uses a discounted cash flows approach to measure the fair value of the future policyholder benefits reserve. The cash flows associated with future policy premiums and benefits are generated using best estimate assumptions (plus a risk margin, where applicable) and are consistent with market prices, where available. Risk margins are typically applied to non-observable, non-hedgeable market inputs such as mortality, morbidity, lapse, discount rate for non-performance risk, discount rate for risk margin, surrenders, etc. Mortality relates to the occurrence of death. Mortality assumptions are based upon the experience of the cedant as well as past and emerging industry experience, when available. Morbidity relates to the occurrence of a claim status and is a key assumption for the long term care business. Morbidity assumptions are based upon the experience of the cedant as well as past and emerging industry experience, when available. Mortality and morbidity assumptions may be different by sex, underwriting class and policy type. Assumptions are also made for future mortality and morbidity improvements. Policies are terminated through surrenders and maturities, where surrenders represent the voluntary terminations of policies by policyholders and maturities are determined by policy contract terms. Surrender assumptions are based upon cedant experience adjusted for expected future conditions. As of December 31, 2015, Front Street began discounting the liability cash flows by using the market yields on the underlying assets backing the liabilities plus a risk margin to reflect uncertainty and adjusts the discount rate to reflect the credit risk of Front Street. In prior periods,

the discount rate was based on risk free rates plus non-performance spreads plus a risk margin and a factor to reflect own credit risk. The change in discount rate methodology reduced the fair value of the Front Street future policyholder benefit liability by \$7.0 at December 31, 2015.

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the Front Street future policyholder benefit liability are non-performance risk spread and risk spread to reflect uncertainty. Significant increases (decreases) in non-performance risk spread and risk margin to reflect uncertainty would result in a lower (higher) fair value measurement.

Refer to Note 7, Fair Value of Financial Instruments, for further detail.

Use of Estimates and Assumptions

The Company periodically, and at least annually, reviews the assumptions associated with reserves for policy benefits and product guarantees. With respect to the Reinsurance Agreements with FGL, as part of the assumption review process in Fiscal 2016, changes were made to the surrender rates and earned rates to bring assumptions in line with current and expected future experience; in Fiscal 2015, changes were made to the earned rates and the guaranteed option costs; and in Fiscal 2014, changes were made to the changes were made to the surrender rates, earned rates and future index credits. The assumption changes associated with the Reinsurance Agreements with FGL resulted in an increase in insurance reserves of \$1.0 in Fiscal 2016, an increase in insurance agreements with third parties, as part of the assumption review process in Fiscal 2014. With respect to the reinsurance agreements with third parties, as part of the assumption review process in Fiscal 2016, changes were made to morbidity and premium rate increases to bring assumptions in line with current and expected future experience; in Fiscal 2015, changes were made to morbidity; and in Fiscal 2014, there were no changes made as part of the assumption review process. The assumption changes associated with the reinsurance agreements with third parties resulted in a decrease in reserves of \$1.6 in Fiscal 2016 and an increase in insurance reserves of \$12.0 in Fiscal 2015.

Funds Withheld Receivables with FGL - OTTI

The Company's available-for-sale securities included in the funds withheld portfolio related to the reinsurance agreements with FGL with unrealized losses are reviewed for potential other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI"). In evaluating whether a decline in value is other-than-temporary, the Company considers several factors including, but not limited to, the following: (1) the extent and the duration of the decline; (2) the reasons for the decline in value (credit event, currency or interest-rate related, including general credit spread widening); and (3) the financial condition of and near-term prospects of the issuer. The Company also considers the ability and intent to hold the investment for a period of time to allow for a recovery of value.

When assessing the Company's intent to sell a debt security or if it is more likely than not the Company will be required to sell a debt security before recovery of its cost basis, the Company evaluates facts and circumstances such as, but not limited to, decisions to reposition the Company's security portfolio, sale of securities to meet cash flow needs and sales of securities to capitalize on favorable pricing and tax planning strategies. In order to determine the amount of the credit loss for a security, the Company calculates the recovery value by performing a discounted cash flow analysis based on the current cash flows and future cash flows the Company expects to recover. The discount rate is the effective interest rate implicit in the underlying security. The effective interest rate is the original purchased yield or the yield at the date the debt security was previously impaired.

The Company analyzes its ability to recover the amortized cost by comparing the net present value of cash flows expected to be collected with the amortized cost of the security. For mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities, cash flow estimates consider the payment terms of the underlying assets backing a particular security, including interest rate and prepayment assumptions, based on data from widely accepted third-party data sources or internal estimates. In addition to interest rate and prepayment assumptions, cash flow estimates also include other assumptions regarding the underlying collateral including default rates and recoveries, which vary based on the asset type and geographic location, as well as the vintage year of the security. For structured securities, the payment priority within the tranche structure is also considered. For all other debt securities, cash flow estimates are driven by assumptions regarding probability of default and estimates regarding timing and amount of recoveries associated with a default. If the net present value is less than the amortized cost of the investment, an OTTI is recognized.

The Company includes the total OTTI recognized in "Net investment gains (losses)" on the face of the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations, with an offset for the amount of non-credit impairments recognized in AOCI. The Company discloses the amount of OTTI recognized in AOCI and other disclosures related to OTTI in Note 9, Other Assets, and the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss). Income Taxes

Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in

which the temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax laws or rates is recognized in the income in the period that includes the enactment date. The Company has the ability and intent to recover in a tax-free manner assets (or liabilities) with book/tax basis differences for which no deferred taxes have been provided, in accordance with ASC Topic 740, Income Taxes. The Company recognizes the effect of income tax positions only if those positions are more-likely-than-not to be sustained. Recognized income tax positions are measured at the largest amount that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized. Changes in recognition or measurement are reflected in the period in which the change in judgment occurs. Accrued interest expense and penalties related to uncertain tax positions are recorded in "Income tax expense (benefit)" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Refer to Note 12, Income Taxes, for further detail.

Table of Contents

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified or combined to conform to the current year presentation. These reclassifications and combinations had no effect on previously reported results of operations or accumulated deficit. Revenue Recognition

Net Investment Income

Dividends and interest income of Front Street and Salus and on the Reinsurance Agreements with FGL recorded in "Net investment income," are recognized when earned, net of related expenses. Amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts on investments in fixed maturity securities related to the Reinsurance Agreements with FGL are reflected in "Net investment income" over the contractual terms of the investments in a manner that produces a constant effective yield.

For asset-backed securities included in the fixed maturity AFS securities portfolios, which are related to the Reinsurance Agreements with FGL, the Company recognizes income using a constant effective yield based on anticipated prepayments and the estimated economic life of the securities. When actual prepayments differ significantly from originally anticipated prepayments, the effective yield is recalculated prospectively to reflect actual payments to date plus anticipated future payments. Any adjustments resulting from changes in effective yield are reflected in "Net investment income".

Net investment gains (losses)

Net investment gains (losses) include realized losses and gains from the sale of investments, write-downs for OTTI of AFS investments related to the Reinsurance Agreements with FGL; dividends and interest income and changes in the fair value of Front Street's funds withheld receivables with third parties; and gains and losses on derivative investments.

Insurance and investment product fees and other

Insurance premiums and product fees are reported in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations within the caption "Insurance and investment product fees and other." Insurance premiums for traditional life insurance products are recognized as revenue when due from the contractholder. Traditional life insurance products include those products with fixed and guaranteed premiums and benefits and consist primarily of term life insurance and certain annuities with life contingencies.

Premium collections for fixed indexed and fixed rate annuities and immediate annuities without life contingency are reported as deposit liabilities (i.e., contractholder funds) instead of as revenues. Similarly, cash payments to policyholders are reported as decreases in the liability for contractholder funds and not as expenses. Sources of revenues for products accounted for as deposit liabilities are net investment income, surrender and other charges deducted from contractholder funds, and net realized gains (losses) on investments.

Product fee revenue from deferred annuities is comprised of policy and contract fees charged for the cost of insurance policy administration and rider fees that are assessed on a monthly basis, and recognized as revenue when assessed and earned. Product fee revenue also includes surrender charges which are recognized and collected when the policy is surrendered.

Legal Matters and Contingencies

The Company records legal fees and accruals in accordance with ASC Topic 450, "Contingencies". Contingencies arising from environmental remediation costs, regulatory judgments, claims, assessments, guarantees, litigation, recourse reserves, fines, penalties and other sources are recorded when deemed probable and reasonably estimable. Benefits and Other Changes in Policy Reserves

Benefit expenses for deferred annuity and FIA policies related to the Reinsurance Agreements with FGL include index credits and interest credited to contractholder account balances and benefit claims incurred during the period in excess of contract account balances. For the periods from Fiscal 2014 through Fiscal 2016, the interest crediting rates associated with funds invested ranged from 0.0% to 6.0% for deferred annuities and FIAs, combined. Other changes in policy reserves include the change in the fair value of the FIA embedded derivative and the change in the reserve for secondary guarantee benefit payments. For immediate annuities, policy benefit claims are charged to expense in the period that the claims are incurred.

Benefits and other changes in policy reserves also include changes in the fair value of the insurance liabilities with third parties.

Interest Expense

Interest expense on the Company's short-term and long-term debt is recognized as due and any associated premiums, discounts, and costs are amortized (accreted) over the term of the related borrowing utilizing the effective interest method. Interest expense also includes fees on the Company's credit facilities.

Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) includes unrealized gains (losses) and non-credit related OTTI on investment securities classified as AFS of business held for sale. Net unrealized gains and losses on investment securities classified as AFS by the business held for sale are reduced by deferred income taxes and adjustments to intangible assets that would have resulted had such gains and losses been realized. Refer to Note 11, Shareholder's Equity, for further detail.

Fair Value Measurements

The Company's measurement of fair value is based on assumptions used by market participants in pricing the asset or liability, which may include inherent risk, restrictions on the sale or use of an asset or non-performance risk, which may include the Company's own credit risk. The Company's estimate of an exchange price is the price in an orderly transaction between market participants to sell the asset or transfer the liability ("exit price") in the principal market, or the most advantageous market in the absence of a principal market, for that asset or liability, as opposed to the price that would be paid to acquire the asset or receive a liability ("entry price"). The Company categorizes financial instruments carried at fair value into a three-level fair value hierarchy, based on the priority of inputs to the respective valuation technique. The three-level hierarchy for fair value measurement is defined as follows:

Level 1 — Values are unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets and liabilities in active markets accessible at the measurement date.

Level 2 — Inputs include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices from those willing to trade in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by market data for the term of the instrument. Such inputs include market interest rates and volatilities, spreads and yield curves.

Level 3 — Certain inputs are unobservable (supported by little or no market activity) and significant to the fair value measurement. Unobservable inputs reflect the Company's best estimate of what hypothetical market participants would use to determine a transaction price for the asset or liability at the reporting date based on the best information available in the circumstances.

In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, an investment's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lower level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment and considers factors specific to the investment.

When a determination is made to classify an asset or liability within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, the determination is based upon the significance of the unobservable inputs to the overall fair value measurement. Because certain securities trade in less liquid or illiquid markets with limited or no pricing information, the determination of fair value for these securities is inherently more difficult. However, Level 3 fair value investments may include, in addition to the unobservable or Level 3 inputs, observable components, which are components that are actively quoted or can be validated to market-based sources.

Funds Withheld Receivables, Fixed Maturity Securities, Equity Securities and Other Invested Assets The Company measures the fair value of its securities based on assumptions used by market participants in pricing the security. The appropriate valuation methodology is selected based on the specific characteristics of the fixed maturity or equity security, and the Company will then consistently apply the valuation methodology to measure the security's fair value. The Company's fair value measurement is based on a market approach, which utilizes prices and other relevant information generated by market transactions involving identical or comparable securities. Sources of inputs to the market approach include a third-party pricing service, independent broker quotations or pricing matrices. The Company uses observable and unobservable inputs in its valuation methodologies. Observable inputs include benchmark yields, reported trades, broker-dealer quotes, issuer spreads, two-sided markets, benchmark securities, bids, offers and reference data. In addition, market indicators and industry and economic events are monitored and further market data will be acquired when certain thresholds are met. For certain security types, additional inputs may be used, or some of the inputs described above may not be applicable. For broker-quoted only securities, quotes from market makers or broker-dealers are obtained from sources recognized to be market participants. Management believes the broker quotes are prices at which trades could be executed based on historical trends executed at broker-quoted or slightly higher prices. The Company did not adjust prices received from third parties as of September 30, 2016 and 2015. However, the Company does analyze the third-party valuation methodologies and its related inputs to perform assessments to determine the appropriate level within the fair value hierarchy. Derivatives

The fair values of the embedded derivatives in Front Street's assumed FIA business from FGL are derived using market indices, pricing assumptions and historical data. The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the embedded derivatives in Front Street's assumed FIA business are market value of option, interest

swap rates, mortality multiplier, surrender rates, and non-performance spread. The mortality multiplier at September 30, 2016 and 2015 was applied to the Annuity 2000 mortality tables. Significant increases or decreases in the market value of an option in isolation would result in a higher or lower, respectively, fair value measurement. Significant increases or decreases in interest swap rates, mortality multiplier, surrender rates, or non-performance spread in isolation would result in a lower or higher, respectively, fair value measurement. Generally, a change in any one unobservable input would not result in a change in any other unobservable input.

The Company has not changed its valuation techniques in measuring the fair value of any derivative assets and liabilities during the year.

Refer to Note 7, Fair Value of Financial Instruments, for further detail. Refer to the "Reinsurance" section above for an explanation of the fair value measurements used to account Front Street Cayman's reinsurance agreements.

Reclassifications

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified or combined to conform to the current year presentation. These reclassifications and combinations had no effect on previously reported results of operations or accumulated deficit. Subsequent Events

ASC Topic 855, "Subsequent Events" ("ASC 855"), establishes general standards of accounting and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. ASC 855 requires the Company to evaluate events that occur after the balance sheet date through the date the Company's financial statements are issued and to determine whether adjustments to or additional disclosures in the financial statements are necessary. The Company has evaluated subsequent events through the date these financial statements were issued. See Note 4, Divestitures, for discussion of the extension of the outside termination for the completion of the FGL Merger Agreement from November 7, 2016 to February 8, 2017. No other significant events occurred subsequent to September 30, 2016.

Newly Adopted Accounting Standards

Debt Issuance Costs

In April 2015, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued ASU No. 2015-03, Interest-Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs ("ASU 2015-03"). The accounting guidance requires that debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability be reported in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of that debt liability. Current guidance generally requires entities to capitalize costs paid to third parties that are directly related to issuing debt and that otherwise wouldn't be incurred, and present those amounts separately as deferred charges. The Company elected to early adopt ASU 2015-03 effective March 31, 2016. The Company applied the new guidance on a retrospective basis, wherein the balance sheet of each individual period presented was adjusted to reflect the period-specific effects of applying the new guidance. The reclassification of unamortized debt issuance costs resulted in reductions in other assets and debt of \$5.4 and reductions in assets and liabilities of business held for sale of \$4.1 as of September 30, 2015. Other than this reclassification, the adoption of this guidance did not have an impact in the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements, debt issuance costs continue to be capitalized and amortized to interest expense using the effective interest method over the lives of the related debt agreements.

Refer to Note 10, Debt, for further detail.

