

HEWLETT PACKARD CO
Form 10-Q
September 08, 2015

Use these links to rapidly review the document

[TABLE OF CONTENTS](#)

[Index](#)

[Table of Contents](#)

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.**

For the quarterly period ended: July 31, 2015

Or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 1-4423

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

94-1081436

(I.R.S. employer
identification no.)

3000 Hanover Street, Palo Alto, California

(Address of principal executive offices)

94304

(Zip code)

(650) 857-1501

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| Large accelerated filer | Accelerated filer | Non-accelerated filer | Smaller reporting |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | company <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | | (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) | |

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The number of shares of HP common stock outstanding as of August 31, 2015 was 1,798,224,792 shares.

Table of Contents

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
Form 10-Q
For the Quarterly Period ended July 31, 2015
Table of Contents

| | <u>Page</u> |
|--|-------------|
| <u>Forward-Looking Statements</u> | <u>2</u> |
| <u>Part I. Financial Information</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | <u>4</u> |
| <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>66</u> |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | <u>111</u> |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>112</u> |
| <u>Part II. Other Information</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>113</u> |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u> | <u>113</u> |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | <u>113</u> |
| <u>Item 5. Other Information</u> | <u>113</u> |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | <u>113</u> |
| <u>Signature</u> | <u>114</u> |
| <u>Exhibit Index</u> | <u>115</u> |
| Forward-Looking Statements | |

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in Item 2 of Part I, contains forward-looking statements that involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. If the risks or uncertainties ever materialize or the assumptions prove incorrect, the results of Hewlett-Packard Company and its consolidated subsidiaries ("HP") may differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements and assumptions. All statements other than statements of historical fact are statements that could be deemed forward-looking statements, including but not limited to any projections of revenue, margins, expenses, effective tax rates, net earnings, net earnings per share, cash flows, benefit plan funding, deferred tax assets, share repurchases, currency exchange rates or other financial items; any projections of the amount, timing or impact of cost savings or restructuring charges; any statements of the plans, strategies and objectives of management for future operations, including the previously announced separation transaction and the future performances of the post- separation companies if the separation is completed, as well as the execution of restructuring plans and any resulting cost savings, revenue or profitability improvements; any statements concerning the expected development, performance, market share or competitive performance relating to products or services; any statements regarding current or future macroeconomic trends or events and the impact of those trends and events on HP and its financial performance; any statements regarding pending investigations, claims or disputes; any statements of expectation or belief; and any statements of assumptions underlying any of the foregoing. Risks, uncertainties and assumptions include the need to address the many challenges facing HP's businesses; the competitive pressures faced by HP's businesses; risks associated with executing HP's strategy, including the planned separation transaction; the impact of macroeconomic and geopolitical trends and events; the need to manage third-party suppliers and the distribution of HP's products and the delivery of HP's services effectively; the protection of HP's intellectual property assets, including intellectual property licensed from third parties; risks associated with HP's international operations; the development and transition of new products and services and the enhancement of existing products and services to meet customer needs and respond to emerging technological trends; the execution and performance of contracts by HP and its suppliers, customers, clients and partners; the hiring and retention of key employees; integration and other risks associated with business combination and investment transactions; the execution, timing and results of the separation transaction or restructuring plans, including estimates and assumptions related to the cost (including any possible disruption of HP's business) and the anticipated benefits of

Table of Contents

implementing the separation transaction and restructuring plans; the resolution of pending investigations, claims and disputes; and other risks that are described herein, including but not limited to the items discussed in "Risk Factors" in Item 1A of Part II of this report and that are otherwise described or updated from time to time in HP's Securities and Exchange Commission reports. HP assumes no obligation and does not intend to update these forward-looking statements.

Table of Contents

Part I. Financial Information

ITEM 1. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

Index

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| <u>Consolidated Condensed Statements of Earnings for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2015 and 2014 (Unaudited)</u> | <u>5</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Condensed Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2015 and 2014 (Unaudited)</u> | <u>6</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets as of July 31, 2015 (Unaudited) and as of October 31, 2014 (Audited)</u> | <u>7</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended July 31, 2015 and 2014 (Unaudited)</u> | <u>8</u> |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u> | <u>9</u> |
| <u>Note 1: Basis of Presentation</u> | <u>9</u> |
| <u>Note 2: Segment Information</u> | <u>10</u> |
| <u>Note 3: Restructuring</u> | <u>18</u> |
| <u>Note 4: Retirement and Post-Retirement Benefit Plans</u> | <u>19</u> |
| <u>Note 5: Stock-Based Compensation</u> | <u>21</u> |
| <u>Note 6: Taxes on Earnings</u> | <u>23</u> |
| <u>Note 7: Balance Sheet Details</u> | <u>26</u> |
| <u>Note 8: Financing Receivables and Operating Leases</u> | <u>29</u> |
| <u>Note 9: Acquisitions and Divestitures</u> | <u>32</u> |
| <u>Note 10: Goodwill and Intangible Assets</u> | <u>34</u> |
| <u>Note 11: Fair Value</u> | <u>36</u> |
| <u>Note 12: Financial Instruments</u> | <u>39</u> |
| <u>Note 13: Borrowings</u> | <u>47</u> |
| <u>Note 14: Stockholders' Equity</u> | <u>50</u> |
| <u>Note 15: Net Earnings Per Share</u> | <u>53</u> |
| <u>Note 16: Litigation and Contingencies</u> | <u>54</u> |
| <u>Note 17: Guarantees</u> | <u>64</u> |

Table of Contents**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Condensed Statements of Earnings****(Unaudited)**

| | Three months ended July 31 | | Nine months ended July 31 | |
|---|---------------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| | In millions, except per share amounts | | | |
| Net revenue: | | | | |
| Products | \$ 16,760 | \$ 18,190 | \$ 51,940 | \$ 54,712 |
| Services | 8,500 | 9,295 | 25,428 | 28,030 |
| Financing income | 89 | 100 | 273 | 306 |
| Total net revenue | 25,349 | 27,585 | 77,641 | 83,048 |
| Costs and expenses: | | | | |
| Cost of products | 12,890 | 13,913 | 40,035 | 41,902 |
| Cost of services | 6,369 | 6,991 | 19,016 | 21,301 |
| Financing interest | 58 | 70 | 182 | 211 |
| Research and development | 893 | 887 | 2,568 | 2,571 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 2,962 | 3,388 | 9,096 | 9,989 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 242 | 227 | 685 | 774 |
| Restructuring charges | 25 | 649 | 426 | 1,015 |
| Acquisition-related charges | 47 | 2 | 70 | 8 |
| Separation costs | 401 | | 750 | |
| Defined benefit plan settlement charges | 114 | | 114 | |
| Impairment of data center assets | 136 | | 136 | |
| Total operating expenses | 24,137 | 26,127 | 73,078 | 77,771 |
| Earnings from operations | 1,212 | 1,458 | 4,563 | 5,277 |
| Interest and other, net | (108) | (145) | (421) | (482) |
| Earnings before taxes | 1,104 | 1,313 | 4,142 | 4,795 |
| Provision for taxes | (250) | (328) | (911) | (1,112) |
| Net earnings | \$ 854 | \$ 985 | \$ 3,231 | \$ 3,683 |
| Net earnings per share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.47 | \$ 0.53 | \$ 1.78 | \$ 1.95 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.47 | \$ 0.52 | \$ 1.75 | \$ 1.93 |
| Cash dividends declared per share | \$ 0.35 | \$ 0.32 | \$ 0.67 | \$ 0.61 |

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Weighted-average shares used to compute net earnings per share:

| | | | | |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Basic | 1,805 | 1,870 | 1,817 | 1,889 |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|

| | | | | |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Diluted | 1,828 | 1,899 | 1,842 | 1,913 |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Condensed Statements of Comprehensive Income****(Unaudited)**

| | Three months ended July 31 | | Nine months ended July 31 | |
|---|-------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| | In millions | | | |
| Net earnings | \$ 854 | \$ 985 | \$ 3,231 | \$ 3,683 |
| Other comprehensive income before taxes: | | | | |
| Change in unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities: | | | | |
| Unrealized gains (losses) arising during the period | 4 | 7 | (9) | 6 |
| Gains reclassified into earnings | | | | (1) |
| | 4 | 7 | (9) | 5 |
| Change in unrealized gains (losses) on cash flow hedges: | | | | |
| Unrealized gains (losses) arising during the period | 292 | 134 | 905 | (105) |
| (Gains) losses reclassified into earnings | (159) | 125 | (1,049) | 335 |
| | 133 | 259 | (144) | 230 |
| Change in unrealized components of defined benefit plans: | | | | |
| Losses arising during the period | (75) | (8) | (75) | (119) |
| Amortization of actuarial loss and prior service benefit | 108 | 67 | 324 | 196 |
| Curtailments, settlements and other | 97 | 2 | 99 | 42 |
| | 130 | 61 | 348 | 119 |
| Change in cumulative translation adjustment | (44) | (22) | (112) | (63) |
| Other comprehensive income before taxes | 223 | 305 | 83 | 291 |
| Provision for taxes | (69) | (86) | (50) | (123) |
| Other comprehensive income, net of taxes | 154 | 219 | 33 | 168 |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 1,008 | \$ 1,204 | \$ 3,264 | \$ 3,851 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets**

| | July 31, 2015 | As of October 31, 2014 |
|---|--|------------------------------|
| | In millions, except par value (Unaudited) | |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 17,171 | \$ 15,133 |
| Accounts receivable | 12,753 | 13,832 |
| Financing receivables | 2,804 | 2,946 |
| Inventory | 6,700 | 6,415 |
| Other current assets | 12,570 | 11,819 |
| Total current assets | 51,998 | 50,145 |
| Property, plant and equipment | 11,028 | 11,340 |
| Long-term financing receivables and other assets | 8,733 | 8,454 |
| Goodwill | 33,025 | 31,139 |
| Intangible assets | 2,249 | 2,128 |
| Total assets | \$ 107,033 | \$ 103,206 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Notes payable and short-term borrowings | \$ 11,034 | \$ 3,486 |
| Accounts payable | 15,549 | 15,903 |
| Employee compensation and benefits | 3,348 | 4,209 |
| Taxes on earnings | 629 | 1,017 |
| Deferred revenue | 6,277 | 6,143 |
| Accrued restructuring | 330 | 898 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 11,866 | 12,079 |
| Total current liabilities | 49,033 | 43,735 |
| Long-term debt | 14,468 | 16,039 |
| Other liabilities | 16,089 | 16,305 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| HP stockholders' equity | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value (300 shares authorized; none issued) | | |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value (9,600 shares authorized; 1,801 and 1,839 shares issued and outstanding at July 31, 2015 and October 31, 2014, respectively) | 18 | 18 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 2,101 | 3,430 |
| Retained earnings | 30,764 | 29,164 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (5,848) | (5,881) |
| Total HP stockholders' equity | 27,035 | 26,731 |
| Non-controlling interests | 408 | 396 |

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|--|--|--------|---------|--------|---------|
| Total stockholders' equity | | 27,443 | | 27,127 | |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | | \$ | 107,033 | \$ | 103,206 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows****(Unaudited)**

| | Nine months ended July 31 | |
|--|--------------------------------------|----------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| | In millions | |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net earnings | \$ 3,231 | \$ 3,683 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 3,054 | 3,259 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 476 | 432 |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | 38 | 38 |
| Provision for inventory | 227 | 166 |
| Restructuring charges | 426 | 1,015 |
| Deferred taxes on earnings | 898 | (129) |
| Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation | (124) | (49) |
| Other, net | 675 | 65 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities (net of acquisitions): | | |
| Accounts receivable | 1,199 | 1,662 |
| Financing receivables | 192 | 340 |
| Inventory | (467) | (369) |
| Accounts payable | (358) | 1,196 |
| Taxes on earnings | (1,075) | 292 |
| Restructuring | (1,006) | (1,050) |
| Other assets and liabilities | (3,505) | (919) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 3,881 | 9,632 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Investment in property, plant and equipment | (2,642) | (2,897) |
| Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment | 310 | 702 |
| Purchases of available-for-sale securities and other investments | (180) | (1,007) |
| Maturities and sales of available-for-sale securities and other investments | 246 | 1,224 |
| Payments made in connection with business acquisitions, net of cash acquired | (2,617) | (20) |
| Proceeds from business divestitures, net | 156 | |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (4,727) | (1,998) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Short-term borrowings with original maturities less than 90 days, net | 2,633 | 86 |
| Issuance of debt | 5,993 | 2,005 |
| Payment of debt | (2,642) | (4,853) |
| Settlement of cash flow hedges | (32) | |
| Issuance of common stock under employee stock plans | 303 | 243 |
| Repurchase of common stock | (2,582) | (1,978) |
| Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation | 124 | 49 |
| Cash dividends paid | (913) | (875) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 2,884 | (5,323) |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 2,038 | 2,311 |

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|--------|--------|
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 15,133 | 12,163 |
|--|--------|--------|

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 17,171 | \$ 14,474 |
|--|-----------|-----------|

Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities:

| | | |
|---|-------|--------|
| Purchase of assets under capital leases | \$ 70 | \$ 113 |
|---|-------|--------|

| | | |
|---|----|--|
| Stock awards assumed in business acquisitions | 31 | |
|---|----|--|

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

Note 1: Basis of Presentation

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements of Hewlett-Packard Company and its consolidated subsidiaries ("HP") contain all adjustments, including normal recurring adjustments, necessary to present fairly HP's financial position as of July 31, 2015 and October 31, 2014, its results of operations for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2015 and 2014 and its cash flows for the nine months ended July 31, 2015 and 2014.

The results of operations for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2015 and cash flows for the nine months ended July 31, 2015 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. The information included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with HP's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2014, including "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk" and the Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto included in Items 7, 7A and 8, respectively, included therein.

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements include the accounts of HP and other subsidiaries and affiliates in which HP has a controlling financial interest or is the primary beneficiary. HP accounts for investments in companies over which HP has the ability to exercise significant influence but does not hold a controlling interest under the equity method, and HP records its proportionate share of income or losses in Interest and other, net in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Earnings. HP presents non-controlling interests as a separate component within Total stockholders' equity in the Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets. Net earnings attributable to the non-controlling interests are eliminated within Interest and other, net in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Earnings and are not presented separately as they were not material for any period presented. HP has eliminated all intercompany accounts and transactions.

Reclassifications

HP has implemented certain segment and business unit realignments in order to align its segment financial reporting more closely with its current business structure. Reclassifications of certain prior-year segment and business unit financial information have been made to conform to the current-year presentation. None of the changes impacts HP's previously reported consolidated net revenue, earnings from operations, net earnings or net earnings per share ("EPS"). See Note 2 for a further discussion of HP's segment realignment.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with United States ("U.S.") generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in HP's Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

Table of Contents

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 1: Basis of Presentation (Continued)

Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2015, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") amended the existing accounting standards for intangible assets. The amendments provide explicit guidance to customers in determining the accounting for fees paid in a cloud computing arrangement, wherein the arrangements that do not convey a software license to the customer are accounted for as service contracts. HP is required to adopt the guidance in the first quarter of fiscal 2017; however early adoption is permitted as is retrospective application. HP is currently evaluating the impact of these amendments on its Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

In April 2015, the FASB amended the existing accounting standards for imputation of interest. The amendments require that debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability be presented on the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of that debt liability, consistent with debt discounts. The recognition and measurement guidance for debt issuance costs are not affected by these amendments. HP is required to adopt the guidance in the first quarter of fiscal 2017. Early adoption is permitted. The amendments should be applied retrospectively with the adjusted balance sheet of each individual period presented, in order to reflect the period-specific effects of applying the new guidance. HP is currently evaluating the timing and the impact of these amendments on its Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

In May 2014, the FASB amended the existing accounting standards for revenue recognition. The amendments are based on the principle that revenue should be recognized to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. In August 2015, the FASB issued an accounting standard update for a one-year deferral of the effective date, with an option of applying the standard on the original effective date, which for HP is the first quarter of fiscal 2018. In accordance with this deferral, HP is required to adopt these amendments in the first quarter of fiscal 2019. The amendments may be applied retrospectively to each prior period presented or retrospectively with the cumulative effect recognized as of the date of initial application. HP is continuing to evaluate the impact of these amendments and the transition alternatives on its Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

Note 2: Segment Information

HP is a leading global provider of products, technologies, software, solutions and services to individual consumers, small- and medium-sized businesses ("SMBs") and large enterprises, including customers in the government, health and education sectors. HP's offerings span the following:

personal computing and other access devices;

imaging- and printing-related products and services;

enterprise information technology ("IT") infrastructure, including enterprise server and storage technology, networking products and solutions, and technology support and maintenance;

multi-vendor customer services, including technology consulting, outsourcing and support services across infrastructure, applications and business process domains; and

Table of Contents

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 2: Segment Information (Continued)

software products and solutions, including application testing and delivery, big data analytics, enterprise security, information governance, IT operations management and marketing optimization.

HP's operations are organized into seven segments for financial reporting purposes: Personal Systems, Printing, Enterprise Group ("EG"), Enterprise Services ("ES"), Software, HP Financial Services ("HPFS") and Corporate Investments. HP's organizational structure is based on a number of factors that management uses to evaluate, view and run its business operations, which include, but are not limited to, customer base and homogeneity of products and technology. The segments are based on this organizational structure and information reviewed by HP's management to evaluate segment results.

The Personal Systems segment and the Printing segment are structured beneath a broader Printing and Personal Systems Group ("PPS"). While PPS is not a reportable segment, HP may provide financial data aggregating the Personal Systems and the Printing segments in order to provide a supplementary view of its business.

A summary description of each segment follows.

The *Printing and Personal Systems Group's* mission is to leverage the respective strengths of the Personal Systems business and the Printing business by creating a unified organization that is customer-focused and poised to capitalize on rapidly shifting industry trends. Each of the segments within PPS is described below.

Personal Systems provides commercial personal computers ("PCs"), consumer PCs, workstations, thin clients, tablets, retail point-of-sale systems, calculators and other related accessories, software, support and services for the commercial and consumer markets. HP groups commercial notebooks, commercial desktops, commercial services, commercial tablets, workstations and thin clients into commercial clients and consumer notebooks, consumer desktops, consumer services and consumer tablets into consumer clients when describing performance in these markets. Described below are HP's global business capabilities within Personal Systems.

Commercial PCs are optimized for use by customers, including enterprise and SMB customers, and for connectivity, reliability and manageability in networked environments.

Consumer PCs include multi-media notebooks, desktops, hybrids, and tablets.

Printing provides consumer and commercial printer hardware, supplies, media, software and services, as well as scanning devices. Printing is also focused on imaging solutions in the commercial markets. HP groups LaserJet, large format printers and commercial inkjet printers into Commercial Hardware and consumer inkjet printers into Consumer Hardware when describing performance in these markets. Described below are HP's global business capabilities within Printing.

LaserJet and Enterprise Solutions deliver HP's LaserJet and enterprise products, services and solutions to SMBs and large enterprises. Managed Print Services provides printing equipment, supplies, support, workflow optimization and security services for SMBs and enterprise customers around the world, utilizing proprietary HP tools and fleet management solutions as well as third-party software.

Table of Contents

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 2: Segment Information (Continued)

Inkjet and Printing Solutions deliver HP's consumer and SMB inkjet solutions (hardware, supplies, media, and web-connected hardware and services). Ongoing initiatives and programs such as Ink in the Office and Ink Advantage and newer initiatives such as Instant Ink provide innovative printing solutions to consumers and SMBs.

Graphics Solutions deliver large format printers (Designjet, Large Format Production, and Scitex Industrial), specialty printing, digital press solutions (Indigo and Inkjet Webpress), supplies and services to print service providers and design and rendering customers.

Software and Web Services delivers a suite of solutions and services, including photo storage, printing offerings such as Snapfish and web-connected printing services.

The *Enterprise Group* provides servers, storage, networking and technology services that, when combined with HP's cloud solutions, enable customers to manage applications across public cloud, virtual private cloud, private cloud and traditional IT environments. Described below are HP's business units and capabilities within EG.

Industry Standard Servers offers a range of products from entry-level servers through premium ProLiant servers, which run primarily Windows, Linux and virtualization platforms from software providers such as Microsoft Corporation ("Microsoft") and VMware, Inc. ("VMware") and open sourced software from other major vendors while leveraging x86 processors from Intel Corporation ("Intel") and Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. ("AMD").

Business Critical Systems offers HP Integrity servers based on the Intel® Itanium® and x86 processors, HP Integrity NonStop solutions and mission-critical x86 ProLiant servers.

Storage offers traditional storage and Converged Storage solutions. Traditional storage includes tape, storage networking and legacy external disk products such as EVA and XP. Converged Storage solutions include 3PAR StoreServ, StoreOnce and StoreVirtual products.

Networking offers wireless local area network, mobility and security software, switches, routers and network management products that span data centers, campus and branch environments and deliver software-defined networking and unified communications capabilities.

Technology Services provides support services and technology consulting to integrate and optimize EG's hardware platforms, and focuses on cloud, mobility and big data. These services are available in the form of service contracts, pre-packaged offerings or on a customized basis.

Enterprise Services provides technology consulting, outsourcing and support services across infrastructure, applications and business process domains. ES is comprised of the Infrastructure Technology Outsourcing ("ITO") and the Application and Business Services ("ABS") business units.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Infrastructure Technology Outsourcing delivers comprehensive services that encompass the management of data centers, IT security, cloud computing, workplace technology, networks, unified communications and enterprise service management.

Application and Business Services helps clients develop, revitalize and manage their applications and information assets.

Table of Contents

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 2: Segment Information (Continued)

Software provides application testing and delivery, big data analytics, enterprise security, information governance, IT operations management, and marketing optimization solutions for businesses and enterprises of all sizes. HP's software offerings include licenses, support, professional services and software-as-a-service.

HP Financial Services provides flexible investment solutions, such as leasing, financing, utility programs and asset management services, for customers to enable the creation of unique technology deployment models and acquire complete IT solutions, including hardware, software and services from HP and others. Providing flexible services and capabilities that support the entire IT lifecycle, HPFS partners with customers globally to help build investment strategies that enhance their business agility and support their business transformation. HPFS offers a wide selection of investment solution capabilities for large enterprise customers and channel partners, along with an array of financial options to SMBs and educational and governmental entities.

Corporate Investments includes HP Labs and certain cloud-related business incubation projects amongst others.

Segment Policy

HP derives the results of the business segments directly from its internal management reporting system. The accounting policies HP uses to derive segment results are substantially the same as those the consolidated company uses. Management measures the performance of each segment based on several metrics, including earnings from operations. Management uses these results, in part, to evaluate the performance of, and to allocate resources to, each of the segments.

Segment revenue includes revenues from sales to external customers and intersegment revenues that reflect transactions between the segments on an arm's-length basis. Intersegment revenues primarily consist of sales of hardware and software that are sourced internally and, in the majority of the cases, are financed as operating leases by HPFS. HP's consolidated net revenue is derived and reported after the elimination of intersegment revenues from such arrangements.

HP periodically engages in intercompany advanced royalty payment and licensing arrangements that may result in advance payments between subsidiaries. Revenues from these intercompany arrangements are deferred and recognized as earned over the term of the arrangement by the HP legal entities involved in such transactions; however, these advanced payments are eliminated from revenues as reported by HP and its business segments. As disclosed in Note 6, in the first quarter of fiscal 2015, HP executed an intercompany advanced royalty payment arrangement resulting in advanced payments of \$8.2 billion, while during fiscal 2014 HP executed a multi-year intercompany licensing arrangement and intercompany advanced royalty payment arrangement which resulted in combined advanced payments of \$11.5 billion. In these transactions, the payments were received in the U.S. from a foreign consolidated affiliate, with a deferral of intercompany revenues over the term of the arrangements, approximately 5 years and 15 years, respectively. The impact of these intercompany arrangements is eliminated from both HP consolidated and segment revenues.

Financing interest in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Earnings reflects interest expense on debt attributable to HPFS. Debt attributable to HPFS consists of intercompany equity that is

Table of Contents

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 2: Segment Information (Continued)

treated as debt for segment reporting purposes, intercompany debt, and borrowing- and funding-related activity associated with HPFS and its subsidiaries.

HP does not allocate to its segments certain operating expenses, which it manages at the corporate level. These unallocated costs include certain corporate governance costs, stock-based compensation expense, amortization of intangible assets, restructuring charges, acquisition-related charges, separation costs, defined benefit plan settlement charges and impairment of data center assets.

Segment Realignment

Effective at the beginning of its first quarter of fiscal 2015, HP implemented an organizational change to align its segment financial reporting more closely with its current business structure. This organizational change resulted in the transfer of third-party multi-vendor support arrangements from the Technology Services ("TS") business unit within the EG segment to the ITO business unit within the ES segment.

HP has reflected this change to its segment information retrospectively to the earliest period presented, which has resulted in the removal of intersegment revenue from the TS business unit within the EG segment and the related corporate intersegment revenue eliminations, and the transfer of operating profit from the TS business unit within the EG segment to the ITO business unit within the ES segment. This change had no impact on HP's previously reported consolidated net revenue, earnings from operations, net earnings or net EPS.

In connection with the acquisition of Aruba Networks, Inc. ("Aruba") in the third quarter of fiscal 2015, HP recorded approximately \$1.8 billion of goodwill, \$628 million of intangible assets and \$149 million of in-process research and development. HP reports the financial results of Aruba's business in the Networking business unit within the EG segment. As of July 31, 2015, other than the EG segment, there have been no material changes to the total assets of HP's other individual segments since October 31, 2014.

Table of Contents**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)****(Unaudited)****Note 2: Segment Information (Continued)***Segment Operating Results*

| | Personal Systems and Printing Group | | | Enterprise Group | Enterprise Services | Software | HP | | Total |
|---|---|-----------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-------|
| | Personal Systems | Printing | Financial Services | | | | Corporate Investments | | |
| In millions | | | | | | | | | |
| Three months ended July 31, 2015 | | | | | | | | | |
| Net revenue | \$ 7,246 | \$ 5,042 | \$ 6,680 | \$ 4,773 | \$ 821 | \$ 783 | \$ 4 | \$ 25,349 | |
| Intersegment net revenue and other | 245 | 66 | 327 | 203 | 79 | 23 | | 943 | |
| Total segment net revenue | \$ 7,491 | \$ 5,108 | \$ 7,007 | \$ 4,976 | \$ 900 | \$ 806 | \$ 4 | \$ 26,292 | |
| Earnings (loss) from operations | \$ 222 | \$ 910 | \$ 912 | \$ 297 | \$ 185 | \$ 87 | \$ (148) | \$ 2,465 | |
| Three months ended July 31, 2014 | | | | | | | | | |
| Net revenue | \$ 8,368 | \$ 5,514 | \$ 6,666 | \$ 5,328 | \$ 873 | \$ 833 | \$ 3 | \$ 27,585 | |
| Intersegment net revenue and other | 281 | 76 | 206 | 262 | 86 | 22 | | 933 | |
| Total segment net revenue | \$ 8,649 | \$ 5,590 | \$ 6,872 | \$ 5,590 | \$ 959 | \$ 855 | \$ 3 | \$ 28,518 | |
| Earnings (loss) from operations | \$ 346 | \$ 1,026 | \$ 963 | \$ 231 | \$ 203 | \$ 79 | \$ (115) | \$ 2,733 | |
| Nine months ended July 31, 2015 | | | | | | | | | |
| Net revenue | \$ 23,046 | \$ 15,911 | \$ 19,683 | \$ 14,179 | \$ 2,452 | \$ 2,348 | \$ 22 | \$ 77,641 | |
| Intersegment net revenue and other | 729 | 193 | 866 | 607 | 211 | 66 | | 2,672 | |
| Total segment net revenue | \$ 23,775 | \$ 16,104 | \$ 20,549 | \$ 14,786 | \$ 2,663 | \$ 2,414 | \$ 22 | \$ 80,313 | |

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|----|-------|----|-------|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|-------|----|-------|
| Earnings (loss) from operations | \$ | 770 | \$ | 2,973 | \$ | 2,952 | \$ | 639 | \$ | 502 | \$ | 262 | \$ | (416) | \$ | 7,682 |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|----|-------|----|-------|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|-------|----|-------|

Nine months ended

July 31, 2014

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|----|--------|----|--------|----|--------|----|--------|----|-------|----|-------|----|-----|----|--------|
| Net revenue | \$ | 24,638 | \$ | 17,063 | \$ | 19,852 | \$ | 16,054 | \$ | 2,610 | \$ | 2,534 | \$ | 297 | \$ | 83,048 |
| Intersegment net revenue and other | | 717 | | 176 | | 623 | | 833 | | 236 | | 58 | | | | 2,643 |
| Total segment net revenue | \$ | 25,355 | \$ | 17,239 | \$ | 20,475 | \$ | 16,887 | \$ | 2,846 | \$ | 2,592 | \$ | 297 | \$ | 85,691 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|----|-------|----|-------|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|------|----|-------|
| Earnings (loss) from operations | \$ | 915 | \$ | 3,145 | \$ | 2,923 | \$ | 439 | \$ | 534 | \$ | 279 | \$ | (92) | \$ | 8,143 |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|----|-------|----|-------|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|------|----|-------|

Table of Contents**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)****(Unaudited)****Note 2: Segment Information (Continued)**

The reconciliation of segment operating results to HP consolidated results was as follows:

| | Three months ended July 31 | | Nine months ended July 31 | |
|---|-------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| In millions | | | | |
| Net Revenue: | | | | |
| Total segments | \$ 26,292 | \$ 28,518 | \$ 80,313 | \$ 85,691 |
| Elimination of intersegment net revenue and other | (943) | (933) | (2,672) | (2,643) |
| Total HP consolidated net revenue | \$ 25,349 | \$ 27,585 | \$ 77,641 | \$ 83,048 |
| Earnings before taxes: | | | | |
| Total segment earnings from operations | \$ 2,465 | \$ 2,733 | \$ 7,682 | \$ 8,143 |
| Corporate and unallocated costs and eliminations | (128) | (265) | (462) | (637) |
| Stock-based compensation expense | (160) | (132) | (476) | (432) |
| Amortization of intangible assets | (242) | (227) | (685) | (774) |
| Restructuring charges | (25) | (649) | (426) | (1,015) |
| Acquisition-related charges ⁽¹⁾ | (47) | (2) | (70) | (8) |
| Separation costs | (401) | | (750) | |
| Defined benefit plan settlement charges | (114) | | (114) | |
| Impairment of data center assets | (136) | | (136) | |
| Interest and other, net | (108) | (145) | (421) | (482) |
| Total HP consolidated earnings before taxes | \$ 1,104 | \$ 1,313 | \$ 4,142 | \$ 4,795 |

(1) Acquisition-related charges in the current period primarily include a non-cash inventory fair value adjustment charge as well as professional service and legal fees associated with the acquisition of Aruba.

Table of Contents**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)****(Unaudited)****Note 2: Segment Information (Continued)**

Net revenue by segment and business unit was as follows:

| | Three months ended July 31 | | Nine months ended July 31 | |
|--|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| | In millions | | | |
| Notebooks | \$ 3,993 | \$ 4,359 | \$ 12,887 | \$ 12,671 |
| Desktops | 2,700 | 3,395 | 8,411 | 10,012 |
| Workstations | 507 | 579 | 1,546 | 1,660 |
| Other | 291 | 316 | 931 | 1,012 |
| Personal Systems | 7,491 | 8,649 | 23,775 | 25,355 |
| Supplies | 3,455 | 3,660 | 10,740 | 11,321 |
| Commercial Hardware | 1,250 | 1,401 | 3,870 | 4,150 |
| Consumer Hardware | 403 | 529 | 1,494 | 1,768 |
| Printing | 5,108 | 5,590 | 16,104 | 17,239 |
| Total Printing and Personal Systems Group | 12,599 | 14,239 | 39,879 | 42,594 |
| Industry Standard Servers | 3,335 | 3,097 | 9,860 | 9,104 |
| Technology Services | 1,881 | 2,074 | 5,800 | 6,282 |
| Storage | 784 | 796 | 2,361 | 2,438 |
| Networking | 823 | 672 | 1,941 | 1,960 |
| Business Critical Systems | 184 | 233 | 587 | 691 |
| Enterprise Group | 7,007 | 6,872 | 20,549 | 20,475 |
| Infrastructure Technology Outsourcing | 3,036 | 3,494 | 9,039 | 10,592 |
| Application and Business Services | 1,940 | 2,096 | 5,747 | 6,295 |
| Enterprise Services | 4,976 | 5,590 | 14,786 | 16,887 |
| Software | 900 | 959 | 2,663 | 2,846 |
| HP Financial Services | 806 | 855 | 2,414 | 2,592 |
| Corporate Investments | 4 | 3 | 22 | 297 |
| Total segment net revenue | 26,292 | 28,518 | 80,313 | 85,691 |
| Eliminations of intersegment net revenue and other | (943) | (933) | (2,672) | (2,643) |
| Total net revenue | \$ 25,349 | \$ 27,585 | \$ 77,641 | \$ 83,048 |

Table of Contents**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)****(Unaudited)****Note 3: Restructuring***Summary of Restructuring Plans*

HP's restructuring activities summarized by plan were as follows:

| | Balance, October 31, 2014 | Three months ended July 31, 2015 Restructuring Charges | Restructuring Charges | Nine months ended July 31, 2015 Cash Payments | Other Adjustments and Non-Cash Settlements | Balance, July 31, 2015 | As of July 31, 2015 Total Costs Incurred to Date | Total Expected Costs to Be Incurred |
|---|---------------------------------|--|--------------------------|--|--|------------------------------|---|---|
| In millions | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Fiscal 2012 Plan</i> | | | | | | | | |
| Severance and EER | \$ 955 | \$ 14 | \$ 385 | \$ (898) | \$ (73) | \$ 369 | \$ 4,778 | \$ 4,910 |
| Infrastructure and other | 98 | 18 | 55 | (96) | (3) | 54 | 570 | 590 |
| Total 2012 Plan | 1,053 | 32 | 440 | (994) | (76) | 423 | 5,348 | 5,500 |
| <i>Other Plans:</i> | | | | | | | | |
| Severance | 7 | (4) | (4) | | (1) | 2 | 2,625 | 2,625 |
| Infrastructure | 54 | (3) | (10) | (12) | (1) | 31 | 1,423 | 1,423 |
| Total Other Plans | 61 | (7) | (14) | (12) | (2) | 33 | 4,048 | 4,048 |
| Total restructuring plans | \$ 1,114 | \$ 25 | \$ 426 | \$ (1,006) | \$ (78) | \$ 456 | \$ 9,396 | \$ 9,548 |
| Reflected in Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets: | | | | | | | | |
| Accrued restructuring | \$ 898 | | | | | \$ 330 | | |
| Other liabilities | \$ 216 | | | | | \$ 126 | | |

Fiscal 2012 Restructuring Plan

On May 23, 2012, HP adopted a multi-year restructuring plan (the "2012 Plan") designed to simplify business processes, accelerate innovation and deliver better results for customers, employees and stockholders. As of July 31, 2015 HP estimated that it would eliminate approximately 55,000 positions in connection with the 2012 Plan through fiscal 2015, with a portion of those employees exiting the company as part of voluntary enhanced early retirement ("EER") programs in the U.S. and in certain other countries. HP estimates that it will recognize approximately \$5.5 billion in total aggregate charges in connection with the 2012 Plan. HP expects approximately \$4.9 billion to relate to workforce reductions, including the EER programs, and approximately \$590 million to relate to infrastructure, including data center and real estate consolidation, and other items. As of July 31, 2015, HP had recorded \$5.3 billion in aggregate charges of which \$4.8 billion related to workforce reductions and \$570 million related to infrastructure, including data center and real estate consolidation, and other items. HP expects to record the majority of the remaining charges through the end of fiscal 2015 as the accounting recognition criteria are met. Certain charges related to the closure and consolidation of data centers and other real estate that were included as part of the 2012 Plan will continue to be incurred beyond fiscal 2015. As of July 31, 2015, HP had eliminated approximately 51,500 positions for which a severance payment has been or will be made as part of the 2012 Plan. The severance- and infrastructure-related cash payments associated with the 2012 Plan are expected to be

paid out through fiscal 2021.

Table of Contents**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)****(Unaudited)****Note 3: Restructuring (Continued)**

On August 20, 2015, HP adjusted its previous estimate of 55,000 positions to be eliminated under the 2012 Plan by up to an additional 5% without an increase to the earlier estimated aggregate amount to be recognized as a result of the impacted positions being currently located in lower cost severance regions.

Other Plans

Restructuring plans initiated by HP in fiscal 2008 and 2010 were substantially completed as of July 31, 2015. Severance- and infrastructure-related cash payments associated with the other plans are expected to be paid out through fiscal 2019.

Note 4: Retirement and Post-Retirement Benefit Plans

HP's net pension and post-retirement benefit cost (credit) recognized in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Earnings was as follows:

| | Three months ended July 31 | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------------|---------|
| | U.S. Defined Benefit Plans | | Non-U.S. Defined Benefit Plans | | Post- Retirement Benefit Plans | |
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| | In millions | | | | | |
| Service cost | \$ | \$ | \$ 82 | \$ 78 | \$ 1 | \$ 1 |
| Interest cost | 141 | 142 | 155 | 187 | 7 | 8 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (197) | (203) | (292) | (290) | (10) | (9) |
| Amortization and deferrals: | | | | | | |
| Actuarial loss (gain) | 13 | 4 | 107 | 81 | (2) | (2) |
| Prior service benefit | | | (5) | (6) | (5) | (10) |
| Net periodic benefit (credit) cost | (43) | (57) | 47 | 50 | (9) | (12) |
| Settlement loss | 96 | 1 | | 2 | | |
| Special termination benefits | | | 9 | 5 | 1 | |
| Net benefit cost (credit) | \$ 53 | \$ (56) | \$ 56 | \$ 57 | \$ (8) | \$ (12) |

Table of Contents**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)****(Unaudited)****Note 4: Retirement and Post-Retirement Benefit Plans (Continued)**

| | Nine months ended July 31 | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------------|--------|--------------------------------------|---------|
| | U.S. Defined Benefit Plans | | Non-U.S. Defined Benefit Plans | | Post- Retirement Benefit Plans | |
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| | In millions | | | | | |
| Service cost | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Interest cost | 427 | 426 | 471 | 555 | 21 | 24 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (630) | (608) | (884) | (858) | (28) | (26) |
| Amortization and deferrals: | | | | | | |
| Actuarial loss (gain) | 39 | 12 | 323 | 239 | (8) | (7) |
| Prior service benefit | | | (15) | (18) | (15) | (30) |
| Net periodic benefit (credit) cost | (164) | (170) | 144 | 151 | (27) | (36) |
| Curtailement gain | | | | (5) | | |
| Settlement loss | 96 | 1 | 3 | 4 | | |
| Special termination benefits | | | 22 | 33 | 1 | (11) |
| Net benefit (credit) cost | \$ (68) | \$ (169) | \$ 169 | \$ 183 | \$ (26) | \$ (47) |

Employer Contributions and Funding Policy

HP's policy is to fund its pension plans so that it makes at least the minimum contribution required by local government, funding and taxing authorities.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2015, HP lowered its initial estimates related to expected contributions in fiscal 2015 by \$52 million due to favorable foreign currency exchange rates and a change in the estimated local funding requirements. As a result, HP expected its fiscal 2015 contributions to be approximately \$641 million to its non-U.S. pension plans, approximately \$32 million to cover benefit payments to U.S. non-qualified plan participants and approximately \$43 million to cover benefit claims under HP's post-retirement benefit plans.

During the nine months ended July 31, 2015, HP contributed \$575 million to its non-U.S. pension plans, paid \$22 million to cover benefit payments to U.S. non-qualified plan participants, and paid \$29 million to cover benefit claims under HP's post-retirement benefit plans. During the remainder of fiscal 2015, HP anticipates making additional contributions of approximately \$66 million to its non-U.S. pension plans and approximately \$10 million to its U.S. non-qualified plan participants and expects to pay approximately \$14 million to cover benefit claims under HP's post-retirement benefit plans.

In January 2015, HP offered certain terminated vested participants of the U.S. HP Pension Plan the option of receiving their pension benefit in a one-time voluntary lump sum window. Approximately 50% of the eligible participants elected to receive their benefits and as a result the pension plan trust paid \$827 million in lump sum payments to these participants during the three months ended July 31, 2015. As a result of the lump sum program, HP incurred a settlement expense of \$96 million and a remeasurement of the U.S. defined benefit plans was required. As a result of the remeasurement, there was a reduction in the projected benefit obligation that was partially offset by a related decline in plan assets such that the net funded status of the plan improved by \$107 million as compared to October 31, 2014. The remeasurement also resulted in an additional net periodic benefit cost of \$45 million for fiscal 2015. During the three months ended July 31, 2015, HP recognized \$18 million of

the additional

Table of Contents**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)****(Unaudited)****Note 4: Retirement and Post-Retirement Benefit Plans (Continued)**

net periodic benefit cost as well as the settlement expense in Defined benefit plan settlement charges in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Earnings.

HP's pension and other post-retirement benefit costs and obligations depend on various assumptions. Differences between expected and actual returns on investments and changes in discount rates and other actuarial assumptions are reflected as unrecognized gains or losses, and such gains or losses are amortized to earnings in future periods. A deterioration in the funded status of a plan could result in a need for additional company contributions or an increase in net pension and post-retirement benefit costs in future periods. Actuarial gains or losses are determined at the measurement date and are amortized over the remaining service life for active plans or the life expectancy of plan participants for frozen plans.

Note 5: Stock-Based Compensation

HP's stock-based compensation plans include HP's principal equity plans as well as various equity plans assumed through business combinations. HP's principal equity plans permit the issuance of restricted stock awards, stock options and performance-based awards.

Stock-based compensation expense and the resulting tax benefits were as follows:

| | Three months ended July 31 | | Nine months ended July 31 | |
|--|-------------------------------|--------|------------------------------|--------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| | In millions | | | |
| Stock-based compensation expense | \$ 160 | \$ 132 | \$ 476 | \$ 432 |
| Income tax benefit | (52) | (42) | (154) | (138) |
| Stock-based compensation expense, net of tax | \$ 108 | \$ 90 | \$ 322 | \$ 294 |

Restricted Stock Awards

Restricted stock awards are non-vested stock awards that may include grants of restricted stock or restricted stock units. For the nine months ended July 31, 2015, HP granted only restricted stock units.

A summary of restricted stock award activity is as follows:

| | Nine months ended July 31, 2015 | |
|---|------------------------------------|---|
| | Shares | Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value Per Share |
| | In thousands | |
| Outstanding at beginning of period | 40,808 | \$ 24 |
| Granted and assumed through acquisition | 25,532 | \$ 36 |
| Vested | (18,996) | \$ 25 |
| Forfeited | (2,760) | \$ 28 |

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|------------------------------|--------|----|----|
| Outstanding at end of period | 44,584 | \$ | 30 |
|------------------------------|--------|----|----|

During the three months ended July 31, 2015, HP assumed approximately 8 million shares of restricted stock units through acquisition with a weighted-average grant date fair value of \$33 per share.

Table of Contents**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)****(Unaudited)****Note 5: Stock-Based Compensation (Continued)**

At July 31, 2015, there was \$847 million of unrecognized pre-tax stock-based compensation expense related to non-vested restricted stock awards, which HP expects to recognize over the remaining weighted-average vesting period of 1.4 years.

Stock Options

HP utilizes the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing formula to estimate the fair value of stock options subject to service-based vesting conditions. HP estimates the fair value of stock options subject to performance-contingent vesting conditions using a combination of a Monte Carlo simulation model and a lattice model, as these awards contain market conditions. The weighted-average fair value and the assumptions used to measure fair value were as follows:

| | Three months ended July 31 | | Nine months ended July 31 | |
|---|-------------------------------|---------|------------------------------|---------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Weighted-average fair value of grants per option ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 6.28 | \$ 7.53 | \$ 7.90 | \$ 7.44 |
| Expected volatility ⁽²⁾ | 26.7% | 27.6% | 26.3% | 33.2% |
| Risk-free interest rate ⁽³⁾ | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.7% | 1.8% |
| Expected dividend yield ⁽⁴⁾ | 2.3% | 1.9% | 1.8% | 2.1% |
| Expected term in years ⁽⁵⁾ | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.8 | 5.7 |

(1) The weighted-average fair value was based on stock options granted during the period.

(2) For all awards granted in fiscal 2015, expected volatility was estimated using the implied volatility derived from options traded on HP's common stock. For awards granted in fiscal 2014, expected volatility for awards subject to service-based vesting was estimated using the implied volatility derived from options traded on HP's common stock, whereas for performance-contingent awards, expected volatility was estimated using the historical volatility of HP's common stock.

(3) The risk-free interest rate was estimated based on the yield on U.S. Treasury zero-coupon issues.

(4) The expected dividend yield represents a constant dividend yield applied for the duration of the expected term of the award.

(5) For awards subject to service-based vesting, the expected term was estimated using historical exercise and post-vesting termination patterns; and for performance-contingent awards, the expected term represents an output from the lattice model.

Table of Contents**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)****(Unaudited)****Note 5: Stock-Based Compensation (Continued)**

A summary of stock option activity is as follows:

| | Nine months ended July 31, 2015 | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|---|--|--|
| | Shares In thousands | Weighted- Average Exercise Price | Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Term In years | Aggregate Intrinsic Value In millions |
| Outstanding at beginning of period | 57,853 | \$ 27 | | |
| Granted and assumed through acquisitions | 8,290 | \$ 37 | | |
| Exercised | (10,918) | \$ 19 | | |
| Forfeited/cancelled/expired | (16,483) | \$ 40 | | |
| Outstanding at end of period | 38,742 | \$ 26 | 5.3 | \$ 275 |
| Vested and expected to vest at end of period | 36,443 | \$ 26 | 5.3 | \$ 260 |
| Exercisable at end of period | 17,635 | \$ 25 | 4.1 | \$ 142 |

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value that option holders would have realized had all option holders exercised their options on the last trading day of the third quarter of fiscal 2015. The aggregate intrinsic value is the difference between HP's closing stock price on the last trading day of the third quarter of fiscal 2015 and the exercise price, multiplied by the number of in-the-money options. Total intrinsic value of options exercised for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2015 was \$23 million and \$192 million, respectively.

At July 31, 2015, there was \$61 million of unrecognized pre-tax stock-based compensation expense related to unvested stock options, which HP expects to recognize over the remaining weighted-average vesting period of 1.7 years.

Note 6: Taxes on Earnings*Provision for Taxes*

HP's effective tax rate was 22.6% and 25.0% for the three months ended July 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and 22.0% and 23.2% for the nine months ended July 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. HP's effective tax rate generally differs from the U.S. federal statutory rate of 35% due to favorable tax rates associated with certain earnings from HP's operations in lower-tax jurisdictions throughout the world. HP has not provided U.S. taxes for all foreign earnings because HP plans to reinvest some of those earnings indefinitely outside the U.S.

In the three and nine months ended July 31, 2015, HP recorded discrete items resulting in net tax benefits of \$164 million and \$349 million, respectively. These amounts included a tax benefit of \$122 million and \$237 million, for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2015,

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

respectively, on separation charges and a tax benefit of \$23 million and \$75 million for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2015, respectively, on combined restructuring and acquisition-related charges. The nine month period ended July 31, 2015, also included a tax benefit of \$47 million arising from the retroactive research and development credit provided by the Tax Increase Prevention Act of 2014 signed into law in December 2014. Additionally, in the three and nine months ended July 31, 2015, HP recorded various other discrete tax benefits of \$19 million and tax charges of \$10 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 6: Taxes on Earnings (Continued)

In the three and nine months ended July 31, 2014, HP recorded discrete items resulting in net tax benefits of \$88 million and \$53 million, respectively. These amounts include tax benefits of \$100 million and \$145 million related to restructuring charges, respectively.

Uncertain Tax Positions

HP is subject to income tax in the U.S. and approximately 105 other countries and is subject to routine corporate income tax audits in many of these jurisdictions. In addition, HP is subject to numerous ongoing audits by federal, state and foreign tax authorities. The U.S. Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") is conducting an audit of HP's 2009, 2010 and 2011 income tax returns. HP has received from the IRS Notices of Deficiency for its fiscal 1999, 2000, 2003, 2004 and 2005 tax years, and Revenue Agent's Reports ("RAR") for its fiscal 2001, 2002, 2006, 2007 and 2008 tax years. In addition, HP expects the IRS to issue RARs for 2009, 2010 and 2011 tax years relating to certain tax positions taken on the filed tax returns, including matters related to the U.S. taxation of certain intercompany loans. While these RARs may be material in amount, HP believes it has valid positions supporting its tax returns and, if necessary, it will rigorously defend such matters.

With respect to major foreign and state tax jurisdictions, HP is no longer subject to tax authority examinations for years prior to 1999. HP is subject to a foreign tax audit concerning an intercompany transaction for fiscal 2009. The relevant taxing authority has proposed an assessment of approximately \$680 million. HP is contesting this proposed assessment.

HP believes it has provided adequate reserves for all tax deficiencies or reductions in tax benefits that could result from federal, state and foreign tax audits. HP regularly assesses the likely outcomes of these audits in order to determine the appropriateness of HP's tax provision. HP adjusts its uncertain tax positions to reflect the impact of negotiations, settlements, rulings, advice of legal counsel, and other information and events pertaining to a particular audit. However, income tax audits are inherently unpredictable and there can be no assurance that HP will accurately predict the outcome of these audits. The amounts ultimately paid on resolution of an audit could be materially different from the amounts previously included in the Provision for taxes and therefore the resolution of one or more of these uncertainties in any particular period could have a material impact on net income or cash flows.

As of July 31, 2015 and October 31, 2014, the amount of unrecognized tax benefits was \$11.1 billion and \$4.1 billion respectively, of which up to \$2.4 billion and \$2.2 billion would affect HP's effective tax rate if realized as of the respective periods. The \$7.0 billion increase in the amount of unrecognized tax benefits for the nine months ended July 31, 2015 primarily relates to the timing of intercompany royalty income recognition which does not affect HP's effective tax rate. HP recognizes interest income from favorable settlements and interest expense and penalties accrued on unrecognized tax benefits in Provision for taxes in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Earnings. As of July 31, 2015, HP had accrued \$254 million for interest and penalties.

HP engages in continuous discussion and negotiation with taxing authorities regarding tax matters in various jurisdictions. HP does not expect complete resolution of any IRS audit cycle within the next 12 months. However, it is reasonably possible that certain federal, foreign and state tax issues may be concluded in the next 12 months, including issues involving transfer pricing and other matters. Accordingly, HP believes it is reasonably possible that its existing unrecognized tax benefits may be reduced by an amount up to \$1.1 billion within the next 12 months.

Table of Contents**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)****(Unaudited)****Note 6: Taxes on Earnings (Continued)***Deferred Tax Assets and Liabilities*

Current and long-term deferred tax assets and liabilities included in the Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets are as follows:

| | As of | |
|--|------------------|---------------------|
| | July 31, 2015 | October 31, 2014 |
| | In millions | |
| Current deferred tax assets | \$ 3,242 | \$ 2,754 |
| Current deferred tax liabilities | (216) | (284) |
| Long-term deferred tax assets | 899 | 740 |
| Long-term deferred tax liabilities | (2,965) | (1,124) |
| Net deferred tax assets net of deferred tax liabilities | \$ 960 | \$ 2,086 |

HP periodically engages in intercompany advanced royalty payment and licensing arrangements that may result in advance payments between subsidiaries in different tax jurisdictions. When the local tax treatment of the intercompany licensing arrangements differs from U.S. GAAP treatment, deferred taxes are recognized. In the first quarter of fiscal 2015, HP executed an intercompany advanced royalty payment arrangement resulting in advanced payments of \$8.2 billion, while during fiscal 2014, HP executed a multi-year intercompany licensing arrangement and an intercompany advanced royalty payment arrangement which resulted in combined advanced payments of \$11.5 billion, the result of which was the recognition of net U.S. long-term deferred tax assets of \$2.1 billion and \$1.7 billion in the respective periods. In these transactions, the payments were received in the U.S. from a foreign consolidated affiliate, with a deferral of intercompany revenues over the term of the arrangements, approximately 5 years and 15 years, respectively. Intercompany royalty revenue and the amortization expense related to the licensing rights are eliminated in consolidation.

Separation costs are expenses associated with HP's plan to separate into two independent publicly-traded companies. These costs include finance, IT, consulting and legal fees, real estate, and other items that are incremental and one-time in nature. HP is recording a deferred tax asset on a portion of these costs and expenses as they are incurred through fiscal 2015. HP expects a portion of these deferred tax assets associated with separation costs and expenses will be eliminated, as non-deductible expenses, at the time the separation is executed. Furthermore, HP concluded on the legal form of the separation and in May, 2015 announced that Hewlett Packard Enterprise Company ("Hewlett Packard Enterprise") will be the spinnee in the U.S. Accordingly, HP expects to effect certain internal reorganizations of, and transactions among, its wholly-owned subsidiaries and operating activities in preparation for the legal form of separation. As a result, in future periods, HP expects to record the impact of separation-related activities, which may result in adjustments to increase certain deferred tax assets and could have a material impact on HP's operations.

Table of Contents**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)****(Unaudited)****Note 7: Balance Sheet Details**

Balance sheet details were as follows:

Accounts Receivable, Net

| | July 31, 2015 | As of October 31, 2014 |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|
| | In millions | |
| Accounts receivable | \$ 12,953 | \$ 14,064 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | (200) | (232) |
| | \$ 12,753 | \$ 13,832 |

The allowance for doubtful accounts related to accounts receivable and changes were as follows:

| | Nine months ended July 31, 2015 |
|--|------------------------------------|
| | In millions |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 232 |
| Provision for doubtful accounts, net of recoveries | 24 |
| Deductions | (56) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 200 |

Table of Contents**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)****(Unaudited)****Note 7: Balance Sheet Details (Continued)**

HP has third-party revolving short-term financing arrangements intended to facilitate the working capital requirements of certain customers. The maximum, utilized and available program capacity under these revolving short-term financing arrangements was as follows:

| | As of | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| | July 31, 2015 | October 31, 2014 |
| | In millions | |
| Non-recourse arrangements: | | |
| Maximum program capacity | \$ 1,068 | \$ 1,083 |
| Utilized capacity ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | (598) | (613) |
| Available capacity | \$ 470 | \$ 470 |
| Partial-recourse arrangements: | | |
| Maximum program capacity | \$ 1,805 | \$ 1,877 |
| Utilized capacity ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | (1,318) | (1,500) |
| Available capacity | \$ 487 | \$ 377 |
| Total arrangements: | | |
| Maximum program capacity | \$ 2,873 | \$ 2,960 |
| Utilized capacity ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | (1,916) | (2,113) |
| Available capacity | \$ 957 | \$ 847 |

(1) Utilized capacity represents the receivables sold to third parties, but not collected from the customer by the third parties.

(2) HP reflects the amounts transferred to, but not yet collected from, third parties in Accounts receivable in the Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets. These amounts, included in the utilized capacity are as follows:

| | As of | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| | July 31, 2015 | October 31, 2014 |
| | In millions | |
| Non-recourse arrangements | \$ 6 | \$ 78 |
| Partial-recourse arrangements | 284 | 381 |
| Total arrangements | \$ 290 | \$ 459 |

Table of Contents**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)****(Unaudited)****Note 7: Balance Sheet Details (Continued)**

The activity related to HP's revolving short-term financing arrangements was as follows:

| | Nine months ended July 31, 2015 |
|---|--|
| | In millions |
| Balance at beginning of period ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 459 |
| Trade receivables sold | 7,828 |
| Cash receipts | (7,964) |
| Foreign currency and other | (33) |
| Balance at end of period⁽¹⁾ | \$ 290 |

(1) Beginning and ending balance represents amounts for trade receivables sold but not yet collected.

Inventory

| | July 31, 2015 | As of October 31, 2014 |
|---|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | In millions | |
| Finished goods | \$ 4,284 | \$ 3,973 |
| Purchased parts and fabricated assemblies | 2,416 | 2,442 |
| | \$ 6,700 | \$ 6,415 |

Property, Plant and Equipment

| | July 31, 2015 | As of October 31, 2014 |
|---|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | In millions | |
| Land | \$ 535 | \$ 540 |
| Buildings and leasehold improvements | 9,083 | 9,048 |
| Machinery and equipment, including equipment held for lease | 16,860 | 16,664 |
| | 26,478 | 26,252 |

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Accumulated depreciation | (15,450) | (14,912) |
| | \$ 11,028 | \$ 11,340 |

For the nine months ended July 31, 2015, the change in gross property, plant and equipment was due primarily to purchases of \$2.7 billion, which were partially offset by sales and retirements totaling \$2.0 billion and unfavorable currency impacts of \$0.4 billion. Accumulated depreciation associated with the assets sold or retired was \$1.7 billion.

Table of Contents**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)****(Unaudited)****Note 7: Balance Sheet Details (Continued)***Other Liabilities*

| | As of | |
|---|------------------|---------------------|
| | July 31, 2015 | October 31, 2014 |
| | In millions | |
| Pension, post-retirement, and post-employment liabilities | \$ 5,305 | \$ 6,379 |
| Deferred revenue long-term | 4,317 | 3,931 |
| Deferred tax liability long-term | 2,965 | 1,124 |
| Tax liability long-term | 1,618 | 2,861 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 1,884 | 2,010 |
| | \$ 16,089 | \$ 16,305 |

Note 8: Financing Receivables and Operating Leases

Financing receivables represent sales-type and direct-financing leases of HP and third-party products. These receivables typically have terms ranging from two to five years and are usually collateralized by a security interest in the underlying assets. Financing receivables also include billed receivables from operating leases. The components of financing receivables were as follows:

| | As of | |
|--|------------------|---------------------|
| | July 31, 2015 | October 31, 2014 |
| | In millions | |
| Minimum lease payments receivable | \$ 6,751 | \$ 6,982 |
| Unguaranteed residual value | 220 | 235 |
| Unearned income | (529) | (547) |
| Financing receivables, gross | 6,442 | 6,670 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | (96) | (111) |
| Financing receivables, net | 6,346 | 6,559 |
| Less: current portion ⁽¹⁾ | (2,804) | (2,946) |
| Amounts due after one year, net ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 3,542 | \$ 3,613 |

(1) HP includes the current portion in Financing receivables and amounts due after one year, net in Long-term financing receivables and other assets in the accompanying Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets.

Credit Quality Indicators

Due to the homogenous nature of its leasing transactions, HP manages its financing receivables on an aggregate basis when assessing and monitoring credit risk. Credit risk is generally diversified due to the large number of entities comprising HP's customer base and their dispersion across many different industries and geographic regions. HP evaluates the credit quality of an obligor at lease inception and monitors that credit quality over the term of a transaction. HP assigns risk ratings to each lease based

Table of Contents**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)****(Unaudited)****Note 8: Financing Receivables and Operating Leases (Continued)**

on the creditworthiness of the obligor and other variables that augment or mitigate the inherent credit risk of a particular transaction. Such variables include the underlying value and liquidity of the collateral, the essential use of the equipment, the term of the lease and the inclusion of credit enhancements, such as guarantees, letters of credit or security deposits.

The credit risk profile of gross financing receivables, based on internally assigned ratings, was as follows:

| | As of | |
|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| | July 31, 2015 | October 31, 2014 |
| | In millions | |
| Risk Rating: | | |
| Low | \$ 3,333 | \$ 3,536 |
| Moderate | 3,036 | 3,022 |
| High | 73 | 112 |
| Total | \$ 6,442 | \$ 6,670 |

Accounts rated low risk typically have the equivalent of a Standard & Poor's rating of BBB or higher, while accounts rated moderate risk generally have the equivalent of BB+ or lower. HP classifies accounts as high risk when it considers the financing receivable to be impaired or when management believes there is a significant near-term risk of impairment.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The allowance for doubtful accounts for financing receivables is comprised of a general reserve and a specific reserve. HP maintains general reserve percentages on a regional basis and bases such percentages on several factors, including consideration of historical credit losses and portfolio delinquencies, trends in the overall weighted-average risk rating of the portfolio, current economic conditions and information derived from competitive benchmarking. HP excludes accounts evaluated as part of the specific reserve from the general reserve analysis. HP establishes a specific reserve for financing receivables with identified exposures, such as customer defaults, bankruptcy or other events, that make it unlikely HP will recover its investment. For individually evaluated receivables, HP determines the expected cash flow for the receivable, which includes consideration of estimated proceeds from disposition of the collateral, and calculates an estimate of the potential loss and the probability of loss. For those accounts where a loss is considered probable, HP records a specific reserve. HP generally writes off a receivable or records a specific reserve when a receivable becomes 180 days past due, or sooner if HP determines that the receivable is not collectible.

Table of Contents**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)****(Unaudited)****Note 8: Financing Receivables and Operating Leases (Continued)**

The allowance for doubtful accounts related to financing receivables and changes were as follows:

| | Nine months ended July 31, 2015 | |
|---------------------------------|--|------|
| | In millions | |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ | 111 |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | | 15 |
| Deductions | | (30) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ | 96 |

The gross financing receivables and related allowance evaluated for loss were as follows:

| | As of | |
|---|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | July 31, 2015 | October 31, 2014 |
| | In millions | |
| Gross financing receivables collectively evaluated for loss | \$ 6,189 | \$ 6,378 |
| Gross financing receivables individually evaluated for loss | 253 | 292 |
| Total | \$ 6,442 | \$ 6,670 |
| | | |
| Allowance for financing receivables collectively evaluated for loss | \$ 79 | \$ 92 |
| Allowance for financing receivables individually evaluated for loss | 17 | 19 |
| Total | \$ 96 | \$ 111 |

Non-Accrual and Past-Due Financing Receivables

HP considers a financing receivable to be past due when the minimum payment is not received by the contractually specified due date. HP generally places financing receivables on non-accrual status, which is suspension of interest accrual, and considers such receivables to be non-performing at the earlier of the time at which full payment of principal and interest becomes doubtful or the receivable becomes 90 days past due. Subsequently, HP may recognize revenue on non-accrual financing receivables as payments are received, which is on a cash basis, if HP deems the recorded financing receivable to be fully collectible; however, if there is doubt regarding the ultimate collectability of the recorded financing receivable, all cash receipts are applied to the carrying amount of the financing receivable, which is the cost recovery method. In certain circumstances, such as when HP deems a delinquency to be of an administrative nature, financing receivables may accrue interest after becoming 90 days past due. The non-accrual status of a financing receivable may not impact a customer's risk rating. After all of a customer's delinquent principal and interest balances are settled, HP may return the related financing receivable to accrual status.

Table of Contents

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)
(Unaudited)

Note 8: Financing Receivables and Operating Leases (Continued)

The following table summarizes the aging and non-accrual status of gross financing receivables:

| | July 31, 2015 | As of October 31, 2014 |
|---|------------------|------------------------------|
| | In millions | |
| Billed⁽¹⁾: | | |
| Current 1-30 days | \$ 295 | \$ 243 |
| Past due 31-60 days | 51 | 46 |
| Past due 61-90 days | 17 | 12 |
| Past due >90 days | 54 | 49 |
| Unbilled sales-type and direct-financing lease receivables | 6,025 | 6,320 |
| Total gross financing receivables | \$ 6,442 | \$ 6,670 |
| | | |
| Gross financing receivables on non-accrual status ⁽²⁾ | \$ 174 | \$ 130 |
| | | |
| Gross financing receivables 90 days past due and still accruing interest ⁽²⁾ | \$ 79 | \$ 162 |

(1) Includes billed operating lease receivables and billed sales-type and direct-financing lease receivables.

(2) Includes billed operating lease receivables and billed and unbilled sales-type and direct-financing lease receivables.

Operating Leases

Operating lease assets included in machinery and equipment in the Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets were as follows:

| | July 31, 2015 | As of October 31, 2014 |
|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|
| | In millions | |
| Equipment leased to customers | \$ 4,016 | \$ 3,977 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (1,378) | (1,382) |
| | \$ 2,638 | \$ 2,595 |

Note 9: Acquisitions and Divestitures

Acquisitions

During the nine months ended July 31, 2015, HP completed four acquisitions. The purchase price allocation for these acquisitions as set forth in the table below reflects various preliminary fair value estimates and analyses, including preliminary work performed by third-party valuation specialists, which are subject to change within the measurement period as valuations are finalized. The primary areas of the preliminary purchase price allocation that are not yet finalized relate to the fair values of certain

Table of Contents**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)****(Unaudited)****Note 9: Acquisitions and Divestitures (Continued)**

tangible assets and liabilities acquired, the valuation of intangible assets acquired, certain legal matters, income and non-income based taxes, and residual goodwill. HP expects to continue to obtain information to assist it in determining the fair value of the net assets acquired at the acquisition date during the measurement period. Measurement period adjustments that HP determines to be material will be applied retrospectively to the period of acquisition in HP's consolidated financial statements and, depending on the nature of the adjustments, other periods subsequent to the period of acquisition could also be affected.

Pro forma results of operations for these acquisitions have not been presented because they are not material to HP's consolidated results of operations, either individually or in the aggregate. Goodwill, which represents the excess of the purchase price over the net tangible and intangible assets acquired, is not deductible for tax purposes.

The following table presents the aggregate purchase price allocation, including those items that are still preliminary allocations, for HP's acquisitions during the nine months ended July 31, 2015:

| | In millions |
|--|--------------------|
| Goodwill | \$ 1,959 |
| Amortizable intangible assets | 687 |
| In-process research and development | 155 |
| Net assets assumed | 240 |
| Total fair value of consideration | \$ 3,041 |

Acquisition of Aruba

HP's largest acquisition during the nine months ended July 31, 2015 was the acquisition of Aruba, which was completed in May 2015. Aruba is a leading provider of next-generation network access solutions for the mobile enterprise. HP reports the financial results of Aruba's business in the Networking business unit within the EG segment. The acquisition date fair value of consideration of \$2.8 billion consisted of cash paid for outstanding common stock, vested in-the-money stock awards and the estimated fair value of earned unvested stock awards assumed by HP. In connection with this acquisition, HP recorded approximately \$1.8 billion of goodwill, \$628 million of intangible assets and \$149 million of in-process research and development. HP is amortizing the intangible assets on a straight-line basis over an estimated weighted-average life of six years.

Divestitures

During the third quarter of fiscal 2015, HP completed or was in the process of completing three divestitures which resulted in \$156 million of proceeds. These divestitures included HP's sale of its web-based photo sharing and photo printing service, Snapfish, to digital imaging fulfillment services firm District Photo which was completed in a number of jurisdictions, including the United States. The sale is expected to be completed in remaining jurisdictions by the end of fiscal 2015, subject to customary closing conditions. Snapfish was previously reported within the Consumer Hardware business unit within the Printing segment. Additionally, HP completed the sale of its iManage business, which was previously reported within the Software segment. The gains associated with these divestitures were

Table of Contents**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)****(Unaudited)****Note 9: Acquisitions and Divestitures (Continued)**

included in Selling, general and administrative expenses on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Earnings.

In May 2015, HP and Tsinghua Holdings jointly announced a partnership that will bring together the Chinese enterprise technology assets of HP and Tsinghua University to create a Chinese provider of technology infrastructure. Under the definitive agreement, Tsinghua Holdings subsidiary, Unisplendour Corporation, will purchase 51% of a new business called H3C, comprising HP's current H3C Technologies and China-based server, storage and technology services businesses, for approximately \$2.3 billion. HP China will maintain 100% ownership of its existing China-based Enterprise Services, Software, HP Helion Cloud, Printing and Personal Systems businesses. Once the transaction closes, the new H3C will be the exclusive provider for HP's server, storage and networking portfolio, as well as HP's exclusive hardware support services provider in China, customized for that market. The transaction is expected to close near the end of calendar 2015, subject to regulatory approvals and other closing conditions.

Note 10: Goodwill and Intangible Assets*Goodwill*

Goodwill allocated to HP's reportable segments and changes in the carrying amount of goodwill were as follows:

| | Nine months ended July 31, 2015 | | | | | | | Total |
|---|---------------------------------|----------|------------------|------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| | Personal Systems | Printing | Enterprise Group | Enterprise Services ⁽²⁾ | Software | HP Financial Services | Corporate Investments | |
| | In millions | | | | | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 2,588 | \$ 2,591 | \$ 16,867 | \$ 97 | \$ 8,852 | \$ 144 | \$ | \$ 31,139 |
| Goodwill acquired during period | | | 1,863 | | 96 | | | 1,959 |
| Goodwill adjustments | | (11) | (20) | (3) | (39) | | | (73) |
| Balance at end of period ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 2,588 | \$ 2,580 | \$ 18,710 | \$ 94 | \$ 8,909 | \$ 144 | \$ | \$ 33,025 |

(1) Goodwill at July 31, 2015 and October 31, 2014 is net of accumulated impairment losses of \$14.5 billion. Of that amount, \$8.0 billion relates to the ES segment, \$5.7 billion relates to Software, and the remaining \$0.8 billion relates to Corporate Investments.

(2) Goodwill relates to the MphasiS Limited reporting unit.

During the nine months ended July 31, 2015, HP recorded approximately \$2.0 billion of goodwill related to acquisitions based on its preliminary fair value estimates of the assets acquired. Goodwill adjustments primarily relate to the allocation of goodwill to the iManage and Snafish businesses, which were sold during the period, and foreign currency fluctuations.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Goodwill is tested for impairment at the reporting unit level. As of July 31, 2015, our reporting units are consistent with the reportable segments identified in Note 2, except for ES, which includes

Table of Contents**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)****(Unaudited)****Note 10: Goodwill and Intangible Assets (Continued)**

two reporting units: MphasiS Limited; and the remainder of ES. HP will continue to evaluate the recoverability of goodwill on an annual basis as of the beginning of its fourth fiscal quarter and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate there may be a potential impairment.

Intangible Assets

HP's intangible assets are composed of:

| | As of July 31, 2015 | | | Net | As of October 31, 2014 | | | Net |
|--|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| | Gross | Accumulated Amortization | Accumulated Impairment Loss | | Gross | Accumulated Amortization | Accumulated Impairment Loss | |
| | In millions | | | | | | | |
| Customer contracts, customer lists and distribution agreements | \$ 5,376 | \$ (3,596) | \$ (856) | \$ 924 | \$ 5,289 | \$ (3,228) | \$ (856) | \$ 1,205 |
| Developed and core technology and patents | 4,485 | (1,262) | (2,138) | 1,085 | 4,266 | (1,301) | (2,138) | 827 |
| Trade name and trade marks | 1,664 | (243) | (1,336) | 85 | 1,693 | (261) | (1,336) | 96 |
| In-process research and development | 155 | | | 155 | | | | |
| Total intangible assets | \$ 11,680 | \$ (5,101) | \$ (4,330) | \$ 2,249 | \$ 11,248 | \$ (4,790) | \$ (4,330) | \$ 2,128 |

During the nine months ended July 31, 2015, the increase in gross intangible assets was due primarily to intangible assets and in-process research and development resulting from HP's acquisitions, primarily the acquisition of Aruba. The reported amounts are based on preliminary fair value estimates of the assets acquired. Additionally, \$494 million of intangible assets became fully amortized and have been eliminated from gross intangible assets and accumulated amortization during this period.