(3) Acquisitions

Ability Re

On November 3, 2014, Front Street Cayman purchased Ability Reinsurance (Bermuda) Limited ("Ability Re") from Ability Re Holdings for \$19.2 in cash. Upon the purchase, Ability Re was concurrently merged into Front Street Cayman, where Front Street Cayman was the surviving entity. The Ability Re acquisition consisted of approximately \$368.0 of assets supporting two closed block long-term care reinsurance agreements and the associated capital. The acquired reinsurance agreements complement Front Street Cayman's existing in force long-duration insurance liabilities.

The Company elected to use October 31, 2014 as the closing date for accounting purposes as Ability Re's accounting close process is based on a month end close, there were zero business days between October 31 and November 3 and no material transactions took place between the accounting close date and November 3, 2014.

The following table summarizes the consideration paid by Front Street Cayman for Ability Re:

Purchase
Price
Cash paid at November 3, 2014 close \$ 17.9
Cash purchase price adjustments (1.5)
Contingent consideration premium increase benefit 2.8
Total purchase price \$ 19.2

Purchase Price

	Allocation
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 8.5
Funds withheld receivables	359.5
Insurance reserves	(346.9)
Other liabilities	(1.9)
Total net assets acquired	\$ 19.2

The Company performed a valuation of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at October 31, 2014. A summary of key inputs is as follows:

Funds withheld receivables - The fair value of the funds withheld assets was based on the fair values of the securities in the underlying funds withheld portfolio held in trust by the cedant.

Insurance reserves - The fair value of insurance reserves was determined based on a discounted cash flow model, which included assumptions related to future premium rates and benefit costs, including assumptions for lapse, mortality, maintenance expense and a margin for potential adverse deviations. The discount rate was based on prevailing risk free rates adjusted for credit spreads and expected return on capital.

(4) Divestitures

FGL Merger Agreement

On November 8, 2015, FGL, Anbang, AB Infinity, and Merger Sub entered into the FGL Merger Agreement, which was amended by the parties on November 3, 2016. Pursuant to the FGL Merger Agreement and subject to the terms and conditions set forth therein, Merger Sub will merge with and into FGL, with FGL continuing as the surviving entity, which will become a direct, wholly-owned subsidiary of AB Infinity and an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of Anbang. Pursuant to the FGL Merger Agreement, at the effective time of the FGL Merger, each issued and outstanding share of FGL common stock, subject to certain exceptions, will be canceled and converted automatically into the right to receive \$26.80 per share in cash, without interest.

The completion of the FGL Merger is subject to the satisfaction of a number of closing conditions, including the receipt of regulatory approvals from the Iowa Insurance Division, New York Department of Financial Services, Vermont Department of Financial Regulation, China Insurance Regulatory Commission, and the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States ("CFIUS").

Anbang continues to work on securing the remaining required regulatory approvals and the parties are committed to securing such approvals, however, the closing of the FGL Merger, and the timing thereof, is subject to the regulatory review and approval process, none of which can be assured. In the event that the FGL Merger Agreement is terminated, under specified circumstances, FGL may be required to pay a termination fee to Anbang and its subsidiaries of \$51.5.

At September 30, 2016, the Company determined that its ownership interest in FGL met the criteria established by ASC 360 to classify it as held for sale. The following table summarizes the major categories of assets and liabilities of FGL classified as held for sale in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 30, 2016 and 2015:

	September	September
	30, 2016	30, 2015
Assets		
Investments, including loans and receivables from affiliates	\$21,140.9	\$19,206.7
Cash and cash equivalents	863.9	501.8
Accrued investment income	213.7	191.2
Reinsurance recoverable	3,463.9	3,578.7
Deferred tax assets	_	189.1
Properties, plant and equipment, net	18.5	14.4
Deferred acquisition costs and value of business acquired, net	1,065.5	1,048.6
Other assets	335.1	246.0
Write-down of assets of business held for sale to fair value less cost to sell	(362.8)	_
Total assets of business held for sale	\$26,738.7	\$24,976.5
Liabilities		
Insurance reserves	\$23,944.6	\$22,560.1
Debt	398.8	295.9
Accounts payable and other current liabilities	57.0	43.7
Deferred tax liabilities	9.9	
Other liabilities	689.9	518.8

Total liabilities of business held for sale

\$25,100.2 \$23,418.5

The balances included in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets and in the table above reflect transactions between the businesses held for sale and businesses held for use that are expected to continue to exist after the closing of the FGL Merger. Such transactions are not eliminated to reflect the continuing operations and balances held for sale. As a result, adjustments to the carrying value of certain intercompany assets recorded by FGL, were reversed upon consolidation in the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Below is a summary of the impact of such intercompany balances in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets:

	September	September
	30, 2016	30, 2015
Assets		
Funds withheld receivable	\$ 978.8	\$ 1,058.0
Other assets	15.1	15.9
Assets of business held for sale	1,304.7	1,709.1
Total assets	\$ 2,298.6	\$ 2,783.0
Liabilities		
Insurance reserves	\$1,119.5	\$ 1,226.8
Debt	63.0	330.7
Accounts payable and other current liabilities	_	1.6
Other liabilities	_	11.0
Liabilities of business held for sale	1,116.1	1,212.9
Total liabilities	\$2,298.6	\$ 2,783.0

The carrying value of the Company's interest in FGL was higher than the fair value less cost to sell based on the sales price at September 30, 2016 and as a result, the Company recorded a write-down of assets of business held for sale of \$362.8 at September 30, 2016.

In accordance with ASU 2014-08, the Company has determined that the FGL Merger Agreement represented a strategic shift for the Company and, accordingly, has presented the results of operations for FGL as discontinued operations in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The following table summarizes the components of "(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations for Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014:

	Fiscal		
	2016	2015	2014
Revenues:			
Insurance premiums	\$69.9	\$58.5	\$55.6
Net investment income (a)	922.7	850.8	760.2
Net investment gains (losses) (b)	27.6	(2.0)	306.7
Insurance and investment product fees and other	126.8	89.2	68.3
Total revenues	1,147.0	996.5	1,190.8
Operating costs and expenses:			
Benefits and other changes in policy reserves	790.9	578.4	787.5
Selling, acquisition, operating and general expenses	118.3	112.8	102.3
Amortization of intangibles	78.6	41.8	97.5
Total operating costs and expenses	987.8	733.0	987.3
Operating income	159.2	263.5	203.5
Interest expense	(22.0)	(23.6)	(22.5)
Other income (expense), net	4.8	(5.0)	(4.0)
Write-down of assets of business held for sale to fair value less cost to sell (c)	(362.8)	· —	_
Net (loss) income before income taxes	(220.8)	234.9	177.0
Income tax expense (d)	250.5	87.8	22.0
Net (loss) income	(471.3)	147.1	155.0
Less: net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	19.0	23.1	12.9
Net (loss) income - attributable to controlling interest	\$(490.3)	\$124.0	\$142.1

(a) Included in the net investment income attributable to FGL is interest income of \$4.1, \$4.5 and \$4.5 for Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively, on debt instruments issued by entities consolidated by HRG as they will continue to exist following the closing of the FGL Merger. The corresponding interest expense is recorded in continuing operations in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

- (b) Included in "Net investment gains (losses)" are charges related to the change in expected recovery rates of asset-based loans. Such charges are presented as "Impairments and bad debt expense" on the Company's accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.
- (c) FGL's net income during Fiscal 2016 and the increase in unrealized gains on FGL's investment portfolio resulted in an increase of the Company's carrying value in FGL that was above the fair value that the Company expects to receive as part of the sale which write-down of carrying value of the assets of business held for sale to fair value less cost to sell of \$362.8. Such write-down could be partially reversed if the carrying value of FGL decreases in future reporting periods. Upon completion of the FGL Merger, the amount of AOCI related to FGL will be recognized through (loss) income from discontinued operations on the statement of operations and could result in a gain from discontinued operations.

Table of Contents

(d) The Company recognized a deferred tax liability of \$367.9 at September 30, 2016 as a result of classifying the Company's ownership in FGL as held for sale. Of this amount, \$222.9 was recognized to income tax expense and \$145.0 was recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income.

(5) Derivative Financial Instruments

The fair value of outstanding derivatives recorded in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets were as follows:

C		1 7 2	Septem	ber 30,	
Derivatives not designated as hedging in	nstruments:	Classification	2016	2015	
Assets:					
Call option receivable from FGL		Funds withheld receivables	\$11.3	\$5.4	
Call options		Other assets	5.9	1.0	
-			\$17.2	\$6.4	
Liabilities:					
Embedded derivatives in Front Street's a	assumed FIA business	Insurance reserves	\$131.2	\$142.3	
Foreign exchange contracts		Other liabilities		11.0	
			\$131.2	\$153.3	
During Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014, the	Company recognized t	the following gains and losses	on its de	erivatives	: :
	1 7 0		Fisca		
Classification	Derivatives Not Desi	gnated as Hedging Instrument	ts 2016	5 2015	2014
Revenues:					
Net investment gains (losses)	Call options		\$3.8	\$(7.6)	\$25.7
Operating costs and expenses:	•				
Benefits and other changes in policy		s in Front Street's assumed FI	A \$11	1 \$8.5	\$(10.3)
reserves	business		Ψ11.	Ι ΨΟ.5	Ψ(10.5)
Other income (expense), net	Foreign exchange con	ntracts	2.4	(11.0)	_
Additional Disclosures					

Call options. Derivative financial instruments included within the funds withheld receivables at fair value in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets are in the form of call options receivable by Front Street. Front Street hedges exposure to product related equity market risk by entering into derivative transactions. These options hedge Front Street's share of the FIA index credit. The change in fair value is recognized within "Net investment gains (losses)" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Call option receivable from FGL. Under the terms of the modified coinsurance arrangement between Front Street and FGL, FGL is required to pay Front Street a portion of the net cost of equity option purchases and the proceeds from expirations related to the equity options which hedge the index credit feature of the reinsured FIA contracts. Accordingly, the receivable from FGL is reflected in "Funds withheld receivables" as of the balance sheet date with changes in fair value reflected in the Company's accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. Embedded derivatives in Front Street's assumed FIA business from FGL. Front Street has assumed FIA contracts that permit the holder to elect an interest rate return or an equity index linked component, where interest credited to the contracts is linked to the performance of various equity indices, primarily the Standard & Poor's Ratings Services ("S&P") 500 Index. This feature represents an embedded derivative under U.S. GAAP. The FIA embedded derivative is valued at fair value and included in the "Insurance reserves" in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets with changes in fair value included as a component of "Benefits and other changes in policy reserves" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Foreign exchange contracts - not designated as hedges for accounting purposes- Salus. During Fiscal 2015, Salus executed a CAD swap agreement with FGL Insurance, to convert the CAD cash flows into U.S. Dollars cash flows. Under this swap agreement, Salus would reimburse FGL Insurance for certain realized foreign exchange losses related to cash flows on these loan participations from origination date through maturity date. The cumulative foreign

exchange losses related to such cash flows at September 30, 2015 were \$11.0 included in "Other liabilities" with an equal and offsetting asset included in "Assets of business held for sale" on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. The swap agreements were settled and terminated during Fiscal 2016. During Fiscal 2016 and 2015, the Company recognized \$2.4 and \$(11.0), respectively of other income (expense) associated with the CAD swap agreements and a corresponding (loss) income from discontinued operations on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Credit Risk

Front Street is exposed to credit risk in the event of non-performance by its counterparties on call options. Front Street seeks to reduce the risk associated with such agreements by purchasing such options from large, well-established financial institutions, but there can be no assurance that Front Street will not suffer losses in the event of counterparty non-performance. No collateral was posted by its counterparties; accordingly, at September 30, 2016, the maximum amount of loss due to credit risk that Front Street would incur if parties to the call options failed completely to perform according to the terms of the contracts was \$5.9.

Earnings from FIA reinsurance are primarily generated from the excess of net investment income earned over the sum of interest credited to policyholders and the cost of hedging the risk on FIA policies, known as the net investment spread. With respect to FIAs, the cost of hedging the risk includes the expenses incurred to fund the annual index credits. Proceeds received upon expiration or early termination of call options purchased to fund annual index credits are recorded as part of the fair value changes associated with reinsurance contracts in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations, and are largely offset by an expense for index credits earned on annuity contractholder fund balances.

(6) Securitizations and Variable Interest Entities

Collateralized Loan Obligations

In February 2013, Salus completed a collateralized loan obligation ("CLO") securitization with a notional aggregate principal amount of \$175.5 of the asset-based loans that it had originated through that date. In September 2013, Salus increased the CLO securitization to a notional aggregate principal amount of \$331.1 of the asset-based loans that it had originated through that date. Salus' continuing involvement with the trust created as part of the securitization include servicing the receivables; retaining an undivided interest (seller's interest) in the receivables; and holding certain retained interests in subordinate securities, subordinate interests in accrued interest and fees on the securitized receivables, and cash reserve accounts. Salus continues to consolidate the loans transferred into the trust as it has determined that it is the primary beneficiary of the variable-interest entity represented by the trust, as result of it holding subordinate interest and servicing the receivables. Neither the Company nor Salus provided guarantees or recourse to the securitization trust other than standard representations and warranties.

Included within "Other assets" in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2016 and 2015 were asset-based loans of \$29.3 and \$197.8, respectively, that serve as collateral to the obligations of the CLO. Such obligations include obligations to non-affiliates of \$38.9, net of discount of \$0.8 and \$39.5, net of discount of \$0.9, respectively. The unaffiliated obligations of the CLO are included within "Debt" in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. At September 30, 2016 and 2015, the total liabilities of the consolidated VIE included \$96.3 and \$317.3, respectively, of seller's interest.

For additional information related to the increases in the provision for credit losses on the asset-based loan portfolio, see Note 9, Other Assets.