As of July 31, 2015, the estimated future amortization expense related to finite-lived intangible assets was as follows:

| Fiscal year | In millions |
|---------------------------|-----------------|
| 2015 (remaining 3 months) | \$ 245 |
| 2016 | 773 |
| 2017 | 352 |
| 2018 | 252 |
| 2019 | 213 |
| 2020 | 179 |
| Thereafter | 80 |
| Total | \$ 2,094 |

Table of Contents

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 11: Fair Value

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.

Fair Value Hierarchy

HP uses valuation techniques that are based upon observable and unobservable inputs. Observable inputs are developed using market data such as publicly available information and reflect the assumptions market participants would use, while unobservable inputs are developed using the best information available about the assumptions market participants would use. Assets and liabilities are classified in the fair value hierarchy based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement:

Level 1 Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability and market-corroborated inputs.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs for the asset or liability.

The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to observable inputs and lowest priority to unobservable inputs.

Table of Contents**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)****(Unaudited)****Note 11: Fair Value (Continued)**

The following table presents HP's assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

| | As of July 31, 2015 | | | | As of October 31, 2014 | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|--------------|------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|--------------|------------------|
| | Fair Value Measured Using | | | | Fair Value Measured Using | | | |
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| | In millions | | | | | | | |
| Assets | | | | | | | | |
| Cash Equivalents and Investments: | | | | | | | | |
| Time deposits | \$ | \$ 3,196 | \$ | \$ 3,196 | \$ | \$ 2,865 | \$ | \$ 2,865 |
| Money market funds | 9,926 | | | 9,926 | 9,857 | | | 9,857 |
| Mutual funds | | 289 | | 289 | | 244 | | 244 |
| Marketable equity securities | 62 | 9 | | 71 | 14 | 5 | | 19 |
| Foreign bonds | 9 | 321 | | 330 | 9 | 367 | | 376 |
| Other debt securities | | 1 | 41 | 42 | | 1 | 46 | 47 |
| Derivatives: | | | | | | | | |
| Interest rate contracts | | 88 | | 88 | | 105 | | 105 |
| Foreign currency contracts | | 1,215 | 7 | 1,222 | | 862 | 6 | 868 |
| Other derivatives | | 4 | | 4 | | 7 | | 7 |
| Total assets | \$ 9,997 | \$ 5,123 | \$ 48 | \$ 15,168 | \$ 9,880 | \$ 4,456 | \$ 52 | \$ 14,388 |
| Liabilities | | | | | | | | |
| Derivatives: | | | | | | | | |
| Interest rate contracts | \$ | \$ 3 | \$ | \$ 3 | \$ | \$ 55 | \$ | \$ 55 |
| Foreign currency contracts | | 485 | 2 | 487 | | 348 | 2 | 350 |
| Other derivatives | | 1 | | 1 | | | | |
| Total liabilities | \$ | \$ 489 | \$ 2 | \$ 491 | \$ | \$ 403 | \$ 2 | \$ 405 |

During the nine months ended July 31, 2015, HP transferred \$41 million of marketable equity securities from Level 2 to Level 1 within the fair value hierarchy as a result of a change in the market activity of the underlying investment. The remaining transfers between levels within the fair value hierarchy were not material.

Valuation Techniques

Cash Equivalents and Investments: HP holds time deposits, money market funds, mutual funds, other debt securities primarily consisting of corporate and foreign government notes and bonds, and common stock and equivalents. HP values cash equivalents and equity investments using quoted market prices, alternative pricing sources, including net asset value, or models utilizing market observable inputs. The fair value of

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

debt investments was based on quoted market prices or model-driven valuations using inputs primarily derived from or corroborated by observable market data, and, in certain instances, valuation models that utilize assumptions which cannot be corroborated with observable market data.

Table of Contents

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 11: Fair Value (Continued)

Derivative Instruments: HP uses forward contracts, interest rate and total return swaps and option contracts to hedge certain foreign currency and interest rate exposures. HP uses industry standard valuation models to measure fair value. Where applicable, these models project future cash flows and discount the future amounts to present value using market-based observable inputs, including interest rate curves, HP and counterparty credit risk, foreign currency rates, and forward and spot prices for currencies and interest rates. See Note 12 for a further discussion of HP's use of derivative instruments.

Other Fair Value Disclosures

Short- and Long-Term Debt: HP estimates the fair value of its debt primarily using an expected present value technique, which is based on observable market inputs using interest rates currently available to companies of similar credit standing for similar terms and remaining maturities, and considering its own credit risk. The portion of HP's debt that is hedged is reflected in the Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets as an amount equal to the debt's carrying amount and a fair value adjustment representing changes in the fair value of the hedged debt obligations arising from movements in benchmark interest rates. The estimated fair value of HP's short- and long-term debt was \$25.7 billion at July 31, 2015, compared to its carrying amount of \$25.5 billion at that date. The estimated fair value of HP's short- and long-term debt was \$19.9 billion at October 31, 2014, compared to its carrying amount of \$19.5 billion at that date. If measured at fair value in the Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets, short- and long-term debt would be classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Other Financial Instruments: For the balance of HP's financial instruments, primarily accounts receivable, accounts payable and financial liabilities included in Other accrued liabilities, the carrying amounts approximate fair value due to their short maturities. If measured at fair value in the Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets, these other financial instruments would be classified in Level 2 or Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Non-Marketable Equity Investments and Non-Financial Assets: Elements within HP's non-marketable equity investments and non-financial assets, such as goodwill, intangible assets and property, plant and equipment, are recorded at fair value in the period an impairment charge is recognized. If measured at fair value in the Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets, these would generally be classified in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. During the three months ended July 31, 2015, HP determined that it would exit certain data centers. HP conducted an analysis of the respective asset group to determine if the carrying value is greater than the fair value. As a result of this assessment, HP recorded a \$136 million impairment charge to Impairment of data center assets on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Earnings.

Table of Contents**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)****(Unaudited)****Note 12: Financial Instruments***Cash Equivalents and Available-for-Sale Investments*

Cash equivalents and available-for-sale investments were as follows:

| | As of July 31, 2015 | | | As of October 31, 2014 | | | | |
|--|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| | Cost | Gross Unrealized Gain | Gross Unrealized Loss | Fair Value | Cost | Gross Unrealized Gain | Gross Unrealized Loss | Fair Value |
| In millions | | | | | | | | |
| Cash Equivalents: | | | | | | | | |
| Time deposits | \$ 3,043 | \$ | \$ | \$ 3,043 | \$ 2,720 | \$ | \$ | \$ 2,720 |
| Money market funds | 9,926 | | | 9,926 | 9,857 | | | 9,857 |
| Mutual funds | 250 | | | 250 | 110 | | | 110 |
| Total cash equivalents | 13,219 | | | 13,219 | 12,687 | | | 12,687 |
| Available-for-Sale Investments: | | | | | | | | |
| Debt securities: | | | | | | | | |
| Time deposits | 153 | | | 153 | 145 | | | 145 |
| Foreign bonds | 251 | 79 | | 330 | 286 | 90 | | 376 |
| Other debt securities | 55 | | (13) | 42 | 61 | | (14) | 47 |
| Total debt securities | 459 | 79 | (13) | 525 | 492 | 90 | (14) | 568 |
| Equity securities: | | | | | | | | |
| Mutual funds | 39 | | | 39 | 134 | | | 134 |
| Equity securities in public companies | 59 | 8 | | 67 | 8 | 7 | | 15 |
| Total equity securities | 98 | 8 | | 106 | 142 | 7 | | 149 |
| Total available-for-sale investments | 557 | 87 | (13) | 631 | 634 | 97 | (14) | 717 |
| Total cash equivalents and available-for-sale investments | \$ 13,776 | \$ 87 | \$ (13) | \$ 13,850 | \$ 13,321 | \$ 97 | \$ (14) | \$ 13,404 |

All highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less at the date of acquisition are considered cash equivalents. As of July 31, 2015 and October 31, 2014, the carrying amount of cash equivalents approximated fair value due to the short period of time to maturity. Time deposits were primarily issued by institutions outside the U.S. as of July 31, 2015 and October 31, 2014. The estimated fair value of the available-for-sale investments may not be representative of values that will be realized in the future.

Contractual maturities of investments in available-for-sale debt securities were as follows:

| As of July 31, 2015 | |
|------------------------|---------------|
| Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| In millions | |

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----|-----|----|-----|
| Due in one year | \$ | 135 | \$ | 135 |
| Due in one to five years | | 4 | | 4 |
| Due in more than five years | | 320 | | 386 |
| | \$ | 459 | \$ | 525 |

Table of Contents

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 12: Financial Instruments (Continued)

Equity securities in privately held companies include cost basis and equity method investments and are included in Long-term financing receivables and other assets in the Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets. These amounted to \$60 million and \$97 million at July 31, 2015 and October 31, 2014, respectively.

Derivative Instruments

HP is a global company exposed to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations and interest rate changes in the normal course of its business. As part of its risk management strategy, HP uses derivative instruments, primarily forward contracts, option contracts, interest rate swaps and total return swaps, to hedge certain foreign currency, interest rate and, to a lesser extent, equity exposures. HP's objective is to offset gains and losses resulting from these exposures with losses and gains on the derivative contracts used to hedge them, thereby reducing volatility of earnings or protecting the fair value of assets and liabilities. HP does not have any leveraged derivatives and does not use derivative contracts for speculative purposes. HP may designate its derivative contracts as fair value hedges, cash flow hedges or hedges of the foreign currency exposure of a net investment in a foreign operation ("net investment hedges"). Additionally, for derivatives not designated as hedging instruments, HP categorizes those economic hedges as other derivatives. HP recognizes all derivative instruments at fair value in the Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets. HP classifies cash flows from its derivative programs with the activities that correspond to the underlying hedged items in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows.

As a result of its use of derivative instruments, HP is exposed to the risk that its counterparties will fail to meet their contractual obligations. To mitigate counterparty credit risk, HP has a policy of only entering into derivative contracts with carefully selected major financial institutions based on their credit ratings and other factors, and HP maintains dollar risk limits that correspond to each financial institution's credit rating and other factors. HP's established policies and procedures for mitigating credit risk include reviewing and establishing limits for credit exposure and periodically reassessing the creditworthiness of its counterparties. Master netting agreements further mitigate credit exposure to counterparties by permitting HP to net amounts due from HP to counterparty against amounts due to HP from the same counterparty under certain conditions.

To further mitigate credit exposure to counterparties, HP has collateral security agreements that allow HP to hold collateral from, or require HP to post collateral to, counterparties when aggregate derivative fair values exceed contractually established thresholds which are generally based on the credit ratings of HP and its counterparties. If HP's or the counterparty's credit rating falls below a specified credit rating, either party has the right to request full collateralization of the derivatives' net liability position. Collateral is generally posted within two business days. The fair value of derivatives with credit contingent features in a net liability position was \$43 million and \$38 million at July 31, 2015 and October 31, 2014, respectively, all of which were fully collateralized within two business days.

Under HP's derivative contracts, the counterparty can terminate all outstanding trades following a covered change of control event affecting HP that results in the surviving entity being rated below a specified credit rating. This credit contingent provision did not affect HP's financial position or cash flows as of July 31, 2015 and October 31, 2014.

Table of Contents

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 12: Financial Instruments (Continued)

Fair Value Hedges

HP issues long-term debt in U.S. dollars based on market conditions at the time of financing. HP may enter into fair value hedges, such as interest rate swaps, to reduce the exposure of its debt portfolio to changes in fair value resulting from changes in interest rates by achieving a primarily U.S. dollar London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR")-based floating interest expense. The swap transactions generally involve principal and interest obligations for U.S. dollar-denominated amounts. Alternatively, HP may choose not to swap fixed for floating interest payments or may terminate a previously executed swap if it believes a larger proportion of fixed-rate debt would be beneficial.

When investing in fixed-rate instruments, HP may enter into interest rate swaps that convert the fixed interest payments into variable interest payments and may designate these swaps as fair value hedges.

For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as fair value hedges, HP recognizes the change in fair value of the derivative instrument, as well as the offsetting change in the fair value of the hedged item, in Interest and other, net in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Earnings in the period of change.

Cash Flow Hedges

HP uses a combination of forward contracts and option contracts designated as cash flow hedges to protect against the foreign currency exchange rate risks inherent in its forecasted net revenue and, to a lesser extent, cost of sales, operating expenses, and intercompany loans denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. HP's foreign currency cash flow hedges mature generally within twelve months; however, hedges related to longer term procurement arrangements extend several years and forward contracts associated with sales-type and direct-financing leases and intercompany loans extend for the duration of the lease or loan term, which typically range from two to five years.

For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges, HP initially records changes in fair value for the effective portion of the derivative instrument in Accumulated other comprehensive loss as a separate component of stockholders' equity in the Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets and subsequently reclassifies these amounts into earnings in the period during which the hedged transaction is recognized in earnings. HP reports the effective portion of its cash flow hedges in the same financial statement line item as changes in the fair value of the hedged item.

Net Investment Hedges

HP uses forward contracts designated as net investment hedges to hedge net investments in certain foreign subsidiaries whose functional currency is the local currency. HP records the effective portion of such derivative instruments together with changes in the fair value of the hedged items in Cumulative translation adjustment as a separate component of stockholders' equity in the Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets.

Table of Contents

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 12: Financial Instruments (Continued)

Other Derivatives

Other derivatives not designated as hedging instruments consist primarily of forward contracts used to hedge foreign currency-denominated balance sheet exposures. HP also uses total return swaps and, to a lesser extent, interest rate swaps, based on equity or fixed income indices, to hedge its executive deferred compensation plan liability.

For derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments, HP recognizes changes in fair value of the derivative instrument, as well as the offsetting change in the fair value of the hedged item, in Interest and other, net in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Earnings in the period of change.

Hedge Effectiveness

For interest rate swaps designated as fair value hedges, HP measures hedge effectiveness by offsetting the change in fair value of the hedged instrument with the change in fair value of the derivative. For foreign currency options and forward contracts designated as cash flow or net investment hedges, HP measures hedge effectiveness by comparing the cumulative change in fair value of the hedge contract with the cumulative change in fair value of the hedged item, both of which are based on forward rates. HP recognizes any ineffective portion of the hedge in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Earnings in the same period in which ineffectiveness occurs. Amounts excluded from the assessment of effectiveness are recognized in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Earnings in the period they arise.

Table of Contents**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)****(Unaudited)****Note 12: Financial Instruments (Continued)***Fair Value of Derivative Instruments in the Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets*

The gross notional and fair value of derivative instruments in the Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets were as follows:

| | As of July 31, 2015 | | | | | As of October 31, 2014 | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Outstanding Gross Notional | Other Current Assets | and Other Assets | Long-Term Financing Receivables | Other Long-Term Liabilities | Outstanding Gross Notional | Other Current Assets | and Other Assets | Long-Term Financing Receivables | Other Long-Term Liabilities |
| In millions | | | | | | | | | | |
| Derivatives designated as hedging instruments | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fair value hedges: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest rate contracts | \$ 10,800 | \$ 13 | \$ 75 | \$ 3 | \$ 3 | \$ 10,800 | \$ 3 | \$ 102 | \$ 55 | \$ 55 |
| Cash flow hedges: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Foreign currency contracts | 21,316 | 596 | 245 | 247 | 125 | 20,196 | 539 | 124 | 131 | 94 |
| Net investment hedges: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Foreign currency contracts | 1,879 | 122 | 73 | 3 | 2 | 1,952 | 44 | 47 | 10 | 8 |
| Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments | 33,995 | 731 | 393 | 250 | 130 | 32,948 | 586 | 273 | 141 | 157 |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | | | | | | | | | | |
| Foreign currency contracts | 12,636 | 112 | 74 | 57 | 53 | 21,384 | 82 | 32 | 82 | 25 |
| Other derivatives | 321 | 3 | 1 | 1 | | 361 | 6 | 1 | | |
| Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | 12,957 | 115 | 75 | 58 | 53 | 21,745 | 88 | 33 | 82 | 25 |
| Total derivatives | \$ 46,952 | \$ 846 | \$ 468 | \$ 308 | \$ 183 | \$ 54,693 | \$ 674 | \$ 306 | \$ 223 | \$ 182 |

Offsetting of Derivative Instruments

HP recognizes all derivative instruments on a gross basis in the Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets. HP does not offset the fair value of its derivative instruments against the fair value of cash collateral posted under its collateral security agreements. As of July 31, 2015 and October 31, 2014, information related to the potential effect of HP's master netting agreements and collateral security agreements was as follows:

As of July 31, 2015**In the Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets**

(i) (ii) (iii) = (i) (ii) (iv) (v) (vi) = (iii) (iv) (v)

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

| | | | | Gross Amounts Not Offset | | |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|------------|
| | Gross Amount Recognized | Gross Amount Offset | Net Amount Presented | Derivatives | Financial Collateral | Net Amount |
| | In millions | | | | | |
| Derivative assets | \$ 1,314 | \$ | \$ 1,314 | \$ 448 | \$ 756 ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 110 |
| Derivative liabilities | \$ 491 | \$ | \$ 491 | \$ 448 | \$ 43 ⁽²⁾ | \$ |

43

Table of Contents**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)****(Unaudited)****Note 12: Financial Instruments (Continued)**

As of October 31, 2014

| | In the Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets | | | | | |
|------------------------|--|---------------------|----------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| | (i) | (ii) | (iii) = (i) - (ii) | (iv) | (v) | (vi) = (iii) - (iv) + (v) |
| | Gross Amounts Not Offset | | Net Amount Presented | Derivatives | Financial Collateral | Net Amount |
| | Gross Amount Recognized | Gross Amount Offset | | | | |
| | In millions | | | | | |
| Derivative assets | \$ 980 | \$ | \$ 980 | \$ 361 | \$ 452 ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 167 |
| Derivative liabilities | \$ 405 | \$ | \$ 405 | \$ 361 | \$ 29 ⁽²⁾ | \$ 15 |

(1) Represents the cash collateral posted by counterparties as of the respective reporting date for HP's asset position, net of derivative amounts that could be offset, as of, generally, two business days prior to the respective reporting date.

(2) Represents the collateral posted by HP through re-use of counterparty cash collateral as of the respective reporting date for HP's liability position, net of derivative amounts that could be offset, as of, generally, two business days prior to the respective reporting date.

Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Earnings

The pre-tax effect of derivative instruments and related hedged items in a fair value hedging relationship for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2015 and 2014 were as follows:

| Derivative Instrument | Location | Gain (Loss) Recognized in Earnings on Derivative and Related Hedged Item | | | | Hedged Item | Location | Gain (Loss) Recognized in Earnings on Derivative and Related Hedged Item | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|----------|--|--|
| | | Three months ended July 31, 2015 | Nine months ended July 31, 2015 | Three months ended July 31, 2014 | Nine months ended July 31, 2014 | | | | |
| | | In millions | | | | | | In millions | |
| Interest rate contracts | Interest and other, net | \$ (26) | \$ 35 | Fixed-rate debt | Interest and other, net | \$ 26 | \$ (35) | | |

| Derivative Instrument | Location | Gain (Loss) Recognized in Earnings on Derivative and Related Hedged Item | | | | Hedged Item | Location | Gain (Loss) Recognized in Earnings on Derivative and Related Hedged Item | |
|-----------------------|----------|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|----------|--|--|
| | | Three months ended July 31, 2014 | Nine months ended July 31, 2014 | Three months ended July 31, 2014 | Nine months ended July 31, 2014 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

| | In millions | | | | In millions | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------|---------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------|-------|--|
| Interest rate contracts | Interest and other, net | \$ (17) | \$ (63) | Fixed-rate debt | Interest and other, net | \$ 17 | \$ 63 | |

44

Table of Contents**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)****(Unaudited)****Note 12: Financial Instruments (Continued)**

The pre-tax effect of derivative instruments in cash flow and net investment hedging relationships for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2015 was as follows:

| | Gain (Loss) Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income ("OCI") on Derivatives (Effective Portion) | | Location | Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI Into Earnings (Effective Portion) | |
|-------------------------------|---|---|--------------------------|--|---|
| | Three months ended July 31, 2015 | Nine months ended July 31, 2015 | | Three months ended July 31, 2015 | Nine months ended July 31, 2015 |
| | In millions | | | In millions | |
| Cash flow hedges: | | | | | |
| Foreign currency contracts | \$ 326 | \$ 979 | Net revenue | \$ 177 | \$ 1,044 |
| Foreign currency contracts | (67) | (207) | Cost of products | (44) | (113) |
| Foreign currency contracts | 1 | (1) | Other operating expenses | | (7) |
| Foreign currency contracts | 32 | 134 | Interest and other, net | 26 | 125 |
| Total cash flow hedges | \$ 292 | \$ 905 | | \$ 159 | \$ 1,049 |
| Net investment hedges: | | | | | |
| Foreign currency contracts | \$ 85 | \$ 208 | Interest and other, net | \$ | \$ |

The pre-tax effect of derivative instruments in cash flow and net investment hedging relationships for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2014 was as follows:

| | Gain (Loss) Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income ("OCI") on Derivatives (Effective Portion) | | Location | Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI Into Earnings (Effective Portion) | |
|----------------------------|---|---|------------------|--|---|
| | Three months ended July 31, 2014 | Nine months ended July 31, 2014 | | Three months ended July 31, 2014 | Nine months ended July 31, 2014 |
| | In millions | | | In millions | |
| Cash flow hedges: | | | | | |
| Foreign currency contracts | \$ 155 | \$ 19 | Net revenue | \$ (103) | \$ (229) |
| Foreign currency contracts | (6) | (84) | Cost of products | (12) | (56) |

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------|----------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| Foreign currency contracts | 3 | 14 | Other operating expenses | (7) |
| Foreign currency contracts | (18) | (54) | Interest and other, net | (10) |
| Total cash flow hedges | \$ 134 | \$ (105) | | \$ (125) \$ (335) |

Net investment hedges:

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------|--------|-------------------------|----|----|
| Foreign currency contracts | \$ (7) | \$ (8) | Interest and other, net | \$ | \$ |
|----------------------------|--------|--------|-------------------------|----|----|

Table of Contents**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)****(Unaudited)****Note 12: Financial Instruments (Continued)**

As of July 31, 2015 and 2014, no portion of the hedging instruments gain or loss was excluded from the assessment of effectiveness for fair value, cash flow or net investment hedges. Hedge ineffectiveness for fair value, cash flow and net investment hedges was not material in the three and nine months ended July 31, 2015 and 2014.

As of July 31, 2015, HP expects to reclassify an estimated net accumulated other comprehensive gain of approximately \$89 million, net of taxes, to earnings in the next twelve months associated with cash flow hedges along with the earnings effects of the related forecasted transactions.

The pre-tax effect of derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Earnings for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2015 and 2014 was as follows:

| | | Gain (Loss) Recognized in Earnings on Derivatives | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| | | Three months ended July 31, 2015 | Nine months ended July 31, 2015 |
| Location | | In millions | |
| Foreign currency contracts | Interest and other, net | \$ 127 | \$ 263 |
| Other derivatives | Interest and other, net | | (5) |
| Total | | \$ 127 | \$ 258 |

| | | Gain (Loss) Recognized in Earnings on Derivatives | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| | | Three months ended July 31, 2014 | Nine months ended July 31, 2014 |
| Location | | In millions | |
| Foreign currency contracts | Interest and other, net | \$ 11 | \$ 51 |
| Other derivatives | Interest and other, net | (5) | (13) |
| Total | | \$ 6 | \$ 38 |

Table of Contents**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)****(Unaudited)****Note 13: Borrowings***Notes Payable and Short-Term Borrowings*

| | As of July 31, 2015 | | As of October 31, 2014 | |
|--|---------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | Amount | Weighted-Average Interest Rate | Amount | Weighted-Average Interest Rate |
| | Outstanding | | Outstanding | |
| | In millions | | In millions | |
| Current portion of long-term debt | \$ 2,935 | 1.6% | \$ 2,655 | 2.2% |
| Commercial paper ⁽¹⁾ | 4,110 | 0.5% | 298 | 0.5% |
| Term loan agreement | 3,500 | 1.3% | | |
| Notes payable to banks, lines of credit and other ⁽¹⁾ | 489 | 3.2% | 533 | 4.0% |
| | \$ 11,034 | | \$ 3,486 | |

⁽¹⁾ Commercial paper includes \$186 million and \$298 million and Notes payable to banks, lines of credit and other includes \$329 million and \$404 million at July 31, 2015 and October 31, 2014, respectively, of borrowing- and funding-related activity associated with HPFS and its subsidiaries.

Table of Contents**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)****(Unaudited)****Note 13: Borrowings (Continued)***Long-Term Debt*

| | As of | |
|--|------------------|---------------------|
| | July 31, 2015 | October 31, 2014 |
| | In millions | |
| U.S. Dollar Global Notes ⁽¹⁾ | | |
| 2006 Shelf Registration Statement: | | |
| \$500 issued at discount to par at a price of 99.694% in February 2007 at 5.4%, due March 2017 | \$ 500 | \$ 500 |
| \$750 issued at discount to par at a price of 99.932% in March 2008 at 5.5%, due March 2018 | 750 | 750 |
| 2009 Shelf Registration Statement: | | |
| \$1,100 issued at discount to par at a price of 99.887% in September 2010 at 2.125%, due September 2015 | 1,100 | 1,100 |
| \$650 issued at discount to par at a price of 99.911% in December 2010 at 2.2%, due December 2015 | 650 | 650 |
| \$1,350 issued at discount to par at a price of 99.827% in December 2010 at 3.75%, due December 2020 | 1,349 | 1,349 |
| \$1,000 issued at discount to par at a price of 99.958% in May 2011 at 2.65%, due June 2016 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| \$1,250 issued at discount to par at a price of 99.799% in May 2011 at 4.3%, due June 2021 | 1,248 | 1,248 |
| \$750 issued at discount to par at a price of 99.977% in September 2011 at 2.35%, paid March 2015 | 750 | 750 |
| \$1,300 issued at discount to par at a price of 99.784% in September 2011 at 3.0%, due September 2016 | 1,299 | 1,298 |
| \$1,000 issued at discount to par at a price of 99.816% in September 2011 at 4.375%, due September 2021 | 999 | 999 |
| \$1,200 issued at discount to par at a price of 99.863% in September 2011 at 6.0%, due September 2041 | 1,199 | 1,199 |
| \$650 issued at discount to par at a price of 99.946% in December 2011 at 2.625%, paid December 2014 | 650 | 650 |
| \$850 issued at discount to par at a price of 99.790% in December 2011 at 3.3%, due December 2016 | 850 | 849 |
| \$1,500 issued at discount to par at a price of 99.707% in December 2011 at 4.65%, due December 2021 | 1,496 | 1,496 |
| \$1,500 issued at discount to par at a price of 99.985% in March 2012 at 2.6%, due September 2017 | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| \$500 issued at discount to par at a price of 99.771% in March 2012 at 4.05%, due September 2022 | 499 | 499 |
| 2012 Shelf Registration Statement: | | |
| \$750 issued at par in January 2014 at three-month USD LIBOR plus 0.94%, due January 2019 | 750 | 750 |
| \$1,250 issued at discount to par at a price of 99.954% in January 2014 at 2.75%, due January 2019 | 1,250 | 1,250 |
| | 16,439 | 17,837 |
| EDS Senior Notes ⁽¹⁾ | | |
| \$300 issued October 1999 at 7.45%, due October 2029 | 313 | 313 |
| Other, including capital lease obligations, at 0.00%-8.30%, due in calendar years 2015-2024 ⁽²⁾ | 513 | 424 |
| Fair value adjustment related to hedged debt | 138 | 120 |
| Less: current portion | (2,935) | (2,655) |
| Total long-term debt | \$ 14,468 | \$ 16,039 |

(1) HP may redeem some or all of the fixed-rate U.S. Dollar Global Notes and EDS Senior Notes at any time in accordance with the terms thereof. The U.S. Dollar Global Notes and EDS Senior Notes are senior unsecured debt.

(2) Other, including capital lease obligations includes \$173 million and \$123 million as of July 31, 2015 and October 31, 2014, respectively, of borrowing- and funding-related activity associated with HPFS and its subsidiaries that are collateralized by receivables and underlying assets associated with the related capital and operating leases. For both the periods presented, the carrying amount of the assets approximated the carrying amount of the borrowings.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

As disclosed in Note 12, HP uses interest rate swaps to mitigate the exposure of its debt portfolio to changes in fair value resulting from changes in interest rates by achieving a primarily U.S. dollar LIBOR-based floating interest expense. Interest rates shown in the table of long-term debt have not been adjusted to reflect the impact of any interest rate swaps.

Table of Contents**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)****(Unaudited)****Note 13: Borrowings (Continued)**

Interest expense on borrowings recognized in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Earnings was as follows:

| Expense | Location | Three months ended | | Nine months ended | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | | July 31 2015 | July 31 2014 | July 31 2015 | July 31 2014 |
| In millions | | | | | |
| Financing interest | Financing interest | \$ 58 | \$ 70 | \$ 182 | \$ 211 |
| Interest expense | Interest and other, net | 82 | 80 | 224 | 270 |
| Total interest expense | | \$ 140 | \$ 150 | \$ 406 | \$ 481 |

Commercial Paper

HP's Board of Directors has authorized the issuance of up to \$16.0 billion in aggregate principal amount of commercial paper by HP. HP's subsidiaries are authorized to issue up to an additional \$1.0 billion in aggregate principal amount of commercial paper. HP maintains two commercial paper programs, and a wholly-owned subsidiary maintains a third program. HP's U.S. program provides for the issuance of U.S. dollar-denominated commercial paper up to a maximum aggregate principal amount of \$16.0 billion. HP's euro commercial paper program provides for the issuance of commercial paper outside of the U.S. denominated in U.S. dollars, euros or British pounds up to a maximum aggregate principal amount of \$3.0 billion or the equivalent in those alternative currencies. The combined aggregate principal amount of commercial paper outstanding under those programs at any one time cannot exceed the \$16.0 billion authorized by HP's Board of Directors. The HP subsidiary's Euro Commercial Paper/Certificate of Deposit Programme provides for the issuance of commercial paper in various currencies of up to a maximum aggregate principal amount of \$500 million.

Credit Agreements

HP maintains senior unsecured committed credit facilities primarily to support the issuance of commercial paper. HP has a \$3.0 billion five-year credit facility that expires in March 2017 and a \$4.5 billion five-year credit facility that expires in April 2019. Both facilities support the U.S. commercial paper program and the euro commercial paper program. Commitment fees, interest rates and other terms of borrowing under the credit facilities vary based on HP's external credit ratings. HP's ability to have an outstanding U.S. commercial paper balance that exceeds the \$7.5 billion supported by these credit facilities is subject to a number of factors, including liquidity conditions and business performance. In addition, the \$3.0 billion five-year credit facility was amended in September 2012 to permit borrowings in euros and British pounds, with the amounts available in euros and British pounds being limited to the U.S. dollar equivalent of \$2.2 billion and \$300 million, respectively.

On April 30, 2015, HP entered into a credit agreement that provides for a senior unsecured delayed, multiple-draw term loan facility in the aggregate principal amount of \$5.0 billion. Funds to be borrowed under this agreement may be used for general corporate purposes, including to pay expenses associated with HP's proposed plan to separate into two independent publicly-traded companies and matters related to the acquisition of Aruba. Under the terms of the credit agreement, borrowings will bear interest, at HP's option, by either (i) the LIBOR plus a margin between 100 and 112.5 basis

Table of Contents**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)****(Unaudited)****Note 13: Borrowings (Continued)**

points, depending on the rating of HP's long-term senior unsecured debt or (ii) an alternate base rate, which is the highest of the agent bank's prime rate, the federal funds effective rate plus one half of 1%, and one-month LIBOR plus 1%, plus a margin between zero and 12.5 basis points, depending on the rating of HP's long-term senior unsecured debt. The scheduled maturity date of the credit agreement is the earlier of November 1, 2015 or the completion date of HP's separation. HP has the option to extend the maturity date upon its request, subject to the agreement of the lenders. The credit facility contains customary representations and warranties and customary affirmative, negative and financial covenants. The financial covenant requires HP to meet a quarterly financial test with respect to a minimum consolidated interest coverage ratio. During the third quarter of fiscal 2015, HP borrowed \$3.5 billion under this credit agreement. As of July 31, 2015, HP was in compliance with the financial covenants in the agreement.

Available Borrowing Resources

HP's and HP's subsidiaries' resources available to obtain short- or long-term financing were as follows:

| | As of July 31, 2015 |
|--|------------------------------------|
| | In millions |
| Commercial paper programs ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 12,390 |
| Term loan facility | \$ 1,500 |
| Uncommitted lines of credit | \$ 2,307 |

(1) The extent to which HP is able to utilize the commercial paper programs as sources of liquidity at any given time is subject to a number of factors, including market demand for HP securities and commercial paper, HP's financial performance, HP's credit ratings and market conditions generally.

Note 14: Stockholders' Equity*Share Repurchase Program*

HP's share repurchase program authorizes both open market and private repurchase transactions. In the three and nine months ended July 31, 2015, HP repurchased 11 million shares and 65 million shares of common stock at costs of \$0.4 billion and \$2.6 billion, respectively. In the three and nine months ended July 31, 2014, HP repurchased 16 million shares and 64 million shares of common stock at costs of \$0.6 billion and \$2.0 billion, respectively. The shares repurchased and settled during the nine months ended July 31, 2015 and 2014 were all open market transactions. As of July 31, 2015, HP had remaining authorization of \$2.3 billion for future share repurchases under the \$10.0 billion repurchase authorization approved by HP's Board of Directors on July 21, 2011.

Table of Contents**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)****(Unaudited)****Note 14: Stockholders' Equity (Continued)***Tax effects related to Other Comprehensive Income*

| | Three months ended July 31 | | Nine months ended July 31 | |
|---|---|-------------|--|-------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| | In millions | | | |
| Tax effects on change in unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities: | | | | |
| Tax (provision) benefit on unrealized gains (losses) arising during the period | \$ (3) | \$ 1 | \$ | \$ |
| | (3) | 1 | | |
| Tax effects on change in unrealized gains (losses) on cash flow hedges: | | | | |
| Tax provision on unrealized gains (losses) arising during the period | (89) | (52) | (270) | (17) |
| Tax provision (benefit) on (gains) losses reclassified into earnings | 48 | (21) | 303 | (84) |
| | (41) | (73) | 33 | (101) |
| Tax effects on change in unrealized components of defined benefit plans: | | | | |
| Tax (provision) benefit on losses arising during the period | (65) | (13) | (65) | 8 |
| Tax benefit on amortization of actuarial loss and prior service benefit | (8) | (2) | (21) | (16) |
| Tax benefit (provision) on curtailments, settlements and other | 30 | | 29 | (7) |
| | (43) | (15) | (57) | (15) |
| Tax benefit (provision) on change in cumulative translation adjustment | 18 | 1 | (26) | (7) |
| Tax provision on other comprehensive income | \$ (69) | \$ (86) | \$ (50) | \$ (123) |

Table of Contents**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)****(Unaudited)****Note 14: Stockholders' Equity (Continued)***Changes and reclassifications related to Other Comprehensive Income, net of taxes*

| | Three months ended July 31 | | Nine months ended July 31 | |
|---|----------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------|--------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| | In millions | | | |
| Other comprehensive income, net of taxes: | | | | |
| Change in unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities: | | | | |
| Unrealized gains (losses) arising during the period | \$ 1 | \$ 8 | \$ (9) | \$ 6 |
| Gains reclassified into earnings | | | | (1) |
| | 1 | 8 | (9) | 5 |
| Change in unrealized gains (losses) on cash flow hedges: | | | | |
| Unrealized gains (losses) arising during the period | 203 | 82 | 635 | (122) |
| (Gains) losses reclassified into earnings ⁽¹⁾ | (111) | 104 | (746) | 251 |
| | 92 | 186 | (111) | 129 |
| Change in unrealized components of defined benefit plans: | | | | |
| Losses arising during the period | (140) | (21) | (140) | (111) |
| Amortization of actuarial loss and prior service benefit ⁽²⁾ | 100 | 65 | 303 | 180 |
| Curtailements, settlements and other | 127 | 2 | 128 | 35 |
| | 87 | 46 | 291 | 104 |
| Change in cumulative translation adjustment | (26) | (21) | (138) | (70) |
| Other comprehensive income, net of taxes | \$ 154 | \$ 219 | \$ 33 | \$ 168 |

(1)

Reclassification of pre-tax (gains) losses on cash flow hedges into the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Earnings was as follows:

| | Three months ended July 31 | | Nine months ended July 31 | |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------|--------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| | In millions | | | |
| Net revenue | \$ (177) | \$ 103 | \$ (1,044) | \$ 229 |
| Cost of products | 44 | 12 | 113 | 56 |
| Other operating expenses | | | 7 | 7 |
| Interest and other, net | (26) | 10 | (125) | 43 |

\$ (159) \$ 125 \$ (1,049) \$ 335

(2) These components are included in the computation of net pension and post-retirement benefit (credit) cost in Note 4.