For additional information related to the reduction in senior secured and subordinated CLO debt, see Note 10, Debt. The table below summarizes select information related to the CLO vehicle in which Salus held a variable interest at September 30, 2016 and 2015:

	Septem	ber 30,
	2016	2015
Maximum loss exposure	\$29.3	\$197.8
Asset-based loans receivable	\$29.3	\$197.8
Cash and other assets	13.7	85.8
Total assets of consolidated VIE	\$43.0	\$283.6
Senior, Secured	\$ —	\$219.2
Subordinated	135.2	137.6
Long-term debt	135.2	356.8

Other liabilities — 0.7 Total liabilities of consolidated VIE \$135.2 \$357.5

Table of Contents

(7) Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company's consolidated assets and liabilities measured at fair value are summarized according to the hierarchy previously described as follows:

	Septer	mber 30, 2	016		September 30, 2015			
	Level	Level 2	Level	Fair	Leve	l Level 2	Level	Fair
	1	LCVCI 2	3	Value	1	LCVCI 2	3	Value
Assets								
Fixed maturity and equity securities included in	\$69.9	\$1 387 1	\$78.1	\$1 535 1	\$ 84	\$1,555.0	\$74.7	\$1,638.1
funds withheld receivables	Ψ02.2	Ψ1,507.1	Ψ / Ο. 1	Ψ1,555.1	ψυ.τ	Ψ1,555.0	Ψ/π./	Ψ1,030.1
Call option receivable from FGL included in		11.3		11.3		5.4		5.4
funds withheld receivables		11.5		11.5		J. T		J. T
Corporate fixed maturity securities AFS							14.1	14.1
Other invested assets							2.8	2.8
Total financial assets	\$69.9	\$1,398.4	\$78.1	\$1,546.4	\$8.4	\$1,560.4	\$91.6	\$1,660.4
Liabilities								
Front Street future policyholder benefit liability	\$	\$—	\$631.8	\$631.8	\$—	\$ —	\$629.2	\$629.2
Embedded derivatives in Front Street's assumed			131.2	131.2			142.3	142.3
FIA business			131.2	131.2		_	142.3	142.3
Foreign exchange contracts			_	_		11.0		11.0
Total financial liabilities	\$ —	\$—	\$763.0	\$763.0	\$—	\$11.0	\$771.5	\$782.5

Quantitative information regarding significant unobservable inputs used for recurring Level 3 fair value measurements of financial instruments carried at fair value as of September 30, 2016 and 2015 were as follows:

	Fair Va	lue at	,	ioro una 2015 were us i	Range (Weigl	hted average)
Assets	Septem 30, 2016	ber September 30, 2015	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input(s)	September 30, 2016	September 30, 2015
Corporate fixed maturity securities	\$—	\$ 14.1	Broker-quoted	Offered quotes	— %	83%
Other invested assets	_	2.8	Discounted Cash Flow	Probability of collection	— %	50%
				Discount rate	<u></u> %	10%
Funds withheld receivables Fixed maturity and equity securities	35.2	39.1	Matrix pricing	Quoted prices	98% - 122% (109%)	100% - 122% (112%)
Fixed maturity securities	5.4	19.2	Loan Recovery Value	Recovery rate	56% - 100% (82%)	6% - 12% (8%)
Fixed maturity securities	35.7	6.7	Broker-quoted	Offered quotes	97% - 100% (100%)	99% - 103% (101%)
Loan participations	1.8	9.7	Loan Recovery Value	Recovery rate	52% - 100% (71%)	100%
Total	\$78.1	\$ 91.6			,	
Liabilities Front Street future policyholder benefit liability	\$631.8	\$ 629.2	Discounted cash flow	Non-performance risk spread	0.32%	0.16% - 0.46%
				Risk margin to reflect uncertainty	0.50%	0.50% - 1.00%
Embedded derivatives in Front Street's assumed FIA	131.2	142.3	Discounted cash flow	•	0% - 27% (2%)	0% - 32% (1%)

business

SWAP rates 1% 2% Mortality multiplier 80% 80% 0.50% - 75% 0.50% - 75% Surrender rates

(10%) (13%)

Non-performance risk

0.25% 0.25%

spread

\$763.0 \$ 771.5 Total

See Note 2, Significant Accounting Policies and Practices and Recent Accounting Pronouncements, for additional discussion of the significant unobservable inputs used in for recurring Level 3 fair value measurements of financial instruments carried at fair value.

The following tables summarize changes to the Company's financial instruments carried at fair value and classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy for Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014. The gains and losses below may include changes in fair value due in part to observable inputs that are a component of the valuation methodology.

changes in rail value due in part to observable	Fiscal 2	•	onent of the	vaiuatioi	i iliculou(nogy.	
	110001	Total Gains				Net	
	Balanc at	e(Losses)				Transf In	er Balance
	of	ni ng cludedncl in in		esSales	Settleme	of	at End of Period
	Period	Earning&O	CI			Level 3 (a)	
Assets							
Corporate fixed maturity securities	\$14.1		_ \$	\$(13.6)	\$ —		\$—
Other invested assets	2.8	2.7 —		(24.4.)) —	— 70.1
Funds withheld receivables	74.7	(3.6) —					78.1
Total assets at fair value	\$91.0	\$(1.4) \$	→ 30.2	\$(48.0)	\$ (5.5) \$ 5.2	\$78.1
		Total (Gains	s)			Net	
	Balanc	eLosses				transfe	Balance
	at					In	at End
	_	ii lig cludedncl				(Out)	of
	of	in in	Purchase	esSales	Settleme		Period
	Period	Earning AO	CI			Level	
Liabilities							
Front Street future policyholder benefit liability	•	\$59.5 \$	-\$	\$—	\$ (56.9) \$ —	\$631.8
Embedded derivatives in Front Street's assume	ed _{142.2}	(11.1) —					131.2
FIA business	142.3	(11.1) —	_		_		131.2
Total liabilities at fair value		\$48.4 \$		\$—	\$ (56.9	, .	\$763.0
(a) During Fiscal 2016, the net transfer to Leve		•					
related to changes in the primary pricing source	e and cha	anges in the o	bservability	of extern	al inform	ation used	l in
determining the fair value.	Fiscal 2	0015					
	1 15Ca1 2	Total Gains				Net	
	Dolono	(Lossos)				Transf	

\mathcal{C}							
	Fiscal	2015					
		Total Gains				Net	
	Balanc	e(Losses)				Transfe	er Dolonoo
	at					In	Balance
	Beginn	i ılıg cludedIncl	ude P urchas	ses Sales	Cattlam	(Out)	at End of
	of	in in			Settlem	of	End of Period
	Period	EarningsAO	CI			Level 3	renou
						(a)	
Assets							
Corporate fixed maturity securities	\$16.3	\$(2.2)\$	_\$	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$14.1
Other invested assets	_	(16.3) —	_			19.1	2.8
Funds withheld receivables	58.9	(0.5) —	30.4	(14.1) —		74.7
Total assets at fair value	\$75.2	\$(19.0) \$	-\$ 30.4	\$(14.1	1)\$ —	\$ 19.1	\$91.6

	of	Total (C Losses illigclude in Earning	dInclu in	ıded Purchase	es Sales	Settlemen	In	Balance at End of Period
Liabilities								
Front Street future policyholder benefit liability	\$151.3	\$24.3	\$	-\$ 444.2	\$—	\$ 9.4	\$ —	\$629.2
Embedded derivatives in Front Street's assumed FIA business	150.8	(8.5) —	_	_	_	_	142.3
Total liabilities at fair value	\$302.1	\$15.8	\$	\$ 444.2	\$ —	\$ 9.4	\$ —	\$771.5
(a) During Fiscal 2015, the net transfer to Leve party loan.	el 3 was	related to	o a loa	n receivable	e previou	ısly classifi	ed as a re	lated

Table of Contents

	Fiscal 2	2014						
		Total	Gains				Net	
	Balanc	e(Losse	es)				Transf	er Balance
	at						In	Balance
	Beginn	ingclud	lethcli	ude P urchase	s Sales	G1	(Out)	at
	of	in	in			Settlemer	of of	End of
	Period		19 4 00	CI			Level	Period
	1 0110 0		1840				3	
Assets							-	
Corporate fixed maturity securities	\$—	\$	\$	-\$ 16.3	\$ —	\$ —	\$ -	\$16.3
Funds withheld receivables	34.6	1.5		23.5	(0.7)	_		58.9
Total assets at fair value	\$34.6	\$1.5	\$	-\$ 39.8	\$(0.7)	\$ —	\$ -	\$75.2
		Total	(Gain	s)			Net	
	Balanc			s)			Net transfe	er _{Dalamaa}
	Balanc			s)			Net transfe In	er Balance
		eLosse	S				Net transfe In (Out)	at End
	at	eLosse	S		s Sales	Settlemer	transfe In (Out)	at End of
	at Beginn	eLosse i ng clud in	s leHhclu in	uded Purchase	s Sales	Settlemen	transfe In (Out)	at End
	at Beginn of	eLosse i ng clud in	s leHhclu in	uded Purchase	s Sales	Settlemer	transfo In (Out) ntsof	of
Liabilities	at Beginn of	eLosse i ng clud in	s leHhclu in	uded Purchase	s Sales	Settlemen	transfe In (Out) atsof Level	at End of
Front Street future policyholder benefit liability	at Beginn of	eLosse ingelud in Earnin	s leHhclu in	uded Purchase	s Sales \$—	Settlements \$ (6.3)	transfe In (Out) htsof Level 3	at End of
Front Street future policyholder benefit liability Embedded derivatives in Front Street's assumed	at Beginn of Period	eLosse ingclud in Earnin \$7.0	leIhclu in ngAOC	uded Purchase CI			transfe In (Out) htsof Level 3	at End of Period
Front Street future policyholder benefit liability	at Beginn of Period	eLosse in Earnin \$7.0	leIhclu in ngAOC	uded Purchase CI			transfe In (Out) ntsof Level 3	at End of Period

The Company reviews the fair value hierarchy classifications each reporting period. Changes in the observability of the valuation attributes may result in a reclassification of certain financial assets or liabilities. Such reclassifications are reported as transfers in and out of Level 3, or between other levels, at the beginning fair value for the reporting period in which the changes occur. There were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 for Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014.

Non-Recurring Fair Value Measurements

Goodwill, intangible assets and other long-lived assets are tested annually or if an event occurs that indicates an impairment loss may have been incurred using fair value measurements with unobservable inputs (Level 3). Financial Assets and Liabilities Not Measured at Fair Value

The carrying amount, estimated fair value and the level of the fair value hierarchy of the Company's financial instrument assets and liabilities which are not measured at fair value in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets are summarized as follows:

Sheets are summarized as ronows.				
	September 30, 2016			
	Le ke vel	Level	Fair	Carrying
	1 2	3	Value	Amount
Assets (a)				
Asset-based loans, included in other assets	\$-\$	\$35.0	\$35.0	\$ 35.0
Policy loans, included in funds withheld receivables		8.5	8.5	8.5
Related party loans		92.1	92.1	92.1
Total financial assets	\$-\$	\$135.6	\$135.6	\$ 135.6
Liabilities (a)				
Investment contracts, included in contractholder funds and other insurance reserves	\$-\$	\$922.9	\$922.9	\$ 988.3
Total debt (b)	-2.0	29.1	31.1	99.5

Table of Contents

	September 30, 2015			
	Le ke vel	Laval 2	Fair	Carrying
	1 2	Level 3	Value	Amount
Assets (a)				
Asset-based loans, included in other assets	\$ -\$	\$226.7	\$226.7	\$226.7
Policy loans, included in funds withheld receivables		9.0	9.0	9.0
Other invested assets, included in other assets		2.5	2.5	2.5
Related party loans		30.7	30.7	30.7
Total financial assets	\$ -\$	\$268.9	\$268.9	\$268.9
Liabilities (a)				
Investment contracts, included in contractholder funds and other insurance	2.2	\$960.3	\$960.3	\$1,084.5
reserves	ψ—	Ψ /00.5	Ψ /00.5	Ψ1,007.3
Total debt (b)	-225.3	49.1	274.4	373.6
Total financial liabilities	\$ -\$ 225.3	\$1,009.4	\$1,234.7	\$1,458.1

⁽a) The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, trade receivables, accounts payable and accrued investment income approximate fair value due to their short duration and, accordingly, they are not presented in the tables above.

(b) The fair values of debt set forth above are generally based on quoted or observed market prices. Valuation Methodology

Investment Contracts and Other Insurance Reserves

Investment contracts assumed from FGL by Front Street include deferred annuities, FIAs and immediate annuities. The fair value of deferred annuity and FIAs is based on their cash surrender value (which is the cost the Company would incur to extinguish the liability) as these contracts are generally issued without an annuitization date. See Note 2, Significant Accounting Policies and Practices and Recent Accounting Pronouncements, for discussion of the calculation of the fair value of the insurance reserves.

Asset-based loans

The fair value of the asset-based loans originated by Salus approximate their net carrying value. Such loans carry a variable rate that are typically revolving in nature and can be settled at the demand of either party. Nonaccrual loans are considered impaired for reporting purposes and are measured and recorded at fair value on a non-recurring basis. As the loans are collateral dependent, Salus measures such impairment based on the estimated fair value of eligible proceeds. This is generally based on estimated market prices from an independently prepared appraisal. The impaired loan balance represents those nonaccrual loans for which impairment was recognized during the year.

(8) Funds Withheld Receivables

The Company's consolidated funds withheld receivables are summarized as follows:

	Septemb	er 30, 2016				
	Cost or		Gross			~ .
	Amortize	edUnrealized		d	Fair	Carrying
	Cost	Gains	Losses		Value	Value
Funds withheld receivables with FGL						
Corporates	\$638.5	\$ 18.2	\$ (29.5)	\$627.2	\$627.2
Asset/Mortgage-backed securities	238.8	0.6		_	231.5	231.5
Municipals	12.1	0.7	_		12.8	12.8
Government bonds	1.1				1.1	1.1
Preferred stock	8.8	0.3	(0.9)	8.2	8.2
Total funds withheld receivables with FGL	899.3	19.8	(38.3	-	880.8	880.8
Funds withheld receivables with third parties	0,,,,,	-,,,	(0.010			
Corporates	390.0	18.8	(2.7)	406.1	406.1
Asset/Mortgage-backed securities	118.7	1.9	(1.7	-	118.9	118.9
Municipals	49.5	4.1		,	53.6	53.6
Government bonds	67.7	1.3	(0.2)	68.8	68.8
Agency bonds	6.6	0.3	(0.2	,	6.9	6.9
Total funds withheld receivables with third parties	632.5	26.4	(4.6	`	654.3	654.3
Total fixed maturity and equity securities included in funds	032.3	20.4	(4.0	,	054.5	054.5
withheld receivables	1,531.8	46.2	(42.9)	1,535.1	1,535.1
withheld receivables						
Call option receivable from FGL included in funds withheld						
receivables	9.8	1.5	_		11.3	11.3
Accrued interest	17.8				17.8	17.8
			_			
Net receivables	77.7				77.7	77.7
Policy loans and other	8.5	— • 47.7	<u> </u>	`	8.5	8.5
Total funds withheld receivables	\$1,645.6		\$ (42.9)	\$1,030.4	\$1,650.4
	_	er 30, 2015	C			
	Cost or	Gross	Gross		Fair	Carrying
		edUnrealized		d	Value	Value
	Cost	Gains	Losses			
Funds withheld receivables with FGL	+=		*		* a	+ o
Corporates	\$740.4	\$ 4.5	\$ (67.9)	\$677.0	\$677.0
Asset/Mortgage-backed securities	274.6	1.3	(6.6)	269.3	269.3
Municipals	28.5	0.1	(0.6))	28.0	28.0
Preferred stock	38.9	1.4	(1.0)	39.3	39.3
Total funds withheld receivables with FGL	1,082.4	7.3	(76.1)	1,013.6	1,013.6
Funds withheld receivables with third parties						
Corporates	420.8	3.7	(18.5))	406.0	406.0
Asset/Mortgage-backed securities	125.4	1.3	(1.0))	125.7	125.7
Municipals	72.9	0.8	(0.7)	73.0	73.0
Government bonds	8.3	0.1			8.4	8.4
Agency bonds	11.5	_	(0.1)	11.4	11.4
Total funds withheld receivables with third parties	638.9	5.9	(20.3)	624.5	624.5
Total fixed maturity and equity securities included in funds	1,721.3	13.2	(96.4	`	1,638.1	1,638.1
withheld receivables	1,741.3	13.4	(30.4)	1,050.1	1,030.1

Call option receivable from FGL included in funds withheld receivables	12.3		(6.9) 5.4	5.4
Accrued interest	20.5			20.5	20.5
Net receivables	41.1			41.1	41.1
Policy loans and other	5.0			5.0	5.0
Total funds withheld receivables	\$1,800.2	2 \$ 13.2	\$ (103.3) \$1,710.1	\$1,710.1
S-81					

Maturities of Funds Withheld Receivables

The amortized cost and fair value of fixed maturity and equity securities included in funds withheld receivables by contractual maturities, as applicable, are shown below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or pre-pay obligations.

	September 30,		
	2016		
	Amortize	edFair	
	Cost	Value	
Corporate, Non-structured Hybrids, Municipal and Preferred stock:			
Due in one year or less	\$12.8	\$11.9	
Due after one year through five years	231.4	228.2	
Due after five years through ten years	420.8	429.3	
Due after ten years	487.1	493.3	
Subtotal	1,152.1	1,162.7	
Other securities which provide for periodic payments:			
Asset/Mortgage-backed securities	357.5	350.4	
Structured hybrids	22.2	22.0	
	A 1 501 0	A 1 505	

Total fixed maturity and equity securities included in funds withheld receivables \$1,531.8 \$1,535.1

Securities in Funds Withheld Receivables with FGL in an Unrealized Loss Position

See Note 2, Significant Accounting Policies and Practices and Recent Accounting Pronouncements, for an explanation of the Company's process for evaluating securities in the funds withheld receivables with FGL with unrealized losses for potential OTTI. The Company has concluded that the fair value of the securities presented in the table below were not OTTI as of September 30, 2016.

The fair value and gross unrealized losses of securities in the funds withheld receivables with FGL, aggregated by investment category, were as follows:

8 3,									
	September 30, 2016								
	Less tha	an 12		12 mon	ths or		Total		
	months			longer	ger		Total		
	Fair	Gross		Fair	Gross		Fair	Gross	
	Value	Unrealize	ed	Value	Unrealize	ed	Value	Unrealize	d
	v arue	Losses		v arue	Losses		v alue	Losses	
Funds withheld receivables with FGL									
Corporates	\$137.8	\$ (12.6)	\$91.7	\$ (16.9)	\$229.5	\$ (29.5)
Asset/Mortgage-backed securities	73.3	(2.2)	99.0	(5.7)	172.3	(7.9)
Municipals	1.0	_		_			1.0		
Government bonds	0.2	_					0.2	_	
Preferred stock	3.7	(0.9))	_			3.7	(0.9))
Total funds withheld receivables with FGL	\$216.0	\$ (15.7)	\$190.7	\$ (22.6)	\$406.7	\$ (38.3)
Total number of securities in an unrealized loss position		146			76			222	
	Septem	ber 30, 20	15						
	Less tha	an 12		12 mon	ths or		Total		
	months			longer			Total		
	Fair	Gross		Fair	Gross		Fair	Gross	
	Value	Unrealize	ed	Value	Unrealize	ed	Value	Unrealize	d
	v arue	Losses		v arue	Losses		v alue	Losses	
Funds withheld receivables with FGL									
Corporates	\$417.4	\$ (46.9)	\$113.8	\$ (21.0)	\$531.2	\$ (67.9)
Asset/Mortgage-backed securities	120.8	(3.8)	88.7	(2.8)	209.5	(6.6))
Municipals	5.9	(0.4)	13.4	(0.3)	19.3	(0.7)

Preferred stock Total funds withheld receivables with FGL Total number of securities in an unrealized loss position	/	5.6 (0.9) \$765.6 \$ (76.1 490)
S-82			

At September 30, 2016 and 2015, securities in the funds withheld receivables with FGL in an unrealized loss position were primarily concentrated in investment grade corporate debt instruments.

At September 30, 2016 and 2015, securities with a fair value of \$39.6 and \$84.4, respectively, had an unrealized loss greater than 20% of amortized cost, which represented less than 5% of the carrying value of all funds withheld receivables

For Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014, the Company recognized other-than-temporary credit impairment losses in operations totaling \$7.4, \$2.3 and \$3.0, respectively, related to funds withheld receivables with an amortized cost of \$15.5, \$4.8 and \$13.1 and a fair value of \$8.1, \$2.5 and \$10.1 at September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Details underlying write-downs taken as a result of OTTI that were recognized in "Net (loss) income" and included in "Net investment gains (losses)" were as follows:

Fiscal

2016 2015 2014

OTTI recognized in net income:

 Corporates
 \$7.4
 \$2.2
 \$3.0

 Asset/Mortgage-backed securities
 —
 0.1
 —

 Total
 \$7.4
 \$2.3
 \$3.0

Net Investment Income

The major sources of "Net investment income" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations were as follows:

Eigeo1

	Fiscai		
	2016	2015	2014
Fixed maturity securities included in funds withheld receivables with FGL	\$56.0	\$58.6	\$55.7
Equity securities included in funds withheld receivables with FGL	2.1	2.6	4.1
Asset-based loans	3.5	16.1	28.4
Related party loans	4.1	7.4	6.0
Other investments	5.5	7.0	2.0
Net investment income	\$71.2	\$91.7	\$96.2

Net investment gains (losses)

"Net investment gains (losses)" reported in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations were as follows:

The investment gams (105505) reported in the decompanying constituted statements of o	peranon	o wore at	iono ws.
	Fiscal		
	2016	2015	2014
Net realized (losses) gains on fixed maturity securities included in funds withheld receivable with FGL	s \$(4.8)	\$3.4	\$(2.5)
Realized gains (losses) on equity securities included in funds withheld receivables with FGL	3.0	(4.9) 14.6
Realized gains (losses) on certain derivative instruments	3.8	(7.6) 25.7
Change in fair value of embedded derivatives in funds withheld receivables with FGL (a)	49.4	(91.1) 41.6
Realized gains (losses) on funds withheld receivables with third parties and other	45.7	(13.8	9.9
Net investment gains (losses)	\$97.1	\$(114.0	\$89.3

(a) The modified coinsurance arrangement between FGL Insurance and Front Street created an obligation for the parties to settle a payable or receivable at a later date, which resulted in an embedded derivative. This embedded derivative is considered a total return swap with contractual returns that are attributable to the assets and liabilities associated with this reinsurance arrangement. The fair value of the total return swap is based on the change in fair value of the underlying assets held in the funds withheld portfolio. Investment results for the assets that support the coinsurance with funds withheld reinsurance arrangement, including gains and losses from sales, are passed directly to the reinsurer pursuant to contractual terms of the reinsurance arrangement. The reinsurance related embedded derivative is expected to continue to exist after the disposal of FGL and is therefore not eliminated to appropriately reflect the continuing operations and balances held for sale. It is embedded in the funds withheld receivables with a corresponding asset in business held for sale on the Consolidated Balance Sheets and the related gains or losses are reported in net investment gains with corresponding income (loss) from discontinued operations on the Consolidated

Statements of Operations.

Concentration of Securities Included in Funds Withheld Receivables

As of September 30, 2016 and 2015, Front Street's most significant exposure related to the securities underlying the funds withheld receivables was to the financial sector and the energy, mining and metals industries.

As of September 30, 2016 and 2015, the carrying value of the fixed maturity securities in the financial sector was \$232.8, or 14.1%, and \$269.7, or 15.8%, respectively, of Front Street's funds withheld receivables. At September 30, 2016 and 2015, the holdings in this sector included investments in 81 and 107 different issuers, respectively, with the top ten investments accounting for 48.0% and 41.0%, respectively, of the total holdings in this sector.

As of September 30, 2016 and 2015, the carrying value of the fixed maturity securities in the energy, mining and metals industries was \$188.6, or 11.4%, and \$236.6, or 13.8%, respectively, of Front Street's funds withheld receivables. At September 30, 2016 and 2015, the holdings in these industries included investments in 74 and 98 different issuers, respectively, with the top ten investments accounting for 43.4% and 39.7%, respectively, of the total holdings in these industries.

There were no holdings in a single issuer included in the funds withheld receivables that exceeded 10% of the Company's stockholders' equity as of September 30, 2016 and 2015.

Concentrations of Financial and Capital Markets Risk

The Company is exposed to financial and capital markets risk, including changes in interest rates and credit spreads which can have an adverse effect on the Company's results of operations, financial condition and liquidity. The Company expects to continue to face challenges and uncertainties that could adversely affect its results of operations and financial condition.

The Company's exposure to such financial and capital markets risk relates primarily to the market price and cash flow variability associated with changes in interest rates. A rise in interest rates, in the absence of other countervailing changes, will increase the net unrealized loss position of Front Street's fund withheld receivables and, if long-term interest rates rise dramatically within a six to twelve month time period, certain of the Front Street's reinsured products may be exposed to disintermediation risk. Disintermediation risk refers to the risk that policyholders may surrender their contracts in a rising interest rate environment, requiring Front Street to liquidate assets in an unrealized loss position. This risk is mitigated to some extent by surrender charge protection provided by the products reinsured by Front Street.

Insurance Counterparty Risk

Through Front Street, the Company is exposed to insurance counterparty risk, which is the potential for Front Street to incur losses due to a client, retrocessionaire, or partner becoming distressed or insolvent. This includes run-on-the-bank risk and collection risk. The run-on-the-bank risk is that a client's in force block incurs substantial surrenders and/or lapses due to credit impairment, reputation damage or other market changes affecting the counterparty. Substantially higher than expected surrenders and/or lapses could result in inadequate in force business to recover cash paid out for acquisition costs. The collection risk for clients and retrocessionaires includes their inability to satisfy a reinsurance agreement because the right of offset is disallowed by the receivership court; the reinsurance contract is rejected by the receiver, resulting in a premature termination of the contract; and/or the security supporting the transaction becomes unavailable to Front Street. Front Street has never experienced a material default in connection with retrocession arrangements, nor has it experienced any material difficulty in collecting claims recoverable from retrocessionaires; however, no assurance can be given as to the future performance of such retrocessionaires or as to the recoverability of any such claims.

(9) Other Assets

"Other assets" in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets consist of the following:

	September		
	30,		
	2016	2015	
Prepaid expenses and other assets	\$33.1	\$38.4	
Asset-based loans	35.0	226.7	
Other investments		19.4	
Total other assets	\$68.1	\$284.5	

Asset-based Loans

As of September 30, 2016 and 2015, the Company's portfolio of asset-based loans receivable originated by Salus and its co-lender Front Street consisted of the following:

	Septer	nber
	30,	
	2016	2015
Asset-based loans, net of deferred fees, by major industry:		
Apparel	\$18.8	\$66.0
Manufacturing	10.9	32.7
Jewelry	7.2	36.9
Electronics	3.1	45.9
Other	6.0	93.1
Total asset-based loans	46.0	274.6
Less: Allowance for credit losses	11.0	47.9
Total asset-based loans, net	\$35.0	\$226.7

The Company establishes its allowance for credit losses through a provision for credit losses based on Salus' evaluation of the credit quality of its loan portfolio. The following table presents the activity in its allowance for credit losses for Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014:

	Fiscal		
	2016	2015	2014
Allowance for credit losses:			
Balance at beginning of year	\$47.9	\$5.5	\$4.0
Provision for credit losses	12.8	93.5	1.5
Charge-offs	(52.6)	(51.1)	
Recoveries	2.9		
Balance at end of year	\$11.0	\$47.9	\$5.5
O 11: O 11: T 11: .			

Credit Quality Indicators

Salus monitors credit quality as indicated by various factors and utilizes such information in its evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for credit losses. As of September 30, 2016 and 2015, loans with a net carrying value of \$30.1 and \$79.8, respectively, were considered delinquent by Salus and placed on non-accrual status. It is Salus' policy to discontinue accruing interest on its loans when there is a reasonable doubt as to collectability in the normal course of business. Nonaccrual loans are considered impaired for reporting purposes and are individually evaluated for impairment.

Salus has assessed the adequacy of its allowance for credit losses and believes the level of allowance for credit losses to be adequate to mitigate inherent losses in the portfolio. During Fiscal 2014, there were no outstanding loans that had been individually considered impaired.

During Fiscal 2015, the bankruptcy court overseeing the Chapter 11 proceedings of RadioShack approved the sale of 1,743 of the company's stores to General Wireless Inc., an affiliate of Standard General LP. Salus was the lender under RadioShack's \$250.0 term loan placed in December 2013 with a net exposure to Salus and Front Street of \$93.0 and \$7.0, respectively, after giving effect to a \$50.0 participation by FGL and a non-qualifying participation of \$100.0 held by a third party. During Fiscal 2015, the \$100.0 held by a third party was repaid in full because this third party had the right of first payment in the case of a bankruptcy under an intercreditor agreement with Salus. During Fiscal 2015, the Company recognized charge-offs of \$51.1, excluding any charge-offs related to FGL's participations which are included in "(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations; and an additional net increase in the provision of credit losses of \$19.2 related to the loan with RadioShack.

During Fiscal 2016, Salus and Front Street received a partial repayment on the loan to RadioShack of \$45.4, excluding \$22.7 repayment on FGL's participation on the loan. At September 30, 2016, the Company expects an additional \$3.0

of future recoveries of the loan to RadioShack, excluding \$1.5 related to FGL's participation on the loan that is included in "Assets of business held for sale" in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. As a result of the higher than expected recovery rates during Fiscal 2016, the Company reversed \$18.0 of previously recorded allowance for credit losses, excluding \$9.0 of realized gains by FGL recorded in "(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

During Fiscal 2016, Salus recorded provision of credit losses of \$30.8 related to delinquent loans unrelated to RadioShack where the underlying collateral was underperforming.

Internal Risk Rating

	Pass	S_{1}	pecial Iention	Su	bstandard	D	oubtful	Total
September 30, 2016	\$	\$	1.1	\$	14.8	\$	30.1	\$46.0
September 30, 2015	\$69.0	\$	32.4	\$	74.0	\$	99.2	\$274.6

Concentrations of Credit Risk and Major Customers

The loans in the asset-based portfolio are collateralized with accounts receivable, inventory or other assets such as real estate or intellectual property. Salus is exposed to credit risk to the extent that the carrying value of the loans exceeds the realizable value of such underlying collateral. Inventory, accounts receivable and other assets represented 52.0%, 12.0% and 36.0%, respectively, of eligible collateral underlying the asset-based loans portfolio at September 30, 2016. The largest concentration to one single borrower represented 29.6% of the outstanding asset-based loans.

Concentrations of Asset-based Loans

As of September 30, 2016 and 2015, the Company's most significant investment in one industry was the Company's asset-based loan in the apparel industry with a carrying value of \$18.8 and \$66.0, respectively, or 40.9% and 24.0%, respectively of the Company's asset-based loans. No investment in a single issuer exceeded 10% of the Company's stockholders' equity as of September 30, 2016 and 2015.

Other investments

The Company's consolidated other investments included in "Other assets" at September 30, 2015, can be summarized as follows:

	September 30, 2015				
	Cost	Gross	Gross	Eoin	Comming
	or Amor	tized	Unrealized	Fair Value	Carrying Value
	Cost	Gains	Losses		
Corporate fixed-maturity securities, available-for-sale	\$14.1	\$ -	-\$ -	- \$ 14.1	\$ 14.1
Other invested assets	5.3		_	5.3	5.3
Total other investments	\$19.4	\$ -	-\$ -	- \$ 19.4	\$ 19.4

(10) Debt

The Company's consolidated debt consists of the following:

	September 30,		September 30,		
	2016		2015		
	Amount	Rate	Amount	Rate	
Salus					
Unaffiliated long-term debt of consolidated variable-interest entity	\$ 39.7	%	\$40.4	%	
Long-term debt of consolidated variable-interest entity with FGL*	63.0	<i>∞</i>	274.0	3.9 %	
Unaffiliated secured borrowings under non-qualifying loan participations	2.0	%	8.8	10.5%	
Secured borrowings under non-qualifying loan participations with FGL*	_	%	4.2	4.5 %	
Promissory note to FGL*	_		2.5	5.3 %	
Total	104.7		329.9		
Original issuance discounts on debt, net of premiums	(0.8)		(0.9))	
Unamortized debt issue costs	(4.4)		(5.4))	
Total debt	99.5		323.6		
Less current maturities	2.0		11.3		
Non-current portion of debt	\$ 97.5		\$312.3		

^{*} The debt balances included in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets and in the table above reflect transactions between the business held for sale and businesses held for use that are expected to continue to exist after the close of the FGL Merger. Such transactions are not eliminated in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in order to appropriately reflect the continuing operations and balances held for sale.