Table of Contents**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)****(Unaudited)****Note 14: Stockholders' Equity (Continued)**

The components of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of taxes and changes were as follows:

| | Nine months ended July 31, 2015 | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|---|---|
| | Net unrealized gains (losses) on available- for-sale securities | Net unrealized gains (losses) on cash flow hedges | Unrealized components of defined benefit plans | Cumulative translation adjustment | Accumulated other comprehensive loss |
| | In millions | | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 81 | \$ 108 | \$ (5,376) | \$ (694) | \$ (5,881) |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income before reclassifications | (9) | 635 | (12) | (138) | 476 |
| Reclassifications of (gains) losses into earnings | | (746) | 303 | | (443) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 72 | \$ (3) | \$ (5,085) | \$ (832) | \$ (5,848) |

Note 15: Net Earnings Per Share

HP calculates basic net EPS using net earnings and the weighted-average number of shares outstanding during the reporting period. Diluted net EPS includes any dilutive effect of restricted stock awards, stock options, performance-based awards and shares purchased under the 2011 ESPP.

The reconciliations of the numerators and denominators of each of the basic and diluted net EPS calculations were as follows:

| | Three months ended July 31 | | Nine months ended July 31 | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------|----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| | In millions, except per share amounts | | | |
| Numerator: | | | | |
| Net earnings ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 854 | \$ 985 | \$ 3,231 | \$ 3,683 |
| Denominator: | | | | |
| Weighted-average shares used to compute basic net EPS | 1,805 | 1,870 | 1,817 | 1,889 |
| Dilutive effect of employee stock plans | 23 | 29 | 25 | 24 |
| Weighted-average shares used to compute diluted net EPS | 1,828 | 1,899 | 1,842 | 1,913 |

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|---|----|------|----|------|-----------------|
| Net earnings per share: | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ | 0.47 | \$ | 0.53 | \$ 1.78 \$ 1.95 |
| Diluted | \$ | 0.47 | \$ | 0.52 | \$ 1.75 \$ 1.93 |
| Anti-dilutive weighted average options ⁽²⁾ | | 10 | | 18 | 12 27 |

(1) HP considers restricted stock that provide the holder with a non-forfeitable right to receive dividends to be participating securities. As of July 31, 2015, there was no restricted stock

Table of Contents

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 15: Net Earnings Per Share (Continued)

outstanding. For the three and nine months ended July 31, 2014, the net earnings allocated to participating securities were not significant.

(2)

HP excludes options where the assumed proceeds exceed the average market price from the calculation of diluted net EPS, because their effect would be anti-dilutive. The assumed proceeds of an option include the sum of its exercise price, average unrecognized compensation cost and excess tax benefits.

Note 16: Litigation and Contingencies

HP is involved in lawsuits, claims, investigations and proceedings, including those identified below, consisting of IP, commercial, securities, employment, employee benefits and environmental matters that arise in the ordinary course of business. HP accrues a liability when management believes that it is both probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. HP believes it has recorded adequate provisions for any such matters and, as of July 31, 2015, it was not reasonably possible that a material loss had been incurred in excess of the amounts recognized in HP's financial statements. HP reviews these matters at least quarterly and adjusts its accruals to reflect the impact of negotiations, settlements, rulings, advice of legal counsel, and other information and events pertaining to a particular case. Based on its experience, HP believes that any damage amounts claimed in the specific matters discussed below are not a meaningful indicator of HP's potential liability. Litigation is inherently unpredictable. However, HP believes it has valid defenses with respect to legal matters pending against it. Nevertheless, cash flows or results of operations could be materially affected in any particular period by the resolution of one or more of these contingencies.

Litigation, Proceedings and Investigations

Copyright Levies. As described below, proceedings are ongoing or have been concluded involving HP in certain European Union ("EU") member countries, including litigation in Germany, Belgium and Austria, seeking to impose or modify levies upon equipment (such as multi-function devices ("MFDs"), PCs and printers) and alleging that these devices enable producing private copies of copyrighted materials. Descriptions of some of the ongoing proceedings are included below. The levies are generally based upon the number of products sold and the per-product amounts of the levies, which vary. Some EU member countries that do not yet have levies on digital devices are expected to implement similar legislation to enable them to extend existing levy schemes, while some other EU member countries have phased out levies or are expected to limit the scope of levy schemes and applicability in the digital hardware environment, particularly with respect to sales to business users. HP, other companies and various industry associations have opposed the extension of levies to the digital environment and have advocated alternative models of compensation to rights holders.

Verwertungsgesellschaft Wort ("VG Wort"), a collection agency representing certain copyright holders, instituted legal proceedings against HP in the Stuttgart Civil Court seeking to impose levies on printers. On December 22, 2004, the court held that HP is liable for payments regarding all printers using ASCII code sold in Germany but did not determine the amount payable per unit. HP appealed this decision in January 2005 to the Stuttgart Court of Appeals. On May 11, 2005, the Stuttgart Court of Appeals issued a decision confirming that levies are due. On June 6, 2005, HP filed an appeal to the German Federal Supreme Court in Karlsruhe. On December 6, 2007, the German Federal Supreme Court issued a judgment that printers are not subject to levies under existing law. VG Wort appealed

Table of Contents

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 16: Litigation and Contingencies (Continued)

the decision by filing a claim with the German Federal Constitutional Court challenging the ruling that printers are not subject to levies. On September 21, 2010, the Constitutional Court published a decision holding that the German Federal Supreme Court erred by not referring questions on interpretation of German copyright law to the Court of Justice of the European Union ("CJEU") and therefore revoked the German Federal Supreme Court decision and remitted the matter to it. On July 21, 2011, the German Federal Supreme Court stayed the proceedings and referred several questions to the CJEU with regard to the interpretation of the European Copyright Directive. On June 27, 2013, the CJEU issued its decision responding to those questions. The German Federal Supreme Court subsequently scheduled a joint hearing on this matter with other cases relating to reprographic levies on printers and PCs that was held on October 31, 2013. The German Federal Supreme Court issued a decision on July 3, 2014 partially granting the claim of VG Wort. The German Federal Supreme Court decision provides that levies are due where the printer is used with a PC to make permitted reprographic copies in a single process under the control of the same person, but no levies are due on a printer for reprographic copies made with a "scanner-PC-printer" product chain. The case has been remitted to the Stuttgart Civil Court to assess the amount to be paid per printer unit. The industry association BITKOM and VG Wort signed a settlement agreement defining the levies due on printers sold in Germany from 2001 to 2007. HP opted to join the settlement agreement on August 10, 2015.

In September 2003, VG Wort filed a lawsuit against Fujitsu Technology Solutions GmbH ("Fujitsu") in the Munich Civil Court in Munich, Germany seeking to impose levies on PCs. This is an industry test case in Germany, and HP has agreed not to object to the delay if VG Wort sues HP for such levies on PCs following a final decision against Fujitsu. On December 23, 2004, the Munich Civil Court held that PCs are subject to a levy and that Fujitsu must pay €12 plus compound interest for each PC sold in Germany since March 2001. Fujitsu appealed this decision in January 2005 to the Munich Court of Appeals. On December 15, 2005, the Munich Court of Appeals affirmed the Munich Civil Court decision. Fujitsu filed an appeal with the German Federal Supreme Court in February 2006. On October 2, 2008, the German Federal Supreme Court issued a judgment that PCs were not photocopiers within the meaning of the German copyright law that was in effect until December 31, 2007 and, therefore, were not subject to the levies on photocopiers established by that law. VG Wort subsequently filed a claim with the German Federal Constitutional Court challenging that ruling. In January 2011, the Constitutional Court published a decision holding that the German Federal Supreme Court decision was inconsistent with the German Constitution and revoking the German Federal Supreme Court decision. The Constitutional Court also remitted the matter to the German Federal Supreme Court for further action. On July 21, 2011, the German Federal Supreme Court stayed the proceedings and referred several questions to the CJEU with regard to the interpretation of the European Copyright Directive. On June 27, 2013, the CJEU issued its decision responding to those questions. The German Federal Supreme Court subsequently scheduled a joint hearing on that matter with other cases relating to reprographic levies on printers that was held on October 31, 2013. The German Federal Supreme Court issued a decision on July 3, 2014 partially granting the claim of VG Wort. The German Federal Supreme Court decision provides that levies are due for audio-visual copying of standing text and pictures using a PC as the last device in a single reproduction process under the control of the same person, but no levies are due on a PC for reprographic copies made using a "PC-printer" or a "scanner-PC-printer" chain. The case has been remitted to the Munich Court of Appeals to assess the amount to be paid per PC unit.

Table of Contents**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)****(Unaudited)****Note 16: Litigation and Contingencies (Continued)**

Reprobel, a cooperative society with the authority to collect and distribute the remuneration for reprography to Belgian copyright holders, requested by extra-judicial means that HP amend certain copyright levy declarations submitted for inkjet MFDs sold in Belgium from January 2005 to December 2009 to enable it to collect copyright levies calculated based on the generally higher copying speed when the MFDs are operated in draft print mode rather than when operated in normal print mode. In March 2010, HP filed a lawsuit against Reprobel in the French-speaking chambers of the Court of First Instance of Brussels seeking a declaratory judgment that no copyright levies are payable on sales of MFDs in Belgium or, alternatively, that copyright levies payable on such MFDs must be assessed based on the copying speed when operated in the normal print mode set by default in the device. On November 16, 2012, the court issued a decision holding that Belgium law is not in conformity with EU law in a number of respects and ordered that, by November 2013, Reprobel substantiate that the amounts claimed by Reprobel are commensurate with the harm resulting from legitimate copying under the reprographic exception. HP subsequently appealed that court decision to the Courts of Appeal in Brussels seeking to confirm that the Belgian law is not in conformity with EU law and that, if Belgian law is interpreted in a manner consistent with EU law, no payments by HP are required or, alternatively, the payments already made by HP are sufficient to comply with its obligations under Belgian law. On October 23, 2013, the Court of Appeal in Brussels stayed the proceedings and referred several questions to the CJEU relating to whether the Belgian reprographic copyright levies system is in conformity with EU law. The case was heard by the CJEU on January 29, 2015 and the non-binding Opinion of the Advocate General was delivered on June 11, 2015.

Based on industry opposition to the extension of levies to digital products, HP's assessments of the merits of various proceedings and HP's estimates of the number of units impacted and the amounts of the levies, HP has accrued amounts that it believes are adequate to address the matters described above. However, the ultimate resolution of these matters and the associated financial impact on HP, including the number of units impacted and the amount of levies imposed, remains uncertain.

Fair Labor Standards Act Litigation. HP is involved in several lawsuits in which the plaintiffs are seeking unpaid overtime compensation and other damages based on allegations that various employees of Electronic Data Systems Corporation ("EDS") or HP have been misclassified as exempt employees under the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA") and/or in violation of the California Labor Code or other state laws. Those matters include the following:

Cunningham and Cunningham, et al. v. Electronic Data Systems Corporation is a purported collective action filed on May 10, 2006 in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York claiming that current and former EDS employees allegedly involved in installing and/or maintaining computer software and hardware were misclassified as exempt employees. Another purported collective action, Steavens, et al. v. Electronic Data Systems Corporation, was filed on October 23, 2007 in the same court alleging similar facts. The Steavens case was consolidated for pretrial purposes with the Cunningham case. On December 14, 2010, the court granted conditional certification of a class consisting of employees in 20 legacy EDS job codes in the consolidated Cunningham/Steavens matter. On December 11, 2013, HP and plaintiffs' counsel in the consolidated Cunningham/Steavens matter, and the Salva matter described below, mediated these cases and reached a settlement agreement. The court approved the settlement on June 16, 2015 and HP funded the settlement on July 27, 2015.

Table of Contents

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 16: Litigation and Contingencies (Continued)

Salva v. Hewlett-Packard Company is a purported collective action filed on June 15, 2012 in the United States District Court for the Western District of New York alleging that certain information technology employees allegedly involved in installing and/or maintaining computer software and hardware were misclassified as exempt employees under the Fair Labor Standards Act. On December 11, 2013, HP and plaintiffs' counsel in the consolidated Cunningham/Steavens matter and the Salva matter mediated these cases and reached a settlement agreement. The court consolidated the Salva matter into the Cunningham/Steavens matter and approved the settlement on June 16, 2015 and HP funded the settlement on July 27, 2015.

Karlbom, et al. v. Electronic Data Systems Corporation is a class action filed on March 16, 2009 in California Superior Court alleging facts similar to the Cunningham and Steavens matters. The parties are engaged in discovery.

Benedict v. Hewlett-Packard Company is a purported class action filed on January 10, 2013 in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California alleging that certain technical support employees allegedly involved in installing, maintaining and/or supporting computer software and/or hardware for HP were misclassified as exempt employees under the Fair Labor Standards Act. The plaintiff has also alleged that HP violated California law by, among other things, allegedly improperly classifying these employees as exempt. On February 13, 2014, the court granted the plaintiff's motion for conditional class certification. On May 7, 2015, the plaintiffs filed a motion to certify a Rule 23 state class of certain Technical Solutions Consultants in California, Massachusetts, and Colorado that they claim were improperly classified as exempt from overtime under state law. On July 30, 2015, the court dismissed the Technology Consultant and certain Field Technical Support Consultant opt-ins from the conditionally certified FLSA collective action.

India Directorate of Revenue Intelligence Proceedings. On April 30 and May 10, 2010, the India Directorate of Revenue Intelligence (the "DRI") issued show cause notices to Hewlett-Packard India Sales Private Ltd ("HP India"), a subsidiary of HP, seven HP India employees and one former HP India employee alleging that HP India underpaid customs duties while importing products and spare parts into India and seeking to recover an aggregate of approximately \$370 million, plus penalties. Prior to the issuance of the show cause notices, HP India deposited approximately \$16 million with the DRI and agreed to post a provisional bond in exchange for the DRI's agreement to not seize HP India products and spare parts and to not interrupt the transaction of business by HP India.

On April 11, 2012, the Bangalore Commissioner of Customs issued an order on the products-related show cause notice affirming certain duties and penalties against HP India and the named individuals of approximately \$386 million, of which HP India had already deposited \$9 million. On December 11, 2012, HP India voluntarily deposited an additional \$10 million in connection with the products-related show cause notice. On April 20, 2012, the Commissioner issued an order on the parts-related show cause notice affirming certain duties and penalties against HP India and certain of the named individuals of approximately \$17 million, of which HP India had already deposited \$7 million. After the order, HP India deposited an additional \$3 million in connection with the parts-related show cause notice so as to avoid certain penalties.

Table of Contents

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 16: Litigation and Contingencies (Continued)

HP India filed appeals of the Commissioner's orders before the Customs Tribunal along with applications for waiver of the pre-deposit of remaining demand amounts as a condition for hearing the appeals. The Customs Department has also filed cross-appeals before the Customs Tribunal. On January 24, 2013, the Customs Tribunal ordered HP India to deposit an additional \$24 million against the products order, which HP India deposited in March 2013. The Customs Tribunal did not order any additional deposit to be made under the parts order. In December 2013, HP India filed applications before the Customs Tribunal seeking early hearing of the appeals as well as an extension of the stay of deposit as to HP India and the individuals already granted until final disposition of the appeals. On February 7, 2014, the application for extension of the stay of deposit was granted by the Customs Tribunal until disposal of the appeals. On October 27, 2014, the Customs Tribunal commenced hearings on the cross-appeals of the Commissioner's orders. The Customs Tribunal rejected HP India's request to remand the matter to the Commissioner on procedural grounds. The hearing scheduled to reconvene on April 6, 2015 was cancelled at the request of the Customs Tribunal. A new hearing date has not been set.

Russia GPO and Other Anti-Corruption Investigations. The German Public Prosecutor's Office ("German PPO") has been conducting an investigation into allegations that current and former employees of HP engaged in bribery, embezzlement and tax evasion relating to a transaction between Hewlett-Packard ISE GmbH in Germany, a former subsidiary of HP, and the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation. The approximately €35 million transaction, which was referred to as the Russia GPO deal, spanned the years 2001 to 2006 and was for the delivery and installation of an IT network. The German PPO issued an indictment of four individuals, including one current and two former HP employees, on charges including bribery, breach of trust and tax evasion. The German PPO also requested that HP be made an associated party to the case, and, if that request is granted, HP would participate in any portion of the court proceedings that could ultimately bear on the question of whether HP should be subject to potential disgorgement of profits based on the conduct of the indicted current and former employees. The Regional Court of Leipzig will determine whether the matter should be admitted to trial. The Polish Central Anti-Corruption Bureau is also investigating potential corrupt actions by a former employee of Hewlett-Packard Polska Sp. z o.o., an indirect subsidiary of HP, in connection with certain public-sector transactions in Poland. HP is cooperating with these investigating agencies.

On December 2, 2014, plaintiffs Petroleos Mexicanos and Pemex Exploracion filed a complaint against HP and HP Mexico in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California alleging violations of the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO Act), fraudulent concealment, tortious interference, and violations of the California Unfair Competition Law in connection with alleged improper payments provided to Pemex officials by third-parties retained by HP Mexico. These allegations arise from the same subject-matter as a previously disclosed 2014 Non-Prosecution Agreement between HP Mexico and the DOJ and a simultaneous cease-and-desist order against HP issued by the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). On February 9, 2015, HP and HP Mexico filed a motion to dismiss the complaint in its entirety. On July 13, 2015, the court granted the motion to dismiss and gave the plaintiffs leave to amend their complaint. The plaintiffs filed a first amended complaint on July 31, 2015. On August 21, 2015, HP and HP Mexico filed a motion to dismiss the first amended complaint. The hearing on this motion to dismiss is scheduled for

Table of Contents

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 16: Litigation and Contingencies (Continued)

December 10, 2015. HP does not believe that the resolution of this matter will have a material impact on its financial statements.

ECT Proceedings. In January 2011, the postal service of Brazil, Empresa Brasileira de Correios e Telégrafos ("ECT"), notified an HP subsidiary in Brazil ("HP Brazil") that it had initiated administrative proceedings to consider whether to suspend HP Brazil's right to bid and contract with ECT related to alleged improprieties in the bidding and contracting processes whereby employees of HP Brazil and employees of several other companies allegedly coordinated their bids and fixed results for three ECT contracts in 2007 and 2008. In late July 2011, ECT notified HP Brazil it had decided to apply the penalties against HP Brazil and suspend HP Brazil's right to bid and contract with ECT for five years, based upon the evidence before it. In August 2011, HP Brazil appealed ECT's decision. In April 2013, ECT rejected HP Brazil's appeal, and the administrative proceedings were closed with the penalties against HP Brazil remaining in place. In parallel, in September 2011, HP Brazil filed a civil action against ECT seeking to have ECT's decision revoked. HP Brazil also requested an injunction suspending the application of the penalties until a final ruling on the merits of the case. The court of first instance has not issued a decision on the merits of the case, but it has denied HP Brazil's request for injunctive relief. HP Brazil appealed the denial of its request for injunctive relief to the intermediate appellate court, which issued a preliminary ruling denying the request for injunctive relief but reducing the length of the sanctions from five to two years. HP Brazil appealed that decision and, in December 2011, obtained a ruling staying enforcement of ECT's sanctions until a final ruling on the merits of the case. HP expects the decision to be issued in 2015 and any subsequent appeal on the merits to last several years.

Abstrax Proceeding. On February 28, 2014, Abstrax, Inc. ("Abstrax"), a company with a principal place of business in Mesa, Arizona, filed a patent infringement lawsuit against HP. Abstrax claimed to market software for sales operations and manufacturing operations for configurable products, including those in the custom shutter industry. The case was pending in U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Marshall Division. Abstrax asserted one patent, U.S. Patent 6,240,328, which is directed generally to a method of generating assembly instructions. In its complaint, Abstrax claimed that HP's methods and processes of manufacturing configurable servers, storage, networking devices, PCs, laptops, imaging and printing devices and their sub-systems infringe its patent, as do the products made by the accused processes. Abstrax also claimed that HP's alleged infringement was willful and that the case was exceptional. On November 14, 2014, HP filed a petition with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office challenging the validity of the Abstrax patent based on prior art. In late January 2015, Abstrax dropped its infringement allegations against the manufacturing of PCs and imaging and printing devices from its expert reports. On March 4, 2015, the court heard HP's motion challenging the subject matter of the patent under 35 U.S.C. Section 101. Trial was scheduled for May 11, 2015. The parties settled the matter in April 2015. The district court litigation was dismissed on May 5, 2015. HP's challenge to the validity of the patent was terminated on May 18, 2015.

Stockholder Litigation. As described below, HP is involved in various stockholder litigation matters commenced against certain current and former HP executive officers and/or certain current and former members of HP's Board of Directors in which the plaintiffs are seeking to recover damages

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 16: Litigation and Contingencies (Continued)

related to HP's allegedly inflated stock price, certain compensation paid by HP to the defendants, other damages and/or injunctive relief:

A.J. Copeland v. Raymond J. Lane, et al. ("Copeland I") is a lawsuit filed on March 7, 2011 in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California alleging, among other things, that the defendants breached their fiduciary duties and wasted corporate assets in connection with HP's alleged violations of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act of 1977 ("FCPA"), HP's severance payments made to Mark Hurd (a former Chairman of HP's Board of Directors and HP's Chief Executive Officer), and HP's acquisition of 3PAR Inc. The lawsuit also alleges violations of Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") in connection with HP's 2010 and 2011 proxy statements. On February 8, 2012, the defendants filed a motion to dismiss the lawsuit. On October 10, 2012, the court granted the defendants' motion to dismiss with leave to file an amended complaint. On November 1, 2012, the plaintiff filed an amended complaint adding an unjust enrichment claim and claims that the defendants violated Section 14(a) of the Exchange Act and breached their fiduciary duties in connection with HP's 2012 proxy statement. On December 13, 14 and 17, 2012, the defendants moved to dismiss the amended complaint. On December 28, 2012, the plaintiff moved for leave to file a third amended complaint. On May 6, 2013, the court denied the motion for leave to amend, granted the motions to dismiss with prejudice and entered judgment in the defendants' favor. On May 31, 2013, the plaintiff filed an appeal with the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. The appeal has been fully briefed and an oral argument date has been scheduled for October 20, 2015.

A.J. Copeland v. Léo Apotheker, et al. ("Copeland II") is a lawsuit filed on February 10, 2014 in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California alleging, among other things, that the defendants used their control over HP and its corporate suffrage process in effectuating, directly participating in and/or aiding and abetting violations of Section 14(a) of the Exchange Act and Rule 14a-9 promulgated thereunder, and violations of Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder. The complaint asserts claims for breach of fiduciary duty, waste of corporate assets, unjust enrichment, and breach of the duty of candor. The claims arise out of the circumstances at HP relating to its 2013 and 2014 proxy statements, the departure of Mr. Hurd as Chairman of HP's Board of Directors and HP's Chief Executive Officer, alleged violations of the FCPA, and HP's acquisition of 3PAR Inc. and Autonomy Corporation plc ("Autonomy"). On February 25, 2014, the court issued an order granting HP's administrative motion to relate *Copeland II* to *Copeland I*. On April 8, 2014, the court granted the parties' stipulation to stay the action pending resolution of *Copeland I* by the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

Cement & Concrete Workers District Council Pension Fund v. Hewlett-Packard Company, et al. is a putative securities class action filed on August 3, 2012 in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California alleging, among other things, that from November 13, 2007 to August 6, 2010 the defendants violated Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act by making statements regarding HP's Standards of Business Conduct ("SBC") that were false and misleading because Mr. Hurd, who was serving as HP's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer during that period, had been violating the SBC and concealing his misbehavior in a manner that

Table of Contents

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 16: Litigation and Contingencies (Continued)

jeopardized his continued employment with HP. On February 7, 2013, the defendants moved to dismiss the amended complaint. On August 9, 2013, the court granted the defendants' motion to dismiss with leave to amend the complaint by September 9, 2013. The plaintiff filed an amended complaint on September 9, 2013, and the defendants moved to dismiss that complaint on October 24, 2013. On June 25, 2014, the court issued an order granting the defendants' motions to dismiss and on July 25, 2014, plaintiff filed a notice of appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. On November 4, 2014, the plaintiff-appellant filed its opening brief in the Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. HP filed its answering brief on January 16, 2015 and the plaintiff-appellant's reply brief was filed on March 2, 2015. Oral argument has not yet been scheduled.

Autonomy-Related Legal Matters

Investigations. As a result of the findings of an ongoing investigation, HP has provided information to the U.K. Serious Fraud Office, the U.S. Department of Justice ("**DOJ**") and the SEC related to the accounting improprieties, disclosure failures and misrepresentations at Autonomy that occurred prior to and in connection with HP's acquisition of Autonomy. On November 21, 2012, DOJ representatives advised HP that they had opened an investigation relating to Autonomy. On February 6, 2013, representatives of the U.K. Serious Fraud Office advised HP that they had also opened an investigation relating to Autonomy. On January 19, 2015, the U.K. Serious Fraud Office notified HP that it was closing its investigation and had decided to cede jurisdiction of the investigation to the U.S. authorities. HP is cooperating with the DOJ and the SEC, whose investigations are ongoing.

Litigation. As described below, HP is involved in various stockholder litigation relating to, among other things, its October 2011 acquisition of Autonomy and its November 20, 2012 announcement that it recorded a non-cash charge for the impairment of goodwill and intangible assets within its Software segment of approximately \$8.8 billion in the fourth quarter of its 2012 fiscal year and HP's statements that, based on HP's findings from an ongoing investigation, the majority of this impairment charge related to accounting improprieties, misrepresentations to the market and disclosure failures at Autonomy that occurred prior to and in connection with HP's acquisition of Autonomy and the impact of those improprieties, failures and misrepresentations on the expected future financial performance of the Autonomy business over the long term. This stockholder litigation was commenced against, among others, certain current and former HP executive officers, certain current and former members of HP's Board of Directors and certain advisors to HP. The plaintiffs in these litigation matters are seeking to recover certain compensation paid by HP to the defendants and/or other damages. These matters include the following:

In re HP Securities Litigation consists of two consolidated putative class actions filed on November 26 and 30, 2012 in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California alleging, among other things, that from August 19, 2011 to November 20, 2012, the defendants violated Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act by concealing material information and making false statements related to HP's acquisition of Autonomy and the financial performance of HP's enterprise services business. On May 3, 2013, the lead plaintiff filed a consolidated complaint alleging that, during that same period, all of the defendants violated Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act and SEC Rule 10b-5(b) by concealing

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES**Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)****(Unaudited)****Note 16: Litigation and Contingencies (Continued)**

material information and making false statements related to HP's acquisition of Autonomy and that certain defendants violated SEC Rule 10b-5(a) and (c) by engaging in a "scheme" to defraud investors. On July 2, 2013, HP filed a motion to dismiss the lawsuit. On November 26, 2013, the court granted in part and denied in part HP's motion to dismiss, allowing claims to proceed against HP and Margaret C. Whitman based on alleged statements and/or omissions made on or after May 23, 2012. The court dismissed all of the plaintiff's claims that were based on alleged statements and/or omissions made between August 19, 2011 and May 22, 2012. The lead plaintiff filed a motion for class certification on November 4, 2014 and, on December 15, 2014, the defendants filed their opposition to the motion. On June 9, 2015, HP entered into a settlement agreement with the lead plaintiff in the consolidated securities class action. Under the terms of the settlement, HP, through its insurers, will contribute \$100 million to a settlement fund that will be used to compensate persons who purchased HP's shares during the period from August 19, 2011 through November 20, 2012. No individual is contributing to the settlement. HP and its current and former officers, directors, and advisors will be released from any Autonomy-related securities claims as part of the settlement. On July 17, 2015, the court granted preliminary approval to the settlement. The court has set a hearing date of November 13, 2015 to determine whether to grant final approval to the settlement.

In re Hewlett-Packard Shareholder Derivative Litigation (the "Federal Court Derivative Action") consists of seven consolidated lawsuits filed beginning on November 26, 2012 in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California alleging, among other things, that the defendants violated Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act by concealing material information and making false statements related to HP's acquisition of Autonomy and the financial performance of HP's enterprise services business. The lawsuits also allege that the defendants breached their fiduciary duties, wasted corporate assets and were unjustly enriched in connection with HP's acquisition of Autonomy and by causing HP to repurchase its own stock at allegedly inflated prices between August 2011 and October 2012. One lawsuit further alleges that certain individual defendants engaged in or assisted insider trading and thereby breached their fiduciary duties, were unjustly enriched and violated Sections 25402 and 25403 of the California Corporations Code. On May 3, 2013, the lead plaintiff filed a consolidated complaint alleging, among other things, that the defendants concealed material information and made false statements related to HP's acquisition of Autonomy and Autonomy's Intelligent Data Operating Layer technology and thereby violated Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act, breached their fiduciary duties, engaged in "abuse of control" over HP, corporate waste and were unjustly enriched. The litigation was stayed until June 2014. The lead plaintiff filed a stipulation of proposed settlement on June 30, 2014. The court declined to grant preliminary approval to this settlement, and, on December 19, 2014, also declined to grant preliminary approval to a revised version of the settlement. On January 22, 2015, the lead plaintiff moved for preliminary approval of a further revised version of the settlement. On March 13, 2015, the court issued an order granting preliminary approval to the settlement. On July 24, 2015, the court held a hearing to entertain any remaining objections to the settlement and decide whether to grant final approval of the settlement. On July 30, 2015, the court granted final approval to the settlement and denied all remaining objections to the settlement. Certain objectors to the settlement have appealed the court's final approval order.

Table of Contents

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 16: Litigation and Contingencies (Continued)

In re HP ERISA Litigation consists of three consolidated putative class actions filed beginning on December 6, 2012 in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California alleging, among other things, that from August 18, 2011 to November 22, 2012, the defendants breached their fiduciary obligations to HP's 401(k) Plan and its participants and thereby violated Sections 404(a)(1) and 405(a) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended, by concealing negative information regarding the financial performance of Autonomy and HP's enterprise services business and by failing to restrict participants from investing in HP stock. On August 16, 2013, HP filed a motion to dismiss the lawsuit. On March 31, 2014, the court granted HP's motion to dismiss this action with leave to amend. On July 16, 2014, the plaintiffs filed a second amended complaint containing substantially similar allegations and seeking substantially similar relief as the first amended complaint. On June 15, 2015, the court granted HP's motion to dismiss the second amended complaint in its entirety and denied plaintiffs leave to file another amended complaint. On July 2, 2015, plaintiffs have appealed the court's order to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

Vincent Ho v. Margaret C. Whitman, et al. is a lawsuit filed on January 22, 2013 in California Superior Court alleging, among other things, that the defendants breached their fiduciary duties and wasted corporate assets in connection with HP's acquisition of Autonomy and by causing HP to repurchase its own stock at allegedly inflated prices between August 2011 and October 2012. On April 22, 2013, the court stayed the lawsuit pending resolution of the Federal Court Derivative Action. Two additional derivative actions, *James Gould v. Margaret C. Whitman, et al.* and *Leroy Noel v. Margaret C. Whitman, et al.*, were filed in California Superior Court on July 26, 2013 and August 16, 2013, respectively, containing substantially similar allegations and seeking substantially similar relief. Those actions were also stayed pending resolution of the Federal Court Derivative Action. All the claims asserted in these matters (other than those asserted against Michael Lynch, Sushovan Hussain, the former chief financial officer of Autonomy, and Deloitte UK and Qatalyst) have been released under the terms of the settlement of the Federal Court Derivative Action. Following final approval of the settlement of the Federal Court Derivative Action, the *Ho* matter was dismissed with prejudice on August 13, 2015.

Cook v. Whitman, et al. is a lawsuit filed on March 18, 2014 in the Delaware Chancery Court, alleging, among other things, that the defendants breached their fiduciary duties and wasted corporate assets in connection with HP's acquisition of Autonomy. On May 15, 2014, HP moved to dismiss or stay the *Cook* matter. On July 22, 2014, the Delaware Chancery Court stayed the motion pending the United States District Court's hearing on preliminary approval of the proposed settlement in the Federal Court Derivative Action. All the claims asserted in these matters (other than those asserted against Michael Lynch, Sushovan Hussain, the former chief financial officer of Autonomy, and Deloitte UK and Qatalyst) have been released under the terms of the settlement of the Federal Court Derivative Action. Following final approval of the Federal Court Derivative Settlement, the *Cook* matter was dismissed on August 19, 2015.

Environmental

HP's operations and products are subject to various federal, state, local and foreign laws and regulations concerning environmental protection, including laws addressing the discharge of pollutants

Table of Contents

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 16: Litigation and Contingencies (Continued)

into the air and water, the management and disposal of hazardous substances and wastes, the cleanup of contaminated sites, the content of HP's products and the recycling, treatment and disposal of those products. In particular, HP faces increasing complexity in its product design and procurement operations as it adjusts to new and future requirements relating to the chemical and materials composition of its products, their safe use, and the energy consumption associated with those products, including requirements relating to climate change. HP is also subject to legislation in an increasing number of jurisdictions that makes producers of electrical goods, including computers and printers, financially responsible for specified collection, recycling, treatment and disposal of past and future covered products (sometimes referred to as "product take-back legislation"). HP could incur substantial costs, its products could be restricted from entering certain jurisdictions, and it could face other sanctions, if it were to violate or become liable under environmental laws or if its products become non-compliant with environmental laws. HP's potential exposure includes fines and civil or criminal sanctions, third-party property damage or personal injury claims and clean-up costs. The amount and timing of costs to comply with environmental laws are difficult to predict.

HP is party to, or otherwise involved in, proceedings brought by U.S. or state environmental agencies under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), known as "Superfund," or state laws similar to CERCLA, and may become a party to, or otherwise involved in, proceedings brought by private parties for contribution towards clean-up costs. HP is also conducting environmental investigations or remediations at several current or former operating sites pursuant to administrative orders or consent agreements with state environmental agencies.

Note 17: Guarantees

Guarantees

In the ordinary course of business, HP may issue performance guarantees to certain of its clients, customers and other parties pursuant to which HP has guaranteed the performance obligations of third parties. Some of those guarantees may be backed by standby letters of credit or surety bonds. In general, HP would be obligated to perform over the term of the guarantee in the event a specified triggering event occurs as defined by the guarantee. HP believes the likelihood of having to perform under a material guarantee is remote.

HP has entered into service contracts with certain of its clients that are supported by financing arrangements. If a service contract is terminated as a result of HP's non-performance under the contract or failure to comply with the terms of the financing arrangement, HP could, under certain circumstances, be required to acquire certain assets related to the service contract. HP believes the likelihood of having to acquire a material amount of assets under these arrangements is remote.

Indemnifications

In the ordinary course of business, HP enters into contractual arrangements under which HP may agree to indemnify a third party to such arrangement from any losses incurred relating to the services they perform on behalf of HP or for losses arising from certain events as defined within the particular contract, which may include, for example, litigation or claims relating to past performance. HP also

Table of Contents**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)****(Unaudited)****Note 17: Guarantees (Continued)**

provides indemnifications to certain vendors and customers against claims of IP infringement made by third parties arising from the vendors' and customers' use of HP's software products and services and certain other matters. Some indemnifications may not be subject to maximum loss clauses. Historically, payments made related to these indemnifications have been immaterial.

Warranty

HP accrues the estimated cost of product warranties at the time it recognizes revenue. HP engages in extensive product quality programs and processes, including actively monitoring and evaluating the quality of its component suppliers; however, contractual warranty terms, repair costs, product call rates, average cost per call, current period product shipments and ongoing product failure rates, as well as specific product class failures outside of HP's baseline experience, affect the estimated warranty obligation.

HP's aggregate product warranty liabilities and changes were as follows:

| | Nine months ended July 31, 2015 | |
|---|--|---------|
| | In millions | |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ | 1,956 |
| Accruals for warranties issued | | 1,124 |
| Adjustments related to pre-existing warranties (including changes in estimates) | | (28) |
| Settlements made (in cash or in kind) | | (1,309) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ | 1,743 |

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

**Management's Discussion and Analysis of
Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

This Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations ("MD&A") is organized as follows:

Overview. A discussion of our business and overall analysis of financial and other highlights affecting the company to provide context for the remainder of this MD&A. The overview analysis compares the three and nine months ended July 31, 2015 to the prior-year periods.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates. A discussion of accounting policies and estimates that we believe are important to understanding the assumptions and judgments incorporated in our reported financial results.

Results of Operations. An analysis of our financial results comparing the three and nine months ended July 31, 2015 to the prior-year periods. A discussion of results of operations at the consolidated level is followed by a more detailed discussion of results of operations by segment.

Liquidity and Capital Resources. An analysis of changes in our cash flows and a discussion of our financial condition and liquidity.

Contractual and Other Obligations. An overview of contractual obligations, retirement and post-retirement benefit plan funding, restructuring plans, separation costs, uncertain tax positions and off-balance sheet arrangements.

We intend the discussion of our financial condition and results of operations that follows to provide information that will assist the reader in understanding our Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements, the changes in certain key items in those financial statements from year to year, and the primary factors that accounted for those changes, as well as how certain accounting principles, policies and estimates affect our Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements. This discussion should be read in conjunction with our Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements and the related notes that appear elsewhere in this document.

October 2014 Announcement of HP Separation Transaction

On October 6, 2014, we announced plans to separate into two independent publicly-traded companies: one comprising our enterprise technology infrastructure, software, services and financing businesses, which will conduct business as Hewlett Packard Enterprise and one that will comprise our printing and personal systems businesses, which will conduct business as HP Inc. The separation is subject to certain conditions, including, among others, obtaining final approval from HP's Board of Directors, receipt of a favorable opinion and/or rulings with respect to the tax-free nature of the transaction for federal income tax purposes and the effectiveness of a Form 10 filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). Separation is expected to be completed at the end of fiscal 2015. Under the separation plan, HP shareholders will own shares of both Hewlett Packard Enterprise and HP Inc.

The process of completing the separation has been and is expected to continue to be time-consuming and involves significant costs and expenses. Accordingly, we will incur separation charges and foreign tax expenses associated with separating into two companies. During the nine months ended July 31, 2015, we recorded nonrecurring separation costs of \$750 million, which were primarily related to third-party consulting, contractor fees and other incremental costs directly

Table of Contents

associated with the separation process. As of July 31, 2015, we continue to expect the total separation charges and net foreign tax expenses to be approximately \$2.6 billion across fiscal 2015 and fiscal 2016. Additionally, the separation into two independent companies is expected to result in total dis-synergies of approximately \$400 million to \$450 million annually, which costs are primarily associated with corporate functions such as finance, legal, IT, real estate and human resources. Such dis-synergies are expected to be divided approximately equally between HP Inc. and Hewlett Packard Enterprise.

We are recording a deferred tax asset on these costs and expenses as they are incurred through fiscal 2015. We expect a portion of these deferred tax assets associated with separation costs and expenses will be eliminated, as non-deductible expenses, at the time the separation is executed. Furthermore, we concluded on the legal form of the separation and in May, 2015 announced that Hewlett Packard Enterprise will be the spinnee company in the United States ("U.S."). Accordingly, we expect to effect certain internal reorganizations of, and transactions among, our wholly-owned subsidiaries and operating activities in preparation for the legal form of separation. As a result, in future periods, we expect to record the impact of separation-related activities. These adjustments are in the process of being finalized but may result in an increase to deferred tax assets of approximately \$1.0 to \$1.6 billion. Our results of operations could be materially impacted in any future period by these matters. In addition, gross incremental foreign tax expenses related to the separation of foreign legal entities are estimated to be up to approximately \$950 million in fiscal 2015 and we anticipate estimated foreign tax credits up to approximately \$200 million in fiscal 2015 with additional tax credit amounts expected over several years. As of July 31, 2015, we also expect separation-related capital expenditures of approximately \$300 million in fiscal 2015.

The following chart provides an overview of the planned separation and segment revenues of the respective businesses based on HP's fiscal 2014 financial results, excluding Corporate Investments and intercompany eliminations.

The following Overview, Results of Operations and Liquidity discussions and analysis compare the three and nine months ended July 31, 2015 to the prior-year periods, unless otherwise noted. The Capital Resources and Contractual and Other Obligations discussions present information as of July 31, 2015, unless otherwise noted.

OVERVIEW

We are a leading global provider of products, technologies, software, solutions and services to individual consumers, small- and medium-sized businesses ("SMBs") and large enterprises, including customers in the government, health and education sectors. Our offerings span the following:

personal computing and other access devices;

imaging- and printing-related products and services;

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

enterprise IT infrastructure, including enterprise server and storage technology, networking products and solutions, technology support and maintenance;

multi-vendor customer services, including technology consulting, outsourcing and support services across infrastructure, applications and business process domains; and

software products and solutions, including application testing and delivery, big data analytics, enterprise security, information governance, IT operations management, and marketing optimization.

We have seven segments for financial reporting purposes: Personal Systems, Printing, the Enterprise Group ("EG"), Enterprise Services ("ES"), Software, HP Financial Services ("HPFS") and Corporate Investments.

The following provides an overview of our key financial metrics by segment:

| | Printing and Personal Systems Group | | | | Enterprise Group | | Enterprise Services | Software | Corporate HPFS Investments ⁽³⁾ | |
|--|--|---------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------|----------|------------------------|----------|--|--|
| | HP Consolidated | Personal Systems | Printing | Total | Group | Group | | | | |
| In millions, except per share amounts | | | | | | | | | | |
| Three months ended July 31, 2015 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net revenue ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 25,349 | \$ 7,491 | \$ 5,108 | \$ 12,599 | \$ 7,007 | \$ 4,976 | \$ 900 | \$ 806 | \$ 4 | |
| Year-over-year change % | (8.1)% | (13.4)% | (8.6)% | (11.5)% | 2.0% | (11.0)% | (6.2)% | (5.7)% | 33.3% | |
| Earnings from operations ⁽²⁾ | \$ 1,212 | \$ 222 | \$ 910 | \$ 1,132 | \$ 912 | \$ 297 | \$ 185 | \$ 87 | \$ (148) | |
| Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue | 4.8% | 3.0% | 17.8% | 9.0% | 13.0% | 6.0% | 20.6% | 10.8% | NM | |
| Year-over-year change percentage points | (0.5) pts | (1.0) pts | (0.6) pts | (0.6) pts | (1.0) pts | 1.9 pts | (0.6) pts | 1.6 pts | NM | |
| Net earnings | \$ 854 | | | | | | | | | |
| Net earnings per share | | | | | | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.47 | | | | | | | | | |
| Diluted | \$ 0.47 | | | | | | | | | |

(1) HP Consolidated net revenue excludes intersegment net revenue and other.

(2) Segment earnings from operations exclude corporate and unallocated costs, stock-based compensation expense, amortization of intangible assets, restructuring charges, acquisition-related charges, separation costs, defined benefit plan settlement charges and impairment of data center assets.

(3) "NM" represents not meaningful.

Net revenue declined 8.1% (declined 2.2% on a constant currency basis) in the three months ended July 31, 2015, as compared to the prior-year period. The leading contributors to the net revenue decline were unfavorable currency impacts, lower desktop and notebook sales in Personal Systems, key account runoff in ES and lower supplies and hardware sales in Printing. Partially offsetting the net revenue decline was growth in the EG segment from sales of Industry Standard Servers ("ISS") and growth in Networking. Gross margin was 23.8% (\$6.0 billion) and 24.0% (\$6.6 billion) for the three months ended July 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The 0.2 percentage points decrease in gross margin was due primarily to competitive pricing pressures in Printing, EG and Personal Systems and a higher mix of ISS products in EG. Partially offsetting the gross margin decline was the impact of service delivery efficiencies in ES. Operating margin decreased by 0.5 percentage points in the three months ended July 31, 2015 as compared to the prior-year period, due primarily to the decline in gross margin and expenses resulting from separation activities, data center impairments and pension plan settlement charges. Partially offsetting these declines was lower restructuring charges in the current period and

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

lower selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") expenses. The decline in SG&A expenses was primarily due to favorable currency impacts.

| | Printing and Personal Systems Group | | | | Enterprise Group | Enterprise Services | Software | Corporate Investments ⁽³⁾ | |
|--|--|---------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------------|------------------------|----------|---|----------|
| | HP Consolidated | Personal Systems | Printing | Total | | | | HPFS | |
| In millions, except per share amounts | | | | | | | | | |
| Nine months ended | | | | | | | | | |
| July 31, 2015 | | | | | | | | | |
| Net revenue ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 77,641 | \$ 23,775 | \$ 16,104 | \$ 39,879 | \$ 20,549 | \$ 14,786 | \$ 2,663 | \$ 2,414 | \$ 22 |
| Year-over-year change % | (6.5)% | (6.2)% | (6.6)% | (6.4)% | 0.4% | (12.4)% | (6.4)% | (6.9)% | (92.6)% |
| Earnings from operations ⁽²⁾ | \$ 4,563 | \$ 770 | \$ 2,973 | \$ 3,743 | \$ 2,952 | \$ 639 | \$ 502 | \$ 262 | \$ (416) |
| Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue | 5.9% | 3.2% | 18.5% | 9.4% | 14.4% | 4.3% | 18.9% | 10.9% | NM |
| Year-over-year change percentage points | (0.4) pts | (0.4) pts | 0.3 pts | (0.1) pts | 0.1 pts | 1.7 pts | 0.1 pts | 0.1 pts | NM |
| Net earnings | \$ 3,231 | | | | | | | | |
| Net earnings per share | | | | | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 1.78 | | | | | | | | |
| Diluted | \$ 1.75 | | | | | | | | |

(1) HP Consolidated net revenue excludes intersegment net revenue and other.

(2) Segment earnings from operations exclude corporate and unallocated costs, stock-based compensation expense, amortization of intangible assets, restructuring charges, acquisition-related charges, separation costs, defined benefit plan settlement charges and impairment of data center assets.

(3) "NM" represents not meaningful.

Net revenue declined 6.5% (declined 2.3% on a constant currency basis) in the nine months ended July 31, 2015, as compared to the prior-year period. The leading contributors to the net revenue decline were unfavorable currency impacts, key account runoff and soft demand in Infrastructure Technology Outsourcing ("ITO") in ES, lower desktop sales in Personal Systems and lower sales of Printing supplies. Partially offsetting these declines was growth within the EG segment from sales of ISS servers. Gross margin was 23.7% (\$18.4 billion) and 23.6% (\$19.6 billion) for the nine months ended July 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The 0.1 percentage point increase in gross margin was due primarily to service delivery efficiencies and improvements in underperforming contracts in ES. Partially offsetting the gross margin increase was the impact from a higher mix of ISS products in EG, competitive pricing pressures in Printing and the impact from the sale of intellectual property ("IP") in the prior-year period. We continue to experience gross margin pressures resulting from a competitive pricing environment across our hardware portfolio. Operating margin decreased by 0.4 percentage points in the nine months ended July 31, 2015 as compared to the prior-year period due primarily to separation costs, data center impairments, pension plan settlement charges and the impact from the sale of IP in the prior-year period. Partially offsetting these declines was the gross margin increase, lower SG&A expenses and lower restructuring charges.

As of July 31, 2015, cash and cash equivalents and short- and long-term investments were \$17.4 billion, representing an increase of approximately \$1.9 billion from the October 31, 2014 balance of \$15.5 billion. The increase in cash and cash equivalents and short- and long-term investments during the first nine months of fiscal 2015 was primarily due to the following factors: cash received for net issuances and repayments of debt of \$6.0 billion and from operating cash flows of \$3.9 billion; partially offset by cash utilization for share repurchases of common stock of \$2.6 billion, investments in business acquisitions and property, plant and equipment net of proceeds from sales of \$2.6 billion and \$2.3 billion, respectively and dividend payments to stockholders of \$913 million.

We continue to experience challenges that are representative of trends and uncertainties that may affect our business and results of operations. One set of challenges relates to dynamic and accelerating market trends, such as the market shift to cloud-related IT infrastructure, software and services, and the growth in software-as-a-service ("SaaS") business models. Certain of our legacy hardware businesses face challenges as customers migrate to cloud-based offerings and reduce their purchases of

Table of Contents

hardware products. Additionally, our legacy software business derives a large portion of its revenues from upfront license sales, some of which over time can be expected to shift to SaaS. Another set of challenges relates to changes in the competitive landscape. Our major competitors are expanding their product and service offerings with integrated products and solutions, our business-specific competitors are exerting increased competitive pressure in targeted areas and are entering new markets, our emerging competitors are introducing new technologies and business models, and our alliance partners in some businesses are increasingly becoming our competitors in others. A third set of challenges relates to business model changes and our go-to-market execution.

The macroeconomic weakness we have experienced has moderated in some geographic regions but remains an overall challenge. A discussion of some of these challenges at the segment level is set forth below.

In Personal Systems, we are witnessing a softening demand in the Personal Computer ("PC") market as customers hold onto their PCs longer, thereby extending PC refresh cycles. The growth of and preference towards mobility form factors is also impacting demand for traditional notebooks and desktop tower PCs. To address this trend, HP is investing significantly in mobility form factors such as convertible notebooks, detachable notebooks, and commercial tablets in order to meet varying customer needs. Additionally, demand for PCs is being impacted by weaker macroeconomic conditions in certain Asian and European markets. As such, we see continued market headwinds for the next several quarters. We also see opportunity in the market long term given our strength in Commercial and the launch of Windows 10, which represents a potential catalyst for demand, particularly in Consumer. In Personal Systems, we are maintaining our strategic focus on profitable growth through improved market segmentation with respect to multi-operating systems, multi-architecture, geography, customer segments and other key attributes.

In Printing, we are experiencing the impact of the growth in mobility and demand challenges in consumer and commercial markets. We are also experiencing an overall competitive pricing environment due to aggressive pricing from our Japanese competitors, given the weakness of the Japanese Yen. To be successful in addressing these challenges, we need to continue to execute on our key initiatives of focusing on products targeted at high usage categories, developing emerging market opportunities and introducing new revenue delivery models to consumer customers. In the consumer market, our Ink in the Office products are driving unit volume due to demand for our OfficeJet Pro product lines, particularly our OfficeJet Pro X printers which leverage our Page-Wide Array technology. The Ink in the Office initiative targets shifting ink into SMBs more profitably. In the commercial market, our focus is on placing higher value printer units which also offers a positive annuity of toner and ink and accelerating growth in graphics. We are accomplishing this in several growth areas: in multi-function and Enterprise Ink printers with recently introduced products that are increasing demand, in managed print services, which presents a strong after-market supplies opportunity, and in graphics with product innovation in our Indigo product line. We plan to continue this focus on shifting the mix in the installed base with more value-added units, and expanding our innovative ink, laser and graphics programs.

In EG, we are experiencing challenges due to multiple market trends, including the increasing demand for hyperscale computing infrastructure products, the transition to cloud computing and a highly competitive pricing environment. In addition, demand for our Business Critical Systems ("BCS") products continues to weaken as has the overall market for UNIX products. The effect of lower BCS and traditional storage revenue along with a higher mix of density optimized server products and mid-range converged storage solutions is impacting support attach opportunities in Technology Services ("TS"). To be successful in overcoming these challenges, we must address business model shifts and go-to-market execution challenges, while continuing to

Table of Contents

pursue new product innovation that builds on our existing capabilities in areas such as cloud and data center computing, software-defined networking, storage, blade servers and wireless networking.

In ES, we are facing challenges, including managing the revenue runoff from several large contracts, pressured public sector spending, a competitive pricing environment and market pressures from a mixed economic recovery in Europe, the Middle East and Africa ("EMEA"). We are also experiencing commoditization in the IT infrastructure services market that is placing pressure on traditional ITO pricing and cost structures. There is also an industry-wide shift to highly automated, asset-light delivery of IT infrastructure and applications leading to headcount consolidation. To be successful in addressing these challenges, we must execute on the ES multi-year turnaround plan, which includes a cost reduction initiative to align our costs to our revenue trajectory, a focus on new logo wins and Strategic Enterprise Services ("SES") and initiatives to improve execution in sales performance and accountability, contracting practices and pricing.

In Software, we are facing challenges, including the market shift to SaaS and go-to-market execution challenges. To be successful in addressing these challenges, we must improve our go-to-market execution with multiple product delivery models which better address customer needs and achieve broader integration across our overall product portfolio as we work to capitalize on important market opportunities in cloud, big data and security.

To address these challenges, we continue to pursue innovation with a view towards developing new products and services aligned with market demand, industry trends and the needs of our customers and partners. In addition, we need to continue to improve our operations, with a particular focus on enhancing our end-to-end processes and efficiencies. We also need to continue to optimize our sales coverage models, align our sales incentives with our strategic goals, improve channel execution, strengthen our capabilities in our areas of strategic focus, and develop and capitalize on market opportunities.

For a further discussion of trends, uncertainties and other factors that could impact our operating results, see the section entitled "Risk Factors" in Item 1A, which is incorporated herein by reference.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations is based on our Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"). The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, net revenues and expenses, and disclosure of contingent liabilities. Our management believes that there have been no significant changes during the nine months ended July 31, 2015 to the items that we disclosed as our critical accounting policies and estimates in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2014.

ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

For a summary of recent accounting pronouncements applicable to our consolidated condensed financial statements see Note 1 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in Item 1, which is incorporated herein by reference.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Revenue from our international operations has historically represented, and we expect will continue to represent, a majority of our overall net revenue. As a result, our revenue growth has been

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

impacted, and we expect will continue to be impacted, by fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. In order to provide a framework for assessing performance excluding the impact of foreign currency fluctuations, we present the year-over-year percentage change in revenue on a constant currency basis, which assumes no change in foreign currency exchange rates from the prior-year period and doesn't adjust for any repricing or demand impacts from changes in foreign currency exchange rates. This information is provided so that revenue can be viewed without the effect of fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, which is consistent with how management evaluates our revenue results and trends. This constant currency disclosure is provided in addition to, and not as a substitute for, the year-over-year percentage change in revenue on a GAAP basis. Other companies may calculate and define similarly labeled items differently, which may limit the usefulness of this measure for comparative purposes.

Results of operations in dollars and as a percentage of net revenue were as follows:

| | Three months ended July 31 | | | | Nine months ended July 31 | | | |
|---|----------------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| | 2015 | | 2014 | | 2015 | | 2014 | |
| | Dollars | % of Revenue | Dollars | % of Revenue | Dollars | % of Revenue | Dollars | % of Revenue |
| Dollars in millions | | | | | | | | |
| Net revenue | \$ 25,349 | 100.0% | \$ 27,585 | 100.0% | \$ 77,641 | 100.0% | \$ 83,048 | 100.0% |
| Cost of sales ⁽¹⁾ | 19,317 | 76.2% | 20,974 | 76.0% | 59,233 | 76.3% | 63,414 | 76.4% |
| Gross profit | 6,032 | 23.8% | 6,611 | 24.0% | 18,408 | 23.7% | 19,634 | 23.6% |
| Research and development | 893 | 3.5% | 887 | 3.2% | 2,568 | 3.3% | 2,571 | 3.1% |
| Selling, general and administrative | 2,962 | 11.7% | 3,388 | 12.3% | 9,096 | 11.7% | 9,989 | 12.0% |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 242 | 0.9% | 227 | 0.8% | 685 | 0.9% | 774 | 1.0% |
| Restructuring charges | 25 | 0.1% | 649 | 2.4% | 426 | 0.5% | 1,015 | 1.2% |
| Acquisition-related charges | 47 | 0.2% | 2 | | 70 | 0.1% | 8 | |
| Separation costs | 401 | 1.6% | | | 750 | 1.0% | | |
| Defined benefit plan settlement charges | 114 | 0.4% | | | 114 | 0.1% | | |
| Impairment of data center assets | 136 | 0.6% | | | 136 | 0.2% | | |
| Earnings from operations | 1,212 | 4.8% | 1,458 | 5.3% | 4,563 | 5.9% | 5,277 | 6.3% |
| Interest and other, net | (108) | (0.4)% | (145) | (0.6)% | (421) | (0.6)% | (482) | (0.6)% |
| Earnings before taxes | 1,104 | 4.4% | 1,313 | 4.7% | 4,142 | 5.3% | 4,795 | 5.7% |
| Provision for taxes | (250) | (1.0)% | (328) | (1.1)% | (911) | (1.1)% | (1,112) | (1.3)% |
| Net earnings | \$ 854 | 3.4% | \$ 985 | 3.6% | \$ 3,231 | 4.2% | \$ 3,683 | 4.4% |

(1) Cost of products, cost of services and financing interest.

Net Revenue

For the three months ended July 31, 2015, total net revenue decreased 8.1% (decreased 2.2% on a constant currency basis). U.S. net revenue decreased 4.7% to \$9.3 billion, while net revenue from outside of the U.S. decreased 10.0% to \$16.0 billion. For the nine months ended July 31, 2015, total net revenue decreased 6.5% (decreased 2.3% on a constant currency basis). U.S. net revenue decreased 3.1% to \$27.8 billion, while net revenue from outside of the U.S. decreased 8.3% to \$49.8 billion.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

The components of the weighted net revenue change by segment were as follows:

| | Three months ended July 31, 2015 | Nine months ended July 31, 2015 |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| | Percentage Points | |
| Personal Systems | (4.2) | (1.9) |
| Enterprise Services | (2.3) | (2.5) |
| Printing | (1.7) | (1.4) |
| Software | (0.2) | (0.2) |
| HP Financial Services | (0.2) | (0.2) |
| Corporate Investments/Other | | (0.4) |
| Enterprise Group | 0.5 | 0.1 |
| Total HP | (8.1) | (6.5) |

Three months ended July 31, 2015 compared with three months ended July 31, 2014

For the three months ended July 31, 2015, total net revenue decreased 8.1 percentage points. From a segment perspective, the primary factors contributing to the change in net revenue are summarized as follows:

Personal Systems net revenue decreased due primarily to unfavorable currency impacts, particularly in EMEA, weakening market demand and competitive pricing pressures;

ES net revenue decreased due primarily to unfavorable currency impacts and revenue runoff in two key accounts;

Printing net revenue decreased due primarily to weak market demand and competitive pricing pressures;

Software net revenue decreased due primarily to unfavorable currency impacts and declines in license revenue;

HPFS net revenue decreased due primarily to unfavorable currency impacts; and

EG net revenue increased due primarily to revenue resulting from our acquisition of Aruba Networks, Inc. ("Aruba") and growth in ISS.

Nine months ended July 31, 2015 compared with nine months ended July 31, 2014

For the nine months ended July 31, 2015, total net revenue decreased 6.5 percentage points. From a segment perspective, the primary factors contributing to the change in net revenue are summarized as follows:

ES net revenue decreased due primarily to unfavorable currency impacts and revenue runoff in two key accounts;

Personal Systems net revenue decreased due primarily to unfavorable currency impacts, particularly in EMEA, weakening market demand and competitive pricing pressures;

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Printing net revenue decreased due primarily to weak market demand and competitive pricing pressures;

Corporate Investments net revenue decreased due to the sale of IP in the prior-year period;

Software net revenue decreased due primarily to unfavorable currency impacts and declines in license revenue;

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

HPFS net revenue decreased due primarily to unfavorable currency impacts, lower asset management activity and lower portfolio revenue as a result of lower interest rate yields; and

EG net revenue increased due to growth in ISS.

A more detailed discussion of segment revenue is included under "Segment Information" below.

Gross Margin

Three months ended July 31, 2015 compared with three months ended July 31, 2014

For the three months ended July 31, 2015, total gross margin decreased 0.2 percentage points. From a segment perspective, the primary factors impacting gross margin performance are summarized as follows:

Printing gross margin decreased due primarily to a competitive pricing environment in hardware;

EG gross margin decreased due primarily to unfavorable currency impacts, an unfavorable mix, and competitive pricing;

Personal Systems gross margin decreased due primarily to unfavorable currency impacts, net of repricing;

Software gross margin decreased due primarily to an unfavorable mix of license revenue;

ES gross margin increased due primarily to service delivery efficiencies; and

HPFS gross margin increased due primarily to higher margins in asset management activity due to a customer billing adjustment in the prior-year period.

Nine months ended July 31, 2015 compared with nine months ended July 31, 2014

For the nine months ended July 31, 2015, total gross margin increased 0.1 percentage points. From a segment perspective, the primary factors impacting gross margin performance are summarized as follows:

ES gross margin increased due primarily to service delivery efficiencies and improving profit performance in underperforming contracts;

HPFS gross margin increased due primarily to higher margins in asset management activity primarily from asset recovery services and the result of a customer billing adjustment in the prior-year period;

EG gross margin decreased due primarily to a higher mix of ISS products, unfavorable currency impacts, and competitive pricing;

Printing gross margin decreased due primarily to a competitive pricing environment in hardware;

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Personal Systems gross margin decreased due primarily to unfavorable currency impacts and a higher mix of consumer products;

Software gross margin decreased due primarily to an unfavorable mix of license revenue; and

Corporate Investments gross margin decreased due to the impact from a sale of IP in the prior-year period.

A more detailed discussion of segment gross margins and operating margins is included under "Segment Information" below.

Table of Contents

Operating Expenses

Research and Development

R&D expense increased 1% for the three months ended July 31, 2015, due primarily to expenses in the period from Aruba and increases in TS and HP Labs as we make investments in our strategic focus areas of security and cloud, partially offset by favorable currency impacts.

R&D expense was flat for the nine months ended July 31, 2015 due primarily to favorable currency impacts offset by increases in Personal Systems, TS and Networking in the EG segment and HP Labs.

Selling, General and Administrative

SG&A expense decreased 13% for the three months ended July 31, 2015, due primarily to favorable currency impacts, gains on divestitures, insurance reserve releases and the impact of higher expenses in the prior-year period from one-time items. The decrease was partially offset by expenses in the current period from Aruba.

SG&A expense decreased 9% for the nine months ended July 31, 2015, due primarily to favorable currency impacts and declines in go-to-market costs as a result of lower commissions and productivity initiatives. The decrease was partially offset by higher administrative expenses due to a gain from the sale of real estate in the prior-year period.

Amortization of Intangible Assets

Amortization expense increased 7% for the three months ended July 31, 2015 due primarily to the intangible assets resulting from the acquisition of Aruba. The increase was partially offset by certain intangible assets associated with prior acquisitions reaching the end of their respective amortization periods.

Amortization expense decreased 12% for the nine months ended July 31, 2015 due primarily to certain intangible assets associated with prior acquisitions reaching the end of their respective amortization periods.

Restructuring Charges

Restructuring charges decreased for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2015, due primarily to lower charges from the multi-year restructuring plan initially announced in May 2012 (the "2012 Plan").

Acquisition-Related Charges

Acquisition-related charges increased for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2015, due primarily to a non-cash inventory fair value adjustment charge and professional services and legal fees associated with the acquisition of Aruba.

Separation Costs

Separation costs for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2015, were primarily related to third-party consulting, contractor fees and other incremental costs.

Table of Contents

Defined Benefit Plan Settlement Charges

Defined benefit plan settlement charges for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2015 were related to U.S. defined benefit plan settlement expense and net periodic benefit cost resulting from the voluntary lump sum program announced in January 2015.

Impairment of Data Center Assets

Impairment of data center assets for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2015, was related to our exit from several ES data centers.

Interest and Other, Net

Interest and other, net expense decreased by \$37 million for the three months ended July 31, 2015 due primarily to a decrease in miscellaneous other expense.

Interest and other, net expense decreased by \$61 million for the nine months ended July 31, 2015. The decrease was driven by lower interest expense due to lower weighted average interest rates and a decrease in miscellaneous other expense partially offset by higher foreign currency transaction losses.

Provision for Taxes

Our effective tax rate was 22.6% and 25.0% for the three months ended July 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and 22.0% and 23.2% for the nine months ended July 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Our effective tax rate generally differs from the U.S. federal statutory rate of 35% due to favorable tax rates associated with certain earnings from our operations in lower tax jurisdictions throughout the world. We have not provided U.S. taxes for all foreign earnings because we plan to reinvest some of those earnings indefinitely outside the U.S.

In the three and nine months ended July 31, 2015, we recorded discrete items resulting in net tax benefits of \$164 million and \$349 million, respectively. These amounts included a tax benefit of \$122 million and \$237 million, for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2015, respectively, on separation charges and a tax benefit of \$23 million and \$75 million for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2015, respectively, on combined restructuring and acquisition-related charges. The nine month period ended July 31, 2015, also included a tax benefit of \$47 million arising from the retroactive research and development credit provided by the Tax Increase Prevention Act of 2014 signed into law in December 2014. Additionally, in the three and nine months ended July 31, 2015, we recorded various other discrete tax benefits of \$19 million and tax charges of \$10 million, respectively.

In the three and nine months ended July 31, 2014, we recorded discrete items resulting in net tax benefits of \$88 million and \$53 million, respectively. These amounts include tax benefits of \$100 million and \$145 million related to restructuring charges, respectively.

Segment Information

A description of the products and services for each segment can be found in Note 2 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in Item 1, which is incorporated herein by reference. Future changes to this organizational structure may result in changes to the segments disclosed.

Effective at the beginning of our first quarter of fiscal 2015, we implemented an organizational change to align our segment financial reporting more closely with our current business structure. This organizational change resulted in the transfer of third-party multi-vendor support arrangements from the TS business unit within the EG segment to the ITO business unit within the ES segment.

Table of Contents**Printing and Personal Systems Group**

The Personal Systems segment and the Printing segment are structured beneath a broader Printing and Personal Systems Group ("PPS"). We describe the results of the segments within PPS below.

Personal Systems

| | Three months ended July 31 | | |
|--|----------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | % Change |
| | Dollars in millions | | |
| Net revenue | \$ 7,491 | \$ 8,649 | (13.4)% |
| Earnings from operations | \$ 222 | \$ 346 | (35.8)% |
| Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue | 3.0% | 4.0% | |

| | Nine months ended July 31 | | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------|----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | % Change |
| | Dollars in millions | | |
| Net revenue | \$ 23,775 | \$ 25,355 | (6.2)% |
| Earnings from operations | \$ 770 | \$ 915 | (15.8)% |
| Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue | 3.2% | 3.6% | |

The components of net revenue and the weighted net revenue change by business unit were as follows:

| | Three months ended July 31 | | |
|------------------------|----------------------------|----------|---|
| | Net Revenue | | Weighted Net Revenue Change Percentage Points |
| | 2015 | 2014 | |
| | Dollars in millions | | |
| Desktop PCs | \$ 2,700 | \$ 3,395 | (8.1) |
| Notebook PCs | 3,993 | 4,359 | (4.2) |
| Workstations | 507 | 579 | (0.8) |
| Other | 291 | 316 | (0.3) |
| Total Personal Systems | \$ 7,491 | \$ 8,649 | (13.4) |

| | Nine months ended July 31 | | |
|------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|---|
| | Net Revenue | | Weighted Net Revenue Change Percentage Points |
| | 2015 | 2014 | |
| | Dollars in millions | | |
| Desktop PCs | \$ 8,411 | \$ 10,012 | (6.3) |
| Notebook PCs | 12,887 | 12,671 | 0.9 |
| Workstations | 1,546 | 1,660 | (0.5) |
| Other | 931 | 1,012 | (0.3) |
| Total Personal Systems | \$ 23,775 | \$ 25,355 | (6.2) |

Table of Contents

Three months ended July 31, 2015 compared with three months ended July 31, 2014

Personal Systems net revenue decreased 13.4% (decreased 7.0% on a constant currency basis) for the three months ended July 31, 2015. The revenue decline in Personal Systems was due primarily to unfavorable currency impacts, particularly in EMEA, weakening market demand and competitive pricing pressures. Net revenue declined across all product categories led by a 20% decline in Desktop PCs, 8% in Notebook PCs, 12% in Workstations and 8% in Other net revenue. The decline in Personal Systems net revenue was driven by an 11% decline in unit volume along with a 3% decline in average selling prices ("ASPs"). The unit volume decrease was primarily led by a double-digit volume decline in desktops and to a lesser extent in notebooks. Within the volume decline in notebooks, we experienced volume growth in commercial notebooks. The decline in ASPs was due primarily to unfavorable currency impacts, net of repricing, and a shift in consumer PCs to low-end products, partially offset by a higher mix of commercial PCs within Personal Systems.

Net revenue for commercial clients decreased 9% due primarily to unfavorable currency impacts, weakening market demand for commercial desktops and higher revenue in the prior-year period resulting from the replacement of the Windows XP operating system. The revenue decline in commercial clients was partially offset by growth in commercial notebooks. Net revenue for consumer clients decreased 22% due primarily to unfavorable currency impacts and a decline in notebooks and desktops due in part to customers delaying purchases in anticipation of the scheduled release of Windows 10. The net revenue decline in Other was due primarily to a decline in sales of consumer tablets and unfavorable currency impacts, partially offset by increased sales of extended warranties.

Personal Systems earnings from operations as a percentage of net revenue decreased by 1.0 percentage point for the three months ended July 31, 2015 as a result of a decline in gross margin combined with an increase in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue. The decline in gross margin was due primarily to unfavorable currency impacts, net of repricing, partially offset by favorable component costs, a higher mix of commercial products and operational cost improvements. Operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue increased due primarily to the size of the revenue decline, partially offset by favorable currency impacts.

Nine months ended July 31, 2015 compared with nine months ended July 31, 2014

Personal Systems net revenue decreased 6.2% (decreased 1.6% on a constant currency basis) for the nine months ended July 31, 2015. The revenue decline in Personal Systems was due primarily to unfavorable currency impacts, particularly in EMEA, weakening market demand and competitive pricing pressures. Net revenue declined 16% in Desktop PCs, 7% in Workstations and 8% in Other net revenue. Notebook PCs experienced a revenue increase of 2%. Personal Systems net revenue decreased as a result of a 6% decline in ASPs. The decline in ASPs was due primarily to unfavorable currency impacts and a shift in consumer PCs to low end products, partially offset by a favorable mix of commercial PCs within Personal Systems. The unit volume was flat primarily due to volume declines in desktops offset by growth in notebooks, both consumer and commercial.