Aggregate scheduled maturities of debt as of September 30, 2016 are as follows:

Fiscal Year	Scheduled			
riscai i cai	maturities			
2017	\$ 2.0			
2018	_			
2019	_			
2020				
2021	102.7			
Thereafter	_			
	\$ 104.7			

Salus

Salus acted as co-lender under some of the asset-based loans that it originated, and such loans were structured to meet the definition of a "participating interest" as defined under ASC 860-10, Transfers and Servicing, Salus is no longer originating new loans. For loans originated with co-lenders that have terms that result in such a co-lender not having a qualifying "participating interest," Salus recognizes the whole, undivided loan. Salus also reflects a secured borrowing owing to the co-lender representing their share in the undivided whole loan. As of September 30, 2016 and 2015, Salus had \$2.0 and \$8.8 respectively, of such secured borrowings to unaffiliated co-lenders outstanding related to non-qualifying "participating interests." As of September 30, 2016, Salus had no secured borrowings under non-qualifying loan participation with FGL and as of September 30, 2015, the balance was \$4.2 In February 2013, September 2013 and February 2015, Salus completed a CLO securitization of up to \$578.5 notional aggregate principal amount. At September 30, 2016 and 2015, the outstanding notional aggregate principal amount of \$39.7 and \$40.4, respectively, was taken up by unaffiliated entities and consisted entirely of subordinated debt in both periods, and \$63.0 and \$274.0, respectively, was taken up by FGL and also included in "Assets of business held for sale" in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. The obligations of the securitization is secured by the assets of the VIE, primarily asset-based loan receivables, and at September 30, 2016 all of the senior secured tranches has been paid in full. The reduction in senior secured and subordinated debt was financed by cash on hand and the realization of the underlying receivables or collateral. The subordinated tranches carry residual interest subject to maintenance of certain covenants. Due to losses incurred in the CLO, at September 30, 2016 and September 30, 2015, the CLO was not accruing interest on the subordinated debt.

In February 2015, Salus executed a \$2.5 senior secured promissory note in favor of FGL in recognition of certain amounts due to FGL. The note was originally due on May 29, 2015 with fixed interest of 5.3% to be paid semi-annually. The note was repaid in full during Fiscal 2016.

(11) Shareholder's Equity

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

The cumulative amounts of the components of accumulated other comprehensive income reflected in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholder's Equity, as of September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014, were as follows:

	Unrealized		Non-credit Related				
	Investment		Other-than-temporary		Total		
	Gains, net		Impairments				
Cumulative components at September 30, 2016:							
Gross amounts (after reclassification adjustments)	\$ 940.5		\$	(2.4)	\$938.1	
Intangible assets adjustments	(258.6)	0.4			(258.2)	
Tax effects	(237.1)	0.2			(236.9)	
Noncontrolling interest	(86.0)				(86.0)	
	\$ 358.8		\$	(1.8)	\$357.0	
Cumulative components at September 30, 2015:							
Gross amounts (after reclassification adjustments)	\$ 147.2		\$	(1.0)	\$146.2	
Intangible assets adjustments	(0.3)	0.4			0.1	
Tax effects	(51.4)	0.2			(51.2)	
Noncontrolling interest	(17.4)				(17.4)	
	\$ 78.1		\$	(0.4)	\$77.7	
Cumulative components at September 30, 2014:							
Gross amounts (after reclassification adjustments)	\$ 762.2		\$	(1.0)	\$761.2	
Intangible assets adjustments	(220.0))	0.4			(219.6)	
Tax effects	(190.1)	0.2			(189.9)	
Noncontrolling interest	(68.5)				(68.5)	
	\$ 283.6		\$	(0.4)	\$283.2	

Restricted Net Assets of Subsidiaries

FS Holdco's equity in restricted net assets of consolidated subsidiaries was approximately \$2,059.9 as of September 30, 2016 representing 221.4% of FS Holdco's consolidated shareholder's equity as of September 30, 2016 and consisted of net assets of FGL (inclusive of assets held for sale), which were restricted as to transfer to FS Holdco in the form of cash dividends, loans or advances under regulatory restrictions.

(12) Income Taxes

FS Holdco and its non-life subsidiaries' operating results have historically been included in the HRG U.S. consolidated federal and state tax returns. Tax payments to the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") and state tax authorities are made by HRG on FS Holdco's behalf. The provision for income taxes and current and deferred tax balances are computed under the separate return method and presented in these financial statements as if FS Holdco and its non-life insurance subsidiaries filed their own U.S. Federal and state income tax returns. These financial statements include tax losses and tax credits that may not reflect the tax positions taken by HRG. HRG does not maintain a tax sharing agreement with FS Holdco, and generally does not charge FS Holdco for any tax payments it makes; in addition, HRG does not reimburse FS Holdco for utilization of tax attributes. Because FS Holdco's tax liabilities computed under the separate return method are not settled with HRG, the difference between any settled amounts and the computed liability under the separate return method is treated as either a deemed distribution or capital contribution. Front Street Cayman has historically filed its own U.S. Federal income tax returns.

Income tax expense (benefit) was calculated based upon the following components of income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes:

Fiscal 2016 2015 2014

Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes:

United States	\$24.6	\$(242.7)	\$63.0
Outside the United States	0.5	0.1	11.3
Total income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	\$25.1	\$(242.6)	\$74.3

The components of income tax expense (benefit) were as follows:

	Fiscal		
	2016	2015	2014
Current:			
Federal	\$(16.0)	\$(16.8)	\$6.1
State	(1.9)	0.1	3.5
Total current	(17.9)	(16.7)	9.6
Deferred:			
Federal	20.8	(29.7)	21.5
Foreign	0.5		_
State	(0.2)	1.0	(1.1)
Total deferred	21.1	(28.7)	20.4
Income tax expense (benefit)	\$3.2	\$(45.4)	\$30.0

The differences between income taxes expected at the U.S. federal statutory income tax rate of 35.0% and reported income tax expense (benefit) are summarized as follows:

•	Fiscal		
	2016	2015	2014
Expected income tax expense (benefit) at Federal statutory rate	\$8.8	\$(85.0)	\$26.0
Valuation allowance for deferred tax assets	(0.2)	36.5	2.1
Permanent items	0.1	(1.1)	_
State and local income taxes, net of federal income tax benefit	(0.3)	1.1	
Foreign rate differential	(0.2)		_
Unrecognized tax benefits	(1.3)		
Outside basis differences	(5.4)	6.8	
Other	1.7	(3.7)	1.9
Reported income tax expense (benefit)	\$3.2	\$(45.4)	\$30.0
Effective tax rate	12.7 %	18.7 %	40.4 %

For Fiscal 2016, the Company's effective tax rate of 12.7% differed from the expected U.S. statutory tax rate of 35.0% and was primarily driven by current year losses related to the Company in the U.S. for which tax benefits are not more-likely-than-not to be realizable, resulting in the recording of valuation allowances.

For Fiscal 2015, the Company's effective tax rate of 18.7% differed from the expected U.S. statutory tax rate of 35.0% and was primarily driven by the book revaluation and impairments and bad debt expense at Salus for which tax benefits are not more-likely-than-not to be realizable, resulting in the recording of valuation allowances.

For Fiscal 2014, the Company's effective tax rate of 40.4% differed from the expected U.S. statutory tax rate of 35.0% and was primarily driven by certain impairment expenses at Salus for which tax benefits are not more-likely-than-not to be realizable, resulting in the recording of valuation allowances.

The following table summarizes the components of deferred income tax assets and liabilities:

	Septemb	er 30,
	2016	2015
Deferred tax assets:		
Net operating loss, credit and capital loss carryforwards	\$7.3	\$(9.4)
Unrealized losses on mark-to-market securities	12.2	17.2
Insurance reserves and claim related adjustments	23.3	43.4
Outside basis differences on partnership interests	45.2	43.8
Insurance receivables		21.2
Investments		13.1
Other	18.8	57.7
Total deferred tax assets	106.8	187.0
Less: Valuation allowance	14.4	25.7
Net deferred tax assets	92.4	161.3
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Outside basis difference on held for sale assets	(368.4)	· —
Investments	(39.3)	(87.4)
Insurance reserves and claim related adjustments	(5.0)	(14.9)
Other	(19.8)	(11.5)
Total deferred tax liabilities	(432.5)	(113.8)
Net deferred tax (liability) asset	\$(340.1)	\$47.5

At September 30, 2016 and 2015, the Company had gross U.S. Federal operating loss carryforwards of \$12.5 and \$65.3, respectively, which, if unused, will expire in years 2028 through 2036.

In accordance with ASC Topic 740, the Company establishes valuation allowances for deferred tax assets that, in its judgment, are not more-likely-than-not realizable. These judgments are based on projections of future income, including tax-planning strategies, by individual tax jurisdiction. Changes in industry and economic conditions and the competitive environment may impact the accuracy of these projections. In accordance with ASC Topic 740, during each reporting period, the Company assesses the likelihood that its deferred tax assets will be realized and determines if adjustments to its valuation allowances are appropriate. As a result of this assessment, for Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014, the Company established a net (decrease)/increase in the valuation allowance to earnings totaling \$(0.2), \$36.5 and \$2.1, respectively, as more fully described below.

FS Holdco Tax Group

The deferred tax assets for each of the reporting periods were evaluated including an assessment of future sources of taxable income to support realizability. At September 30, 2016, the Company reversed the portion of valuation allowance previously recorded on certain deferred tax assets that are expected to be realized to offset the deferred tax liability recognized as a result of classifying the Company's ownership interest in FGL as held for sale. FS Holdco had a valuation allowance totaling \$14.4 and \$25.7, respectively, at September 30, 2016 and 2015.

Front Street Cayman

The deferred tax assets of Front Street Cayman were evaluated for each of the reporting periods, including an assessment of cumulative income over the prior three-year period. Beginning with the tax year ended December 31, 2012, Front Street Cayman made an election under the Internal Revenue Code ("IRC") Section 953(d) to be treated as a U.S. domestic life insurance corporation for Federal income tax purposes. As of September 30, 2016, Front Street Cayman was in a three-year cumulative loss position for U.S. Federal tax purposes but expects income in future periods. In addition, Front Street Cayman is eligible to carry back net operating losses ("NOLs") generated for U.S. tax purposes in the current year to cumulative taxable income of \$63.6 (including \$12.8 of taxable income reported on the Company's first tax return filed for its tax year ended December 31, 2012, \$43.1 of taxable income reported for the tax year ended December 31, 2013, and \$7.7 of taxable income reported for the tax year ended December 31, 2014). Based on Front Street Cayman's taxable income in prior carryback years there is significant positive evidence that

supports the realizability of its deferred tax assets. Thus, there were no valuation allowances established against Front Street Cayman's deferred tax assets at September 30, 2016 and 2015.

Uncertain Tax Positions

The Company applies the accounting guidance for uncertain tax positions which prescribes a minimum recognition threshold that a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. The guidance also provides information on derecognition, measurement, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. The Company recognizes the effect of income tax positions only if those positions are more-likely-than-not sustainable. Recognized income tax positions are measured at the largest amount that is greater than 50% likely of being realized. Changes in recognition or measurement are reflected in the period in which the change in judgment occurs.

The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits ("UTBs") at September 30, 2016 was \$0.5. If recognized in the future, \$0.5 of UTBs would impact the effective tax rate. The Company records interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions in income tax expense. At September 30, 2016, the Company's accrued balances of interest and penalties on uncertain tax positions were \$0.1.

The total amount of UTBs at September 30, 2015 were \$1.9. If recognized in the future, \$1.2 of UTBs would impact the effective tax rate. The Company records interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions in income tax expense. At September 30, 2015, the Company's accrued balances of interest and penalties on uncertain tax positions were \$0.7.

The total amount of UTBs at September 30, 2014 were \$1.2. If recognized in the future, \$1.2 of UTBs would impact the effective tax rate. The Company records interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions in income tax expense. At September 30, 2014, the Company's accrued balances of interest and penalties on uncertain tax positions were \$0.6.

The Company believes its income tax reserves for UTBs are adequate, consistent with the principles of ASC Topic 740. The Company regularly assesses the likelihood of additional tax assessments by jurisdiction and, if necessary, adjusts its tax reserves based on new information or developments.

The following table summarizes changes to the Company's UTB reserves, excluding related interest and penalties:

FS Holdco and its non-life insurance subsidiaries' operating results have historically been included in HRG's U.S. Federal and state income tax returns. HRG files U.S. Federal consolidated and state and local combined and separate income tax returns. HRG's consolidated and combined returns do not include Front Street Cayman, which files its own consolidated Federal income tax return.

The IRS is currently conducting an examination of HRG's 2013 federal consolidated tax return. In addition, the New York State and New York City tax returns for the 2011 through 2013 tax years are under audit. As of September 30, 2016, the Company is not aware of any significant audit matters related to the U.S federal and state examinations and expects to receive a final closing agreement from both the IRS and New York State within the next twelve months. All U.S. Federal income tax returns of Front Street Cayman from 2013 and forward are subject to examination by the taxing authorities.

(13) Commitments and Contingencies

The Company has aggregate accruals for its legal, environmental and regulatory matters of approximately \$3.8 at September 30, 2016, which all related to accruals of business held for sale. These accruals relate primarily to the matters described below. In addition, the Company and its subsidiaries are involved in other litigation and claims arising out of their prior businesses and arising in the ordinary course out of their current businesses, which include, among other things, indemnification and other claims and litigations involving HRG's and its subsidiaries' business practices, transactions, workers compensation matters, environmental matters, and personal injury claims. However,

based on currently available information, including legal defenses available to the Company, and given the aforementioned accruals and related insurance coverage, the Company does not believe that the outcome of these legal, environmental and regulatory matters will have a material effect on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

FGL (business held for sale)

FGL is involved in various pending or threatened legal proceedings, including purported class actions, arising in the ordinary course of business. In some instances, these proceedings include claims for unspecified or substantial punitive damages and similar types of relief in addition to amounts for alleged contractual liability or requests for equitable relief. In the opinion of

FGL's management and in light of existing insurance and other potential indemnification, reinsurance and established accruals, such litigation is not expected to have a material adverse effect on FGL's financial position, although it is possible that the results of operations and cash flows could be materially affected by an unfavorable outcome in any one period.

FGL is assessed amounts by the state guaranty funds to cover losses to policyholders of insolvent or rehabilitated insurance companies. Those mandatory assessments may be partially recovered through a reduction in future premium taxes in certain states. At September 30, 2016, FGL has accrued \$3.0 for guaranty fund assessments which is expected to be offset by estimated future premium tax deductions of \$2.8.