Net revenue for commercial clients decreased 6% due primarily to unfavorable currency impacts and a decline in commercial desktops as a result of weak market demand and higher revenue in the prior-year period resulting from the replacement of the Windows XP operating system, the effects of which were partially offset by growth in commercial notebooks. Net revenue for consumer clients decreased 7% due primarily to unfavorable currency impacts and a decline in consumer desktops, the effects of which were partially offset by growth in consumer notebooks. The net revenue decline in Other was due primarily to the sale of IP in the prior-year period, a decline in consumer tablets and unfavorable currency impacts, the effects of which were partially offset by increased sales of extended warranties and third-party options.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

Personal Systems earnings from operations as a percentage of net revenue decreased by 0.4 percentage points for the nine months ended July 31, 2015 as a result of a decline in gross margin combined with an increase in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue. The decline in gross margin was due primarily to unfavorable currency impacts and a higher mix of consumer products, the effects of which were partially offset by favorable component costs and operational cost improvements. Operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue increased due primarily to the size of the revenue decline, higher administrative expenses as a result of lower bad debt recoveries as compared to the prior-year period and higher R&D investments in commercial, mobility and immersive computing products, the effects of which were partially offset by a decline in field selling costs as a result of favorable currency impacts and operational cost improvements.

Printing

Three months ended July 31

2015 2014 % Change

Dollars in millions

| | | | | | |
|--|----|-------|----|-------|---------|
| Net revenue | \$ | 5,108 | \$ | 5,590 | (8.6)% |
| Earnings from operations | \$ | 910 | \$ | 1,026 | (11.3)% |
| Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue | | 17.8% | | 18.4% | |

Nine months ended July 31

2015 2014 % Change

Dollars in millions

| | | | | | |
|--|----|--------|----|--------|--------|
| Net revenue | \$ | 16,104 | \$ | 17,239 | (6.6)% |
| Earnings from operations | \$ | 2,973 | \$ | 3,145 | (5.5)% |
| Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue | | 18.5% | | 18.2% | |

The components of the net revenue and weighted net revenue change by business unit were as follows:

Three months ended July 31

Net Revenue Weighted
Net Revenue
Change
Percentage
Points

2015 2014

Dollars in millions

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|
| Supplies | \$ | 3,455 | \$ | 3,660 | (3.7) |
| Commercial Hardware | | 1,250 | | 1,401 | (2.7) |
| Consumer Hardware | | 403 | | 529 | (2.2) |
| Total Printing | \$ | 5,108 | \$ | 5,590 | (8.6) |

Nine months ended July 31

Net Revenue Weighted
Net Revenue
Change
Percentage
Points

2015 2014

Dollars in millions

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|---------------|--------------|
| Supplies | \$ | 10,740 | \$ | 11,321 | (3.4) |
| Commercial Hardware | | 3,870 | | 4,150 | (1.6) |
| Consumer Hardware | | 1,494 | | 1,768 | (1.6) |
| Total Printing | \$ | 16,104 | \$ | 17,239 | (6.6) |

Table of Contents

Three months ended July 31, 2015 compared with three months ended July 31, 2014

Printing net revenue decreased 8.6% (decreased 6.5% on a constant currency basis) for the three months ended July 31, 2015. The decline in net revenue was due primarily to weak market demand and competitive pricing pressures, the effects of which were partially offset by growth in graphics products. From a regional perspective, Printing experienced a revenue decline across all regions, primarily in EMEA and particularly in Russia as a result of challenges in that market.

Net revenue for Supplies decreased 6% due primarily to demand weakness in toner, primarily in EMEA, led by a revenue decline in Russia, partially offset by growth in graphics supplies. Printer unit volume declined 2% while average revenue per unit ("ARU") decreased 13%. The decline in printer unit volume was due primarily to a decline in LaserJet and home printer units, the effects of which were partially offset by growth in SMB printer units. Printer ARU declined due primarily to a competitive pricing environment and unfavorable currency impacts. Net revenue for Commercial Hardware decreased 11% due to a 7% decline in ARU and a 6% decline in unit volume, the effects of which were partially offset by a revenue increase in other peripheral printing solutions. The ARU decline in Commercial Hardware was due primarily to a decline in LaserJet printers as a result of a competitive pricing environment and unfavorable currency impacts. The unit volume decline in Commercial Hardware was due primarily to a decline in transaction laser printer units within LaserJet printers. Net revenue for Consumer Hardware decreased 24% due to a 20% decline in ARU, flat unit volume and a revenue decline in other peripheral printing solutions. The ARU decline in Consumer Hardware was due primarily to a competitive pricing environment, a mix-shift to low-end printers and unfavorable currency impacts. The unit volume in Consumer Hardware was flat due to lower sales of home printer units offset by growth in sales of SMB printer units.

Printing earnings from operations as a percentage of net revenue decreased by 0.6 percentage points for the three months ended July 31, 2015 due to a decline in gross margin, partially offset by a decline in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue. The decline in gross margin was due primarily to a competitive pricing environment in hardware, partially offset by a favorable mix of Supplies, particularly ink and graphics supplies, and favorable currency impacts from the Japanese Yen. Operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue decreased due primarily to the impact of the divestiture of our photo printing service Snapfish, favorable currency impacts and cost saving initiatives.

Nine months ended July 31, 2015 compared with nine months ended July 31, 2014

Printing net revenue decreased 6.6% (decreased 4.9% on a constant currency basis) for the nine months ended July 31, 2015. The decline in net revenue was due primarily to weak market demand and competitive pricing pressures, the effects of which were partially offset by growth in graphics products. From a regional perspective, Printing experienced a revenue decline across all regions, primarily in EMEA and particularly in Russia as a result of challenges in that market.

Net revenue for Supplies decreased 5% due primarily to demand weakness in toner, particularly in EMEA, led by a revenue decline in Russia, partially offset by growth in graphics supplies. Printer unit volumes declined 3% while ARU decreased 7%. Printer unit volume declined due primarily to a decline in home and LaserJet printer units, the effects of which were partially offset by growth in SMB and graphics printer units. The ARU for printers decreased due primarily to a highly competitive pricing environment and unfavorable currency impacts on inkjet and LaserJet printers. Net revenue for Commercial Hardware decreased 7% driven by a 6% decline in ARU and a 2% decline in unit volume, partially offset by a revenue increase in other peripheral solutions. The ARU decline in Commercial Hardware was due primarily to a decline in LaserJet printers as a result of a competitive pricing environment and unfavorable currency impacts. In Commercial Hardware, the decline in unit volume was due primarily to an overall decline in LaserJet printer units, partially offset by growth in multifunction laser and graphics printer units. Net revenue for Consumer Hardware decreased 15% due

Table of Contents

primarily to a 13% decline in ARU and a 4% decline in unit volume, partially offset by a revenue increase in other peripheral solutions. The ARU decline in Consumer Hardware was due primarily to a competitive pricing environment, a mix-shift to low-end printers and unfavorable currency impacts. The unit volume decline in Consumer Hardware was due primarily to lower sales of home printer units, partially offset by growth in sales of SMB printer units.

Printing earnings from operations as a percentage of net revenue increased by 0.3 percentage points for the nine months ended July 31, 2015 due to lower operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue, partially offset by a decline in gross margin. The decline in gross margin was due primarily to a competitive pricing environment in hardware, partially offset by a favorable mix of ink and graphics supplies and favorable currency impacts from the Japanese Yen. Operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue decreased due primarily to the impact of the divestiture of our photo printing service Snapfish, lower marketing expenses, favorable currency impacts and cost saving initiatives.

Enterprise Group

| | Three months ended July 31 | | |
|--|----------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | % Change |
| | Dollars in millions | | |
| Net revenue | \$ 7,007 | \$ 6,872 | 2.0% |
| Earnings from operations | \$ 912 | \$ 963 | (5.3)% |
| Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue | 13.0% | 14.0% | |

| | Nine months ended July 31 | | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------|----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | % Change |
| | Dollars in millions | | |
| Net revenue | \$ 20,549 | \$ 20,475 | 0.4% |
| Earnings from operations | \$ 2,952 | \$ 2,923 | 1.0% |
| Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue | 14.4% | 14.3% | |

The components of net revenue and the weighted net revenue change by business unit were as follows:

| | Three months ended July 31 | | |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------|---|
| | Net Revenue | | Weighted Net Revenue Change Percentage Points |
| | 2015 | 2014 | |
| | Dollars in millions | | |
| Industry Standard Servers | \$ 3,335 | \$ 3,097 | 3.5 |
| Networking | 823 | 672 | 2.2 |
| Storage | 784 | 796 | (0.2) |
| Business Critical Systems | 184 | 233 | (0.7) |
| Technology Services | 1,881 | 2,074 | (2.8) |
| Total Enterprise Group | \$ 7,007 | \$ 6,872 | 2.0 |

Table of Contents

| | Nine months ended July 31 | | | Weighted Net Revenue Change Percentage Points |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|--|---|
| | Net Revenue | | | |
| | 2015 | 2014 | | |
| | Dollars in millions | | | |
| Industry Standard Servers | \$ 9,860 | \$ 9,104 | | 3.7 |
| Networking | 1,941 | 1,960 | | (0.1) |
| Storage | 2,361 | 2,438 | | (0.4) |
| Business Critical Systems | 587 | 691 | | (0.5) |
| Technology Services | 5,800 | 6,282 | | (2.3) |
| Total Enterprise Group | \$ 20,549 | \$ 20,475 | | 0.4 |

Three and nine months ended July 31, 2015 compared with three and nine months ended July 31, 2014

EG net revenue increased 2.0% (increased 9.0% on a constant currency basis) and increased 0.4% (increased 5.5% on a constant currency basis) for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2015, respectively. We continued to experience challenges due to market trends, including the transition to cloud computing, as well as product and technology transitions, along with a highly competitive pricing environment. For the three months ended July 31, 2015, the increase in net revenue was due primarily to revenue resulting from our acquisition of Aruba in May 2015, and growth in ISS, partially offset by unfavorable currency impacts. For the nine months ended July 31, 2015, the net revenue increase was due primarily to growth in ISS partially offset by unfavorable currency impacts.

ISS net revenue increased 8% for both the three and nine months ended July 31, 2015 due primarily to higher average unit prices ("AUPs") in rack server products driven by higher option attach rates for memory, processors and hard drives, a mix shift to high-end HP ProLiant Gen9 servers and higher revenue from density optimized servers. These increases were partially offset by lower revenue from blade and tower server products due primarily to competitive pricing pressures. Networking net revenue increased 22% and decreased 1% for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2015, respectively. The increase for the three month period was due primarily to revenue from Aruba, which resulted in higher revenue from WLAN products, the effect of which was partially offset by competitive pricing pressures particularly in China. The decrease for the nine months ended July 31, 2015 was due primarily to lower revenue from switching and routing products, particularly in China as a result of competitive pricing pressures, the effects of which were partially offset by higher revenue from WLAN products as a result of the acquisition of Aruba. Storage net revenue decreased 2% and 3% for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2015, respectively. For both periods, we experienced a net revenue decline in traditional storage products, the effect of which was partially offset by net revenue growth in Converged Storage solutions due primarily to the 3PAR StoreServ products, particularly All-flash arrays, and StoreOnce. BCS net revenue decreased 21% and 15% for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2015, respectively, largely a result of ongoing challenges from the overall UNIX market contraction. TS net revenue decreased 9% and 8% for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2015, respectively, due primarily to a reduction in support for BCS and traditional storage products along with lower revenue from consulting services, the effects of which were partially offset by growth in HP Data Center Care and HP Proactive Care support solutions.

For the three months ended July 31, 2015, EG earnings from operations as a percentage of net revenue decreased by 1.0 percentage point due to a decrease in gross margin partially offset by a decrease in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue. The decrease in gross margin was due primarily to unfavorable currency impacts, an unfavorable mix, and competitive pricing, the effects of which were partially offset by improved cost management. The decrease in operating expenses as a

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

percentage of net revenue for the three months ended July 31, 2015 was due primarily to favorable currency impacts, partially offset by operating expenses from Aruba.

EG earnings from operations as a percentage of net revenue increased by 0.1 percentage point for the nine months ended July 31, 2015 due to a decrease in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue partially offset by a decrease in gross margin. The decrease in gross margin was due primarily to a higher mix of ISS products, unfavorable currency impacts, and competitive pricing, the effects of which were partially offset by improved cost management and improved pricing in Storage. The decrease in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue for the nine months ended July 31, 2015 was due primarily to favorable currency impacts, partially offset by operating expenses from Aruba.

Enterprise Services

| | Three months ended July 31 | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | % Change |
| | Dollars in millions | | |
| Net revenue | \$ 4,976 | \$ 5,590 | (11.0)% |
| Earnings from operations | \$ 297 | \$ 231 | 28.6% |
| Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue | 6.0% | 4.1% | |

| | Nine months ended July 31 | | |
|--|----------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | % Change |
| | Dollars in millions | | |
| Net revenue | \$ 14,786 | \$ 16,887 | (12.4)% |
| Earnings from operations | \$ 639 | \$ 439 | 45.6% |
| Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue | 4.3% | 2.6% | |

The components of net revenue and the weighted net revenue change by business unit were as follows:

| | Three months ended July 31 | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| | Net Revenue | | Weighted Net Revenue Change Percentage Points |
| | 2015 | 2014 | |
| | Dollars in millions | | |
| Infrastructure Technology Outsourcing | \$ 3,036 | \$ 3,494 | (8.2) |
| Application and Business Services | 1,940 | 2,096 | (2.8) |
| Total Enterprise Services | \$ 4,976 | \$ 5,590 | (11.0) |

| | Nine months ended July 31 | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|--|
| | Net Revenue | | Weighted Net Revenue Change Percentage Points |
| | 2015 | 2014 | |
| | Dollars in millions | | |
| Infrastructure Technology Outsourcing | \$ 9,039 | \$ 10,592 | (9.2) |
| Application and Business Services | 5,747 | 6,295 | (3.2) |
| Total Enterprise Services | \$ 14,786 | \$ 16,887 | (12.4) |

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

Three and nine months ended July 31, 2015 compared with three and nine months ended July 31, 2014

ES net revenue decreased 11.0% (decreased 3.2% on a constant currency basis) and decreased 12.4% (decreased 6.8% on a constant currency basis) for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2015, respectively. Performance in ES remained challenged by the impact of several large contracts winding down. For both periods, the net revenue decrease in ES was due primarily to unfavorable currency impacts and revenue runoff in two key accounts, partially offset by growth in our SES portfolio which includes information management and analytics, security and cloud services. For the three months ended July 31, 2015, growth in SES was driven by growth in EMEA. Additionally, for the nine months ended July 31, 2015, the net revenue decrease in ES was impacted by soft demand in ITO in new and existing accounts.

Net revenue in ITO decreased by 13% and 15% for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2015, respectively, due to unfavorable currency impacts and revenue runoff in two key accounts, partially offset by growth in SES revenue. Additionally, for the nine months ended July 31, 2015, the net revenue decrease in ITO was due to weak growth in new and existing accounts, particularly in EMEA. Net revenue in Application and Business Services ("ABS") declined by 7% and 9% for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2015, respectively, primarily due to unfavorable currency impacts. For the three month period, the decrease in ABS from the negative impact of currency is partially offset by a revenue increase in EMEA and Asia Pacific from install base growth. For the nine month period, in addition to the negative currency impact, the decrease in ABS is also attributable to weak growth in new and existing accounts.

For the three and nine months ended July 31, 2015 as compared to the prior-year periods, ES earnings from operations as a percentage of net revenue increased 1.9 percentage points and 1.7 percentage points, respectively. The increase in operating margin for both periods was due to an increase in gross margin and a decrease in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue. Gross margin increased for both periods due primarily to service delivery efficiencies. Additionally, for the nine months ended July 31, 2015, the increase in gross margin was due to improving profit performance in underperforming contracts. The decrease in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue for both periods was primarily driven by lower field selling costs, which was due to favorable currency impacts and our sales transformation initiatives.

Software

| | Three months ended July 31 | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | % Change |
| | Dollars in millions | | |
| Net revenue | \$ 900 | \$ 959 | (6.2)% |
| Earnings from operations | \$ 185 | \$ 203 | (8.9)% |
| Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue | 20.6% | 21.2% | |

| | Nine months ended July 31 | | |
|--|----------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | % Change |
| | Dollars in millions | | |
| Net revenue | \$ 2,663 | \$ 2,846 | (6.4)% |
| Earnings from operations | \$ 502 | \$ 534 | (6.0)% |
| Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue | 18.9% | 18.8% | |

Three and nine months ended July 31, 2015 compared with three and nine months ended July 31, 2014

Software net revenue decreased 6.2% (decreased 1.3% on a constant currency basis) and decreased 6.4% (decreased 2.9% on a constant currency basis) for the three and nine months ended

Table of Contents

July 31, 2015, respectively. Revenue growth in Software is being challenged by the overall market and customer shift to SaaS solutions and execution challenges, both of which are impacting growth in license and support revenue. Net revenue growth for both periods was negatively impacted by foreign currency fluctuations across all regions, led primarily by weakness in the euro.

For the three months ended July 31, 2015, net revenue from licenses, professional services, SaaS and support decreased by 11%, 8%, 4% and 3%, respectively, while for the nine months ended July 31, 2015, net revenue from licenses, professional services, SaaS and support decreased by 15%, 10%, 3% and 2%, respectively. The decrease in license revenue for both periods was due primarily to the market shift to SaaS solutions and sales execution challenges and, as a result, we experienced lower revenue in IT management. Professional services net revenue decreased for both periods due primarily to unfavorable currency impacts. Additionally, for the nine months ended July 31, 2015, the decrease in professional services net revenue was due to our continued focus on higher-margin engagements, and as a result, we experienced a net revenue decrease in big data solutions and IT management. SaaS net revenue decreased for both periods due primarily to sales execution issues, which resulted in lower revenue from big data solutions, partially offset by net revenue growth in IT management. The decrease in support revenue for both periods was due primarily to unfavorable currency impacts partially offset by growth in revenue for security products.

For the three months ended July 31, 2015, Software earnings from operations as a percentage of net revenue decreased by 0.6 percentage points due to a decrease in gross margin and an increase in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue. The decrease in gross margin was due primarily to an unfavorable mix of license revenue. The increase in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue was due to the size of the revenue decline, partially offset by lower SG&A expenses as a result of lower field selling costs driven by expense management.

For the nine months ended July 31, 2015, Software earnings from operations as a percentage of net revenue increased by 0.1 percentage points due to a decrease in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue, partially offset by a decrease in gross margin. The decrease in gross margin was due primarily to an unfavorable mix of license revenue. The decrease in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue was due to lower SG&A expenses as a result of lower field selling costs driven by expense management.

HP Financial Services**Three months ended July 31**

| | 2015 | 2014 | % Change |
|--|------|------|----------|
|--|------|------|----------|

Dollars in millions

| | | | |
|--|--------|--------|--------|
| Net revenue | \$ 806 | \$ 855 | (5.7)% |
| Earnings from operations | \$ 87 | \$ 79 | 10.1% |
| Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue | 10.8% | 9.2% | |

Nine months ended July 31

| | 2015 | 2014 | % Change |
|--|------|------|----------|
|--|------|------|----------|

Dollars in millions

| | | | |
|--|----------|----------|--------|
| Net revenue | \$ 2,414 | \$ 2,592 | (6.9)% |
| Earnings from operations | \$ 262 | \$ 279 | (6.1)% |
| Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue | 10.9% | 10.8% | |

Three months ended July 31, 2015 compared with three months ended July 31, 2014

HPFS net revenue decreased 5.7% (increased 2.7% on a constant currency basis) for the three months ended July 31, 2015, due primarily to unfavorable currency impacts led primarily by weakness

Table of Contents

in the euro, partially offset by an increase in revenue from asset management activity as a result of a customer billing adjustment in the prior-year period.

HPFS earnings from operations as a percentage of net revenue increased by 1.6 percentage points for the three months ended July 31, 2015 due primarily to an increase in gross margin coupled with a decrease in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue. The increase in gross margin was primarily the result of higher margins in asset management activity due to a customer billing adjustment in the prior-year period, the effect of which was partially offset by unfavorable currency impacts. The decrease in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue was due to lower SG&A expenses due primarily to favorable currency impacts.

Nine months ended July 31, 2015 compared with nine months ended July 31, 2014

HPFS net revenue decreased 6.9% (decreased 0.7% on a constant currency basis) for the nine months ended July 31, 2015. The net revenue decrease for the nine months ended July 31, 2015 was due primarily to unfavorable currency impacts led primarily by weakness in the euro, lower asset management activity and lower portfolio revenue as result of lower interest rate yields.

HPFS earnings from operations as a percentage of net revenue increased by 0.1 percentage point for the nine months ended July 31, 2015, due primarily to an increase in gross margin, partially offset by an increase in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue. The increase in gross margin was the result of higher margins in asset management activity primarily from asset recovery services and the result of a customer billing adjustment in the prior-year period, partially offset by unfavorable currency impacts and lower portfolio margins due to competitive pricing. The increase in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue was due primarily to the size of the revenue decline.

Financing Volume

| | Three months ended July 31 | | Nine months ended July 31 | |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| | In millions | | | |
| Total financing volume | \$ 1,673 | \$ 1,702 | \$ 4,678 | \$ 4,532 |

New financing volume, which represents the amount of financing provided to customers for equipment and related software and services, including intercompany activity, decreased 1.7% for the three months ended July 31, 2015 due primarily to unfavorable currency impacts led primarily by weakness in the euro, partially offset by higher financing associated with HP product sales and related services offerings.

New financing volume increased 3.2% for the nine months ended July 31, 2015 driven by higher financing associated with HP product sales and related services offerings, partially offset by unfavorable currency impacts led primarily by weakness in the euro.

Portfolio Assets and Ratios

The HPFS business model is asset intensive and uses certain internal metrics to measure its performance against other financial services companies, including a segment balance sheet that is derived from our internal management reporting system. The accounting policies used to derive HPFS amounts are substantially the same as those used by HP. However, intercompany loans and certain accounts that are reflected in the segment balances are eliminated in the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

The portfolio assets and ratios derived from the segment balance sheet for HPFS were as follows:

| | July 31, 2015 | October 31, 2014 |
|--|------------------|---------------------|
| Dollars in millions | | |
| Financing receivables, gross | \$ 6,442 | \$ 6,670 |
| Net equipment under operating leases | 2,638 | 2,595 |
| Capitalized profit on intercompany equipment transactions ⁽¹⁾ | 845 | 783 |
| Intercompany leases ⁽¹⁾ | 2,154 | 2,199 |
| Gross portfolio assets | 12,079 | 12,247 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts ⁽²⁾ | 96 | 111 |
| Operating lease equipment reserve | 59 | 68 |
| Total reserves | 155 | 179 |
| Net portfolio assets | \$ 11,924 | \$ 12,068 |
| Reserve coverage | 1.3% | 1.5% |
| Debt-to-equity ratio ⁽³⁾ | 7.0x | 7.0x |

(1) Intercompany activity is eliminated in consolidation.

(2) Allowance for doubtful accounts for financing receivables includes both the short- and long-term portions.

(3) Debt attributable to HPFS consists of intercompany equity that is treated as debt for segment reporting purposes, intercompany debt, and borrowing- and funding-related activity associated with HPFS and its subsidiaries. Debt attributable to HPFS totaled \$10.6 billion and \$10.7 billion at July 31, 2015 and October 31, 2014, respectively. HPFS equity at both July 31, 2015 and October 31, 2014 was \$1.5 billion. We believe the HPFS debt-to-equity ratio is comparable to that of other similar financing companies.

At July 31, 2015 and October 31, 2014, HPFS cash and cash equivalents balances were approximately \$0.6 billion and \$0.8 billion, respectively.

Net portfolio assets at July 31, 2015 decreased 1.2% from October 31, 2014. The decrease generally resulted from unfavorable currency impacts, partially offset by new financing volume in excess of portfolio runoff.

For the three and nine months ended July 31, 2015, HPFS recorded net bad debt expenses and operating lease equipment reserves of \$13 million and \$30 million, respectively. For the comparable periods in fiscal 2014, net bad debt expenses and operating lease equipment reserves were \$12 million and \$32 million, respectively.

Corporate Investments

| | Three months ended July 31 | | |
|---|----------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | % Change |
| Dollars in millions | | | |
| Net revenue | \$ 4 | \$ 3 | 33.3% |
| Loss from operations | \$ (148) | \$ (115) | (28.7)% |
| Loss from operations as a % of net revenue ⁽¹⁾ | NM | NM | |

Table of Contents

| | Nine months ended July 31 | | |
|---|---------------------------|---------|----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | % Change |
| | Dollars in millions | | |
| Net revenue | \$ 22 | \$ 297 | (92.6)% |
| Loss from operations ⁽¹⁾ | \$ (416) | \$ (92) | NM |
| Loss from operations as a % of net revenue ⁽¹⁾ | NM | (31.0)% | |

⁽¹⁾ "NM" represents not meaningful.

Net revenue in Corporate Investments decreased for the nine months ended July 31, 2015 due primarily to the sale of IP related to the Palm acquisition in the prior-year period.

The increase in loss from operations in Corporate Investments for the three months ended July 31, 2015 was due primarily to higher expenses associated with HP Labs and cloud-related incubation activities. The increase in loss from operations for the nine months ended July 31, 2015 was due primarily to the sale of IP in the prior-year period and higher expenses in the current period from cloud-related incubation activities and HP Labs.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

We use cash generated by operations as our primary source of liquidity. We believe that internally generated cash flows are generally sufficient to support our operating businesses, capital expenditures, restructuring activities, separation activities, maturing debt, income tax payments and the payment of stockholder dividends, in addition to investments and share repurchases. We are able to supplement this short-term liquidity, if necessary, with broad access to capital markets and credit facilities made available by various domestic and foreign financial institutions. While our access to capital markets may be constrained and our cost of borrowing may increase under certain business, market and economic conditions, our access to a variety of funding sources to meet our liquidity needs is designed to facilitate continued access to capital resources under all such conditions. Our liquidity is subject to various risks including the risks identified in the section entitled "Risk Factors" in Item 1A of Part II and the market risks identified in the section entitled "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk" in Item 3 of Part I, which are incorporated herein by reference.

Our cash balances are held in numerous locations throughout the world, with substantially all of those amounts held outside of the U.S. We utilize a variety of planning and financing strategies in an effort to ensure that our worldwide cash is available when and where it is needed. Our cash position remains strong, and we expect that our cash balances, anticipated cash flow generated from operations and access to capital markets will be sufficient to cover our expected near-term cash outlays.

Amounts held outside of the U.S. are generally utilized to support non-U.S. liquidity needs, although a portion of those amounts may from time to time be subject to short-term intercompany loans into the U.S. Most of the amounts held outside of the U.S. could be repatriated to the U.S. but, under current law, some would be subject to U.S. federal income taxes, less applicable foreign tax credits. Repatriation of some foreign earnings is restricted by local law. Except for foreign earnings that are considered indefinitely reinvested outside of the U.S., we have provided for the U.S. federal tax liability on these earnings for financial statement purposes. Repatriation could result in additional income tax payments in future years. Where local restrictions prevent an efficient intercompany transfer of funds, our intent is that cash balances would remain outside of the U.S. and we would meet liquidity needs through ongoing cash flows, external borrowings, or both. We do not expect restrictions or potential taxes incurred on repatriation of amounts held outside of the U.S. to have a material effect on our overall liquidity, financial condition or results of operations.

Table of Contents*Liquidity*

| | Nine months ended July 31 | |
|---|------------------------------|----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| | In millions | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 3,881 | \$ 9,632 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (4,727) | (1,998) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 2,884 | (5,323) |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | \$ 2,038 | \$ 2,311 |

Operating Activities

Compared to the corresponding period in fiscal 2014, net cash provided by operating activities decreased by \$5.8 billion for the nine months ended July 31, 2015. The decrease was due primarily to lower cash generated from working capital management activities, in part due to payments for separation costs, unfavorable currency impacts, lower net earnings in the current period, lower cash receipts from contract manufacturers, higher cash payments for defined benefit pension plans, as well as higher cash payments for prepaids, employee benefits and accrued expenses.

As of July 31, 2015, the cash conversion cycle remained unchanged as compared to October 31, 2014. The cash conversion cycle decreased nine days for the comparable period in the prior fiscal year. As a result, we generated less cash flow from operations from working capital activities in the current period as compared to the same period last fiscal year.

Our working capital metrics and cash conversion impacts were as follows:

| | July 31, | As of October 31, | Change | July 31, | As of October 31, | Change | Y/Y Change |
|---|----------|----------------------|--------|----------|----------------------|--------|---------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | | 2014 | 2013 | | |
| Days of sales outstanding in accounts receivable | 45 | 44 | 1 | 46 | 49 | (3) | (1) |
| Days of supply in inventory | 31 | 27 | 4 | 27 | 24 | 3 | 4 |
| Days of purchases outstanding in accounts payable | (72) | (67) | (5) | (65) | (56) | (9) | (7) |
| Cash conversion cycle | 4 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 17 | (9) | (4) |

July 31, 2015 as compared to July 31, 2014

Days of sales outstanding in accounts receivable ("DSO") measures the average number of days our receivables are outstanding. DSO is calculated by dividing ending accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts, by a 90-day average of net revenue. Compared to the corresponding period in fiscal 2014, the decrease in DSO was due primarily to improved accounts receivable management and currency impacts.

Days of supply in inventory ("DOS") measures the average number of days from procurement to sale of our product. DOS is calculated by dividing ending inventory by a 90-day average of cost of goods sold. Compared to the corresponding period in fiscal 2014, the increase in DOS was driven by business continuity planning associated with our internal system separation and higher inventory to support service levels.

Table of Contents

Days of purchases outstanding in accounts payable ("DPO") measures the average number of days our accounts payable balances are outstanding. DPO is calculated by dividing ending accounts payable by a 90-day average of cost of goods sold. Compared to the corresponding period in fiscal 2014, the increase in DPO was primarily the result of an extension of payment terms with our product suppliers and improvement in purchasing linearity.

Investing Activities

Compared to the corresponding period in fiscal 2014, net cash used in investing activities increased by \$2.7 billion for the nine months ended July 31, 2015, due primarily to the acquisition of Aruba.

Financing Activities

Compared to the corresponding period in fiscal 2014, net cash provided by financing activities increased by \$8.2 billion for the nine months ended July 31, 2015. The increase was due primarily to proceeds from our term loan agreement, higher proceeds from the issuance of commercial paper and lower debt maturities, partially offset by higher cash utilization for repurchases of common stock. For more information on our share repurchase programs, see Note 14 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in Item 1 of Part I, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Capital Resources

Debt Levels

We maintain debt levels that we establish through consideration of a number of factors, including cash flow expectations, cash requirements for operations, investment plans (including acquisitions), separation activities, share repurchase activities, our cost of capital and targeted capital structure.

Outstanding borrowings increased to \$25.5 billion as of July 31, 2015, as compared to \$19.5 billion at October 31, 2014, bearing weighted-average interest rates of 2.2% and 2.7%, respectively. During the nine months of fiscal 2015, we issued \$12.5 billion and repaid \$8.6 billion of commercial paper, utilized \$3.5 billion from our term loan agreement and repaid \$1.4 billion of U.S. Dollar Global Notes.

During the next twelve months, \$2.8 billion of U.S. Dollar Global Notes are scheduled to mature. For more information on our borrowings, see Note 13 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in Item 1 of Part I, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Our weighted-average interest rate reflects the average effective rate on our borrowings prevailing during the period and reflects the impact of interest rate swaps. For more information on our interest rate swaps, see Note 12 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in Item 1 of Part I, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Term Loan Agreement

On April 30, 2015, we entered into a credit agreement that provides for a senior unsecured delayed, multiple-draw term loan facility in the aggregate principal amount of \$5.0 billion. The scheduled maturity date is the earlier of November 1, 2015 or the completion date of HP's separation. We have the option to extend the maturity date upon our request, subject to the agreement of the lenders. This facility contains customary representations and warranties and customary affirmative, negative and financial covenants. The financial covenant requires us to meet a quarterly financial test with respect to a minimum consolidated interest coverage ratio. During the third quarter of fiscal 2015, HP borrowed \$3.5 billion under this term loan agreement. As of July 31, 2015, HP was in compliance with the financial covenants in the agreement.

For more information on our credit agreements, see Note 13 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in Item 1 of Part I, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents*Available Borrowing Resources*

HP's and HP's subsidiaries' resources available to obtain short- or long-term financing were as follows:

| | As of July 31, 2015 |
|--|--------------------------------|
| | In millions |
| Commercial paper programs ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 12,390 |
| Term loan facility | \$ 1,500 |
| Uncommitted lines of credit | \$ 2,307 |

(1) The extent to which HP is able to utilize the commercial paper programs as sources of liquidity at any given time is subject to a number of factors, including market demand for HP securities and commercial paper, HP's financial performance, HP's credit ratings and market conditions generally.

Credit Ratings

Our credit risk is evaluated by major independent rating agencies based upon publicly available information as well as information obtained in our ongoing discussions with them. Our credit ratings as of July 31, 2015 were as follows:

| | Standard & Poor's Ratings Services | Moody's Investors Service | Fitch Ratings Services |
|-------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Short-term debt ratings | A-2 | Prime-2 | F2 |
| Long-term debt ratings | BBB+ | Baa1 | A |

After the announcement of our separation in October 2014, Standard & Poor's Rating Services and Fitch Rating Services placed us on negative watch. In August 2015, Moody's Investors Service ("Moody's") downgraded us to Baa2 from Baa1 due to the high likelihood of our separation which is planned to be completed at the end of fiscal 2015. Moody's is looking through the rating for HP to assess the credit profile for HP Inc. when it is an independent publicly traded company. While we do not have any rating downgrade triggers that would accelerate the maturity of a material amount of our debt, previous downgrades have increased the cost of borrowing under our credit facilities, have reduced market capacity for our commercial paper and have required the posting of additional collateral under some of our derivative contracts. In addition, any further downgrade to our credit ratings by any of these rating agencies may further impact us in a similar manner, and, depending on the extent of any such downgrade, could have a negative impact on our liquidity and capital position. We can rely on alternative sources of funding, including drawdowns under our credit facilities, if necessary, to offset potential reductions in the market capacity for our commercial paper.

CONTRACTUAL AND OTHER OBLIGATIONS*Contractual Obligations*

For contractual obligations see "Contractual and Other Obligations" in Item 7 of Part II of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2014, which is incorporated herein by reference. Our contractual obligations have not changed materially since October 31, 2014.

Retirement and Post-Retirement Benefit Plan Funding

For the remainder of fiscal 2015, we anticipate making contributions of approximately \$66 million to our non-U.S. pension plans, and approximately \$10 million to cover benefit payments to U.S. non-qualified pension plan participants. We also expect to pay approximately \$14 million to cover benefit claims for our post-retirement benefit plans. Our policy is to fund our pension plans so that we meet at least the minimum contribution requirements, as established by local government, funding and

Table of Contents

taxing authorities. For more information on our retirement and post-retirement benefit plans, see Note 4 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in Item 1 of Part I, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Restructuring Plans

As of July 31, 2015, we expect future cash payments of approximately \$0.6 billion in connection with our approved restructuring plans which include \$0.2 billion expected to be paid in the remainder of fiscal 2015 and \$0.4 billion expected to be paid through fiscal 2021. For more information on our restructuring activities, see Note 3 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in Item 1 of Part I, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Separation Costs

As of July 31, 2015, we expect future cash payments of up to \$2.0 billion in connection with our separation charges and net foreign tax payments, which are expected to be paid in the remainder of fiscal 2015 and in fiscal 2016, with subsequent tax credit amounts expected over later years. As of July 31, 2015, we also expect separation-related capital expenditures of approximately \$100 million in the remainder of fiscal 2015.