FGL has received inquiries from a number of state regulatory authorities regarding its use of the U.S. Social Security Administration's Death Master File (the "Death Master File") and compliance with state claims practices regulation. Legislation requiring insurance companies to use the Death Master File to identify potential claims has been enacted in a number of states. As a result of these legislative and regulatory developments, in May 2012, FGL undertook an initiative to use the Death Master File and other publicly available databases to identify persons potentially entitled to benefits under life insurance policies, annuities and retained asset accounts. In addition, FGL has received audit and examination notices from several state agencies responsible for escheatment and unclaimed property regulation in those states and in some cases has challenged the audits including litigation against the Controller for the State of California which is subject to a stay. FGL believes its current accrual will cover the reasonably estimated liability arising out of these developments, however costs that cannot be reasonably estimated as of the date of this filing are possible as a result of ongoing regulatory developments and other future requirements related to these matters. On April 4, 2014, the Plaintiff, FGL Insurance and the other two defendants signed a Settlement Agreement, pursuant to which FGL Insurance has agreed to pay a total of \$5.3 to settle the claims of a nationwide class consisting, with certain exclusions, of all persons who own or owned an OM Financial/FGL Insurance indexed universal life insurance policy issued from January 1, 2007 through March 31, 2014, inclusive. As part of the settlement, FGL Insurance agreed to certification of the nationwide class for settlement purposes only. An amended Settlement Agreement was filed with the Court on June 5, 2014.

On July 5, 2013, Plaintiff Eddie L. Cressy filed a putative class complaint captioned Cressy v. Fidelity Guaranty [sic] Life Insurance Company, et. al. ("Cressy") in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles (the "LA Court"), Case No. BC-514340. The complaint was filed after the Plaintiff was unable to maintain an action in federal court. The complaint asserts, inter alia, that the Plaintiff and members of the putative class relied on defendants' advice in purchasing allegedly unsuitable equity-indexed insurance policies.

On January 2, 2015, the Court entered the Final Judgment in Cressy, certifying the class for settlement purposes, and approving the class settlement. On August 10, 2015, FGL tendered \$1.3 to the Settlement Administrator for a claim review fund. FGL implemented an interest enhancement feature for certain policies as part of the class settlement, which enhancement began on October 12, 2015. On October 21, 2016, the parties filed a joint motion to amend the January 2, 2015 final order and judgement, to extend the deadline for settlement completion from October 24, 2016 to December 1, 2016.

At September 30, 2016, FGL estimated the total cost for the settlement, legal fees and other costs related to this class action would be \$9.2 with a liability for the unpaid portion of the estimate of \$0.4. FGL has incurred and paid \$5.5 related to legal fees and other costs and \$3.3 related to settlement costs as of September 30, 2016. Based on the information currently available, FGL does not expect the actual cost for settlement, legal fees and other related costs to differ materially from the amount accrued. FGL had been seeking indemnification from OMGUK under the First Amended and Restated Stock Purchase Agreement, dated February 17, 2011 between FGL (formerly, Harbinger F&G, LLC) and OMGUK related to the settlement and the costs and fees in defending the Cressy litigation in both the federal and state courts. The settlement, legal fees and other costs related to this class action and the amount recovered from OMGUK are presented net in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations in the caption "Benefits and other changes in policy reserves." During Fiscal 2015, FGL, the Company and OMGUK reached a global settlement which resolved all prior outstanding claims, resulting in FGL receiving \$3.6 in settlement of its outstanding recoverable related to the Cressy litigation.

On January 7, 2015, a putative class action complaint was filed in the United States District Court, Western District of Missouri, captioned Dale R. Ludwick, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated ("Plaintiff Ludwick") v. Harbinger Group Inc. (HRG's former corporate name), FGL Insurance, Raven Re, and Front Street Cayman (the "Defendants"). The complaint alleged violations of the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act ("RICO"), requested injunctive and declaratory relief, sought unspecified compensatory damages for the putative class in an amount not specified, treble damages, and other relief, and claims Plaintiff Ludwick overpaid for her annuity. On April 13, 2015, the Defendants filed a joint motion to dismiss the complaint. On February 12, 2016, the District Court granted the Defendants' joint motion to dismiss. On March 3, 2016, Plaintiff Ludwick filed a notice of appeal. As of September 30, 2016, HRG and FGL did not have sufficient information to determine whether FGL is exposed to any losses that would be either probable or reasonably estimable beyond an expense contingency estimate of \$1.6, which was accrued during Fiscal 2016.

Salus

On March 17, 2015, Salus, in its capacity as agent for certain secured lenders of RadioShack under a \$250.0 term loan, filed an adversary complaint in the RadioShack bankruptcy cases pending in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of

Delaware against certain other secured asset-based lenders (including Standard General L.P., its affiliates and certain hedge fund lenders) of RadioShack (the "ABL Lenders") under a \$585.0 term and revolving loan facility. The adversary complaint sought (i) a determination that the liens securing the term loan provided by Salus to RadioShack have priority over the ABL Lenders' liens with respect to the termed out portion of the ABL Lenders' loans to RadioShack and (ii) disgorgement of payments received from RadioShack by the ABL Lenders in connection with the termed out loans. The ABL Lenders moved to dismiss the adversary complaint, and on May 11, 2016, the bankruptcy court issued a memorandum opinion and related order (the "Memorandum Opinion and Order") granting such motion to dismiss with prejudice. On May 24, 2016, Salus filed a notice of appeal of the Memorandum Opinion and Order. On June 8, 2016, Salus entered into a stipulation with the defendant ABL Lenders regarding distribution of the encumbered cash to Salus and on June 10, 2016 the bankruptcy court entered the order approving such stipulation. In accordance with the stipulation, Salus dismissed the appeal, with prejudice, on June 15, 2016.

Guarantees

Throughout its history, the Company has entered into indemnifications in the ordinary course of business with customers, suppliers, service providers, business partners and, in certain instances, when it sold businesses. Additionally, the Company has indemnified its directors and officers who are, or were, serving at the request of the Company in such capacities. Although the specific terms or number of such arrangements is not precisely known due to the extensive history of past operations, costs incurred to settle claims related to these indemnifications have not been material to the Company's financial statements. The Company has no reason to believe that future costs to settle claims related to its former operations will have a material impact on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Lease Commitments

The Company's minimum rent payments under operating leases are recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. Future annual minimum rental commitments under non-cancelable operating leases are as follows:

	Operating	Operating
	Leases of	Leases of
Fiscal Year	Business	Business
	Held for	Held for
	Use	Sale
2017	\$ 0.3	\$ 1.9
2018	_	1.8
2019	_	1.8
2020	_	1.9
2021	_	1.0
Thereafter	_	
Total minimum lease payments	\$ 0.3	\$ 8.4

The Company's total rent expense was \$1.1, \$1.0 and \$1.1 during Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Unfunded Asset Based Lending Commitments

Salus and FGL have unfunded investment commitments as of September 30, 2016 based upon the timing of when investments are executed compared to when the actual investments are funded, as some investments require that funding occur over a period of months or years.

Through Salus, the Company enters into commitments to extend credit to meet the financing needs of its asset based lending customers upon satisfaction of certain conditions. At September 30, 2016, the notional amount of unfunded, legally binding lending commitments was approximately \$6.2, which all expire in 1 year or less.

FGL has unfunded investment commitments of \$103.0 as of September 30, 2016.

(14) Related Party Transactions

In February 2013, in connection with the acquisition of EXCO Resources, Inc. by HGI Energy Holdings, LLC ("HGI Energy"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of HRG, HGI Energy entered into note purchase agreement with two of it affiliates, FGL and Front Street, for \$100.0 notional aggregate principal amount due February 14, 2021. The notes

paid interest at 9.0% per annum, payable semi-annually in arrears on January 1 and July 1. Following the sale of Compass Production Partners, a majority-owned subsidiary of HGI Energy, in the Fiscal 2016, the notes were replaced with \$92.0 notional aggregate amount of new notes due on August 22, 2017. FGL's portion of the new notes of \$46.0 was then transferred to a reinsurance funds withheld account, for which Front Street bears the investment risk. In relation to the notes with HGI Energy, for Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014, the Company recognized \$4.1, \$4.5 and \$4.5, respectively of net investment income from continuing operations (which are eliminated upon consolidation on the HRG's Consolidated Financial Statements), and \$4.0, \$4.5 and \$4.5, respectively of income from discontinued operations, (which are not eliminated upon consolidation.)

In December 2013, FGL completed an initial public offering of 9.8 million shares of common stock, and the underwriters exercised their option to purchase from the Company an additional 1.5 million shares of common stock, at a price of \$17.00 per share. Jefferies LLC ("Jefferies"), one of the participating underwriters, is a wholly owned subsidiary of Leucadia, which through subsidiaries beneficially owns more than 10% of the Company's outstanding shares of Common Stock. The underwriters in FGL's completed initial public offering received aggregate discounts and commissions paid by FGL of \$12.9, a portion of which was paid to Jefferies as a participating underwriter. On September 25, 2015, CorAmerica, assigned its interests under certain purchase agreements regarding outlet center developments to entities and accounts related to Fortress Investment Group LLC ("Fortress"), which, through affiliates, has acquired interests greater than 10% ownership in the Company as of September 30, 2016. The aggregate consideration for such assignment included a \$0.4 fee.

On October 7, 2015, FGL, entered into an Engagement Letter with Jefferies pursuant to which Jefferies agreed (on a

non-exclusive basis) to provide financial advisory services to FGL in connection with a transaction involving a merger or other similar transaction with respect to at least a majority of the capital stock of FGL. HRG was also a party to the Engagement Letter, Under the Engagement Letter, Jefferies is entitled to receive a fee which represents a percentage of the value of the transaction, plus reimbursement for all reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred by Jefferies in connection with their engagement. FGL has also agreed to indemnify Jefferies for certain liabilities in connection with their engagement. HRG is required to reimburse FGL for compensation paid by FGL to Jefferies under certain circumstances. Specifically, if compensation to Jefferies becomes payable in respect of a transaction that involves a disposition of shares of FGL held by HRG (and not other stockholders of FGL), HRG will reimburse FGL for the full amount of such compensation. If compensation to Jefferies becomes payable in respect of a transaction that involves a disposition of shares of FGL held by HRG and a disposition of not more than 50% of the shares of FGL held by stockholders of FGL other than HRG, HRG will reimburse FGL for its pro rata portion of such compensation (based on its relative number of shares compared to those held by stockholders of FGL other than HRG). On October 23, 2015, Front Street Cayman sold bonds issued by Phoenix Life Insurance Company and received approximately \$14.0 in aggregate proceeds from the sale. Jefferies acted as the principal in the transaction. FGL has invested in CLO securities issued by Fortress Credit Opportunities III CLO LP ("FCO III") and also invested in securities issued by Fortress Credit BSL Limited ("Fortress BSL"). The parent of both FCO III and Fortress BSL is Fortress, which has acquired interests greater than 10% ownership in HRG as of September 30, 2016. Such CLOs had an aggregate total carrying value of \$203.2 and \$182.6 as of September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, of which \$18.0 and \$18.2, respectively, was included in the funds withheld receivables portfolio of Front Street.

The Company's net investment income from such securities was \$11.0, \$9.5 and \$1.6 for the Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively, of which \$1.1, \$1.4 and \$0.2, respectively, was included in net investment income, and \$9.9, \$8.1 and \$1.4, respectively, was included in income from discontinued operations. In addition, in Fiscal 2014 FGL earned \$1.2 and \$1.1 on securities issued by Jefferies and Leucadia, respectively, which were subsequently sold recognizing gains of \$5.8 and \$1.6, respectively. Such income and gains were classified as discontinued operations in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Such income was classified as discontinued operations in the the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

In May 2012, Salus closed a credit facility with Frederick's of Hollywood Inc. ("FOH"). In May 2014, the Company, through its subsidiaries completed the acquisition of a 62.0% interest in FOH, a retailer of women's apparel and related products. At September 30, 2014, the commitment amount of the credit facility was \$41.5 and the aggregate total carrying value of \$34.5 was included in "Related party loans" on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Company's net investment income from the credit facilities was \$2.9 and \$1.5 as for Fiscal 2015 and 2014, respectively. On April 19, 2015, FOHG Holdings, LLC and its subsidiaries (together, "FOHG") commenced Chapter 11 cases in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware. On June 3, 2015, following receipt of court approval, FOHG sold (the "ABG Sale") its brand and inventory to Authentic Brands Group Inc. ("ABG"), a third party licensing company, with the majority of the proceeds used to repay a portion of the loans with the Company. The Company also recorded \$16.2 of impairments related to their outstanding the loans with FOHG. Following the bankruptcy filing, FS Holdco lost control of FOH and no longer consolidates its results. As a result, subsequent to April 19, 2015, the

Company classified the outstanding loans with FOHG as "Other invested assets" on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

(15) Insurance Subsidiary Financial Information

FGL's insurance subsidiaries file financial statements with state insurance regulatory authorities and the National Association of Insurance Commissioners ("NAIC") that are prepared in accordance with Statutory Accounting Principles ("SAP") prescribed or permitted by such authorities, which may vary materially from U.S. GAAP. Prescribed SAP includes the Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual of the NAIC as well as state laws, regulations and administrative rules. Permitted SAP encompasses all accounting practices not so prescribed. The principal differences between statutory financial statements and financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP are that statutory financial statements do not reflect value of business acquired and deferred acquisition cost, some bond portfolios may be carried at amortized cost, assets and liabilities are presented net of reinsurance, contractholder liabilities are generally valued using more conservative assumptions and certain assets are non-admitted. Accordingly, statutory operating results and statutory capital and surplus may differ substantially from amounts reported in the U.S. GAAP basis financial statements for comparable items.

FGL's principal insurance subsidiaries' statutory financial statements are based on a December 31 year end. Statutory net income and statutory capital and surplus of FGL's wholly owned insurance subsidiaries were as follows:

Subsidiary (state of domicile)(a)
FGL FGL NY
Insurance Insurance

(IA) (b) (NY)

Statutory Net Income (Loss):

Year ended December 31, 2015 \$(52.9) \$ (1.2) Year ended December 31, 2014 104.6 1.9 Year ended December 31, 2013 118.2 1.3

Statutory Capital and Surplus:

December 31, 2015 \$1,239.0 \$ 59.5 December 31, 2014 1,211.6 61.2

- (a) FGL NY Insurance is a subsidiary of FGL Insurance, and the columns should not be added together.
- (b) FGL Insurance Company re-domesticated to Iowa effective November 1, 2013. Prior to November 1, 2013, the Company was domiciled in the state of Maryland.

The amount of statutory capital and surplus necessary to satisfy the applicable regulatory requirements is less than FGL Insurance's and FGL NY Insurance's respective statutory capital and surplus.

Life insurance companies are subject to certain Risk-Based Capital ("RBC") requirements as specified by the NAIC. The RBC is used to evaluate the adequacy of capital and surplus maintained by an insurance company in relation to risks associated with: (i) asset risk, (ii) insurance risk, (iii) interest rate risk and (iv) business risk. FGL monitors the RBC of its insurance subsidiaries. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, each of FGL's insurance subsidiaries had exceeded the minimum RBC requirements.

FGL's insurance subsidiaries are restricted by state laws and regulations as to the amount of dividends they may pay to their parent without regulatory approval in any year, the purpose of which is to protect affected insurance policyholders, depositors or investors. Any dividends in excess of limits are deemed "extraordinary" and require approval. Based on statutory results as of December 31, 2015, in accordance with applicable dividend restrictions, FGL's subsidiaries could pay "ordinary" dividends of \$123.9 to Fidelity & Guaranty Life Holdings, Inc. ("FGH") in 2016 less any dividends paid during the immediately preceding 12 month period. FGL did not declare or pay any dividends to FGH during the 12 month period ended September 30, 2016. Therefore, FGL Insurance is able to declare an ordinary dividend up to \$123.9 with respect to its 2015 statutory results, subject to management's discretion.