Uncertain Tax Positions

As of July 31, 2015, we had approximately \$2.9 billion of recorded liabilities and related interest and penalties pertaining to uncertain tax positions. These liabilities and related interest and penalties include \$27 million expected to be paid within one year. For the remaining amount, we are unable to make a reasonable estimate as to when cash settlement with the tax authorities might occur due to the uncertainties related to these tax matters. Payments of these obligations would result from settlements with taxing authorities. For more information on our uncertain tax positions, see Note 6 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in Item 1 of Part I, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As part of our ongoing business, we have not participated in transactions that generate material relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities, which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes.

We have third-party revolving short-term financing arrangements intended to facilitate the working capital requirements of certain customers. The total aggregate maximum capacity of the financing arrangements was \$2.9 billion as of July 31, 2015, including an aggregate maximum capacity of \$1.1 billion in non-recourse financing arrangements and an aggregate maximum capacity of \$1.8 billion in partial-recourse financing arrangements. For more information on our third-party revolving short-term financing arrangements, see Note 7 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in Item 1 of Part I, which is incorporated herein by reference.

FACTORS THAT COULD AFFECT FUTURE RESULTS

Because of the following factors, as well as other variables affecting our results of operations, past financial performance may not be a reliable indicator of future performance, and historical trends should not be used to anticipate results or trends in future periods.

If we are unsuccessful at addressing our business challenges, our business and results of operations may be adversely affected and our ability to invest in and grow our business could be limited.

We are in the process of addressing many challenges facing our business. One set of challenges relates to dynamic and accelerating market trends, such as the decline in the PC market, the growth of

Table of Contents

multi-architecture devices running competing operating systems, the market shift towards tablets within mobility, the market shift to cloud-related infrastructure, software, and services, and the growth in software-as-a-service business models. Another set of challenges relates to changes in the competitive landscape. Our major competitors are expanding their product and service offerings with integrated products and solutions; our business-specific competitors are exerting increased competitive pressure in targeted areas and are going after new markets; our emerging competitors are introducing new technologies and business models; and our alliance partners in some businesses are increasingly becoming our competitors in others. A third set of challenges relates to business model and go-to-market execution. In addition, we are facing a series of significant macroeconomic challenges, including weakness across many geographic regions, particularly in the United States, Central Eastern Europe and Russia, and certain countries and businesses in Asia. We may experience delays in the anticipated timing of activities related to these efforts and higher than expected or unanticipated execution costs. In addition, we are vulnerable to increased risks associated with these efforts given our large portfolio of businesses, the broad range of geographic regions in which we and our customers and partners operate, and the integration of acquired businesses. If we do not succeed in these efforts, or if these efforts are more costly or time-consuming than expected, our business and results of operations may be adversely affected, which could limit our ability to invest in and grow our business.

In May 2012, we announced a company-wide restructuring plan. The restructuring plan includes both voluntary early retirement programs and non-voluntary workforce reductions. Significant risks associated with these actions that may impair our ability to achieve anticipated cost reductions or that may otherwise harm our business include delays in implementation of anticipated workforce reductions in highly regulated locations outside of the United States, particularly in Europe and Asia, decreases in employee morale and the failure to meet operational targets due to the loss of employees. In addition, our ability to achieve the anticipated cost savings and other benefits from these actions within the expected time frame is subject to many estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions are subject to significant economic, competitive and other uncertainties, some of which are beyond our control. If these estimates and assumptions are incorrect, if we experience delays, or if other unforeseen events occur, our business and financial results could be adversely affected.

Competitive pressures could harm our revenue, gross margin and prospects.

We encounter aggressive competition from numerous and varied competitors in all areas of our business, and our competitors may target our key market segments. We compete primarily on the basis of technology, performance, price, quality, reliability, brand, reputation, distribution, range of products and services, ease of use of our products, account relationships, customer training, service and support, security, availability of application software, and internet infrastructure offerings. If our products, services, support and cost structure do not enable us to compete successfully based on any of those criteria, our results of operations and prospects could be harmed.

We have a large portfolio of businesses and must allocate resources across all of those businesses while competing with companies that have much smaller portfolios or specialize in one or more of these product lines. As a result, we may invest less in certain areas of our businesses than our competitors do, and these competitors may have greater financial, technical and marketing resources available to them than our businesses that compete against them. Industry consolidation also may affect competition by creating larger, more homogeneous and potentially stronger competitors in the markets in which we compete, and our competitors also may affect our business by entering into exclusive arrangements with existing or potential customers or suppliers.

Companies with whom we have alliances in some areas may be competitors in other areas. In addition, companies with whom we have alliances also may acquire or form alliances with our competitors, which could reduce their business with us. If we are unable to effectively manage these complicated relationships with alliance partners, our cash flows and results of operations could be adversely affected.

Table of Contents

We face aggressive price competition for our products and services and, as a result, we may have to continue lowering the prices of many of our products and services to stay competitive, while at the same time trying to maintain or improve revenue and gross margin. In addition, competitors who have a greater presence in some of the lower-cost markets in which we compete may be able to offer lower prices than we are able to offer. Our cash flows, results of operations and financial condition may be adversely affected by these and other industry-wide pricing pressures.

Because our business model is based on providing innovative and high-quality products, we may spend a proportionately greater amount on research and development than some of our competitors. If we cannot proportionately decrease our cost structure on a timely basis in response to competitive price pressures, our gross margin and, therefore, our profitability could be adversely affected. In addition, if our pricing and other factors are not sufficiently competitive, or if there is an adverse reaction to our product decisions, we may lose market share in certain areas, which could adversely affect our revenue and prospects.

Even if we are able to maintain or increase market share for a particular product, revenue could decline because the product is in a maturing industry or market segment or contains technology that is becoming obsolete. For example, our Storage business unit is experiencing the effects of a market transition towards converged products and solutions, which has led to a decline in demand for our traditional storage products. In addition, the performance of our BCS business unit has been affected by the decline in demand for UNIX servers and concerns about the development of new versions of software to support our Itanium-based products. Revenue and margins also could decline due to increased competition from other types of products. For example, growing demand for an increasing array of mobile computing devices and the development of cloud-based solutions has reduced demand for some of our existing hardware products. In addition, refill and remanufactured alternatives for some of HP's LaserJet toner and inkjet cartridges compete with our printing supplies business.

If we cannot successfully execute on our strategy and continue to develop, manufacture and market products, services and solutions that meet customer requirements for innovation and quality, our revenue and gross margin may suffer.

Our long-term strategy is focused on leveraging our portfolio of hardware, software and services as we adapt to a changing and hybrid model of IT delivery and consumption driven by the growing adoption of cloud computing and increased demand for integrated IT solutions. To successfully execute on this strategy, we need to continue evolving our focus towards the delivery of integrated IT solutions for our customers and to continue to invest and expand into cloud computing, security, big data and mobility. Any failure to successfully execute this strategy, including any failure to invest sufficiently in strategic growth areas, could adversely affect our business, results of operation and financial results.

The process of developing new high-technology products, software, services and solutions and enhancing existing hardware and software products, services and solutions is complex, costly and uncertain, and any failure by us to anticipate customers' changing needs and emerging technological trends accurately could significantly harm our market share and results of operations. For example, as the transition to an environment characterized by cloud-based computing and software being delivered as a service progresses, we must continue to successfully develop and deploy cloud-based solutions for our customers. We must make long-term investments, develop or obtain, and protect, appropriate intellectual property, and commit significant research and development and other resources before knowing whether our predictions will accurately reflect customer demand for our products, services and solutions. In addition, after we develop a product, we must be able to manufacture appropriate volumes quickly while also managing costs and preserving margins. To accomplish this, we must accurately forecast volumes, mixes of products and configurations that meet customer requirements, and we may not succeed at doing so within a given product's life cycle or at all. Any delay in the development, production or marketing of a new product, service or solution could result in us not being among the first to market, which could further harm our competitive position.

Table of Contents

In the course of conducting our business, we must adequately address quality issues associated with our products, services and solutions, including defects in our engineering, design and manufacturing processes and unsatisfactory performance under service contracts, as well as defects in third-party components included in our products and unsatisfactory performance or even malicious acts by third-party contractors or subcontractors or the employees of those contractors or subcontractors. In order to address quality issues, we work extensively with our customers and suppliers and engage in product testing to determine the causes of problems and to develop and implement appropriate solutions. However, the products, services and solutions that we offer are complex, and our regular testing and quality control efforts may not be effective in controlling or detecting all quality issues or errata, particularly with respect to faulty components manufactured by third-parties. If we are unable to determine the cause, find an appropriate solution or offer a temporary fix (or "patch") to address quality issues with our products, we may delay shipment to customers, which would delay revenue recognition and could adversely affect our revenue and reported results. Addressing quality issues can be expensive and may result in additional warranty, replacement and other costs, adversely affecting our profits. If new or existing customers have difficulty operating our products or are dissatisfied with our services or solutions, our results of operations could be adversely affected, and we could face possible claims if we fail to meet our customers' expectations. In addition, quality issues can impair our relationships with new or existing customers and adversely affect our brand and reputation, which could, in turn, adversely affect our results of operations.

Our plan to separate into two independent publicly-traded companies is subject to various risks and uncertainties and may not be completed in accordance with the expected plans or anticipated timeline, or at all, and will involve significant time and expense, which could disrupt or adversely affect our business.

On October 6, 2014, we announced plans to separate into two independent publicly-traded companies. The separation, which is currently targeted to be completed by the end of fiscal 2015, is subject to approval by our Board of Directors of the final terms of the separation and market, regulatory and certain other conditions. Unanticipated developments, including changes in the competitive conditions of Hewlett Packard Enterprise's and HP Inc.'s respective markets, possible delays in obtaining various tax opinions or rulings, regulatory approvals or clearances, the uncertainty of the financial markets and challenges in executing the separation, could delay or prevent the completion of the proposed separation, or cause the proposed separation to occur on terms or conditions that are different or less favorable than expected.

We have established a Separation Management Office tasked with driving the separation process. The process of completing the proposed separation has been and is expected to continue to be time-consuming and involve significant costs and expenses. For example, during the nine months ended July 31, 2015, we recorded nonrecurring separation costs of \$750 million, which were primarily related to third-party consulting, contractor fees and other incremental costs directly associated with the separation process. The separation costs may be significantly higher than what we currently anticipate and may not yield a discernible benefit if the separation is not completed or is not well executed. Executing the proposed separation will also require significant amounts of management's time and effort, which may divert management's attention from operating and growing our business. Other challenges associated with effectively executing the separation include attracting, retaining and motivating employees during the pendency of the separation and following its completion; addressing disruptions to our supply chain, manufacturing and other operations resulting from splitting HP into two large but independent companies; separating HP's information systems; and establishing new brand identities in the marketplace.

Table of Contents

The separation may not achieve some or all of the anticipated benefits.

We may not realize some or all of the anticipated strategic, financial, operational, marketing or other benefits from the separation. As independent publicly-traded companies, Hewlett Packard Enterprise and HP Inc. will be smaller, less diversified companies with a narrower business focus and may be more vulnerable to changing market conditions, which could materially and adversely affect their respective business, financial condition and results of operations. Further, there can be no assurance that the combined value of the common stock of the two publicly-traded companies will be equal to or greater than what the value of our common stock would have been had the proposed separation not occurred.

The proposed separation may result in disruptions to, and negatively impact our relationships with, our customers and other business partners.

Uncertainty related to the proposed separation may lead customers and other parties with which we currently do business or may do business in the future to terminate or attempt to negotiate changes in existing business relationships, or consider entering into business relationships with parties other than us. These disruptions could have a material and adverse effect on our businesses, financial condition, results of operations and prospects. The effect of such disruptions could be exacerbated by any delays in the completion of the separation.

The separation could result in substantial tax liability.

It is a condition to the distribution that HP receive (i) a private letter ruling from the IRS and/or one or more opinions from its external tax advisors, in each case, satisfactory to our Board of Directors, regarding certain U.S. federal income tax matters relating to the separation and related transactions, and (ii) an opinion of each of Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz and Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, satisfactory to our Board of Directors, regarding the qualifications of the distribution, together with certain related transactions, as a transaction that is generally tax-free, for U.S. federal income tax purposes, under Sections 355 and 368 (a)(1)(D) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. The opinions of outside counsel or any external tax advisers and any U.S. Internal Revenue Service (the "IRS") private letter ruling will be based, among other things, on various factual assumptions we have authorized and representations we have made to outside counsel or the IRS. If any of these assumptions or representations are, or become, inaccurate or incomplete, the validity of the opinions and/or IRS private letter ruling may be affected. An opinion of outside counsel or other external tax advisor represents the judgment of such counsel or other external, but is not binding on the IRS or any court. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that the IRS will not challenge the conclusions reflected in the opinions or that a court would not sustain such a challenge. In the event the IRS were to prevail with such a challenge, HP, Hewlett Packard Enterprise and HP's stockholders could be subject to significant U.S. federal income tax liability. In addition, we may incur certain tax costs in connection with the separation, including non-U.S. tax expense resulting from separations in multiple non-U.S. jurisdictions that do not legally provide for tax-free separations, which may be material.

Economic weakness and uncertainty could adversely affect our revenue, gross margin and expenses.

Our revenue and gross margin depend significantly on worldwide economic conditions and the demand for technology hardware, software and services in the markets in which we compete. Economic weakness and uncertainty have resulted, and may result in the future, in decreased revenue, gross margin, earnings or growth rates and in increased expenses and difficulty in managing inventory levels. For example, we are continuing to experience macroeconomic weakness across many geographic regions, particularly in the EMEA region, China and other high-growth markets. Ongoing U.S. federal government spending limits may continue to reduce demand for our products, services and solutions

Table of Contents

from organizations that receive funding from the U.S. government, and could negatively affect macroeconomic conditions in the United States, which could further reduce demand for our products, services and solutions. Economic weakness and uncertainty may adversely affect demand for our products, services and solutions, may result in increased expenses due to higher allowances for doubtful accounts and potential goodwill and asset impairment charges, and may make it more difficult for us to make accurate forecasts of revenue, gross margin, cash flows and expenses.

We also have experienced, and may experience in the future, gross margin declines in certain businesses, reflecting the effect of items such as competitive pricing pressures and increases in component and manufacturing costs resulting from higher labor and material costs borne by our manufacturers and suppliers that, as a result of competitive pricing pressures or other factors, we are unable to pass on to our customers. In addition, our business may be disrupted if we are unable to obtain equipment, parts or components from our suppliers and our suppliers from their suppliers due to the insolvency of key suppliers or the inability of key suppliers to obtain credit.

Economic weakness and uncertainty could cause our expenses to vary materially from our expectations. Any financial turmoil affecting the banking system and financial markets or any significant financial services institution failures could negatively impact our treasury operations, as the financial condition of such parties may deteriorate rapidly and without notice in times of market volatility and disruption. Poor financial performance of asset markets combined with lower interest rates and the adverse effects of fluctuating currency exchange rates could lead to higher pension and post-retirement benefit expenses. Interest and other expenses could vary materially from expectations depending on changes in interest rates, borrowing costs, currency exchange rates, costs of hedging activities and the fair value of derivative instruments. Economic downturns also may lead to restructuring actions and associated expenses.

The revenue and profitability of our operations have historically varied, which makes our future financial results less predictable.

Our revenue, gross margin and profit vary among our products and services, customer groups and geographic markets and therefore will likely be different in future periods than our current results. Our revenue depends on the overall demand for our products and services. Delays or reductions in customer IT spending could have a material adverse effect on demand for our products and services, which could result in a significant decline in our revenue. In addition, revenue declines in some of our businesses, particularly our services businesses, may affect revenue in our other businesses as we may lose cross-selling opportunities. Overall gross margins and profitability in any given period are dependent partially on the product, service, customer and geographic mix reflected in that period's net revenue. Competition, lawsuits, investigations and other risks affecting those businesses therefore may have a significant impact on our overall gross margin and profitability. Certain segments have a higher fixed cost structure and more variation in gross margins across their business units and product portfolios than others and may therefore experience significant operating profit volatility on a quarterly basis. In addition, newer geographic markets may be relatively less profitable due to investments associated with entering those markets and local pricing pressures, and we may have difficulty establishing and maintaining the operating infrastructure necessary to support the high growth rate associated with some of those markets. Market trends, industry shifts, competitive pressures, commoditization of products, seasonal rebates, increased component or shipping costs, regulatory impacts and other factors may result in reductions in revenue or pressure on gross margins of certain segments in a given period, which may lead to adjustments to our operations. Moreover, our efforts to address the challenges facing our business could increase the level of variability in our financial results because the rate at which we are able to realize the benefits from those efforts may vary from period to period.

Table of Contents

If we fail to manage the distribution of our products and services properly, our revenue, gross margins and profitability could suffer.

We use a variety of distribution methods to sell our products and services, including third-party resellers and distributors and both direct and indirect sales to enterprise accounts and consumers. Successfully managing the interaction of our direct and indirect channel efforts to reach various potential customer segments for our products and services is a complex process. Moreover, since each distribution method has distinct risks and gross margins, our failure to implement the most advantageous balance in the delivery model for our products and services could adversely affect our revenue and gross margins and therefore our profitability. Other distribution risks are described below.

Our financial results could be materially adversely affected due to channel conflicts or if the financial conditions of our channel partners were to weaken.

Our results of operations may be adversely affected by any conflicts that might arise between our various sales channels, the loss or deterioration of any alliance or distribution arrangement or the loss of retail shelf space. Moreover, some of our wholesale and retail distributors may have insufficient financial resources and may not be able to withstand changes in business conditions, including economic weakness and industry consolidation. Many of our significant distributors operate on narrow product margins and have been negatively affected by business pressures. Considerable trade receivables that are not covered by collateral or credit insurance are outstanding with our distribution and retail channel partners. Revenue from indirect sales could suffer, and we could experience disruptions in distribution, if our distributors' financial conditions, abilities to borrow funds in the credit markets or operations weaken.

Our inventory management is complex as we continue to sell a significant mix of products through distributors.

We must manage inventory effectively, particularly with respect to sales to distributors, which involves forecasting demand and pricing issues. Distributors may increase orders during periods of product shortages, cancel orders if their inventory is too high or delay orders in anticipation of new products. Distributors also may adjust their orders in response to the supply of our products and the products of our competitors and seasonal fluctuations in end-user demand. Our reliance upon indirect distribution methods may reduce our visibility into demand and pricing issues, and therefore make forecasting more difficult. If we have excess or obsolete inventory, we may have to reduce our prices and write down inventory. Moreover, our use of indirect distribution channels may limit our willingness or ability to adjust prices quickly and otherwise to respond to pricing changes by competitors. We also may have limited ability to estimate future product rebate redemptions in order to price our products effectively.

We depend on third-party suppliers, and our financial results could suffer if we fail to manage suppliers properly.

Our operations depend on our ability to anticipate our needs for components, products and services, as well as our suppliers' ability to deliver sufficient quantities of quality components, products and services at reasonable prices and in time for us to meet critical schedules. Given the wide variety of systems, products and services that we offer, the large number of our suppliers and contract manufacturers that are located around the world, and the long lead times required to manufacture, assemble and deliver certain components and products, problems could arise in production, planning, and inventory management that could seriously harm us. In addition, our ongoing efforts to optimize the efficiency of our supply chain could cause supply disruptions and be more expensive, time-consuming and resource intensive than expected. Other supplier problems that we could face include component shortages, excess supply, risks related to the terms of our contracts with suppliers,

Table of Contents

risks associated with contingent workers, and risks related to our relationships with single source suppliers, as described below.

Shortages. Occasionally we may experience a shortage of, or a delay in receiving, certain components as a result of strong demand, capacity constraints, supplier financial weaknesses, inability of suppliers to borrow funds in the credit markets, disputes with suppliers (some of whom are also customers), disruptions in the operations of component suppliers, other problems experienced by suppliers or problems faced during the transition to new suppliers. For example, our PC business relies heavily upon outsourced manufacturers ("OMs") to manufacture its products and is therefore dependent upon the continuing operations of those OMs to fulfill demand for our PC products. We represent a substantial portion of the business of some of these OMs, and any changes to the nature or volume of our business transactions with a particular OM could adversely affect the operations and financial condition of the OM and lead to shortages or delays in receiving products from that OM. If shortages or delays persist, the price of certain components may increase, and we may be exposed to quality issues or the components may not be available at all. We may not be able to secure enough components at reasonable prices or of acceptable quality to build products or provide services in a timely manner in the quantities or according to the specifications needed. Accordingly, our revenue and gross margin could suffer as we could lose time-sensitive sales, incur additional freight costs or be unable to pass on price increases to our customers. If we cannot adequately address supply issues, we might have to reengineer some products or services offerings, which could result in further costs and delays.

Oversupply. In order to secure components for the provision of products or services, at times we may make advance payments to suppliers or enter into non-cancelable commitments with vendors. In addition, we may purchase components strategically in advance of demand to take advantage of favorable pricing or to address concerns about the availability of future components. If we fail to anticipate customer demand properly, a temporary oversupply could result in excess or obsolete components, which could adversely affect our gross margin.

Contractual terms. As a result of binding price or purchase commitments with vendors, we may be obligated to purchase components or services at prices that are higher than those available in the current market and be limited in our ability to respond to changing market conditions. If we commit to purchasing components or services for prices in excess of the then-current market price, we may be at a disadvantage to competitors who have access to components or services at lower prices, our gross margin could suffer, and we could incur additional charges relating to inventory obsolescence. In addition, many of our competitors obtain products or components from the same OMs and suppliers that we utilize. Our competitors may obtain better pricing, more favorable contractual terms and conditions, and more favorable allocations of products and components during periods of limited supply, and our ability to engage in relationships with certain OMs and suppliers could be limited. The practice employed by our PC business of purchasing product components and transferring those components to its OMs may create large supplier receivables with the OMs that, depending on the financial condition of the OMs, may create collectability risks. In addition, certain of our OMs and suppliers may decide to discontinue conducting business with us. Any of these actions by our competitors, OMs or suppliers could adversely affect our future results of operations and financial condition.

Contingent workers. We also rely on third-party suppliers for the provision of contingent workers, and our failure to manage our use of such workers effectively could adversely affect our results of operations. We have been exposed to various legal claims relating to the status of contingent workers in the past and could face similar claims in the future. We may be subject to shortages, oversupply or fixed contractual terms relating to contingent workers. Our ability to manage the

Table of Contents

size of, and costs associated with, the contingent workforce may be subject to additional constraints imposed by local laws.

Single source suppliers. Our use of single source suppliers for certain components could exacerbate any supplier issues. We obtain a significant number of components from single sources due to technology, availability, price, quality or other considerations. For example, we rely on Intel to provide us with a sufficient supply of processors for many of our PCs, workstations and servers and AMD to provide us with a sufficient supply of processors for other products. Some of those processors are customized for our products. New products that we introduce may utilize custom components obtained from only one source initially until we have evaluated whether there is a need for additional suppliers. Replacing a single source supplier could delay production of some products as replacement suppliers may be subject to capacity constraints or other output limitations. For some components, such as customized components and some of the processors that we obtain from Intel, alternative sources either may not exist or may be unable to produce the quantities of those components necessary to satisfy our production requirements. In addition, we sometimes purchase components from single source suppliers under short-term agreements that contain favorable pricing and other terms but that may be unilaterally modified or terminated by the supplier with limited notice and with little or no penalty. The performance of such single source suppliers under those agreements (and the renewal or extension of those agreements upon similar terms) may affect the quality, quantity and price of components to us. The loss of a single source supplier, the deterioration of our relationship with a single source supplier, or any unilateral modification to the contractual terms under which we are supplied components by a single source supplier could adversely affect our revenue, gross margin and cash flows.

Business disruptions could seriously harm our future revenue and financial condition and increase our costs and expenses.

Our worldwide operations could be disrupted by earthquakes, telecommunications failures, power or water shortages, tsunamis, floods, hurricanes, typhoons, fires, extreme weather conditions, medical epidemics or pandemics and other natural or manmade disasters or catastrophic events, for which we are predominantly self-insured. The occurrence of any of these business disruptions could result in significant losses, seriously harm our revenue, profitability and financial condition, adversely affect our competitive position, increase our costs and expenses, and require substantial expenditures and recovery time in order to fully resume operations. Our corporate headquarters and a portion of our research and development activities are located in California, and other critical business operations and some of our suppliers are located in California and Asia, near major earthquake faults known for seismic activity. In addition, six of our principal worldwide IT data centers are located in the southern United States, making our operations more vulnerable to natural disasters or other business disruptions occurring in that geographical area. The manufacture of product components, the final assembly of our products and other critical operations are concentrated in certain geographic locations, including Shanghai, Singapore and India. We also rely on major logistics hubs primarily in Asia to manufacture and distribute our products and in the southwestern United States to import products into the Americas region. Our operations could be adversely affected if manufacturing, logistics or other operations in these locations are disrupted for any reason, including natural disasters, information technology system failures, military actions or economic, business, labor, environmental, public health, regulatory or political issues. The ultimate impact on us, our significant suppliers and our general infrastructure of being located near locations more vulnerable to the occurrence of the aforementioned business disruptions and being consolidated in certain geographical areas is unknown and remains uncertain.

Table of Contents

Our sales cycle makes planning and inventory management difficult and future financial results less predictable.

In some of our segments, our quarterly sales often have reflected a pattern in which a disproportionate percentage of each quarter's total sales occurs towards the end of such quarter. This uneven sales pattern makes predicting revenue, earnings, cash flow from operations and working capital for each financial period difficult, increases the risk of unanticipated variations in quarterly results and financial condition and places pressure on our inventory management and logistics systems. If predicted demand is substantially greater than orders, there may be excess inventory. Alternatively, if orders substantially exceed predicted demand, we may not be able to fulfill all of the orders received in the last few weeks of each quarter. Depending on when they occur in a quarter, developments such as a systems failure, component pricing movements, component shortages or global logistics disruptions, could adversely impact inventory levels and results of operations in a manner that is disproportionate to the number of days in the quarter affected.

We experience some seasonal trends in the sale of our products that also may produce variations in quarterly results and financial condition. For example, sales to governments (particularly sales to the U.S. government) are often stronger in the third calendar quarter, consumer sales are often stronger in the fourth calendar quarter, and many customers whose fiscal and calendar years are the same spend their remaining capital budget authorizations in the fourth calendar quarter prior to new budget constraints in the first calendar quarter of the following year. European sales are often weaker during the summer months. Demand during the spring and early summer also may be adversely impacted by market anticipation of seasonal trends. Moreover, to the extent that we introduce new products in anticipation of seasonal demand trends, our discounting of existing products may adversely affect our gross margin prior to or shortly after such product launches. Typically, our third fiscal quarter is our weakest and our fourth fiscal quarter is our strongest. Many of the factors that create and affect seasonal trends are beyond our control.

Due to the international nature of our business, political or economic changes or other factors could harm our future revenue, costs and expenses, and financial condition.

Sales outside the United States make up approximately 65% of our net revenue. In addition, an increasing portion of our business activity is being conducted in emerging markets, including Brazil, Russia, India and China. Our future revenue, gross margin, expenses and financial condition could suffer due to a variety of international factors, including:

ongoing instability or changes in a country's or region's economic or political conditions, including inflation, recession, interest rate fluctuations and actual or anticipated military or political conflicts;

longer collection cycles and financial instability among customers;

trade regulations and procedures and actions affecting production, pricing and marketing of products;

local labor conditions and regulations, including local labor issues faced by specific HP suppliers and OMs;

managing a geographically dispersed workforce;

changes in the regulatory or legal environment;

differing technology standards or customer requirements;

import, export or other business licensing requirements or requirements relating to making foreign direct investments, which could increase our cost of doing business in certain jurisdictions, prevent us from shipping products to particular countries or markets, affect our ability to obtain favorable terms for components, increase our operating costs or lead to penalties or restrictions;

Table of Contents

difficulties associated with repatriating earnings generated or held abroad in a tax-efficient manner and changes in tax laws; and

fluctuations in freight costs, limitations on shipping and receiving capacity, and other disruptions in the transportation and shipping infrastructure at important geographic points of exit and entry for our products and shipments.

The factors described above also could disrupt our product and component manufacturing and key suppliers located outside of the United States. For example, we rely on manufacturers in Taiwan for the production of notebook computers and other suppliers in Asia for product assembly and manufacture.

Currencies other than the U.S. dollar, including the euro, the British pound, Chinese yuan renminbi and the Japanese Yen, can have an impact on our results (expressed in U.S. dollars). In particular, the economic uncertainties relating to European sovereign and other debt obligations and the related European financial restructuring efforts may cause the value of the euro to fluctuate. Currency variations also contribute to variations in sales of products and services in impacted jurisdictions. For example, in the event that one or more European countries were to replace the euro with another currency, our sales into such countries, or into Europe generally, would likely be adversely affected until stable exchange rates are established. Accordingly, fluctuations in foreign currency rates, most notably the strengthening of the dollar against the euro, could adversely affect our revenue growth in future periods. In addition, currency variations can adversely affect margins on sales of our products in countries outside of the United States and margins on sales of products that include components obtained from suppliers located outside of the United States. We use a combination of forward contracts and options designated as cash flow hedges to protect against foreign currency exchange rate risks. The effectiveness of our hedges depends on our ability to accurately forecast future cash flows, which is particularly difficult during periods of uncertain demand for our products and services and highly volatile exchange rates. We may incur significant losses from our hedging activities due to factors such as volatility and currency variations. In addition, our hedging activities may be ineffective or may not offset any or more than a portion of the adverse financial impact resulting from currency variations. Losses associated with hedging activities also may impact our revenue and to a lesser extent our cost of sales and financial condition.

In many foreign countries, particularly in those with developing economies, it is common to engage in business practices that are prohibited by laws and regulations applicable to us, such as the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (the "FCPA"). For example, as discussed in Note 16 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements, the German Public Prosecutor's Office ("German PPO") has been investigating allegations that certain current and former employees of HP engaged in bribery, embezzlement and tax evasion. In addition, the Polish Central Anti-Corruption Bureau is conducting investigations into potential FCPA violations by a former employee of an HP subsidiary in connection with certain public-sector transactions in Poland. Although we implement policies and procedures designed to facilitate compliance with these laws, our employees, contractors and agents, as well as those companies to which we outsource certain of our business operations, may take actions in violation of our policies. Any such violation, even if prohibited by our policies, could have an adverse effect on our business and reputation.

Any failure by us to identify, manage, complete and integrate acquisitions, divestitures and other significant transactions successfully could harm our financial results, business and prospects, and the costs, expenses and other financial and operational effects associated with managing, completing and integrating acquisitions may result in financial results that are different than expected.

As part of our business strategy, we may acquire companies or businesses, divest businesses or assets, enter into strategic alliances and joint ventures and make investments to further our business (collectively, "business combination and investment transactions"). In order to pursue this strategy

Table of Contents

successfully, we must identify candidates for and successfully complete business combination and investment transactions, some of which may be large or complex, and manage post-closing issues such as the integration of acquired businesses, products, services or employees. Risks associated with business combination and investment transactions include the following, any of which could adversely affect our revenue, gross margin, profitability and financial results:

Managing business combination and investment transactions requires varying levels of management resources, which may divert our attention from other business operations.

We may not fully realize all of the anticipated benefits of any business combination and investment transaction, and the timeframe for realizing benefits of a business combination and investment transaction may depend partially upon the actions of employees, advisors, suppliers or other third-parties.

Business combination and investment transactions have resulted, and in the future may result, in significant costs and expenses and charges to earnings, including those related to severance pay, early retirement costs, employee benefit costs, goodwill and asset impairment charges, charges from the elimination of duplicative facilities and contracts, asset impairment charges, inventory adjustments, assumed litigation and other liabilities, legal, accounting and financial advisory fees, and required payments to executive officers and key employees under retention plans.

Any increased or unexpected costs, unanticipated delays or failure to meet contractual obligations could make business combination and investment transactions less profitable or unprofitable.

Our ability to conduct due diligence with respect to business combination and investment transactions, and our ability to evaluate the results of such due diligence, is dependent upon the veracity and completeness of statements and disclosures made or actions taken by third-parties or their representatives.

Our due diligence process may fail to identify significant issues with the acquired company's product quality, financial disclosures, accounting practices or internal control deficiencies.

The pricing and other terms of our contracts for business combination and investment transactions require us to make estimates and assumptions at the time we enter into these contracts, and, during the course of our due diligence, we may not identify all of the factors necessary to estimate accurately our costs, timing and other matters or we may incur costs if a business combination is not consummated.

In order to complete a business combination and investment transaction, we may issue common stock, potentially creating dilution for existing stockholders.

We may borrow to finance business combination and investment transactions, and the amount and terms of any potential future acquisition-related or other borrowings, as well as other factors, could affect our liquidity and financial condition.

Our effective tax rate on an ongoing basis is uncertain, and business combination and investment transactions could adversely impact our effective tax rate.

An announced business combination and investment transaction may not close timely or at all, which may cause our financial results to differ from expectations in a given quarter.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Business combination and investment transactions may lead to litigation.

If we fail to identify and successfully complete and integrate business combination and investment transactions that further our strategic objectives, we may be required to expend

Table of Contents

resources to develop products, services and technology internally, which may put us at a competitive disadvantage.

We have incurred and will incur additional depreciation and amortization expense over the useful lives of certain assets acquired in connection with business combination and investment transactions, and, to the extent that the value of goodwill or intangible assets acquired in connection with a business combination and investment transaction becomes impaired, we may be required to incur additional material charges relating to the impairment of those assets. For example, in our third fiscal quarter of 2012, we recorded an \$8.0 billion impairment charge relating to the goodwill associated with our enterprise services reporting unit within our former Services segment and a \$1.2 billion impairment charge as a result of an asset impairment analysis of the "Compaq" trade name acquired in 2002. In addition, in our fourth fiscal quarter of 2012, we recorded an \$8.8 billion impairment charge relating to the goodwill and intangible assets associated with Autonomy. If there are future decreases in our stock price or significant changes in the business climate or results of operations of our reporting units, we may incur additional charges, which may include goodwill impairment or intangible asset charges.

Integration issues are often complex, time-consuming and expensive and, without proper planning and implementation, could significantly disrupt our business and the acquired business. The challenges involved in integration include:

combining product and service offerings and entering or expanding into markets in which we are not experienced or are developing expertise;

convincing customers and distributors that the transaction will not diminish client service standards or business focus, persuading customers and distributors to not defer purchasing decisions or switch to other suppliers (which could result in our incurring additional obligations in order to address customer uncertainty), minimizing sales force attrition and expanding and coordinating sales, marketing and distribution efforts;

consolidating and rationalizing corporate IT infrastructure, which may include multiple legacy systems from various acquisitions and integrating software code and business processes;

minimizing the diversion of management attention from ongoing business concerns;

persuading employees that business cultures are compatible, maintaining employee morale and retaining key employees, engaging with employee works councils representing an acquired company's non-U.S. employees, integrating employees into HP, correctly estimating employee benefit costs and implementing restructuring programs;

coordinating and combining administrative, manufacturing, research and development and other operations, subsidiaries, facilities and relationships with third-parties in accordance with local laws and other obligations while maintaining adequate standards, controls and procedures;

achieving savings from supply chain integration; and

managing integration issues shortly after or pending the completion of other independent transactions.

While we do not currently plan to divest any of our major businesses, we do regularly evaluate the potential disposition of assets and businesses that may no longer help us meet our objectives. When we decide to sell assets or a business, we may encounter difficulty in finding buyers or alternative exit strategies on acceptable terms in a timely manner, which could delay the achievement of our strategic objectives. We may also dispose of a business at a price or on terms that are less desirable than we had anticipated. In addition, we may experience greater dis-synergies than expected, and the impact of the divestiture on our revenue growth may be larger than projected. After reaching an agreement with a buyer or seller for the acquisition or disposition of a business, we are subject to satisfaction of

Table of Contents

pre-closing conditions as well as to necessary regulatory and governmental approvals on acceptable terms, which, if not satisfied or obtained, may prevent us from completing the transaction. Dispositions may also involve continued financial involvement in the divested business, such as through continuing equity ownership, guarantees, indemnities or other financial obligations. Under these arrangements, performance by the divested businesses or other conditions outside of our control could affect our future financial results.

Our revenue, cost of sales, and expenses may suffer if we cannot continue to license or enforce the intellectual property rights on which our businesses depend or if third parties assert that we violate their intellectual property rights.

We rely upon patent, copyright, trademark and trade secret laws in the United States, similar laws in other countries, and agreements with our employees, customers, suppliers and other parties, to establish and maintain intellectual property rights in the products and services we sell, provide or otherwise use in our operations. However, any of our intellectual property rights could be challenged, invalidated, infringed or circumvented, or such intellectual property rights may not be sufficient to permit us to take advantage of current market trends or to otherwise provide competitive advantages, either of which could result in costly product redesign efforts, discontinuance of certain product offerings or other harm to our competitive position. Further, the laws of certain countries do not protect proprietary rights to the same extent as the laws of the United States. Therefore, in certain jurisdictions we may be unable to protect our proprietary technology adequately against unauthorized third-party copying or use; this, too, could adversely affect our competitive position.

Because of the rapid pace of technological change in the information technology industry, much of our business and many of our products rely on key technologies developed or licensed by third-parties. We may not be able to obtain or continue to obtain licenses and technologies from these third-parties at all or on reasonable terms, or such third-parties may demand cross-licenses to our intellectual property. In addition, it is possible that as a consequence of a merger or acquisition, third-parties may obtain licenses to some of our intellectual property rights or our business may be subject to certain restrictions that were not in place prior to the transaction. Consequently, we may lose a competitive advantage with respect to these intellectual property rights or we may be required to enter into costly arrangements in order to terminate or limit these rights.

Third-parties also may claim that we or customers indemnified by us are infringing upon their intellectual property rights. For example, individuals and groups may purchase intellectual property assets for the purpose of asserting claims of infringement and attempting to extract settlements from companies such as HP and its customers. The number of these claims has increased in recent periods and may continue to increase in the future. If we cannot or do not license infringed intellectual property at all or on reasonable terms, or if we are required to substitute similar technology from another source, our operations could be adversely affected. Even if we believe that intellectual property claims are without merit, they can be time-consuming and costly to defend against and may divert management's attention and resources away from our business. Claims of intellectual property infringement also might require us to redesign affected products, enter into costly settlement or license agreements, pay costly damage awards, or face a temporary or permanent injunction prohibiting us from importing, marketing or selling certain of our products. Even if we have an agreement to indemnify us against such costs, the indemnifying party may be unable or unwilling to uphold its contractual obligations to us.

Finally, our results of operations and cash flows have been and could continue to be affected in certain periods and on an ongoing basis by the imposition, accrual and payment of copyright levies or similar fees. In certain countries (primarily in Europe), proceedings are ongoing or have been concluded involving HP in which groups representing copyright owners have sought or are seeking to impose upon and collect from HP levies upon equipment (such as PCs, MFDs and printers) alleged to

Table of Contents

be copying devices under applicable laws. Other such groups have also sought to modify existing levy schemes to increase the amount of the levies that can be collected from us. Other countries that have not imposed levies on these types of devices are expected to extend existing levy schemes, and countries that do not currently have levy schemes may decide to impose copyright levies on these types of devices. The total amount of the copyright levies will depend on the types of products determined to be subject to the levy, the number of units of those products sold during the period covered by the levy, and the per unit fee for each type of product, all of which are affected by several factors, including the outcome of ongoing litigation involving us and other industry participants and possible action by the legislative bodies in the applicable countries, and could be substantial. Consequently, the ultimate impact of these copyright levies or similar fees, and our ability to recover such amounts through increased prices, remains uncertain.

Our revenue and profitability could suffer if we do not manage the risks associated with our services business properly.

The risks that accompany our services business differ from those of our other businesses and include the following:

The success of our services business is to a significant degree dependent on our ability to retain our significant services clients and maintain or increase the level of revenues from these clients. We may lose clients due to their merger or acquisition, business failure, contract expiration or their selection of a competing service provider or decision to in-source services. In addition, we may not be able to retain or renew relationships with our significant clients. As a result of business downturns or for other business reasons, we are also vulnerable to reduced processing volumes from our clients, which can reduce the scope of services provided and the prices for those services. We may not be able to replace the revenue and earnings from any such lost clients or reductions in services. In addition, our contracts may allow a client to terminate the contract for convenience, and we may not be able to fully recover our investments in such circumstances.

The pricing and other terms of some of our IT services agreements, particularly our long-term IT outsourcing services agreements, require us to make estimates and assumptions at the time we enter into these contracts that could differ from actual results. Any increased or unexpected costs or unanticipated delays in connection with the performance of these engagements, including delays caused by factors outside our control, could make these agreements less profitable or unprofitable, which could have an adverse effect on the profit margin of our IT services business.

Some of our IT services agreements require significant investment in the early stages that is expected to be recovered through billings over the life of the agreement. These agreements often involve the construction of new IT systems and communications networks and the development and deployment of new technologies. Substantial performance risk exists in each agreement with these characteristics, and some or all elements of service delivery under these agreements are dependent upon successful completion of the development, construction and deployment phases. Any failure to perform satisfactorily under these agreements may expose us to legal liability, result in the loss of customers and harm our reputation, which could decrease the revenues and profitability of our IT services business.

Some of our outsourcing services agreements contain pricing provisions that permit a client to request a benchmark study by a mutually acceptable third party. The benchmarking process typically compares the contractual price of our services against the price of similar services offered by other specified providers in a peer comparison group, subject to agreed upon adjustment and normalization factors. Generally, if the benchmarking study shows that our

Table of Contents

pricing has a difference outside a specified range, and the difference is not due to the unique requirements of the client, then the parties will negotiate in good faith any appropriate adjustments to the pricing. This may result in the reduction of our rates for the benchmarked services performed after the implementation of those pricing adjustments, which could decrease the cash flows of our IT services business.

If we do not hire, train, motivate and effectively utilize employees with the right mix of skills and experience in the right geographic regions to meet the needs of our services clients, our profitability could suffer. For example, if our employee utilization rate is too low, our profitability and the level of engagement of our employees could suffer. If that utilization rate is too high, it could have an adverse effect on employee engagement and attrition and the quality of the work performed, as well as our ability to staff projects. If we are unable to hire and retain a sufficient number of employees with the skills or backgrounds to meet current demand, we might need to redeploy existing personnel, increase our reliance on subcontractors or increase employee compensation levels, all of which could also negatively affect our profitability. In addition, if we have more employees than we need with certain skill sets or in certain geographies, we may incur increased costs as we work to rebalance our supply of skills and resources with client demand in those geographies.

Failure to comply with our customer contracts or government contracting regulations could adversely affect our revenue and results of operations.

Our contracts with our customers may include unique and specialized performance requirements. In particular, our contracts with federal, state, provincial and local governmental customers are subject to various procurement regulations, contract provisions and other requirements relating to their formation, administration and performance. Any failure by us to comply with the specific provisions in our customer contracts or any violation of government contracting regulations could result in the imposition of various civil and criminal penalties, which may include termination of contracts, forfeiture of profits, suspension of payments and, in the case of our government contracts, fines and suspension from future government contracting. In addition, we have in the past been, and may in the future be, subject to qui tam litigation brought by private individuals on behalf of the government relating to our government contracts, which could include claims for up to treble damages. Further, any negative publicity related to our customer contracts or any proceedings surrounding them, regardless of its accuracy, may damage our business by affecting our ability to compete for new contracts. If our customer contracts are terminated, if we are suspended or disbarred from government work, or if our ability to compete for new contracts is adversely affected, we could suffer a reduction in expected revenue.

HP's stock price has historically fluctuated and may continue to fluctuate, which may make future prices of HP's stock difficult to predict.

HP's stock price, like that of other technology companies, can be volatile. Some of the factors that could affect our stock price are:

speculation, coverage or sentiment in the media or the investment community about, or actual changes in, our business, strategic position, market share, organizational structure, operations, financial condition, financial reporting and results, effectiveness of cost-cutting efforts, value or liquidity of our investments, exposure to market volatility, prospects, business combination or investment transactions, future stock price performance, board of directors, executive team, our competitors or our industry in general;

the announcement of new, planned or contemplated products, services, technological innovations, acquisitions, divestitures or other significant transactions by HP or its competitors;

Table of Contents

quarterly increases or decreases in revenue, gross margin, earnings or cash flows, changes in estimates by the investment community or financial outlook provided by HP and variations between actual and estimated financial results;

announcements of actual and anticipated financial results by HP's competitors and other companies in the IT industry;

developments relating to pending investigations, claims and disputes; and

the timing and amount of share repurchases by HP.

General or industry specific market conditions or stock market performance or domestic or international macroeconomic and geopolitical factors unrelated to HP's performance also may affect the price of HP stock. For these reasons, investors should not rely on recent or historical trends to predict future stock prices, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. In addition, as discussed in Note 16 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements, we are involved in several securities class action litigation matters. Additional volatility in the price of our securities could result in the filing of additional securities class action litigation matters, which could result in substantial costs and the diversion of management time and resources.

Failure to maintain our credit ratings could adversely affect our liquidity, capital position, borrowing costs and access to capital markets.

Our credit risk is evaluated by the major independent rating agencies. Fitch Ratings, Moody's Investor Service and Standard & Poor's Rating Services have downgraded our ratings in the past, including, most recently, Moody's Investors Service downgraded us to Baa2 from Baa1 in August 2015. Past downgrades have increased the cost of borrowing under our credit facilities, have reduced market capacity for our commercial paper, and may require the posting of additional collateral under some of our derivative contracts. There can be no assurance that we will be able to maintain our current credit ratings, and any additional actual or anticipated changes or downgrades in our credit ratings, including any announcement that our ratings are under further review for a downgrade, may further impact us in a similar manner and may have a negative impact on our liquidity, capital position and access to capital markets.

We make estimates and assumptions in connection with the preparation of HP's Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements, and any changes to those estimates and assumptions could adversely affect our results of operations.

In connection with the preparation of HP's Consolidated Financial Statements, we use certain estimates and assumptions based on historical experience and other factors. Our most critical accounting estimates are described in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in Item 2 of this report. In addition, as discussed in Note 16 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements, we make certain estimates, including decisions related to provisions for legal proceedings and other contingencies. While we believe that these estimates and assumptions are reasonable under the circumstances, they are subject to significant uncertainties, some of which are beyond our control. Should any of these estimates and assumptions change or prove to have been incorrect, it could adversely affect our results of operations.

Unanticipated changes in our tax provisions, the adoption of new tax legislation or exposure to additional tax liabilities could affect our financial performance.

We are subject to income and other taxes in the United States and numerous non-U.S. jurisdictions. Our tax liabilities are affected by the amounts we charge in intercompany transactions for inventory, services, licenses, funding and other items. We are subject to ongoing tax audits in various

Table of Contents

jurisdictions. Tax authorities may disagree with our intercompany charges, cross-jurisdictional transfer pricing or other matters and assess additional taxes. We regularly assess the likely outcomes of these audits in order to determine the appropriateness of our tax provision. However, there can be no assurance that we will accurately predict the outcomes of these audits, and the amounts ultimately paid upon resolution of audits could be materially different from the amounts previously included in our income tax expense and therefore could have a material impact on our tax provision, net income and cash flows. In addition, our effective tax rate in the future could be adversely affected by changes to our operating structure, changes in the mix of earnings in countries with differing statutory tax rates, changes in the valuation of deferred tax assets and liabilities, changes in tax laws and the discovery of new information in the course of our tax return preparation process. In particular, if circumstances change such that we are unable to indefinitely reinvest our foreign earnings outside the United States, future income tax expense and payments may differ significantly from historical amounts and could materially adversely affect our results of operations. The carrying value of deferred tax assets, which are predominantly in the United States, is dependent on our ability to generate future taxable income in the United States. In addition, there are proposals for tax legislation that have been introduced or that are being considered that could have significant adverse effects on our tax rate, the carrying value of deferred tax assets, or our deferred tax liabilities. Any of these changes could affect our financial performance.

In order to be successful, we must attract, retain, train, motivate, develop and transition key employees, and failure to do so could seriously harm us.

In order to be successful, we must attract, retain, train, motivate, develop and transition qualified executives and other key employees, including those in managerial, technical, sales, marketing and IT support positions. Identifying, developing internally or hiring externally, training and retaining qualified executives, engineers, skilled solutions providers in the IT support business and qualified sales representatives are critical to our future, and competition for experienced employees in the IT industry can be intense. In order to attract and retain executives and other key employees in a competitive marketplace, we must provide a competitive compensation package, including cash- and share-based compensation. Our share-based incentive awards include stock options, restricted stock units and performance-based restricted units, some of which contain conditions relating to HP's stock price performance and HP's long-term financial performance that make the future value of those awards uncertain. If the anticipated value of such share-based incentive awards does not materialize, if our share-based compensation otherwise ceases to be viewed as a valuable benefit, if our total compensation package is not viewed as being competitive, or if we do not obtain the shareholder approval needed to continue granting share-based incentive awards in the amounts we believe are necessary, our ability to attract, retain, and motivate executives and key employees could be weakened. The failure to successfully hire executives and key employees or the loss of any executives and key employees could have a significant impact on our operations. Further, changes in our management team may be disruptive to our business, and any failure to successfully transition and assimilate key new hires or promoted employees could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

System security risks, data protection breaches, cyber attacks and systems integration issues could disrupt our internal operations or information technology services provided to customers, and any such disruption could reduce our expected revenue, increase our expenses, damage our reputation and adversely affect our stock price.

Experienced computer programmers and hackers may be able to penetrate our network security and misappropriate or compromise our confidential information or that of third-parties, create system disruptions or cause shutdowns. Computer programmers and hackers also may be able to develop and deploy viruses, worms, and other malicious software programs that attack our products or otherwise exploit any security vulnerabilities of our products. In addition, sophisticated hardware and operating

Table of Contents

system software and applications that we produce or procure from third-parties may contain defects in design or manufacture, including "bugs" and other problems that could unexpectedly interfere with the operation of the system. The costs to us to eliminate or alleviate cyber or other security problems, bugs, viruses, worms, malicious software programs and security vulnerabilities could be significant, and our efforts to address these problems may not be successful and could result in interruptions, delays, cessation of service and loss of existing or potential customers that may impede our sales, manufacturing, distribution or other critical functions.

We manage and store various proprietary information and sensitive or confidential data relating to our business. In addition, our outsourcing services business routinely processes, stores and transmits large amounts of data for our clients, including sensitive and personally identifiable information. Breaches of our security measures or the accidental loss, inadvertent disclosure or unapproved dissemination of proprietary information or sensitive or confidential data about us, our clients or customers, including the potential loss or disclosure of such information or data as a result of fraud, trickery or other forms of deception, could expose us, our customers or the individuals affected to a risk of loss or misuse of this information, result in litigation and potential liability for us, damage our brand and reputation or otherwise harm our business. We also could lose existing or potential customers of outsourcing services or other IT solutions or incur significant expenses in connection with our customers' system failures or any actual or perceived security vulnerabilities in our products and services. In addition, the cost and operational consequences of implementing further data protection measures could be significant.

Portions of our IT infrastructure also may experience interruptions, delays or cessations of service or produce errors in connection with systems integration or migration work that takes place from time to time. We may not be successful in implementing new systems and transitioning data, which could cause business disruptions and be more expensive, time-consuming, disruptive and resource intensive. Such disruptions could adversely impact our ability to fulfill orders and respond to customer requests and interrupt other processes. Delayed sales, lower margins or lost customers resulting from these disruptions could reduce our expected revenue, increase our expenses, damage our reputation and adversely affect our stock price.

Terrorist acts, conflicts, wars and geopolitical uncertainties may seriously harm our business and revenue, costs and expenses and financial condition and stock price.

Terrorist acts, conflicts or wars (wherever located around the world) may cause damage or disruption to our business, our employees, facilities, partners, suppliers, distributors, resellers or customers or adversely affect our ability to manage logistics, operate our transportation and communication systems or conduct certain other critical business operations. The potential for future attacks, the national and international responses to attacks or perceived threats to national security, and other actual or potential conflicts or wars have created many economic and political uncertainties. In addition, as a major multinational company with headquarters and significant operations located in the United States, actions against or by the United States may impact our business or employees. Although it is impossible to predict the occurrences or consequences of any such events, if they occur, they could result in a decrease in demand for our products, make it difficult or impossible to provide services or deliver products to our customers or to receive components from our suppliers, create delays and inefficiencies in our supply chain and result in the need to impose employee travel restrictions. We are predominantly uninsured for losses and interruptions caused by terrorist acts, conflicts and wars.

Unforeseen environmental costs could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

We are subject to various federal, state, local and foreign laws and regulations concerning environmental protection, including laws addressing the discharge of pollutants into the air and water,

Table of Contents

the management and disposal of hazardous substances and wastes, the cleanup of contaminated sites, the content of our products and the recycling, treatment and disposal of our products, including batteries. In particular, we face increasing complexity in our product design and procurement operations as we adjust to new and future requirements relating to the chemical and materials composition of our products, their safe use, the energy consumption associated with those products, climate change laws and regulations, and product take-back legislation. If we were to violate or become liable under environmental laws or if our products become non-compliant with environmental laws, we could incur substantial costs or face other sanctions, which may include restrictions on our products entering certain jurisdictions. Our potential exposure includes fines and civil or criminal sanctions, third-party property damage, personal injury claims and clean-up costs. Further, liability under some environmental laws relating to contaminated sites can be imposed retroactively, on a joint and several basis, and without any finding of noncompliance or fault. The amount and timing of costs to comply with environmental laws are difficult to predict.

Some anti-takeover provisions contained in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, as well as provisions of Delaware law, could impair a takeover attempt.

We have provisions in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, each of which could have the effect of rendering more difficult or discouraging, an acquisition of HP deemed undesirable by our Board of Directors. These include provisions:

authorizing blank check preferred stock, which we could issue with voting, liquidation, dividend and other rights superior to our common stock;

limiting the liability of, and providing indemnification to, our directors and officers;

specifying that our stockholders may take action only at a duly called annual or special meeting of stockholders and otherwise in accordance with our bylaws and limiting the ability of our stockholders to call special meetings;

requiring advance notice of proposals by our stockholders for business to be conducted at stockholder meetings and for nominations of candidates for election to our Board of Directors; and

controlling the procedures for conduct of our Board of Directors and stockholder meetings and election, appointment and removal of our directors.

These provisions, alone or together, could deter or delay hostile takeovers, proxy contests and changes in control or our management. As a Delaware corporation, we are also subject to provisions of Delaware law, including Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which prevents some stockholders from engaging in certain business combinations without approval of the holders of substantially all of our outstanding common stock.

Any provision of our certificate of incorporation or bylaws or Delaware law that has the effect of delaying or deterring a change in control of HP could limit the opportunity for our stockholders to receive a premium for their shares of our stock and also could affect the price that some investors are willing to pay for our stock.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

For quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk affecting HP, see "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk" in Item 7A of Part II of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2014, which is incorporated herein by reference. Our exposure to market risk has not changed materially since October 31, 2014.

Table of Contents

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act as of the end of the period covered by this report (the "Evaluation Date"). Based on this evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded as of the Evaluation Date that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective such that the information relating to HP, including our consolidated subsidiaries, required to be disclosed in our SEC reports (i) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms, and (ii) is accumulated and communicated to HP's management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

During the third quarter of fiscal 2015, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we completed our preparations for a series of changes to our information technology environment, which includes our financial reporting systems, to support the separate financial reporting requirements for HP Inc. and Hewlett Packard Enterprise. Implementation of these system changes will occur during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2015. There were no other changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the third quarter of fiscal 2015 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. Legal Proceedings.**

The information contained in Note 16 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in Part I, Item 1 is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

A description of factors that could materially affect our business, financial condition or operating results is included under "Factors that Could Affect Future Results" in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," contained in Item 2 of Part I of this report. This description includes any material changes to the risk factor disclosure in Item 1A of Part I of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2014 and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.**Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities**

There were no unregistered sales of equity securities during the period covered by this report.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased under the Plans or Programs |
|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| In thousands, except per share amounts | | | | |
| Month #1 (May 2015) | 3,302 | \$ 33.40 | 3,302 | \$ 2,576,297 |
| Month #2 (June 2015) | 3,706 | \$ 32.65 | 3,706 | \$ 2,455,294 |
| Month #3 (July 2015) | 3,966 | \$ 30.51 | 3,966 | \$ 2,334,295 |
| Total | 10,974 | \$ 32.10 | 10,974 | |

On July 21, 2011, HP's Board of Directors authorized a \$10.0 billion share repurchase program. HP may choose to repurchase shares when sufficient liquidity exists and the shares are trading at a discount relative to estimated intrinsic value. This program, which does not have a specific expiration date, authorizes repurchases in the open market or in private transactions. All share repurchases settled in the third quarter of fiscal 2015 were open market transactions. As of July 31, 2015, HP had remaining authorization of \$2.3 billion for future share repurchases.

Item 5. Other Information.

None.

Item 6. Exhibits.

The Exhibit Index beginning on page 115 of this report sets forth a list of exhibits.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY

/s/ CATHERINE A. LESJAK

Catherine A. Lesjak
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer and Authorized Signatory)

Date: September 8, 2015

Table of Contents

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Form | Incorporated by Reference File No. | Exhibit(s) | Filing Date |
|----------------|--|------|------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| 2(a) | Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of March 2, 2015, among the Registrant, Aspen Acquisition Sub, Inc. and Aruba Networks, Inc.** | 8-K | 001-04423 | 2.1 | March 2, 2015 |
| 3(a) | Registrant's Certificate of Incorporation. | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 3(a) | June 12, 1998 |
| 3(b) | Registrant's Amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation. | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 3(b) | March 16, 2001 |
| 3(c) | Registrant's Amended and Restated Bylaws effective November 20, 2013. | 8-K | 001-04423 | 3.1 | November 26, 2013 |
| 4(a) | Senior Indenture between the Registrant and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, National Association, as successor in interest to J.P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association (formerly known as Chase Manhattan Bank and Trust Company, National Association), as Trustee, dated June 1, 2000. | S-3 | 333-134327 | 4.9 | June 7, 2006 |
| 4(b) | Form of Subordinated Indenture. | S-3 | 333-30786 | 4.2 | March 17, 2000 |
| 4(c) | Form of Registrant's 5.40% Global Note due March 1, 2017. | 8-K | 001-04423 | 4.3 | February 28, 2007 |
| 4(d) | Form of Registrant's 5.50% Global Note due March 1, 2018. | 8-K | 001-04423 | 4.3 | February 29, 2008 |
| 4(e) | Form of Registrant's 2.125% Global Note due September 13, 2015 and form of related Officers' Certificate. | 8-K | 001-04423 | 4.3 and 4.4 | September 13, 2010 |
| 4(f) | Form of Registrant's 2.200% Global Note due December 1, 2015 and 3.750% Global Note due December 1, 2020 and form of related Officers' Certificate. | 8-K | 001-04423 | 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 | December 2, 2010 |

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Form | Incorporated by Reference File No. | Exhibit(s) | Filing Date |
|-----------------------|---|-------------|---|----------------------------|--------------------|
| 4(g) | Form of Registrant's 2.650% Global Note due June 1, 2016 and 4.300% Global Note due June 1, 2021 and form of related Officers' Certificate. | 8-K | 001-04423 | 4.4, 4.5 and 4.6 | June 1, 2011 |
| 4(h) | Form of Registrant's 2.350% Global Note due March 15, 2015, 3.000% Global Note due September 15, 2016, 4.375% Global Note due September 15, 2021 and 6.000% Global Note due September 15, 2041 and form of related Officers' Certificate. | 8-K | 001-04423 | 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, 4.5 and 4.6 | September 19, 2011 |
| 4(i) | Form of Registrant's 3.300% Global Note due December 9, 2016, 4.650% Global Note due December 9, 2021 and related Officers' Certificate. | 8-K | 001-04423 | 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4 | December 12, 2011 |
| 4(j) | Form of Registrant's 2.600% Global Note due September 15, 2017 and 4.050% Global Note due September 15, 2022 and related Officers' Certificate. | 8-K | 001-04423 | 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 | March 12, 2012 |
| 4(k) | Form of Registrant's 2.750% Global Note due January 14, 2019 and Floating Rate Global Note due January 14, 2019 and related Officers' Certificate. | 8-K | 001-04423 | 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 | January 14, 2014 |
| 4(l) | Specimen certificate for the Registrant's common stock. | 8-A/A | 001-04423 | 4.1 | June 23, 2006 |
| 10(a) | Registrant's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan.* | S-8 | 333-114253 | 4.1 | April 7, 2004 |
| 10(b) | Registrant's 2000 Stock Plan, amended and restated effective September 17, 2008.* | 10-K | 001-04423 | 10(b) | December 18, 2008 |
| 10(c) | Registrant's Excess Benefit Retirement Plan, amended and restated as of January 1, 2006.* | 8-K | 001-04423 | 10.2 | September 21, 2006 |
| 10(d) | Hewlett-Packard Company Cash Account Restoration Plan, amended and restated as of January 1, 2005.* | 8-K | 001-04423 | 99.3 | November 23, 2005 |

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Form | Incorporated by Reference File No. | Exhibit(s) | Filing Date |
|-----------------------|---|-------------|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| 10(e) | Registrant's 2005 Pay-for-Results Plan, as amended.* | 10-K | 001-04423 | 10(h) | December 14, 2011 |
| 10(f) | Registrant's 2005 Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated effective October 1, 2006.* | 8-K | 001-04423 | 10.1 | September 21, 2006 |
| 10(g) | First Amendment to the Registrant's 2005 Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated effective October 1, 2006.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(q) | June 8, 2007 |
| 10(h) | Registrant's Executive Severance Agreement.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(u)(u) | June 13, 2002 |
| 10(i) | Registrant's Executive Officers Severance Agreement.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(v)(v) | June 13, 2002 |
| 10(j) | Form letter regarding severance offset for restricted stock and restricted units.* | 8-K | 001-04423 | 10.2 | March 22, 2005 |
| 10(k) | Second Amendment to the Registrant's 2005 Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated effective October 1, 2006.* | 10-K | 001-04423 | 10(l)(l) | December 18, 2007 |
| 10(l) | Form of Agreement Regarding Confidential Information and Proprietary Developments (California).* | 8-K | 001-04423 | 10.2 | January 24, 2008 |
| 10(m) | Form of Agreement Regarding Confidential Information and Proprietary Developments (Texas).* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(o)(o) | March 10, 2008 |
| 10(n) | Form of Stock Option Agreement for Registrant's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(r)(r) | March 10, 2008 |
| 10(o) | Form of Option Agreement for Registrant's 2000 Stock Plan.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(t)(t) | June 6, 2008 |
| 10(p) | Form of Common Stock Payment Agreement for Registrant's 2000 Stock Plan.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(u)(u) | June 6, 2008 |

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Form | Incorporated by Reference | | Filing Date |
|-----------------------|---|-------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| | | | File No. | Exhibit(s) | |
| 10(q) | Third Amendment to the Registrant's 2005 Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated effective October 1, 2006.* | 10-K | 001-04423 | 10(v)(v) | December 18, 2008 |
| 10(r) | Form of Stock Notification and Award Agreement for awards of non-qualified stock options.* | 10-K | 001-04423 | 10(y)(y) | December 18, 2008 |
| 10(s) | First Amendment to the Hewlett-Packard Company Excess Benefit Retirement Plan.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(b)(b)(b) | March 10, 2009 |
| 10(t) | Fourth Amendment to the Registrant's 2005 Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated effective October 1, 2006.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(c)(c)(c) | June 5, 2009 |
| 10(u) | Fifth Amendment to the Registrant's 2005 Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated effective October 1, 2006.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(d)(d)(d) | September 4, 2009 |
| 10(v) | Amended and Restated Hewlett-Packard Company 2004 Stock Incentive Plan.* | 8-K | 001-04423 | 10.2 | March 23, 2010 |
| 10(w) | Form of Stock Notification and Award Agreement for awards of non-qualified stock options.* | 10-K | 001-04423 | 10(i)(i)(i) | December 15, 2010 |
| 10(x) | Form of Agreement Regarding Confidential Information and Proprietary Developments (California new hires).* | 10-K | 001-04423 | 10(j)(j)(j) | December 15, 2010 |
| 10(y) | Form of Agreement Regarding Confidential Information and Proprietary Developments (California current employees).* | 10-K | 001-04423 | 10(k)(k)(k) | December 15, 2010 |
| 10(z) | First Amendment to the Registrant's Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated effective October 1, 2004.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(o)(o)(o) | September 9, 2011 |

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Form | Incorporated by Reference | | Filing Date |
|-----------------------|--|-------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| | | | File No. | Exhibit(s) | |
| 10(a)(a) | Sixth Amendment to the Registrant's 2005 Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated effective October 1, 2006.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(p)(p)(p) | September 9, 2011 |
| 10(b)(b) | Employment offer letter, dated September 27, 2011, between the Registrant and Margaret C. Whitman.* | 8-K | 001-04423 | 10.2 | September 29, 2011 |
| 10(c)(c) | Seventh Amendment to the Registrant's 2005 Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated effective October 1, 2006.* | 10-K | 001-04423 | 10(e)(e)(e) | December 14, 2011 |
| 10(d)(d) | Registrant's Severance Plan for Executive Officers, as amended and restated September 18, 2013.* | 10-K | 001-04423 | 10(q)(q) | December 30, 2013 |
| 10(e)(e) | Aircraft Time Sharing Agreement, dated March 16, 2012, between the Registrant and Margaret C. Whitman.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(h)(h)(h) | June 8, 2012 |
| 10(f)(f) | Second Amended and Restated Hewlett-Packard Company 2004 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended effective February 28, 2013.* | 8-K | 001-04423 | 10.2 | March 21, 2013 |
| 10(g)(g) | Aircraft Time Sharing Agreement, dated April 22, 2013, between the Registrant and John M. Hinshaw.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(t)(t) | June 6, 2013 |
| 10(h)(h) | Form of Stock Notification and Award Agreement for awards of restricted stock units.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(u)(u) | March 11, 2014 |
| 10(i)(i) | Form of Stock Notification and Award Agreement for awards of foreign stock appreciation rights.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(v)(v) | March 11, 2014 |
| 10(j)(j) | Form of Stock Notification and Award Agreement for long-term cash awards.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(w)(w) | March 11, 2014 |
| 10(k)(k) | Form of Stock Notification and Award Agreement for awards of non-qualified stock options.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(x)(x) | March 11, 2014 |

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Form | Incorporated by Reference File No. | Exhibit(s) | Filing Date |
|----------------|---|------|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|
| 10(l)(l) | Form of Grant Agreement for grants of performance-adjusted restricted stock units.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(y)(y) | March 11, 2014 |
| 10(m)(m) | Form of Stock Notification and Award Agreement for awards of restricted stock.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(z)(z) | March 11, 2014 |
| 10(n)(n) | Form of Stock Notification and Award Agreement for awards of performance-contingent non-qualified stock options.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(a)(a)(a) | March 11, 2014 |
| 10(o)(o) | Form of Grant Agreement for grants of performance-contingent non-qualified stock options.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(b)(b)(b) | March 11, 2014 |
| 10(p)(p) | Eighth Amendment to the Registrant's 2005 Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated effective October 1, 2006.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(c)(c)(c) | March 11, 2014 |
| 10(q)(q) | Ninth Amendment to the Registrant's 2005 Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated effective October 1, 2006.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(d)(d)(d) | March 11, 2014 |
| 10(r)(r) | Tenth Amendment to the Registrant's 2005 Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated effective October 1, 2006.* | 10-K | 001-0442 | 10(e)(e)(e) | December 17, 2014 |
| 10(s)(s) | Form of Grant Agreement for grants of restricted stock units.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(c)(c)(c) | March 11, 2015 |
| 10(t)(t) | Form of Grant Agreement for grants of foreign stock appreciation rights.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(d)(d)(d) | March 11, 2015 |
| 10(u)(u) | Form of Grant Agreement for grants of long-term cash awards.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(e)(e)(e) | March 11, 2015 |
| 10(v)(v) | Form of Grant Agreement for grants of non-qualified stock options.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(f)(f)(f) | March 11, 2015 |

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Form | Incorporated by Reference File No. | Exhibit(s) | Filing Date |
|----------------|--|------|------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|
| 10(w)(w) | Form of Grant Agreement for grants of performance-adjusted restricted stock units.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(g)(g)(g) | March 11, 2015 |
| 10(x)(x) | Form of Grant Agreement for grants of restricted stock awards.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(h)(h)(h) | March 11, 2015 |
| 10(y)(y) | Form of Grant Agreement for grants of performance-contingent non-qualified stock options.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(i)(i)(i) | March 11, 2015 |
| 10(z)(z) | Registrant's Severance Plan for Executive Officers, as amended and restated November 19, 2014.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(j)(j)(j) | March 11, 2015 |
| 10(a)(a)(a) | Voting Agreement, dated as of March 2, 2015, among the Registrant and the listed stockholders of Aruba Networks, Inc. | 8-K | 001-04423 | 10.1 | March 2, 2015 |
| 10(b)(b)(b) | Term Loan Agreement, dated as of April 30, 2015, among the Registrant, the lenders named therein and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent. | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(b)(b)(b) | June 8, 2015 |
| 10(c)(c)(c) | Amendment, dated as of June 1, 2015, to the Term Loan Agreement, dated as of April 30, 2015, among the Registrant, the lenders named therein and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent. | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(c)(c)(c) | June 8, 2015 |
| 11 | None. | | | | |
| 12 | Statements of Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges. | | | | |
| 15 | None. | | | | |
| 18-19 | None. | | | | |
| 22-24 | None. | | | | |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. | | | | |

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Form | Incorporated by Reference File No. | Exhibit(s) | Filing Date |
|-------------------|--|------|---------------------------------------|------------|-------------|
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. | | | | |
| 32 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. | | | | |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document. | | | | |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document. | | | | |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document. | | | | |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document. | | | | |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document. | | | | |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document. | | | | |

*
Indicates management contract or compensatory plan, contract or arrangement.

**
Certain schedules and exhibits to this agreement have been omitted pursuant to Item 601(b)(2) of Registration S-K. A copy of any omitted schedule and/or exhibit will be furnished supplementally to the SEC upon request.

Filed herewith.

Furnished herewith.

The registrant agrees to furnish to the Commission supplementally upon request a copy of (1) any instrument with respect to long-term debt not filed herewith as to which the total amount of securities authorized thereunder does not exceed 10% of the total assets of the registrant and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis and (2) any omitted schedules to any material plan of acquisition, disposition or reorganization set forth above.

Microsoft® and Windows® are U.S.-registered trademarks of Microsoft Corporation. Intel® and Intel Itanium® are trademarks of Intel Corporation in the United States and other countries. AMD is a trademark of Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. ARM® is a registered trademark of ARM Limited. UNIX® is a registered trademark of The Open Group. Other names and brands may be claimed as the property of others.