On November 1, 2013, FGL Insurance re-domesticated from Maryland to Iowa. After re-domestication, FGL Insurance elected to apply Iowa-prescribed accounting practices that permit Iowa-domiciled insurers to report equity call options used to economically hedge FIA index credits at amortized cost for statutory accounting purposes and to calculate FIA statutory reserves such that index credit returns will be included in the reserve only after crediting to the

annuity contract. This resulted in an increase in statutory capital and surplus of \$46.8 at December 31, 2015 and a decrease of \$5.0 at December 31, 2014. Also, the Iowa Insurance Division granted FGL Insurance a permitted statutory accounting practice to reclassify its negative unassigned surplus balance of \$805.8 to additional paid in capital as of April 6, 2011, the date the Company acquired FGL Insurance, which had the effect of setting FGL Insurance's statutory unassigned surplus to zero as of this date. The prescribed and permitted statutory accounting practice has no impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements which are prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

FGL Insurance's statutory carrying value of Raven Re reflects the effect of permitted practices Raven Re received to treat the available amount of a letter of credit as an admitted asset which increased Raven Re's statutory capital and surplus by \$220.0 and \$245.0 at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Raven Re is also permitted to follow Iowa prescribed statutory accounting practice for its reserves on reinsurance assumed from FGL Insurance which increased Raven Re's statutory capital and surplus by \$4.1 and \$17.3 at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Without such permitted statutory accounting

Table of Contents

practices Raven Re's statutory capital and surplus would be negative \$13.7 and \$75.1 as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively and its risk-based capital would fall below the minimum regulatory requirements. The letter of credit facility was collateralized by NAIC 1 rated fixed maturity securities. If the permitted practice was revoked, the letter of credit could be replaced by the collateral assets with Nomura's consent. FGL Insurance's statutory carrying value of Raven Re at December 31, 2015 and 2014 was \$210.3 and \$187.3, respectively.

As of December 31, 2015, FGL NY Insurance did not follow any prescribed or permitted statutory accounting practices that differ from the NAIC's statutory accounting practices.

Securities held on deposit with various state regulatory authorities had a fair value of \$18,074.6 and \$16,012.3 at September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. FGL Insurance is domesticated in Iowa and under Iowa regulations, insurance companies are required to hold securities on deposit in an amount no less than the FGL Insurance's legal reserve as prescribed by Iowa regulations.

Table of Contents

Schedule I
FS HOLDCO II LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Summary of Investments—Other than Investments in Related Parties
September 30, 2016
(In millions)

	Amortized cost	Fair value	Amount at which shown in the balance sheet
Fixed maturities:			
Bonds:			
United States Government and government agencies and authorities	\$ 72.8	\$74.0	\$ 74.0
States, municipalities and political subdivisions	61.6	66.4	66.4
Foreign governments	2.6	2.8	2.8
Public utilities	146.8	145.5	145.5
All other corporate bonds	881.7	887.8	887.8
Mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized	357.5	350.4	350.4
Redeemable preferred stock	_	_	
Total fixed maturities	1,523.0	1,526.9	1,526.9
Equity securities:			
Nonredeemable preferred stock	8.8	8.2	8.2
Policy loans	8.5	8.5	8.5
Short term investments	95.5	95.5	95.5
Derivative investments	9.8	11.3	11.3
Total investments included in funds withheld receivables (b)	\$ 1,645.6	\$1,650.4	\$ 1,650.4
Democrate (i) existingly east nodes and by noncomparts and other than			d adimetad fan

Represents (i) original cost reduced by repayments and other-than-temporary impairments and adjusted for amortization of premiums and accrual of discounts for fixed maturity securities, (ii) original cost reduced by (a) other-than-temporary impairments for equity securities, (iii) original cost for derivative investments, and

See accompanying Independent Auditors' Report.

⁽iv) unpaid principal balance reduced by an allowance for credit losses for commercial-mortgage and asset-based loans.

⁽b) This represents the underlying investments in Front Street's funds withheld receivables portfolio.

Schedule II FS HOLDCO II LTD. (Parent Only) CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS (In millions)

	September 30,		
	2016	2015	
ASSETS			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$2.0	\$2.0	
Receivables, net	0.3	_	
Deferred Tax Assets	_	7.5	
Investments in consolidated subsidiaries (a)	1,292.5	1,266.0	
Total assets	\$1,294.8	\$1,275.5	
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY	·		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$—	\$1.6	
Deferred tax liabilities	364.4	_	
Total liabilities	364.4	1.6	
Shareholder's equity			
Common Stock	_	_	
Contributed capital	498.4	500.0	
Retained earnings	220.4	696.1	
Accumulated other comprehensive income	211.6	77.8	
Total shareholder's equity	930.4	1,273.9	
Total liabilities and shareholder's equity	\$1,294.8	\$1,275.5	

⁽a) Includes \$1,259.6 and \$1,265.1 at September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, related to the Company's investment in FGL which was classified as business held for sale.

See accompanying Independent Auditors' Report.

Schedule II (continued) FS HOLDCO II LTD. (Parent Only) CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (In millions)

	Fiscal 2016	2015	2014
Revenues	\$—	\$—	201 4 ¢
	Ψ—	ψ—	Ψ—
Cost of revenues		_	
Operating expenses:			
General and administrative expenses		0.1	0.3
Total operating expenses		0.1	0.3
Operating loss		(0.1)) (0.3)
Other income:			
Equity in net income (loss) of subsidiaries (a)	118.7	(52.4) 173.2
Write-down of assets of business held for sale to fair value less cost to sell	(362.8) —	
(Loss) income before income taxes	(244.1) (52.5) 172.9
Income tax expense	219.0	1.4	0.4
Net loss (income)	\$(463.1	\$(53.9)) \$172.5

- (a) Includes \$(284.1), \$124.0, and \$142.1 for Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively, related to the Company's investments in FGL, which was classified as discontinued operations.
- (b) Includes income tax expense of \$198.7 for Fiscal 2016 related to classifying the Company's ownership interest in FGL as held for sale

See accompanying Independent Auditors' Report.

Table of Contents

Schedule II (continued) FS HOLDCO II LTD. (Parent Only) CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (In millions)

	Fiscal 2016	2015	2014
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net (loss) income	(463.)	(53.9)	172.5
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash used in operating activities			
Equity in net income of subsidiaries	(118.)	752.4	(173.3)
Dividends received	12.6	14.6	65.4
Write-down of assets of business held for sale to fair value less cost to sell	362.8	_	
Change in deferred tax assets	226.7	(0.9)	1.0
(Increase) decrease in accounts receivables	(2.0)	2.2	(0.7)
Increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses	_	_	0.1
Net change in cash due to operating activities	18.3	14.4	65.1
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Capital contributions to subsidiaries	_	(36.0)	(5.2)
Net change in cash due to investing activities		(36.0)	(5.2)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Cash contributions from parent	_	36.0	5.2
Dividends payments	(12.6)	(14.6)	(65.4)
Net change in cash due to financing activities	(12.6)	21.4	(60.2)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	5.7	(0.2)	(0.3)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	2.0	2.2	2.5
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$7.7	\$2.0	\$ 2.2

See accompanying Independent Auditors' Report.

Table of Contents

Schedule III FS HOLDCO II LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES Supplementary Insurance Information (In millions)

	As of or for the year ended			
	September 30,			
	2016	2015	2014	
Life Insurance (single segment):				
Future policy benefits, losses, claims and loss expenses	\$1,751.3	\$1,856.0	\$1,418.4	
Net investment income	97.1	(114.0)	89.3	
Benefits, claims, losses and settlement expenses	103.0	70.6	66.9	
Other operating expenses	(9.6)	(13.4)	(11.4)	

See accompanying Independent Auditors' Report.

Table of Contents

Schedule IV FS HOLDCO II LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES Reinsurance (In millions)

Fiscal 2016	Gross Amou	nnt Ceded to ot companies		med from companies	Net Amoun	Percenta at of amou assumed	nt
Life insurance in force	\$	— \$	—\$ 2	44.5	\$ 244.5	100.0	%
Premiums and other considerations:							
Traditional life insurance premiums	\$	—\$	—\$ —	_	\$ 5.7	100.0	%
Annuity product charges			96.3		96.3	100.0	%
Total premiums and other considerations	\$	—\$	 \$ 10	02.0	\$ 102.0	100.0	%
Fiscal 2015	Gross Amou	Ceded to ot companies		med from companies	Net Amoun	Percenta at of amou assumed	nt
Life insurance in force	\$	—\$	 \$ 2:	55.5	\$ 255.5	100.0	%
Premiums and other considerations:							
Traditional life insurance premiums	\$	—\$	 \$ 3.	.9	\$ 3.9	100.0	%
Annuity product charges			94.2		94.2	100.0	%
Total premiums and other considerations	\$	—\$	 \$ 98	8.1	\$ 98.1	100.0	%
Fiscal 2014	Gross Amor	unt Ceded to companies		sumed from er companie	Net s Amount	Percentage of amount assumed to	
Life insurance in force	\$	—\$	—\$	_	\$ —		%
Premiums and other considerations:							
Traditional life insurance premiums	\$	—\$	—\$	0.9	\$ 0.9	100.0	%
Annuity product charges	_	_	5.3			100.0	%
Total premiums and other considerations	\$	—\$	—\$	6.2	\$ 6.2	100.0	%

See accompanying Independent Auditors' Report.

Table of Contents

HGI FUNDING, LLC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Independent Auditors' Report	<u>S-104</u>
Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2016 and 2015	<u>S-105</u>
Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014	<u>S-106</u>
Statements of Member's Equity for the Years Ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014	<u>S-107</u>
Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014	S-108
Notes to Financial Statements	S-109

Table of Contents

Independent Auditors' Report

The Member

HGI Funding, LLC:

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of HGI Funding, LLC, which comprise the balance sheets as of September 30, 2016 and 2015, and the related statements of operations and comprehensive income, member's equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended September 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditors' judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly in all material respects, the financial position of HGI Funding, LLC as of September 30, 2016 and 2015, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended September 30, 2016 in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

/s/ KPMG LLP New York, New York November 22, 2016

HGI FUNDING, LLC BALANCE SHEETS (In thousands)

	September 30,		
	2016	2015	
ASSETS			
Investments, at fair value (Note 4):			
Non-affiliates	\$ —	\$32,796	
Affiliate	790,415	593,349	
Total investments	790,415	626,145	
Cash	76	438	
Restricted cash	235	216	
Other assets	221	147	
Total assets	\$790,947	\$626,946	
LIABILITIES AND MEMBER'S EQUITY			
Other liabilities	\$192	\$94	
Total liabilities	192	94	

Commitments and contingencies (Note 7)

Member's equity:

Contributed capital	369,067	514,134
Retained earnings	421,688	112,718
Total member's equity	790,755	626,852
Total liabilities and member's equity	\$790,947	\$626,946

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Table of Contents

HGI FUNDING, LLC STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (In thousands)

	Year ended September 30,		
	2016	2015	2014
Investment income:			
Dividend and interest income:			
Non-affiliates	\$	\$60	\$2,411
Affiliate	9,644	5,382	3,622
Gross investment income	9,644	5,442	6,033
Investment fees	_		90
Net investment income	9,644	5,442	5,943
Realized and unrealized gains and losses on investments:			
Net realized gains (losses) on sale of investments	101,892	(44,509)	3,686
Net change in unrealized gains (losses) on investment in affiliate	212,131	(65)	78,166
Net change in unrealized (losses) gains on investment in non-affiliates	(14,082)	54,407	(11,060)
Net realized and unrealized foreign exchange gains	_		54
Net recognized gains on investments	299,941	9,833	70,846
General and administrative expenses	615	1,116	325
Other income	_	_	300
Income before income taxes	308,970	14,159	76,764
Income tax expense (Note 6)		_	_
Net income	308,970	14,159	76,764
Other comprehensive income		_	
Total comprehensive income	\$308,970	\$14,159	\$76,764
See accompanying notes to financial statements.			

Table of Contents

HGI FUNDING, LLC STATEMENTS OF MEMBER'S EQUITY (In thousands)

Balances at September 30, 2013 Return of capital to HRG Group, Inc.	capital \$ 229,101 (4,181	\$21,795 —	member's eq \$ 250,896 (4,181	juity
Deemed distribution to HRG Group, Inc. Deemed contributed capital from HRG Group, Inc. for unreimbursed	(,,) —	(13,537)
management services	295		295	
Contributed capital from HRG Group, Inc.	85,551	_	85,551	
Comprehensive income		76,764	76,764	
Balances at September 30, 2014	297,229	98,559	395,788	
Return of capital to HRG Group, Inc.	(82,762) —	(82,762)
Deemed distribution to HRG Group, Inc.	(10,307) —	(10,307)
Deemed contributed capital from HRG Group, Inc. for unreimbursed	423	_	423	
management services				
Contributed capital from HRG Group, Inc.	309,551	—	309,551	
Comprehensive income	_	14,159	14,159	
Balances at September 30, 2015	514,134	112,718	626,852	
Return of capital to HRG Group, Inc.	(189,731) —	(189,731)
Deemed distribution to HRG Group, Inc.	_	_		
Deemed contributed capital from HRG Group, Inc. for unreimbursed management services	42		42	
Contributed capital from HRG Group, Inc.	44,622	_	44,622	
Comprehensive income		308,970	308,970	
Balances at September 30, 2016	\$369,067		\$ 790,755	
See accompanying notes to financial statements.	+ 000,000	+ .21,000	+	

Table of Contents

HGI FUNDING, LLC STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (In thousands)

	Year ended September 30,		
	2016	2015	2014
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income	\$308,970	\$14,159	\$76,764
Adjustments to reconcile net income to operating cash flows:			
Net realized and unrealized gains on investments	(299,941)	(9,833)	(70,792)
Realized and unrealized foreign exchange gains on investments			(54)
Deemed contributed capital from HRG Group, Inc. for unreimbursed management	42	423	295
services	42	423	293
Amortization of discount on affiliate receivable	_	_	(1,442)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Other assets	(74)	(133)	(14)
Other liabilities	98	58	(300)
Net change in cash due to operating activities	9,095	4,674	4,457
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Cost of investments acquired	_	_	(83,417)
Purchase of common stock of an affiliate	(9,417)	(304,960)	(3,795)
Proceeds from sales of investments	145,088	70,786	11,218
Purchase of affiliate receivable	_		(9,045)
Net change in cash due to investing activities	135,671	(234,174)	(85,039)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Return of capital to HRG Group, Inc.	(189,731)	(82,762)	(4,181)
Change in restricted cash	(19)	1,275	255
Contributed capital from HRG Group, Inc.	44,622	309,551	85,551
Net change in cash due to financing activities	(145,128)	228,064	81,625
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(362)	(1,436)	1,043
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	438	1,874	831
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$76	\$438	\$1,874
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:			
Non-cash transaction:			
Deemed distribution to HRG Group, Inc.	\$	\$10,307	\$13,537
See accompanying notes to financial statements.			

HGI FUNDING, LLC

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

(1) Basis of Presentation and Nature of Business

HGI Funding, LLC ("HGI Funding" or the "Company") is a direct, wholly-owned subsidiary of HRG Group, Inc. ("HRG"). HRG is a holding company that conducts its operations through its operating subsidiaries. HRG's shares of common stock trade on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "HRG."

HGI Funding, a Delaware Limited Liability Company, manages a portion of HRG's available cash and engages in other activities from time to time. The Company operates in one segment and has a fiscal year-end of September 30. References herein to Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014 refer to the fiscal years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP").

(2) Significant Accounting Policies and Practices and Recent Accounting Pronouncements

The following is a summary of significant accounting policies followed by the Company.

Investments

The Company's investments consist of marketable equity securities classified as trading and carried at fair value with unrealized gains and losses recognized in earnings, including certain securities for which the Company has elected the fair value option under Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 825, "Financial Instruments," which would otherwise have been classified as an equity method investment. Investment transactions are accounted for as of the trade date and any realized gains or losses from such transactions are calculated on a first in, first out basis and are included in the appropriate caption in the Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income.

The Company's investments in marketable equity securities classified as trading and carried at fair value include common stock of Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. ("Spectrum Brands"), an affiliated company under common control of the Company's parent, HRG. The Company held 9.66% and 10.92% of Spectrum Brands' outstanding common stock and HRG had three and four common directors on Spectrum Brands' board of directors as of September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. As a result, the Company had significant influence over the financial and operating decisions of Spectrum Brands. As a consequence of having significant influence, the Company's interest in Spectrum Brands is considered an equity method investment under ASC Topic 323, "Investments — Equity Method and Joint Ventures," for which the Company has elected the fair value option under ASC Topic 825.

Fair Value Measurements

The Company's measurement of fair value is based on assumptions used by market participants in pricing the asset or liability, which may include inherent risk, restrictions on the sale or use of an asset or non-performance risk, which may include the Company's own credit risk. The Company's estimate of an exchange price is the price in an orderly transaction between market participants to sell the asset or transfer the liability ("exit price") in the principal market, or the most advantageous market in the absence of a principal market, for that asset or liability, as opposed to the price that would be paid to acquire the asset or receive a liability ("entry price"). The Company categorizes financial instruments carried at fair value into a three-level fair value hierarchy, based on the priority of inputs to the respective valuation technique. The three-level hierarchy for fair value measurement is defined as follows:

Level 1 — Values are unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets and liabilities in active markets accessible at the measurement date.

Level 2 — Inputs include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices from those willing to trade in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by market data for the term of the instrument. Such inputs include market interest rates and volatilities, spreads and yield curves.

Level 3 — Certain inputs are unobservable (supported by little or no market activity) and significant to the fair value measurement. Unobservable inputs reflect the Company's best estimate of what hypothetical market participants would use to determine a transaction price for the asset or liability at the reporting date based on the best information available in the circumstances.

Revenue Recognition

Dividends and interest income are recorded in "Dividend and interest income" and are recognized when earned. Amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts on investments in fixed maturity securities are reflected in "Dividend and interest income" over the contractual terms of the investments in a manner that produces a constant effective yield.

Reclassifications

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. These reclassifications had no effect on previously reported results of operations or accumulated deficit.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements Not Yet Adopted

Revenue from Contracts with Customers

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606) ("ASU 2014-09"), which supersedes the revenue recognition requirements in ASC 605, Revenue Recognition. ASU 2014-09 requires revenue recognition to depict the transfer of goods and services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The new revenue recognition model requires identifying the contract and performance obligations, determining the transaction price, allocating the transaction price to performance obligations and recognizing the revenue upon satisfaction of performance obligations. ASU 2014-09 also requires additional disclosure about the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from customer contracts, including significant judgments and changes in judgments, and assets recognized from costs incurred to obtain or fulfill a contract. ASU 2014-09 can be applied either retrospectively to each prior reporting period presented or retrospectively with the cumulative effect of initially applying the updates recognized at the date of the initial application along with additional disclosures. In August 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-14, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Deferral of the Effective Date ("ASU 2015-14"), which amends the previously issued ASU 2014-09 to provide for a one year deferral from the original effective date. As a result, the ASU 2014-09 will become effective for the Company beginning in the first quarter of its fiscal year ending September 30, 2019, with early adoption beginning in the first quarter of its fiscal year ending September 30, 2018. In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU No 2016-08, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Principal versus Agent Considerations (Reporting Revenue Gross versus Net) ("ASU 2016-08"), which clarifies gross versus net revenue reporting when another party is involved in the transaction. In April 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-10, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Identifying Performance Obligations and Licensing ("ASU 2016-10"), which amends the revenue guidance on identifying performance obligations and accounting for licenses of intellectual property. There are two transition methods available under the new standard, either cumulative effect or retrospective. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact of this update on its financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

Amendments to Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities
In January 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-01, Financial Instruments - Overall (Subtopic 825-10): Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities ("ASU 2016-01"), which changes how entities measure certain equity investments and present changes in the fair value of financial liabilities measured under the fair value option that are attributable to their own credit. Under the new guidance, entities will be required to measure equity investments that do not result in consolidation and are not accounted for under the equity method at fair value and recognize any changes in fair value in net income unless the investments qualify for the new practicability exception. For financial liabilities measured using the fair value option, entities will be required to record changes in fair value caused by a change in instrument-specific credit risk (own credit risk) separately in other comprehensive income. The accounting for other financial instruments, such as loans and investments in debt securities is largely unchanged. The classification and measurement guidance is effective for public entities in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those fiscal years. This update will be effective for the Company in the first quarter of fiscal year 2019. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact of this update on its financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

Leases

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, Leases (Topic 842) ("ASU 2016-02"), which supersedes the lease requirements in ASC 840, Leases. ASU 2016-02 requires lessees to recognize lease assets and liabilities on the balance sheet, as well as to disclose key information about leasing arrangements. Although the new ASU 2016-02 requires both operating and finance leases to be disclosed on the balance sheet, a distinction between the two types still exists as the economics of leases can vary. ASU 2016-02 can be applied using a modified retrospective approach, with a number of optional practical expedients relating to the identification and classification of leases that

commenced before the effective date, along with the ability to use hindsight in the evaluation of lease decisions, that entities may elect to apply. ASU 2016-02 is effective for public business entities in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within those fiscal years. As a result, the ASU 2016-02 will become effective for the Company beginning in the first quarter of its fiscal year ending September 30, 2020, with early adoption applicable. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact of this update on its financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-15, Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments ("ASU 2016-15"), which intends to reduce diversity in practice in how certain transactions are classified in the statement of cash flows. ASU 2016-15 is effective for public business entities in fiscal years beginning after

December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those fiscal years. As a result, the ASU 2016-15 will become effective for the Company beginning in the first quarter of its fiscal year ending September 30, 2019, with early adoption applicable. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact of this update on its financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

Intra-Entity Transfers of Assets Other Than Inventory

In October 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-16, Income Taxes (Topic 740): Intra-Entity Transfers of Assets Other Than Inventory ("ASU 2016-16"), which removes the prohibition against the immediate recognition of the current and deferred income tax effects of intra-entity transfers of assets other than inventory. ASU 2016-16 is effective for public business entities in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those fiscal years. As a result, the ASU 2016-16 will become effective for the Company in the first quarter of fiscal year ending September 30, 2019, with early adoption applicable. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact of this update on its financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

(3) Significant Risks and Uncertainties

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Due to the inherent uncertainty involved in making estimates, actual results in future periods could differ from those estimates.

Market Risk

Market risk is the risk of loss of fair value resulting from adverse changes in market rates and prices, such as interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and equity prices. Market risk is directly influenced by the volatility and liquidity in the markets in which the related underlying financial instruments are traded.

The Company is exposed to equity price risk since it invests in marketable equity securities. The Company follows an investment policy approved by the board of directors of HRG which sets certain restrictions on the amounts and types of investments it may make.

Investment Concentration Risk

As of September 30, 2016 and 2015, the Company's most significant investment in one industry were the investment securities in the consumer products sector with a fair value of \$790,415 and \$593,349, respectively. As of September 30, 2016 and 2015, the only investment in a single issuer that exceeded 10% of the Company's Member's equity was the Company's investment in Spectrum Brands (consumer products sector), with a fair value of \$790,415 and \$593,349, respectively. The investment in Spectrum Brands was also the Company's largest concentration in any single issuer as of September 30, 2016 and 2015 representing 100% and 95% of invested assets, respectively.

(4) Investments

The Company's investments are summarized as follows:

September 30,

2016 2015

Marketable equity securities \$790,415 \$626,145

Total \$790,415 \$626,145

There were \$368,980 and \$170,931 of net unrealized gains recognized in "Investments" that relate to trading securities held at September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, including \$368,980 and \$156,849 of unrealized gains on the Company's investment in Spectrum Brands at September 30, 2016 and 2015.

Spectrum Brands

Included in marketable equity securities as of September 30, 2016 and 2015, above, were 5.7 million and 6.5 million shares of Spectrum Brands, an affiliate under common control, an equity method investee carried at fair market value (\$790,415 and \$593,349 as of September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively) using the fair value option under ASC Topic 825. The unrealized gains (losses) in the fair market value of the Company's investment in Spectrum Brands for Fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014 of \$212,131, \$(65) and \$78,166, respectively, are reported in the Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income in "Net change in unrealized gains (losses) on investment in affiliate."

The following table presents summarized financial information derived from Spectrum Brands' consolidated financial statements:

statements.						
	September 30,					
	2016	201	5			
Balance sheet data:						
Current assets	\$1,632,890	\$1,0	687,487			
Non-current assets	5,436,182	5,50	06,287			
Current liabilities	1,095,565	1,02	26,900			
Non-current liabilities	4,129,498	4,56	50,100			
Stockholders' equity	1,844,010	1,60	06,873			
			Fiscal			
			2016	2015	2014	
Operating data:						
Net sales			\$5,039,710	\$4,690,357	\$4,429,109	
Gross profit			1,919,906	1,670,349	1,568,869	
Operating income			656,267	474,089	481,933	
Net income			357,632	149,411	214,506	
Basic net income per c	ommon shar	e	6.02	2.68	4.07	
Diluted net income per common share		5.99	2.66	4.02		
Dividends declared per common share		1.47	1.27	1.15		
(5) Fair Value of Financial Instruments						

(5) Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments for which the disclosure of fair values is required, including financial assets and liabilities measured and carried at fair value on a recurring basis, are summarized according to the hierarchy previously described as follows:

•	September 30, 2016					
	Level 1	Leve	el 2	Level	3 Fair Value	Carrying Amount
Assets						
Investments	\$790,415	\$	_	-\$	-\$ 790,41	15 \$790,415
Cash	76	—			76	76
Restricted cash	235			_	235	235
Total financial assets	\$790,726	\$	_	-\$	_\$790,72	26 \$790,726
	September 30, 2015					
	Level 1	Leve 2	el L	evel 3	Fair Value	Carrying Amount
Assets						
Investments	\$626,145	\$	_\$	_	\$626,145	\$626,145
Cash	438	—	_	_	438	438
Restricted cash	216	—	_	_	216	216
Total financial assets	\$626,799	\$	_\$	_	\$626,799	\$626,799
(6) Income Taxes						

HGI Funding is a single-member limited liability company wholly owned by HRG. For income tax purposes, the Company is a disregarded entity. Accordingly, the results of its operations are taxed as if the Company was part of HRG. As a result, income tax expense (benefit) is not recorded in the Company's financial statements.

If the Company was a separate taxable entity, its income tax benefit would be computed in accordance with ASC Topic 740, "Income Taxes." On a pro forma basis, the Company's income tax expense (benefit) would have been the following: (i) for Fiscal 2016, income tax expense would have been \$84,209 of which, \$8,296 would have been current tax expense and \$75,913 would have been deferred tax expense, which included a tax benefit of \$21,867 as a result of the Company's change in judgement on realization of certain deferred tax assets; (ii) for Fiscal 2015, income

tax benefit would have been \$2,379, of which \$209 would have been current tax expense and \$2,588 would have been deferred tax benefit; (iii) for Fiscal 2014, income tax expense would have been \$31,216, of which \$2,219 would have been current and \$28,997 would have been deferred.

(7) Commitments and Contingencies

Operating Lease

The Company's minimum rent payments under operating leases are recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. All of the leases expire between November 2018 and December 2022. The Company subleases certain of its lease commitments to affiliated and non-affiliated entities partially offsetting rent expense.

Future annual minimum rental commitments under non-cancelable operating leases pertaining to buildings, net of contractual third-party sublease, are as follows:

Fiscal Year	Operating	Sublease	Net	
	Leases	Subicase		
2017	\$ 2,392	\$ 744	\$1,648	
2018	2,392	750	1,642	
2019	1,654	124	1,530	
2020	1,507	_	1,507	
2021	1,507	_	1,507	
Thereafter	1,757	_	1,757	
Total minimum lease payments	\$11,209	\$ 1,618	\$9,591	

During Fiscal 2016 and 2015, the Company received sublease income from affiliated entities of \$1,507 and \$1,802, respectively. During Fiscal 2016 and 2015, the Company received sublease income from third-parties of \$575 and \$104, respectively.

Letters of Credit

As of September 30, 2016, the Company issued unused letters of credit totaling \$2,300, related to property leases of the Company and its affiliates.

Guarantees

During Fiscal 2015, Salus Capital Partners, LLC ("Salus"), a subsidiary of HRG, executed a Canadian Dollar ("CAD") swap agreement with Fidelity & Guaranty Life Insurance Company ("FGL Insurance"), a subsidiary of HRG, to convert the CAD cash flows into United States Dollar ("USD") cash flows. Under this swap agreement, Salus would reimburse FGL Insurance for certain realized foreign exchange losses related to cash flows on these loan participations from origination date through maturity date. The Company executed an agreement with FGL Insurance to guarantee, subject to the terms of the agreement, the fulfillment of Salus' obligation under the swap agreement. During Fiscal 2016, Salus and FGL Insurance settled and terminated the swap agreement, which also terminated the Company's guarantee with FGL Insurance.

(8) Related Party Transactions

During Fiscal 2015, Spectrum Brands completed its acquisition of Armored AutoGroup Parent Inc. (the "AAG Acquisition"), a consumer products company. Spectrum Brands funded the AAG Acquisition with the proceeds of its offering of debt and a registered offering of 6.2 million of shares of Spectrum Brands' common stock (the "Equity Offering"). In the Equity Offering, the Company acquired 3.0 million shares of the common stock offered thereby. On October 9, 2015, the Company entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement with HC2 Holdings, Inc. ("HC2") and the purchasers party thereto, whereby HGI Funding sold its remaining equity interest in HC2 for an aggregate purchase price of \$35,088. Jefferies LLC ("Jefferies"), one of the participating underwriters, is a wholly owned subsidiary of Leucadia, which through subsidiaries beneficially owns more than 10% of the Company's outstanding shares of Common Stock, agreed to purchase 1.2 million shares in the transaction at a purchase price of \$7.50 per share. In addition, Mr. Philip Falcone, who was at that time through Harbinger Capital Partners LLC and certain of its affiliated funds ("HCP") the beneficial owner of more than 10% of the outstanding shares of common stock of HRG, purchased through an HCP fund 0.5 million shares of HC2 in the transaction at a purchase price of \$7.50 per share. The market value of the investment in HC2 at September 30, 2015 was \$32.796.

On August 23, 2016, the Company sold 0.8 million shares of marketable equity securities, at a purchase price of \$130.59 per share to HGI Energy Holdings, LLC ("HGI Energy"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of HRG. The purchase price was determined based on the closing market price of such securities out of a certain number of days. The Company recognized a gain of \$85,518 and the total proceeds of \$110,000 were used to return capital to HRG.